Yield and Safety of Transjugular Versus Percutaneous Liver Biopsies in Suspected Cases of Diffuse Liver Disease and Correlation of Yield of Transjugular Liver Biopsy with Hepatic Venous Pressure Gradient
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Abstract

**Background**  Liver biopsy is indicated in both diagnosis and prognosis of diffuse liver diseases. Conventionally, percutaneous liver biopsy (PLB) is used, as it is easily available, affordable and has a shorter procedure time, whereas transjugular liver biopsy (TJLB) is used in the setting of ascites and coagulopathy. Our aim is to evaluate the diagnostic yield of TJLB in comparison to PLB with tract embolization. Our secondary aims were to evaluate whether there is any difference in rate of major and minor complications between the two procedures and evaluate whether there is any correlation between diagnostic yield of TJLB and hepatic venous pressure gradient (HVPG).

**Methods**  In this retrospective study, we included a total of consecutive 123 patients who underwent liver biopsy through percutaneous (n = 97) and transjugular route (n = 26). We compared the yield of the specimen based on the number of complete portal tracts (CPT).

**Results**  There was no significant difference between mean CPT in TJLB and PLB specimens (mean CPT of TJLB and PLB were 10.9 ± 2.7 and 11.6 ±2.5, respectively [p = 0.566]). There was a moderate but significant negative correlation between the total number of CPT and HVPG in the TJLB group (Spearman’s rho = 0.58) (p = 0.002). There was no statistically significant difference in minor complication between the two procedures. Only one patient who underwent PLB developed major complication and none of TJLB procedure had any major complication.

**Conclusion**  Yield of tissue and complication rates are comparable in TJLB and PLB groups. Yield of tissue in TJLB have intermediate but significant negative correlation with HVPG.
Introduction

Liver biopsy is indicated in both diagnosis and prognosis of diffuse liver diseases. Currently, percutaneous liver biopsy (PLB) is commonly performed because of its easy availability. However, in presence of ascites and coagulopathy (defined by international normalized ratio [INR] > 1.5 and/or platelet count < 50000/µL), PLB might have significant risk of fatal or nonfatal peritoneal hemorrhage that cannot be predicted by simple clinical methods. In such cases, transjugular liver biopsy (TJLB) is performed as there is less risk of peritoneal hemorrhage. Previous studies have shown that TJLB is inferior to PLB due to small size, fragmentation of specimen and yield of complete portal tracts (CPT), and increased risk of major complications. Good correlation is found between the quality of tissue sample (fragmented/small) with hepatic venous pressure gradient (HVPG). This retrospective study is aimed to compare the yield of tissue cores obtained through TJLB and PLB route in adults based on the total number of CPT, assess the rates of complication between these two routes, and also evaluate whether there is any significant correlation between yield of TJLB samples and HVPG.

Methods

This was a retrospective observational study. All the liver biopsies done for diffuse liver diseases between December 2017 and February 2020 at our center were included in this study. Biopsy from liver masses and repeat biopsies were excluded. The indication of liver biopsies was as follows: evaluation of suspected fatty liver disease, undiagnosed hyperbilirubinemia, raised marker of autoimmune hepatitis, to confirm a diagnosis of cirrhosis in suspected cases, to evaluate the liver mass, to confirm a diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma, to assess the liver function in portal hypertension, to detect liver injury in viral hepatitis and/or autoimmune hepatitis, to evaluate the cause of jaundice, and as an alternative to surgery to obtain tissue diagnosis for histological evaluation of suspected fatty liver disease, undiagnosed hyperbilirubinemia, or significant right lobe atrophy. Middle hepatic venous access was obtained through the right intercostal approach and ultrasound guidance and also through the right hepatic venous approach due to the presence of significant right lobe atrophy. Middle hepatic venous access yielded satisfactory cores.

PLB was performed under local anesthesia through the right intercostal approach and ultrasound guidance with 18G Mission [Bard, Tempe, USA] disposable core biopsy instrument, followed by post biopsy gelfoam plugging for tract embolization. A 17G outer needle and 18G semiautomatic biopsy gun having 20 mm throw was used in all cases. After obtaining two to four cores of liver tissues, gelfoam pledges were routinely used to embolize the biopsy tract. After biopsy, all patients were monitored overnight. Pulse, blood pressure, and oxygen saturation were monitored every 30 minutes for 4 hours with comparison between pre- and postprocedure (12 hours after biopsy) blood hemoglobin.

All the biopsies were done by a single intervention radiologist, and biopsy specimens were evaluated by a single pathologist. Yield of tissue was decided based on the number of CPT. Routinely, all fields were examined under 4x magnifications and number of CPT were counted in each field. Core length is not routinely recorded at our institution. Other magnifications were also used in specific situations.

Statistical Methods

All the descriptive statistics were expressed in percentage and as mean ± standard deviation as appropriate. Comparison of CPT between two groups was done by the two-tailed independent Mann–Whitney U test. Comparison of demographic and complication proportion between two groups were done by Chi-Squared test and Fischer exact test. Correlation of HVPG and total CPT was done by Spearman’s correlation test. Significance level was kept at 0.05. All tests were performed in Microsoft Excel version 2010.

Result

There was no statistically significant difference in mean age and gender distribution of the patients between the two groups. Patients in the TJLB group had statistically significant lower platelet count and higher INR as compared with PLB group, which is expected, based on the patient selection criteria for TJLB (Table 1). Indications of biopsy are given in Table 2.

Mean CPT (Fig. 1) in TJLB and PLB specimens are 10.9 ± 2.7 and 11.6 ± 2.5, respectively (∗p = 0.566). There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups. Out of 26 TJLB, none of the samples was inadequate; however, 3 out of 97 PLB samples were deemed inadequate (Table 3) for histopathological diagnosis. This difference was not statistically significant.

There was a moderate but significant negative correlation between the total number of CPT and HVPG in the TJLB group (Spearman’s Rho − 0.58) (∗p = 0.002). A major complication occurred in one case of PLB. Before the procedure, the patient did not have ascites and had a hemoglobin level of 9.2 g/dL. Twelve hours after the biopsy, she developed mild hemoperitoneum with hemoglobin drop of 1.6 g/dL. She developed hypotension (80/50 mm of Hg) and tachycardia (up to 120/minute). She was managed conservatively with fluid...
Liver biopsy is used for both diagnosis and prognosis of diffuse liver diseases. PLB is routinely used and preferred because of its simplicity and safety; however, TJLB is used in presence of coagulopathy and ascites. In this study, no statistically significant difference in major or minor complication rate was found between TJLB and PLB. In fact, there was higher proportion of both major and minor complication in PLB group despite the use of tract embolization with gelfoam plugging. Previously, major complications like subcapsular hematoma or intraperitoneal hemorrhage was reported more with TJLB. TJLB in this study was performed under real-time ultrasound guidance. No major complication related to Glisson capsule injury was encountered on postbiopsy venogram or in follow-up. Our finding is similar to finding of Sawyer et al who compared plugged percutaneous liver biopsy with TJLB and opined that complication rate is higher in PLB. As much as 3.5% patients of PLB required transfusion after biopsy. No major complication was observed in the TJLB group. In another series of liver biopsy by Atar et al, no major complications were reported in PLB with tract embolization and TJLB. Tract embolization was initially used in coagulopathies and found to be safer. Its usefulness in PLB is also observed in previous studies.

TJLB was historically considered inferior due to small-sized and fragmented specimens. In this study, no significant difference is obtained in the number of CPT obtained through TJLB or PLB (mean CPT with standard deviation in TJLB and PLB are 10.9 ± 2.7 and 11.6 ± 2.5). Hong Chiang et al found a poor yield of specimens (51%) in TJLB in comparison to that of PLB (95%). One of the possible reasons can be older age of patients. In previous studies, Atar et al and Guido et al showed no statistically significant difference in TJLB and PLB, which is in accordance with our findings.

In one case of current study, fragmented sample was obtained from the right hepatic vein approach. But, on accessing middle hepatic vein, good cores were obtained. Routine use of ultrasound guidance and accessing alternate hepatic veins may improve the yield of tissue in presence of gross right lobe atrophy.

Inadequate samples were obtained in 3 out of 97 patients in the PLB group. In one of them, CT showed diffusely scattered calcific nodules in the liver, and initial biopsy revealed no portal tracts. The biopsy was difficult, and needle insertion into liver parenchyma was met with rock-hard resistance. Repeat biopsy (PLB) revealed granuloma. Other patient had elevated liver transaminases and hyperbilirubinemia after liver transplant, and biopsy was done to look for acute rejection. Two passes were taken, which revealed fragmented samples with inadequate number of portal tracts. The third patient had diffuse nonspecific alteration of hepatic perfusion pattern in cross-sectional imaging, and only three portal tracts were encountered in 4 out of 26 cases (15.3%) of TJLB and 12 out of 97 cases (12.3%) of PLB. There was no statistically significant difference in the rate of minor complications between the two groups (p value = 0.744) (Table 4).

Most common biopsy findings were cirrhosis, cholestasis, steatosis and autoimmune hepatitis. Biopsy findings of TJLB and PLB are listed in Table 5.

### Discussion

Liver biopsy is used for both diagnosis and prognosis of diffuse liver diseases. PLB is routinely used and preferred because of

| Table 1: Demographic and laboratory details of patients of TJLB and PLB |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------|
| Age (in years) (mean ± SD)       | TJLB (n = 26)   | PLB (n = 97)     | p-Value |
| Female (percentage)              | 45.5 ± 14.9     | 46.5 ± 12.9      | 0.16  |
| Platelet count (x10^12/mm^3) (Mean ± SD) | 17 [65.3] | 46 (47.4)      | 0.11  |
| INR (mean ± SD)                  | 67.1 ± 30.9     | 146.3 ± 70.8     | 0.01  |
|                                  | 1.85 ± 0.38     | 1.22 ± 0.15      | <0.001|

Abbreviations: INR, international normalized ratio; PLB, percutaneous liver biopsy; SD, standard deviation; TJLB, transjugular liver biopsy.

| Table 2: Indications of liver biopsy (percentage are in parentheses) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Confirmation of cirrhosis       | TJLB (n = 26)   | PLB (n = 97)     |
| Evaluation of suspected fatty liver disease and undiagnosed hyperbilirubinemia | 13 (50) | 57 (58.8) |
| Raised marker of autoimmune hepatitis | 9 (34.6) | 3 (3.1) |
| Diffuse alteration of hepatic perfusion on cross-sectional imaging | 1 (3.8) | 2 (2.1) |

Abbreviations: PLB, percutaneous liver biopsy; TJLB, transjugular liver biopsy.
tracts were obtained through PLB with no confirmed diagnosis on histopathology. It proved to be intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma on subsequent immunohistochemistry.

In the current study, moderate but statistically significant negative correlation is found between HVPG and number of CPT. Yield of CPT is found to be significantly less in cases with raised portal pressure. Meng et al. showed that in patients of raised HVPG, small/fragmented samples were more commonly obtained. Higher portal pressure indicates higher fibrosis content of liver, which is postulated as the reason for poor yield.

Limitations of our study include its retrospective nature and lack of documentation of tissue core length. The study was underpowered with relatively fewer patients in the TJLB group.

**Conclusion**

Yield of tissue and complication rates are comparable in TJLB and PLB groups, with less complication reported in TJLB. Yield of tissue in TJLB has moderate but statistically significant negative correlation with HVPG, that is, poor yield of tissue in presence of higher portal pressure.
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