Rapid Implementation of an Inpatient Telehealth Program during the COVID-19 Pandemic

Jonathan D. Hron1,2 Chase R. Parsons1,2 Lee Ann Williams3 Marvin B. Harper2,4 Fabienne C. Bourgeois1,2

1Division of General Pediatrics, Department of Pediatrics, Boston Children’s Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, United States
2Department of Pediatrics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, United States
3Patient Care Operations, Boston Children’s Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, United States
4Division of Emergency Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Boston Children’s Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, United States

Address for correspondence Jonathan D. Hron, MD, 300 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, United States (e-mail: jonathan.hron@childrens.harvard.edu).

Abstract

Background Relaxation of laws and regulations around privacy and billing during the COVID-19 pandemic provide expanded opportunities to use telehealth to provide patient care at a distance. Many health systems have transitioned to providing outpatient care via telehealth; however, there is an opportunity to utilize telehealth for inpatients to promote physical distancing.

Objective This article evaluates the use of a rapidly implemented, secure inpatient telehealth program.

Methods We assembled a multidisciplinary team to rapidly design, implement, and iteratively improve an inpatient telehealth quality improvement initiative using an existing videoconferencing system at our academic medical center. We assigned each hospital bed space a unique meeting link and updated the meeting password for each new patient. Patients and families were encouraged to use their own mobile devices to join meetings when possible.

Results Within 7 weeks of go-live, we hosted 1,820 inpatient telehealth sessions (13.3 sessions per 100 bedded days). We logged 104,647 minutes of inpatient telehealth time with a median session duration of 22 minutes (range 1–1,961). There were 5,288 participant devices used with a mean of 3 devices per telehealth session (range 2–22). Clinicians found they were able to build rapport and perform a reasonable physical exam.

Conclusion We successfully implemented and scaled a secure inpatient telehealth program using an existing videoconferencing system in less than 1 week. Our implementation provided an intuitive naming convention for providers and capitalized on the broad availability of smartphones and tablets. Initial comments from clinicians suggest the system was useful; however, further work is needed to streamline initial setup for patients and families as well as care coordination to support clinician communication and workflows. Numerous use cases identified suggest a role for inpatient telehealth will remain after the COVID-19 crisis underscoring the importance of lasting regulatory reform.
Background and Significance

In December 2019 reports of a novel coronavirus emerged from Wuhan, China, from patients with pneumonia.\(^1,2\) Over the following months, the virus (COVID-19) spread uncontrolled and developed into a global pandemic.\(^3\) The rapid spread of COVID-19 and evidence that public health measures such as physical distancing can mitigate pandemic influenza\(^4,5\) has resulted in governments and health systems around the globe working to identify ways to slow the rate of infection to avoid overwhelming hospitals with sick patients. In addition, the pandemic has drastically increased the use of personal protective equipment (PPE) while also threatening the supply chain to replenish hospital stock.\(^5,7\)

For decades, telecommunication systems have been used to provide health care (telehealth) to those without access such as rural communities, prisons, and the military.\(^8\) For hospitalized patients there are numerous reports of using telehealth for rapid evaluation for intensive care and subspecialty consults such as dermatology, infectious diseases, and psychiatry, where a thorough chart review, history, and visual exam may provide consultants with sufficient information to make clinical recommendations.\(^9-18\) Telehealth has also been used to engage patients and families in their care during and after hospitalization.\(^19-21\) One major limitation to the widespread adoption of telehealth initiatives has been the lack of payment models\(^22\) however, in response to the COVID-19 pandemic both federal and state governments have loosened restrictions on privacy and billing for telehealth visits in an effort to allow health care providers to continue to care for patients while reducing potential exposure to the virus.\(^23,24\) In particular, the announcement by the Office of Civil Rights at the Department of Health and Human Services means that health care providers may provide care over the telephone or popular consumer grade video chat technology that may not comply with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) rules without fear of penalty, so long as it is done in good faith to provide necessary care.

The role of telehealth in responding to epidemics and pandemics has been described previously\(^25-27\) and health systems around the country have expanded use of telehealth in response to COVID-19 for outpatients, emergency departments, and intensive care units.\(^28-30\) Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic our hospital had an established telehealth program for second opinions, select outpatient subspecialty visits, and limited interhospital consultations. Recognizing the need to limit COVID-19 exposure and transmission,\(^31\) we implemented an intrahospital inpatient telehealth (ITH) program with the goals of limiting clinician face-to-face time in the room with patients and to minimize use of PPE.

Objectives

Evaluate the rapid development and implementation of an intrahospital ITH program in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods

We convened a group of physicians and nursing clinical informatics experts along with information systems specialists to develop, implement, and support an ITH program at Boston Children’s Hospital, a 404-bed quaternary care facility in Boston, Massachusetts, United States. We utilized an existing videoconferencing software system (Zoom) used for hospital operations, which was available to nurses, physicians, advanced practice clinicians, and other clinical staff on hospital-provided smartphones. The software was also available on hospital computer workstations and could be downloaded to clinician personal devices if desired. We communicated with our biocontainment and infection control teams to ensure the agreed upon process would be consistent with their best practices. We utilized vendor software to track the number of ITH sessions hosted. Data from our enterprise data warehouse was used to track hospital bed days. We solicited informal feedback via electronic mail from a convenience sample of eight early adopters including fellows and attending physicians from multiple specialties.

The ITH project team convened initially on March 18, 2020 via videoconference and met remotely multiple times over the subsequent days to design and implement the system. We tested the system with a patient and bedside nurse on March 19 to compare various hospital and personal devices, assess the audio and video quality, and to ensure the process was intuitive for users. Based on user feedback, we developed educational materials and launched the program on March 21, less than a week after our initial meeting. At the time of this publication data were available for telehealth sessions from March 23 through May 10, 2020.

While any hospital employee could use our videoconferencing system to initiate a meeting using their personal login, our working group sought a unified solution for ITH meetings that would limit the need for staff, patients, and families to exchange meeting information in person, via email, or by phone. We initially developed a central process where an information services department technician created a unique link for each of the 10 available hospital tablets. We provided the tablet and meeting link to the biocontainment team when a patient with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 was admitted. A unique, patient-specific password was created by a clinical informatics specialist and provided to the family and clinical team so that when the patient was discharged the meeting link could remain the same, but the password could be updated. This ensured that patients who were discharged or transferred to a different bed space could not call back into the existing meeting when another patient had taken their place and also prevented patients from utilizing the videoconferencing software for their personal use after discharge. The tablet was then cleaned and prepared for the next patient.

In an effort to maximize the impact of our intervention, we rapidly transitioned to a bring-your-own-device (BYOD) model for patients and families. This allowed us to scale the program quickly and also to minimize the risk of contaminated devices being shared across patients. With this transition, we also needed to create additional meeting links. For simplicity, we
opted to create a unique meeting link for each hospital bed space that would be easily remembered by clinicians (►Table 1). We continued to make hospital tablets available for patients who did not have a device that would support the videoconferencing software, ultimately deploying multiple devices to each inpatient unit. The anesthesia program also requested meeting link assignments for each operating room to facilitate anesthesia and surgical consultations during surgical procedures. A select number of tablets were implemented for use in other areas as well, such as the emergency department and infusion center.

We continued the process of clinical informatics specialists updating the meeting password for each bed space whenever a new patient was admitted or changed bed spaces. The meeting link was posted in each patient’s room and on the outside of the door, and the password was provided on the dry-erase board in the room. Patient experience representatives on each unit were utilized by patients, families, and clinicians to request an ITH session. ►Fig. 1 shows the workflow for on- and off-boarding patients and families with the ITH process. Based on anecdotal feedback of patients and families having difficulty onboarding and joining meetings, we utilized available members of the patient experience team working remotely to contact families the morning after admission to support first time setup. Additionally, we created quick response codes for each bed space-specific meeting link to help clinicians, patients, and families join the telehealth meetings without having to input the meeting link manually. ►Table 2 summarizes the people, process, and technology required for ITH implementation.

**Results**

From March 23 through May 10, 2020, there were 12,993 bedded patient days including 471 bedded days for patients on COVID-19 precautions (either COVID-19 positive or person under investigation). We hosted 1,820 ITH sessions, including 1,727 on medical, surgical, or critical care units (13.3 sessions per 100 bedded days). ►Fig. 2 shows the total number of ITH visits over time, stratified by hospital unit type. ITH was used most frequently for patients on medical units. We logged 104,647 minutes of ITH time with a median session duration of 22 minutes (range 1–1,961). There were 5,288 participant devices used with a mean of 3 devices per telehealth session (range 2–22). ►Table 3 summarizes comments from clinicians.

Use cases identified for providing ITH visits included (1) telerounding for primary inpatient teams, (2) brief updates on laboratory/test results, (3) teleconsultation for subspecialists, (4) consultation with nutrition, pharmacy, child life services, and other nonphysician providers, (5) team/family meetings, (6) continuous video monitoring of patient for parents quarantined at home, (7) education classroom training, such as for asthma and diabetes care, and (8) operating room consultation with offsite providers. In addition to communicating with the family, providers noted how helpful
it was to do visual portions of the exam via telehealth to reduce contact time while in the room. In particular, providers were able to examine patients’ general appearance, skin findings, indwelling lines/tubes/drains, and even urine and stool appearance.

**Discussion**

We rapidly designed and implemented an ITH program in preparation for the COVID-19 pandemic using existing videoconferencing software. In just a few days we scaled the program to support any patient in the hospital and any clinician with access to a hospital device or computer, while providing a consistent workflow to facilitate use for patients, families, and clinicians with minimal training.

Unlike other telehealth programs, this initiative was not meant to overcome the distance between providers and patients but rather to help create distance between patients, families, and staff within the hospital during an unprecedented global pandemic. Notably, while only a small percentage of our patients required COVID-19 precautions, our ITH system was used broadly with clinicians logging over...
70 days of telecommunication time over a 7-week period. This likely reflects the efforts of staff to promote physical distancing with all patients as well as with other hospital staff and is particularly important given reports of asymptomatic carriers. Undiagnosed employees could pose a greater threat to COVID-19 spread within the hospital than infected patients on strict precautions. Additional use-cases also emerged, such as in the intensive care unit where some ITH sessions were left open for 24 hours a day so quarantined parents at home could remain virtually at the bedside with their critically ill child.

While one of our goals was to preserve PPE, we were unable to directly measure PPE use. Rapidly evolving PPE guidelines made it hard to know what protective equipment may have been used on a given day. One could conjecture that each telehealth session saved a set of PPE for each clinician involved; however, we also know that some telehealth sessions still required a follow-up in person exam (e.g., for auscultation of the heart and lungs). In addition, we only counted telehealth sessions logged using the bed space-specific meeting links and not when users independently set up a new meeting identification (either because of challenges with the provided workflow or because they found it easier) so we likely underestimate the total number of sessions.

Prior studies have demonstrated that with encouragement from clinical professionals, patients can have a positive experience using mobile health applications. For this implementation, the BYOD self-service approach was critical to allow us to scale the intervention across our enterprise. Feedback from clinicians and families was largely positive. Clinicians noted the ease of the system and how it enabled them to drastically reduce the amount of time spent in the room with the patient

Table 3 Feedback about inpatient telehealth provided by clinicians

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive feedback</th>
<th>Negative feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;It was seamless&quot;-Pediatric hospitalian</td>
<td>&quot;Couldn’t figure out how to turn audio on.&quot; -Pediatric hospitalist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Parent used her own device...and already had [the video conferencing app] installed for work.&quot;-Pediatric hospitalian</td>
<td>&quot;At admission, the automatic [meeting links] didn’t seem to be set up so I had to create my own.&quot; -Pediatric hospitalian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I was able to get a pretty good history and fairly decent physical and develop good rapport.&quot;-Pediatric hospitalian</td>
<td>&quot;The hospital-assigned [meeting links] didn’t work so I set up a personal [meeting identification] and added a password.&quot; -Pediatric hospitalian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Using the families own smartphone...was much easier. I could see/hear better [than using hospital device].&quot;-Pediatric hospitalian</td>
<td>&quot;It was hard to get a good skin exam on [the video conferencing software] but I could tell the skin looked generally more eczematous and that the patient was well appearing and nontoxic.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Parents very willing to help with exam, including cap refill, ROM testing, etc.&quot;-Pediatric hospitalian</td>
<td>&quot;[The residents] seemed hesitant to use their own device [in the room].&quot; -Pediatric hospitalian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I think I established great rapport with both families, both of whom had already had telemedicine visit with their PCP btw.&quot; -Pediatric hospitalian</td>
<td>&quot;I didn’t ask the nurse to do as many exam maneuvers for me because I sensed she was trying to maintain a distance and I wanted to minimize her exposure.&quot; -Pediatric hospitalian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I think overall it worked quite well. We had really good success with getting the families to download [the app] to their phones and no major technological issues.&quot;-Pediatric gastroenterology fellow</td>
<td>&quot;The main issue is getting [inpatient telehealth] appointments set up is that patients who are NOT under precautions are not consistently trained/set up to use [telehealth] (and challenge to do so during busy rounds/day). For patients in precautions, there appears to be more consistent attention to have them set up [on the video conferencing app]&quot; -Pediatric endocrinologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I think it is an amazingly important technology for social distancing.&quot;-Pediatric gastroenterology fellow</td>
<td>&quot;This could be streamlined by helping family’s download the app on admission.&quot; -Pediatric endocrinologist fellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;My sense was that the families liked it or at least didn’t seem to have any big problem with it&quot; -Pediatric gastroenterology fellow</td>
<td>&quot;I was told that the [tablets] were only available for patients on precautions/CIVD rule outs. I also felt that nurses seemed surprised that we would even want/need to do a virtual visit for a patient who wasn’t on precautions.&quot; -Pediatric endocrinology fellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Thanks again for the outstanding job of deploying the capabilities of [video conferencing software] for the OR&quot; -Pediatric anesthesiologist</td>
<td>&quot;As typically happens, great success brings new visions and with it more possibilities&quot; -Pediatric anesthesiologist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| "We had a very successful [telehealth] visit using the family’s personal device and [the assigned meeting link and password]."-Pediatric endocrinology fellow | "The assignment of [video conferencing app] installed for work."

Abbreviations: PCP, primary care physician; ROM, range of motion.
per day by engaging the family in conversation over telehealth and performing some portions of the physical exam remotely. Moreover, we quickly identified additional use cases and received requests from various departments to expand. Anecdotally, providers commented that patients and families also found the system relatively easy to use and appreciated minimizing contact with health care providers during a frightening time.

Challenges encountered when implementing this ITH program included limited availability of support staff in the hospital due to hospital and state social distancing mandates, communication between patients and providers regarding setting up the telehealth visits, as well as disruption of normal rounding and communication practices. Initial setup was especially challenging during off hours when clinicians tended to rely on each other for guidance on how to establish a telehealth session as opposed to reaching out to the help desk. Prior to engaging the patient experience representatives, clinicians did not have a good way to initiate a telehealth session with the patient or family. Workflow challenges highlight the importance of clear and concise training materials, 24/7 support, and role clarity.

There were instances where physician teams or attending providers asked nurses or trainees to help initiate a telehealth session. This led to dissatisfaction, particularly when providers in the room were asked to lend their own hospital-provided or personal devices to the family. Understandably, frontline clinicians may feel apprehensive when asked to prolong their own contact time with a potentially infectious patient to help other clinicians work remotely. They also were appropriately hesitant to bring devices into the patient room to support telehealth encounters, due to concerns for contamination. This underscores the importance of the BYOD self-service model, streamlined workflows, as well as training and support materials that allow patients and families to participate in telehealth sessions seamlessly.

The rapid implementation and adoption of our ITH system was fostered by regulatory changes due to the emergent nature of the COVID-19 pandemic, so it remains unclear how the program may evolve over time. Specifically, the loosening of HIPAA restrictions allowed us to quickly utilize a videoconferencing vendor not initially designed as a stand-alone solution for telehealth. Likewise, parity for billing inpatient evaluation and management codes via telehealth allowed entire teams of providers to work remotely and still generate revenue for their service (e.g., pediatric endocrinology consultations). There appears to be a clear role for intrahospital ITH to facilitate communication between patients, families, and health care provider that will undoubtedly persist beyond the current pandemic; however, further study is needed to better understand the benefits and potential unintended consequences. Ultimately, if regulatory rules around telehealth return to their pre-COVID-19 state after the pandemic it may be hard to sustain such programs.

Overall, our ITH program was well received by end users and lessons learned during this crisis may help health systems respond more rapidly to future outbreaks and overcome implementation challenges. Our next steps include formal surveys to solicit provider and patient satisfaction, improving access to telehealth sessions, and further evaluating usage patterns to disseminate best practices.

**Conclusion**

We rapidly implemented and scaled an ITH solution using existing videoconferencing software and encouraging a BYOD self-service model for patients and families. Initial impressions suggest this system is useful for providing care to patients at our hospital during the COVID-19 pandemic; however, further work is needed to improve onboarding and coordination of telehealth sessions. More permanent regulatory reform will help ensure programs like this can continue beyond the nationwide public health emergency.

**Clinical Relevance Statement**

This quality improvement effort demonstrates how established tools such as telehealth can be rapidly adapted in response to a crisis to support patient care.

**Multiple Choice Questions**

1. Despite its ability improve access to physicians and specialists, what has historically been a major contributing factor to the limited adoption of inpatient telehealth?  
   a. Lack of standardized reimbursement model.  
   b. Slow internet bandwidth at many institutions.  
   c. High cost of device deployment.  
   d. Shortage of health care providers able to use advanced technology.  

   **Correct Answer:** The correct answer is option a. In both the inpatient and outpatient setting, lack of a standardized payment model has limited widespread acceptance of telehealth initiatives. Previously, reimbursement for telehealth was limited to rural areas or specific situations, such as telestroke. With the state of emergency introduced by the COVID-19 pandemic, insurers have relaxed payment restrictions, leading to further adoption of telehealth.

2. In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Office for Civil Rights (OCR) at the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) loosened which regulations with the intention to accelerate the adoption of telehealth?  
   a. HIPAA Privacy, Security, and Breach Notification Rules (the HIPAA Rules).  
   c. The Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH Act).  

   **Correct Answer:** The correct answer is option a. On March 17, 2020 during the national state of emergency brought forth by the COVID-19 pandemic, the OCR of HHS announced that it would waive potential penalties for HIPAA violations associated with the use of consumer-
grade technologies used for virtual patient care. This announcement encouraged remote video communication products such as FaceTime or Skype be used to encourage social distancing, while providing health care during the pandemic. With this directive, institutions were able to quickly scale telehealth offerings to meet many patient care needs during the time of crisis.
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