



Mirabegron Role in Urolithiasis Management: What you should Know

Rol del mirabegrón en el manejo de la urolitiasis: Lo que usted debe saber

Eduar S. Rodríguez¹ David Naranjo¹

¹ Department of Urology, Universidad El Bosque, Bogotá, Colombia

Urol Colomb 2021;30:74–76.

Address for correspondence Eduar S. Rodríguez, MD, Department of Urology, Universidad El Bosque, Carrera 15 N° 135-81, Bogotá, Colombia (e-mail: shellender@hotmail.com).

Abstract

The purpose of the present study was to develop a narrative review of the available evidence of mirabegron efficacy in three scenarios: as a medical expulsive therapy; as a medical treatment to increase a successful access to stones before ureteroscopy, and management of double-J stent-related symptoms. Only two original studies have evaluated its use as a medical expulsive therapy, with contradictory results in terms of stone expulsion rate; however, both demonstrated a better pain control in the mirabegron group. One randomized controlled trial (RCT) found a higher successful access to ureteral stones when mirabegron was administered 1 week before the ureteroscopy. And two RCTs demonstrated its efficacy in diminishing discomfort related with double-J stent. There is a promising role for the use of mirabegron in the arsenal of urolithiasis treatment, even as a medical expulsive therapy, before ureteroscopy surgery, to increase the successful access to the stones and after the placement of ureteral catheter to reduce the related symptoms. However, larger-scaled prospective double-blinded RCTs are needed before it can be used with these purposes in the clinical scenario.

Keywords

- ▶ mirabegron
- ▶ medical expulsive therapy
- ▶ urolithiasis
- ▶ ureteroscopy
- ▶ ureteral catheter
- ▶ double-J catheter

Resumen

El propósito de este trabajo fue desarrollar una revisión narrativa de la literatura describiendo la evidencia disponible sobre la eficacia del mirabegrón en tres escenarios: como terapia médica expulsiva, como tratamiento médico antes de la ureteroscopia para incrementar el acceso exitoso al cálculo, y en el manejo de los síntomas asociados con el uso de catéter doble J. Solo dos estudios originales han evaluado su uso como terapia médica expulsiva, con resultados contradictorios en términos de tasa de expulsión del cálculo; sin embargo, ambos demostraron mejor control del dolor en el grupo de mirabegrón. Un estudio aleatorizado multicéntrico encontró un aumento en el acceso exitoso al cálculo durante la ureteroscopia cuando el mirabegrón era administrado una semana antes del procedimiento. Adicionalmente, dos estudios aleatorizados controlados demostraron eficacia del medicamento para disminuir los

Palabras clave

- ▶ mirabegrón
- ▶ terapia médica expulsiva
- ▶ urolitiasis
- ▶ ureteroscopia
- ▶ catéter ureteral
- ▶ catéter doble J

received
January 27, 2020
accepted
June 17, 2020
published online
August 14, 2020

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1714727>.
ISSN 0120-789X.
e ISSN 2027-0119.

© 2020. Sociedad Colombiana de Urología. All rights reserved.
This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivative-NonCommercial-License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit. Contents may not be used for commercial purposes, or adapted, remixed, transformed or built upon. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)
Thieme Revinter Publicações Ltda., Rua do Matoso 170, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, CEP 20270-135, Brazil

síntomas relacionados con el catéter doble J. El uso del mirabegrón como parte del arsenal del tratamiento para urolitiasis tiene un rol prometedor, tanto en la terapia médica expulsiva como antes de la ureteroscopia, para aumentar la posibilidad de acceder exitosamente al cálculo, y en pacientes con catéter doble J, para disminuir los síntomas asociados. Sin embargo, se requiere estudios más grandes, prospectivos, doble ciego y aleatorizados antes de que podamos utilizarlo con estos fines en un escenario clínico.

Introduction

Medical expulsive therapy involves the administration of drugs to obtain higher stone expulsion rates. Tamsulosin is the most used medication with this purpose.¹ Some meta-analyses and randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have confirmed its efficacy.^{2,3} However, others have found contradictory results and the controversy still exists.⁴ Consequently, there remains an interest to study other drugs which can achieve the goal. β 3-Adrenergic receptor (β 3-AR) agonists used for overactive bladder (OAB) like mirabegron have enough evidence.⁵ As it promotes relaxation of the smooth muscle of the bladder, it was supposed to have the same effect on the ureter. The purpose of the present article was to describe the available evidence of mirabegron efficacy in three scenarios: as a medical expulsive therapy; as a medical treatment to increase a successful access to stones before ureteroscopy; and management of double-J stent-related symptoms.

Methods

A search was made in the PubMed, ClinicalKey and Science-Direct databases, using the following keywords: *mirabegron*, *β -adrenergic receptor*, *β 3 agonist*, *medical expulsive therapy*, *urolithiasis*, *ureteroscopy*, *ureteral catheter* and *double-J catheter*. All of the original studies published in English were used. Using the recompiled data, a narrative review of the literature was performed.

Results

Expression of β -adrenergic Receptors in the Ureter

Wanajo et al studied the presence of β -adrenoreceptors in the ureter of porcine segments, proving the existence of β 2 and β 3-AR in the ureteric smooth muscle, in the urothelium and in the interstitial cells.⁶ In 2013, Matsumoto et al confirmed the expression of β 1, β 2 and β 3-AR in the smooth muscle and in the urothelium of the human ureter, including proximal, middle and distal segments. They also demonstrated that its stimulation by β 3-agonists decreased ureter muscle contractions in a concentration-dependent manner.⁷

Shen et al compared the expression of β -AR subtypes in human normal and dilated ureters. Their results showed that β 3-AR expression were decreased by 53.62% ($p < 0.01$) in the muscular layer of the lower segment of the dilated

ureter, suggesting a possible physiological compensatory mechanism which results in an increased contraction of the ureter to propel urine through the obstructed lumen.⁸ Hence, the potential role for mirabegron as a medical expulsive therapy arised.⁹

Efficacy of Mirabegron in Medical Expulsive Therapy

A retrospective study conducted in Turkey included 80 patients with distal ureteral stones and distributed them into 2 groups: 1 ($n = 40$) received mirabegron 50 mg/day plus diclofenac 100 mg/day, while the second group ($n = 40$) was treated with diclofenac 100 mg/day alone. The results revealed a higher stone expulsion rate in group 1 (73.52 versus 47.05% in group 2, $p = 0.026$), especially when the stone size was ≤ 6 mm (87.5 versus 52.49%, $p = 0.031$). They also showed less pain episodes (1.02 ± 0.52 versus 1.29 ± 0.57 , $p = 0.049$).¹⁰

In contrast, Bayar et al recently published a prospective multicentric study with 169 patients included, all with ureter stones sized between 4 and 10 mm located proximally, medially or distally. They were divided into 3 groups by randomization; group 1 was the control group; group 2 took silodosin 8 mg/day, and group 3 took mirabegron 50 mg once daily. They found no relevant effect on stone expulsion intervals with the use of mirabegron (9.8 ± 4.7) compared with the control group (12.3 ± 4.3) ($p = 0.003$). However, in patients with distal stones, the need for an analgesic was reduced in the mirabegron group (1.8 ± 1.9), compared with the control group (3.6 ± 2.3), respectively ($p = 0.004$).¹¹

Mirabegron Use before Semi-rigid Ureterolithotripsy

Bayar et al also documented mirabegron use before ureteroscopy. The idea was based in the relaxing effect provided by stimulating β 3-AR, that could provide easier access to ureteral stones. They developed a prospective, single blind, multicentric study using tamsulosin and mirabegron, associated with analgesics previous to ureteral stone intervention. The study design divided patients into three groups: the control group only used diclofenac 50 mg (63 patients); the second group received tamsulosin 0.4 mg with diclofenac 50 mg (61 patients); and the third group used mirabegron 50 mg with diclofenac (62 patients). The successful access to stones was statistically higher in the tamsulosin and mirabegron group (96.7% and 95.2%, respectively [$p = 0.003$]). They concluded that tamsulosin or mirabegron increases the successful access to ureteral stones using the medication 1 week before the ureteroscopy.¹²

Management of Double-J Stent Related Symptoms

Research on mirabegron has also been focused in reducing discomfort related with the ureteral stent placed after ureteroscopy. In a prospective multicentric study, 100 patients with double-J stent following endoscopic uretero- or nephrolithotomy were included. Subjects were randomized (1:1) into 2 groups: one received mirabegron 50 mg once daily until the removal of the stent, and the second group was the control group. Both of them also took standard analgesic medication during the stenting period. Authors found that the pain score (visual analogue scale [VAS]) was lower in the mirabegron group than in the control group (5.58 versus 2.83, $p = 0.002$). Interestingly, they also noted that the change of the international prostate symptom score (IPSS) storage symptom score was lower in the mirabegron group (3.58 ± 3.58 versus 1.83 ± 4.39 , $p = 0.035$).¹³

Other authors have also studied mirabegron effects in symptoms related to double-J stent. Sahin et al conducted a trial comparing mirabegron versus tamsulosin/solifenacin combination in 120 patients using double-J stent. They randomly divided their patients in three groups: the control group only received oral hydration for 6 weeks; the second group took tamsulosin 0.4 mg plus solifenacin 10 mg; and the third group took mirabegron 50 mg/day. Mirabegron demonstrated a decrease in low urinary tract symptoms (LUTS), quantified by the IPSS value (13.65 ± 4.97 versus 15.6 ± 4.37 versus 21.78 ± 2.54 , compared with the tamsulosin/solifenacin combination and the hydration groups, respectively [$p = 0.001$]), especially those related with OAB. There was no significant difference in the pain score (VAS) between the groups.

Conclusions

β_3 -adrenergic receptors are present in the urothelium and smooth muscle of human ureters. Their stimulation by β_3 -agonists like mirabegron results in a relaxation effect. The actual available evidence of its efficacy as a medical expulsive therapy is limited but promising. Other possible scenarios in which it could be used include the management of double-J stent-related symptoms and before ureteroscopy to increase the successful access to stones. However, larger-scaled prospective double-blinded RCTs are needed before it can be used in a real-life scenario.

References

- 1 Türk C, Knoll T, Seitz C, Skolarikos A, Chapple C, McClinton S; European Association of Urology. Medical Expulsive Therapy for

- Ureterolithiasis: The EAU Recommendations in 2016. *Eur Urol* 2017;71(04):504–507. Doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2016.07.024
- 2 Hollingsworth JM, Canales BK, Rogers MAM, et al. Alpha blockers for treatment of ureteric stones: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2016;355:i6112. Doi: 10.1136/bmj.i6112
- 3 Ye Z, Zeng G, Yang H, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Tamsulosin in Medical Expulsive Therapy for Distal Ureteral Stones with Renal Colic: A Multicenter, Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled Trial. *Eur Urol* 2018;73(03):385–391. Doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2017.10.033
- 4 De Coninck V, Antonelli J, Chew B, Patterson JM, Skolarikos A, Bultitude M. Medical Expulsive Therapy for Urinary Stones: Future Trends and Knowledge Gaps. *Eur Urol* 2019;76(05):658–666. Doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2019.07.053
- 5 Chapple CR, Cruz F, Cardozo L, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Mirabegron: Analysis of a Large Integrated Clinical Trial Database of Patients with Overactive Bladder Receiving Mirabegron, Antimuscarinics, or Placebo. *Eur Urol* 2019;***. Doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2019.09.024
- 6 Wanajo I, Tomiyama Y, Yamazaki Y, Kojima M, Shibata N. Pharmacological characterization of β -adrenoceptor subtypes mediating relaxation in porcine isolated ureteral smooth muscle. *J Urol* 2004;172(03):1155–1159. Doi: 10.1097/01.ju.0000133557.39515.b6
- 7 Matsumoto R, Otsuka A, Suzuki T, et al. Expression and functional role of β_3 -adrenoceptors in the human ureter. *Int J Urol* 2013;20(10):1007–1014. Doi: 10.1111/iju.12093
- 8 Shen H, Chen Z, Mokhtar AD, et al. Expression of β -adrenergic receptor subtypes in human normal and dilated ureter. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2017;49(10):1771–1778. Doi: 10.1007/s11255-017-1667-y
- 9 Urkmez A, Tokuc E, Topaktas R, Sahin A, Yuksel OH. Mirabegron: A novel and promising medical expulsive treatment for ureteral stones? *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak* 2019;29(01):73–74. Doi: 10.29271/jcpsp.2019.01.73
- 10 Solakhan M, Bayrak O, Bulut E. Efficacy of mirabegron in medical expulsive therapy. *Urolithiasis* 2019;47(03):303–307. Doi: 10.1007/s00240-018-1075-5
- 11 Bayar G, Yavuz A, Cakmak S, et al. Efficacy of silodosin or mirabegron in medical expulsive therapy for ureteral stones: a prospective, randomized-controlled study. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2019;***. Doi: 10.1007/s11255-019-02368-y
- 12 Bayar G, Kilinc MF, Yavuz A, Aydın M. Adjunction of tamsulosin or mirabegron before semi-rigid ureterolithotripsy improves outcomes: prospective, randomized single-blind study. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2019;51(06):931–936. Doi: 10.1007/s11255-019-02142-0
- 13 Tae BS, Cho S, Jeon BJ, et al. Does mirabegron relieve ureteric stent-related discomfort? A prospective, randomized, multicentre study. *BJU Int* 2018;122(05):866–872. Doi: 10.1111/bju.14416
- 14 Sahin A, Yildirim C, Yuksel OH, Urkmez A. Treatment of ureteral catheter related symptoms; mirabegron versus tamsulosin/solifenacin combination: A randomized controlled trial. *Arch Esp Urol* 2020;73(01):54–59http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31950924