COVID-19 ECMO MYTHS

MYTH 1: Risk factors for COVID-19 include lymphopenia, neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio of more than 3.5 and ventilator support during ECMO

TRUTH! According to Henry et al.¹ although ECMO is life-saving, yet a word of caution on use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) in COVID-19 patients, with decreased lymphocyte counts, has been suggested. Patients with decreased lymphocyte counts have increased disease severity and mortality. There is some immunological decrease in lymphocytes, as the ECMO run progresses.²

As COVID-19 recovery warrants lymphocyte repletion and not depletion, it is imperative that lymphocyte count should be closely monitored in COVID-19 patients receiving ECMO.

MYTH 2: Mortality is higher on ECMO when COVID-19 patients show higher values of interleukin-6

TRUTH! It has been amply shown by Rvanand colleagues that concentration of interleukin differed significantly between survivors and nonsurvivors, with the latter having up to 1.7 to 2 times higher values of IL-6. During ECMO, IL-6 concentration is elevated in both children and adults. These remained high in nonsurvivors and decreased to normal, which on ECMO in those who survived and decreased to normal, while on ECMO in those who survived ECMO. Increased IL-6 levels are associated with parenchymal damage in the lungs of animals kept on venovenous ECMO. Thus, it is important to monitor the immunological status of the patient before putting the patient on ECMO. For good prognosis of COVID-19 and for ECMO to be of benefit to the COVID-19 patient, tracking interleukin-6 levels is as important as tracking the lymphocyte and neutrophil count.³
MYTH 3: X-ray chests show worsening COVID-19 infection as the Disease progresses in time
IT IS A MYTH: Ground glass opacities and consolidation are apparent on anteroposterior (AP) of chest X-ray from day 1 itself, although the upper respiratory infection (URI) maybe mild. It may progress to new consolidation and infiltrates in the lower lobes as well, as the disease progresses (►Fig. 1) to pulmonary congestion, due to volume overload during venovenous ECMO run. As ECMO functions with continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT), pulmonary congestion and consolidation among patients remarkably improve.5

MYTH 4: COVID-19 X-ray chest and timing of VV ECMO insertion—VV ECMO can be initiated anytime in COVID-19 infection. The timing of ECMO insertion is immaterial
IT IS A MYTH: To treat COVID-19 pneumonia with ECMO, it is important to recognize lung plasticity on X-ray chest and start ECMO as per the ELSO guideline. For this, the timing of ECMO introduction must be appropriate. On day 3 of infection, postintubation, most COVID-19 patient’s show a respiratory ECMO survival prediction score of point 1, with an expected survival of 60%. It is in this phase that biomarkers like Krebs vened lungen-6 (KL-6) and surfactant Protein-D (SP-D), which nicely depict lung injury, did not increase. The pneumonia caused by SARS-COVID19, although of slow progression, is severe in intensity. ECMO should be introduced at a time when lung plasticity in patients is maintained.6

MYTH 5: Does ECMO attenuate hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction (animal studies) during COVID19 infection
TRUTH! During severe respiratory failure, as in COVID19 infection, hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction (HPV) is partly suppressed, but may still play a role in increasing pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR). Experimental studies have suggested that the patients at the time of corona infection are dependent on the pulmonary venous oxygenation, the PVO2. Thus, PVO2 is increased with the use of venovenous ECMO; there would a decrease in pulmonary vascular resistance and thus PV would be alternate respiratory failure.

Holzgraefe et al’s animal studies in 2020 have concluded that:7

➤ There is an increase in PVO2 by the use of VV ECMO on PVR, depending on the amount of alveolar hypoxia present. The right ventricular afterload may not always decrease with ECMO when alveolar hypoxia is very severe.8
➤ Pinsky et al have shown in 2006 that hyperinflation increases PVR, and that weaning from mechanical ventilation is a cardiovascular stress.
➤ The vasomotor tone when increased, and also when lung volume is reduced, gets HPV activated. PEEP and positive

Fig. 1 (a,b) Post-MVR COVID-19 patient, X-ray chest PAV, day 1 and day 10 postoperatively on ECMO weaning. Abbreviations: ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; MVR, mitral valve replacement.
airways pressure, along with recruitment maneuvers, may reverse HPV and thus reduce pulmonary artery pressures.

MYTH 6: Some COVID-19 patients on ECMO are a sedation nightmare
TRUTH! Commonly used intravenous (IV) opioids include fentanyl, hydromorphone and morphine, while sedatives often include propofol, dexmedetomidine and benzodiazepines. The choice of agent used is dependent on the desired physiological endpoint, while balancing unwanted adverse effects of each individual agent.

All sedatives like propofol, injecting dexmedetomidine infusion at a dose, keeps the tachycardia in check. The drugs should be individualized, and high deep levels of sedation are need of the hour.9

MYTH 7: Powered air-purifying respirators and level 3 infection control precaution with PPE should be included during ECMO cannulation and maintenance
TRUTH! During ECMO cannulation, replacement of oxygenator, other invasive procedures, and level 3 infection control precautions should be exercised including the value of powered air-purifying respirators (PAPR), protective suites, disposable sterile surgical gowns and three-layered sterile gloves. During routine ECMO therapy rounding, “PAPR” is not required.10

MYTH 8: Venovenous ECMO is better than venaarterial ECMO in COVID-19 patients
TRUTH! Venovenous is better than venaarterial! The role and exact utility ECMO for COVID-19 pandemic patients use remains unclear. It is of great utility for aiding ventilation and removing carbon dioxide.11

ECMO has been shown in many publications from China to have played a role in the treatment of some patients afflicted with COVID-19, who have the refractory hypoxia patient not responding to aggressive lung protective ventilatory therapy; even the control has provided interim guidelines, wherein, an ECMO should considered according to the state management algorithm for acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) in supporting patients with viral respiratory tract infection. Europe too has used ECMO in many patients of COVID-19 during this pandemic, but the definitive position of ECMO use and trial results are as yet awaited.

As of now, until the heart is involved, venovenous ECMO is better to insert, particularly, via peripheral route. VA ECMO is reserved for patients with respiratory failure and cardiogenic shock.11

MYTH 9: ECMO in COVID-19 infection has no role to play if there is acidosis and oxygenation deteriorates despite aggressive, ongoing ventilatory management
IT IS A MYTH: As some severe COVID-19 patients deteriorate rapidly, ECMO insertion should not be delayed, if any of the following criteria are seen in a COVID patient with aggressive ventilation. The criteria are as follows:
1. PaO2/FiO2 ratio < 50 mm Hg for more than 1 hour.
2. PaO2/FiO2 < 80 mm Hg for more than 2 hours.
3. Existence of uncompensated respiratory acidosis with pH < 7.2 for more than 1 hour.

ECMO in COVID-19 infection has no role to play if there is acidosis and PaO2/FiO2 ratios deteriorate despite aggressive ventilator.12

MYTH 10: Not all patients will improve with ECMO support
IT IS A MYTH: As is standard with usual ECMO care, clinicians should be continuously evaluating when ECMO no longer provides a positive benefit: risk ratio and should at that point return to conventional management regardless of how long the patient has been on ECMO. During times of limited resources, this becomes especially important and while the definition will be hospital- or region-specific, observing no lung or cardiac recovery after approximately 21 days (these are general guidelines which may not apply to specific COVID-19 patients, depending on local circumstances) on ECMO can be considered futile, and the patient can be returned to conventional management (Fig. 2).

MYTH 11: Triage with high-concentration of humidified oxygen and low levels of positive end-expiratory pressure as initial therapy before ECMO is not needed in COVID-19 patients
IT IS A MYTH: Before intubating a COVID-19 patient and putting him or her on ECMO, it is important to consider a trial of high-flow nasal oxygen for patients with some hypoxia. This may obtund the need for intubation and mechanical ventilation, as high-humidified oxygen levels and low levels of positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) can aid in eliminating carbon dioxide. WHO guidelines support the above but advocate close monitoring of clinical deterioration and emergency mechanical ventilation subsequently.14

Conclusion
A lot of dilemmas and myth in this nascent COVID-19 pandemic period exist regarding the use of ECMO. Perplexities such as do we treat the COVID-19 patients on ECMO, as a pulmonary ARDS pneumonia, and/or do we need high PEEP or moderate PEEP? Do we manage patients with a wet or dry circuit for mucus plugging and secretion management? Are some ongoing dilemmas with ECMO of use in corona patients today. Time will tell definitive tales tomorrow in relation to COVID-19 ECMO myths.
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Fig. 2 ECMO weaning protocol in COVID-19 patients. ABG, arterial blood gas; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; CT, computed tomography; ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; EIT, electrical impedance tomography; FiO2, fractional of inspired oxygen; HCT, hematocrit; PaCO2, partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PaO2, partial pressure of oxygen; RR, respiratory rate.


