Common Bile Duct Polyp—Rare But Not So Rare Cause Of Obstructive Jaundice
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Introduction

Polyps are usually found in gastrointestinal tract as their primary site of occurrence. In contrast, polyps in common bile duct (CBD) are uncommon. Biliary polyps can manifest as obstructive jaundice, which is usually a presentation of common bile duct stone or malignant tumor of bile duct and pancreas. In this case series, we present five patients who had clinical manifestations of obstructive jaundice. However, systematic diagnostic approach in these patients revealed presence of polyps in distal CBD. We have focused on diagnostic challenges encountered during the investigation of CBD polyps.

Case Reports

We examined five cases of CBD polyps retrospectively. The patient’s age ranged from 60 to 82 years. The patients presented with obstructive jaundice. Table 1 summarizes the clinical characteristics of the patients. On systematic evaluation, the CBD polyp was diagnosed (Fig. 1A–C). In all the patients, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) with biliary sphincterotomy was performed to retrieve polyps. Depending upon size of the polyps, small snare polypectomy or biopsy forceps was used (Fig. 1D, E). Macroscopic examination of resected polyps revealed sessile morphology (size varied from 10 to 21 mm). Histopathological examinations showed tubulovillous adenoma in three patients (n = 4 with low-grade dysplasia and n = 1 high-grade dysplasia [HGD]) and adenomyomatous hyperplasia in one patient.
### Table 1  Clinical presentation and histopathological characteristics of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patient 1</th>
<th>Patient 2</th>
<th>Patient 3</th>
<th>Patient 4</th>
<th>Patient 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (y)/sex</strong></td>
<td>67/M</td>
<td>65/M</td>
<td>71/M</td>
<td>60/M</td>
<td>82/M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presenting complaints</strong></td>
<td>Abdominal pain, Jaundice</td>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>Epigastric pain, RHC pain and jaundice</td>
<td>Epigastric pain and jaundice</td>
<td>Epigastric pain, RHC pain, jaundice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Laboratory investigation</strong></td>
<td>TB 2.4 U/L</td>
<td>4.6 U/L</td>
<td>3.7 U/L</td>
<td>1.8 U/L</td>
<td>4.2 U/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALT 76 U/L</td>
<td>112 U/L</td>
<td>90 U/L</td>
<td>44 U/L</td>
<td>150 U/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALP 350 U/L</td>
<td>421 U/L</td>
<td>204 U/L</td>
<td>127 U/L</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>USG</strong></td>
<td>GB with sludge and 11 mm dilated CBD with no filling defects</td>
<td>15 mm dilated CBD with soft tissue density at the lower end</td>
<td>GB stone with acute cholecystitis; 20 mm dilated CBD with a mild thickness of lower end</td>
<td>Distended GB with dilated CBD; mildly dilated pancreatic duct</td>
<td>distended GB; mild pancreatic edema; dilated CBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CT scan</strong></td>
<td>Dilated CBD with IHBR dilation and bulky ampulla</td>
<td>Increased 3 mm polypoidal soft tissue lesion in the region of the ampulla; terminal CBD, and IHBR</td>
<td>Dilated CBD 21 mm with a mild wall thickness of lower CBD; soft tissue density in the distal CBD</td>
<td>Dilated CBD with IHBR dilation</td>
<td>Distended gallbladder, dilated CBD with IHBR moderate dilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EUS + ERCP</strong></td>
<td>Distal CBD polyp with biliary obstruction</td>
<td>Ampullary polypoidal mass with two distal CBD polyps and mass</td>
<td>Soft stone with a small polypoidal mass in distal CBD</td>
<td>Polypoidal mass in distal CBD with obstruction</td>
<td>Polypoidal mass in common channel between CBD and pancreatic duct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Characteristic of polyps</strong></td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Distal CBD</td>
<td>Distal CBD</td>
<td>Distal CBD</td>
<td>Distal CBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of polyps</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Size (mm)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12.16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Histology</td>
<td>TVA with LGD</td>
<td>TVA with LGD</td>
<td>TVA with LGD</td>
<td>TVA with LGD few bits with HGD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Follow-up</strong></td>
<td>After two months, he developed cholecystitis which was treated conservatively</td>
<td>On the follow-up he underwent cholecystectomy</td>
<td>On follow-up with liver function test and USG of the abdomen to detect further progression of a disease</td>
<td>After three months, liver function test and USG of the abdomen was assessed, no evidence of further development.</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alive without reoccurrence</td>
<td>Alive without reoccurrence</td>
<td>Three months later, he developed adenocarcinoma of CBD; treated with pancreateoduodenectomy</td>
<td>Alive without reoccurrence</td>
<td>Alive without reoccurrence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CBD, common bile duct; ERCP, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography; EUS, endoscopic ultrasound; GB, gallbladder; HGD, high-grade dysplasia; IHBR, intrahepatic biliary radicals; LGD, low-grade dysplasia; M, male; NA, not available; TVA, tubulovillous adenoma; USG, ultrasonography; RHC, right hypochondrial; TB, total bilirubin; ALT, alanine transserase; ALP, alanine phosphatase.

(►Fig. 2B and C ). All patients recovered uneventfully and are alive without recurrence except one patient (patient 3) who developed adenocarcinoma of CBD at 3-month follow-up (►Fig. 2A) and was successfully treated with pancreateoduodenectomy. The patient 4, who had tubulovillous adenoma (TVA) with occasional focus of HGD on histopathology, was advised to undergo pancreatectoduodenectomy. However, the patient refused to undergo surgery. Hence, they were advised close follow-up with liver function test and ultrasonography in every 3 months and EUS at 6 months interval. We had follow-up available for 1 year and till then he did not have any evidence of recurrence of lesion.

### Discussion

In 1988, Saxe et al was the first to narrate a case of CBD polyp with the histological characteristic of villous adenoma. Till the date, a total 39 cases have been reported in English literature. Of these 39 cases, only few cases highlighted the diagnostic challenge of CBD polyp when the disease manifested...
with obstructive jaundice. We report, a case series of adult patients who presented with obstructive jaundice and subsequently diagnosed with CBD polyp.

Adenomas are benign tumors constituted from the glandular structure in epithelial tissues. They are generally found as single, well-defined polypoid lesion.2,3 TVA generally found in the gastrointestinal tract but uncommonly in the biliary system.2,4,5 In present case series, we identified four patients with tubulovillous adenoma and one patient with adenomyomatous hyperplasia. Although adenomatous polyps of extrahepatic bile duct and gallbladder are more commonly found in females, its predominant occurrence in male patients has been reported.6 Similarly, in our case series, we found male preponderance. CBD polyps usually present with innumerable clinical manifestation of CBD obstruction, that is, obstructive jaundice, right upper quadrant abdominal pain, dyspepsia, nausea, and vomiting. These clinical manifestations may occur due to bile duct obstruction. In our case series, all patients presented with jaundice and right upper quadrant abdominal pain. Laboratory examination showed elevated levels of total bilirubin and liver enzymes. Such clinical presentation and laboratory examination is more frequently observed in all biliary lesions which are causing bile duct obstruction. Owing to rarity of the disease, CBD adenoma remains unfocused during differential diagnosis.2,4 CBD polyps are incidentally identified during other biliary tract surgery. Preoperative diagnosis of CBD polyp is very difficult. Radiologic imaging techniques, CECT abdomen or MRCP usually help in differentiating polyp from a stone in the CBD.4

Even though CBD polyp is rare clinical entity, it should be considered during differential diagnosis in a group of patients presented with obstructive jaundice. EUS is a good
diagnostic modality for differentiation in such scenario, especially for lower CBD lesions. Yusuf and Bhutani reported the negative predictive value of EUS is 97% for detection of CBD stone which is far better than ultrasonography (56%) and CT scan (78%).7 Another study by Choi et al diagnosed 132 patients with gallbladder polyp using EUS modality and also reported the sensitivity and specificity of 84.6%.8 Similarly, in the present case series, using EUS polyps were diagnosed in distal bile duct, just proximal to the ampulla which further confirmed the diagnosis of CBD polyps. We found EUS as the advantageous, reliable, and noninvasive diagnostic modality to differentiate CBD polyps from CBD stone and malignancy as compared with other noninvasive procedures. Histopathological examination is the only reliable method for final diagnosis of polyp or carcinoma. Tubular adenomas with morphological characteristics of TVA have a high risk of malignancy.2,4,9

An appropriate management approach of CBD polyp has not been clearly established as the entity is very rare.2 Sturgis et al were the first to implement the endoscopic resection of CBD polyp in the patient who had the high risk of malignancy.2,4 Likewise, local endoscopic polypectomy of the adenomatous polyp can be successfully performed.2 In our case series, all polyps were detected in the distal most part of CBD that made it more feasible to resect after a wide sphincterotomy using small snare polypectomy or biopsy forceps. Radical resection is advisable when malignancy is suspected or polyp size is more than 2 cm.4 In cases of distal CBD carcinoma, pancreaticoduodenectomy should be considered as a mandatory treatment approach. We performed same in one of the patients who developed adenocarcinoma at follow-up. Archie et al suggested that local resection with lymph node dissection of hepatoduodenal ligament might be curative in suspicious patients who have the high risk of a benign tumor. Several study reports prefer local resection for CBD adenoma, as they did not observe any complication or recurrence. But the major limitation of those case studies was, they reported less than 1 to 2 years to follow-up period.2,4 The prognosis of CBD adenoma is good. But due to its anatomical site, it limits surgical approaches, which may lead to insufficient resection, as well as recurrence.

Conclusion
CBD bile duct polyp is an uncommon disease entity and prevailed with an enormously common clinical presentation. Such entity should be kept in mind during differential diagnosis of this region. EUS is an imperative tool for diagnosis of CBD polyp especially lower CBD. Histopathological examination is the gold-standard diagnosis, while endoscopic local resection can be considered as a treatment option for lower end CBD polyps.
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