Effect of Plate Type and Working Length on a Synthetic Compressed Juxta-Articular Fracture Model
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Introduction

Fractures with a very short proximal or distal juxta-articular fragment create particular biomechanical challenges, complicating implant selection and placement. In veterinary orthopaedics, distal radial fractures in toy and miniature breeds are a common example of this, with the majority of these fractures reported to involve the distal third of the radius, resulting in a very short distal fragment.1,2 Plate fixation has been reported to have good overall success in these cases.3 A recent clinical report described use of either a 2.0 mm notched head locking compression plate T-plate (NHTP) (LCP, Synthes GmbH, Oberdorf, Switzerland) or a 2.0 mm straight locking compression plate (LCP) (Synthes GmbH, Oberdorf, Switzerland) for distal antebrachial fractures in toy and miniature breed dogs weighing less than 6 kg.1 Differences in design include differing plate geometry and dimensions,

Abstract

Objective This investigation compared the biomechanical properties of a 2.0 mm locking compression notched head T-plate (NHTP) and 2.0 mm straight locking compression plate (LCP), in a compressed, short, juxta-articular fragment fracture model.

Methods Two different screw configurations were compared for the NHTP and LCP, modelling short (configuration 1) and long working length (configuration 2). Constructs were tested in compression, perpendicular and tension four-point bending and torsion. Plate surface strain was measured at 12 regions of interest using three-dimensional digital image correlation. Stiffness and strain were compared.

Results The LCP was stiffer than the NHTP in all three planes of bending (p < 0.05). The NHTP was stiffer than the LCP in torsion (p < 0.05). The NHTP had greater strain than the LCP during compression bending and torsion (p < 0.0005). The short working length NHTP was stiffer in all three planes of bending and in torsion (p < 0.05) than the longer working length. The short working length LCP was stiffer in compression bending and in torsion (p < 0.05) than the longer working length. The long working length showed greater strain than the short working length at multiple regions of interest.

Conclusion In this experimental model of a compressed transverse fracture with a juxta-articular 9 mm fragment, a 2.0 mm LCP with two hybrid screws in the short fragment was stiffer than a 2.0 mm NHTP with three locking screws in the short fragment in three planes of bending but not torsion. Extending the working length of each construct reduced construct stiffness and increased plate strain.
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the number, position and type of screws that can be placed in a very short juxta-articular fragment. Given the design differences between the NHTP and equivalent-length straight LCP, it is expected they will have different biomechanical properties. There is no information on the comparable biomechanical performance of these two plates.

The 2 mm NHTP allows placement of locking screws in three stacked holes in a triangular configuration within the plate head. These three screws can be placed in a 9 mm long bone fragment. The 2 mm LCP permits placement of one locking screw and one cortical screw in the equivalent length fragment. Of the 20 cases reported by Gibert and colleagues, 10 cases were stabilized with only two screws placed in the distal fragment. Two of those cases were stabilized with a straight LCP with only one locking screw and one cortical screw placed. Hybrid fixation was performed in all dogs in one or both fragments. Hybrid fixation is a term to describe the combination of both locking and cortical screws within a single plate construct.

Plate working length is one of the factors that affects construct stability. Working length is affected by size of the fracture gap, plate stand-off distance, distance between the innermost screws and load direction. The effect of working length on construct stability and plate strain/stress is still the subject of some controversy. A finite element analysis study demonstrated that a longer working length increased plate stress in a 6 mm fracture gap model, though paradoxically reduced plate stress in a 1 mm fracture gap model, during axial compression producing tension bending. Much of the information on the effect of working length on plate strain/stress is based on fracture gap models, with no information from compressed fracture models.

The first objective of this study was to compare the biomechanical properties of a 2.0 mm NHTP construct to a 2.0 mm straight LCP construct, applied to a synthetic compressed transverse fracture model, with a very short 9 mm fragment, using screw configurations that simulated clinical application. We hypothesized that the NHTP construct would be less stiff and have greater plate strain in bending; however, it would be stiffer and have less strain in torsion testing than the LCP construct.

The second objective was to compare the biomechanical properties of a second screw configuration that created a longer working length than the original screw configuration. We hypothesized that for each plate type, the screw configuration with the longer working length would create a construct that was less stiff and have greater plate strain in bending and torsion testing, compared with the original screw configuration with the shorter working length.

### Materials and Methods

A synthetic, compressed, transverse fracture model was created using two Delrin (Delrin Acetal Polymer: Polytech Plastics Australasia, Jandakot, WA, Australia) tubes 100 mm in length, with a 12.5 mm outer diameter and a 7.4 mm inner diameter. The distal fragment modelled was 9 mm in length, which is within the reported range in a previous clinical series of distal radial fractures in dogs < 6 kg.

The dimensions of the shaft of the NHTP are less than the LCP. The shaft of the NHTP has a width of 5 mm and thickness of 1.3 mm, whereas the LCP has a width of 5.5 mm and thickness of 1.5 mm. The length of the 2 mm NHTP is comparable to the 8-hole 2 mm LCP, 54 mm and 55 mm respectively. The NHTP allows compression of a fracture from any of the shaft combination holes, all directing compression towards the head of the plate. The LCP allows compression from any of the combination holes; however, each plate half compresses towards the middle of the plate.

#### Configuration 1

The NHTP and LCP were each applied as compression plates with no stand-off distance using bicortical screws positioned as end of fragment screws. The insertion torque applied to each screw was standardized using a 0.4 Nm torque limiter (Torque Limiter, 0.4 Nm, with AO/ASIF Quick Coupling: Synthes GmbH), as recommended for 2.0 mm screws. For all constructs, the method of implant placement was performed following Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Osteosynthesefragen (AO Foundation, Davos, Switzerland) recommendations. For the NHTP, three locking screws (Self-tapping Locking Screw Star Drive, Synthes GmbH) were placed in the plate head in the short fragment. The short fragment was arbitrarily defined as the distal fragment. The fracture was compressed with a single cortical screw (Self-tapping Cortical Screw Star Drive: Synthes GmbH), placed as a compression screw, in the shaft hole immediately proximal to the fracture, plate hole six. Plate holes were numbered sequentially from proximal to distal in both plates. Two additional locking screws (Self-tapping Locking Screw Star Drive, Synthes GmbH) were subsequently placed in the proximal segment in plate holes one and five (Fig. 1A).

For the LCP, a locking screw (Self-tapping Locking Screw Star Drive, Synthes GmbH, Oberdorf, Switzerland) was placed immediately proximal to the fracture in hole six followed by a single cortical screw (Self-tapping Cortex Screw Star Drive: Synthes GmbH) placed as a compression screw in plate hole seven in the distal fragment. Further locked screws were placed in holes one, five and eight achieving three locking screws in the proximal fragment and one cortical screw and one locking screw in the distal fragment (Fig. 1A).

#### Configuration 2: Longer Working Length

The longer working length constructs were created by leaving screws out immediately adjacent to the fracture in the proximal fragment. For both plates, two locking screws (Self-tapping Locking Screw Star Drive, Synthes GmbH) were placed in the first and second most proximal shaft holes. A compression cortical screw was placed in the third most proximal shaft hole in the NHTP. A third locking screw was placed in the third most proximal shaft hole in the LCP (Fig. 1B). Screw placement in the short distal fragment was identical to screw configuration 1. As with screw configuration 1, both plates were applied in compression, using...
bicortical screws with no plate stand-off distance. The sequence and method of screw placement followed AO (AO Foundation) recommendations.19

A sample size of seven replicates of each plate was used, sufficient to detect an effect size as small as 1.7 which is smaller than expected based on previous work using Delrin models (power = 0.8, α = 0.05, variance = 10%).7

Non-destructive four-point quasi-static bending was conducted on a material testing machine (Instron 5848 Micro-Tester, Norwood, Massachusetts, United States) with a 100 N load cell, applying a constant bending moment of 0.6 Nm. The support rollers had a 200 mm gap and the load rollers had a 140 mm gap (Fig. 2). Each construct was pre-loaded (0.4 N), then ramp loaded for three cycles under displacement control at 10 mm/min to a force of 40 N, to produce a peak bending moment of 0.6 Nm in all tested planes. This bending moment was within the elastic limit of the constructs.

Each construct was sequentially tested in four-point bending about three different planes. Testing was first performed simulating compression bending, with load applied parallel to the screw axis along the compression surface of the construct (Fig. 2A). The construct was then rotated 90 degrees and testing repeated, simulating perpendicular bending, with load applied perpendicular to the screw axis (Fig. 2B). The construct was again sequentially rotated 90 degrees and testing repeated, to simulate tension bending, with load applied parallel to the screw axis (Fig. 2C).

For testing in non-destructive torsion, the proximal end of the construct was restrained in a custom-made jig allowing free rotation. Axial load was applied to a jig screw using a material testing machine (Instron 5567: Instron, Canton, Massachusetts, United States) to create torque, resulting in a rotational displacement of ~5.6 degrees/s, to produce a peak vertical displacement of 5 mm (11.3 degrees torsion reached).

Fig. 1 (A) Configuration 1 constructs (short working length) for the notched head T-plate (NHTP) and straight locking compression plate (LCP). The hollow black circle indicates a locking screw. The solid black circle indicates a cortical screw in compression. (B) Configuration 2 (long working length) constructs.
Measurement of Stiffness
All testing load and actuator displacement measurements were recorded. Bending and torsional stiffness was determined from the slope of the linear elastic portion of the load displacement curve.

Measurement of Strain
Three-dimensional digital image correlation was used to measure plate surface strain during compression bending and torsion testing only. Each construct was sprayed with a speckle pattern (uniform base white followed by a black speckle) prior to testing. The high definition recordings were captured with VicSnap software (VicSnap, Correlated Solutions, North Carolina, United States). Strain was measured for 12 regions of interest (ROI) along the plate surface using Vic-3D software (VIC-3D, Correlated Solutions, North Carolina, United States) (Fig. 3). The ROI were numbered sequentially, representative of analogous regions for both plates. The regions along the axial solid plate section were marked by odd numbers and the abaxial partial plate

---

Fig. 3  Region of interest from where strain was measured for both plate types using three-dimensional digital image correlation. LCP, locking compression plate; NHTP, notched head T-plate.

Fig. 2  Four-point bending was conducted on a material testing machine, applying a constant bending moment along the constructs about three different planes. (A) Compression bending. (B) Perpendicular bending. (C) Tension bending.
sections, by even numbers. The mean von Mises strain was calculated from the linear line of best fit of the strain load graph from the third cycle, for each sample tested.

**Statistical Analysis**

The stiffness for each four-point bending and torsion test was the response of interest and confirmed to be normally distributed using the Shapiro–Wilk test and visual inspection of Q–Q plots. The stiffness was summarized as mean (95% confidence interval, CI). The stiffness in each plane of bending for screw configuration 1 was compared between plate types using a t-test. The stiffness in each plane of bending was compared between screw configurations within each plate type using t-tests. Equality of variances was tested and either a pooled or Satterthwaite test of significance was used based on equality/non-equality variances, respectively, to avoid type 1 error. Significance was determined at \( p < 0.05 \).

The strain was verified as normally distributed using the Shapiro–Wilk test and visual inspection of Q–Q plots, and summarized as mean (95% CI). The strain for screw configuration 1 was compared between plate types using a two-way analysis of variance including the main effects of plate type and ROI, and their interaction. When there was significant interaction \( (p < 0.05) \), selected post-hoc, pairwise comparisons were made between plate types at each region against a Bonferroni-adjusted \( p < 0.005 \). The strain for configuration 1 and 2 was compared within each plate type using a two-way analysis of variance including the main effects of screw configuration and ROI, and their interaction. When there was significant interaction \( (p < 0.05) \), selected post-hoc pairwise comparisons were made between screw configuration for each ROI, within each plate type against a Bonferroni-adjusted \( p < 0.005 \). SAS v9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, United States) was used for analysis.

**Results**

**Comparison of Plate Type for Screw Configuration 1**

The LCP was significantly stiffer than the NHTP in all three planes of bending \( (p < 0.05) \). The NHTP was significantly stiffer than the LCP in torsion \( (p < 0.05) \).

**Table 1** Mean (95% confidence interval) stiffness in four-point compression, perpendicular and tension bending (N/mm) and torsion (Nm/degree) with comparison between the NHTP and LCP screw configuration 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plate</th>
<th>Compression bending</th>
<th>Perpendicular bending</th>
<th>Tension bending</th>
<th>Torsion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NHTP</td>
<td>36.0 (34.0–38.0)</td>
<td>63.7 (57.5–69.8)</td>
<td>50.17 (47.7–52.6)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.75–0.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCP</td>
<td>48.33 (47.5–49.2)</td>
<td>68.8 (65.0–68.5)</td>
<td>83.7 (79.7–87.6)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.65–0.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Value</td>
<td>≤0.0001</td>
<td>0.0128</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Mean (95% confidence interval) plate strain (mm/mm) at ROI during compression bending and torsion with comparison between the NHTP and LCP screw configuration 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bending</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NHTP</td>
<td>4.80 (4.21–5.40)</td>
<td>2.38 (2.03–2.73)</td>
<td>1.74 (1.46–2.03)</td>
<td>1.79 (1.43–2.15)</td>
<td>2.82 (2.67–2.98)</td>
<td>1.25 (1.18–1.31)</td>
<td>3.22 (2.63–3.82)</td>
<td>1.79 (1.43–2.15)</td>
<td>2.82 (2.67–2.98)</td>
<td>1.25 (1.18–1.31)</td>
<td>3.22 (2.63–3.82)</td>
<td>1.79 (1.43–2.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCP</td>
<td>3.57 (3.44–3.70)</td>
<td>2.82 (2.52–3.34)</td>
<td>1.45 (1.31–1.59)</td>
<td>2.82 (2.52–3.34)</td>
<td>1.45 (1.31–1.59)</td>
<td>2.82 (2.52–3.34)</td>
<td>1.45 (1.31–1.59)</td>
<td>2.82 (2.52–3.34)</td>
<td>1.45 (1.31–1.59)</td>
<td>2.82 (2.52–3.34)</td>
<td>1.45 (1.31–1.59)</td>
<td>2.82 (2.52–3.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance^a</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: LCP, locking compression plate; NHTP, notched head T-plate.

---

^a Bonferroni adjusted \( p < 0.005 \).
Table 3 Mean (95% confidence interval) stiffness in four-point compression, perpendicular and tension bending (N/mm) and torsion (Nm/degree) of NHTP and LCP with comparison between screw configuration 1 and 2 for each plate type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Compression bending</th>
<th>Perpendicular bending</th>
<th>Tension bending</th>
<th>Torsion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NHTP Configuration 1 (short working length)</td>
<td>36.0 (34.0–38.0)</td>
<td>63.7 (57.5–69.8)</td>
<td>50.17 (47.7–52.6)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.75–0.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHTP Configuration 2 (long working length)</td>
<td>16.47 (14.9–17.9)</td>
<td>53.24 (49.8–56.6)</td>
<td>39.4 (38.2–40.6)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.60–0.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Value</td>
<td>≤0.0001</td>
<td>≤0.0001</td>
<td>≤0.0001</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCP Configuration 1 (short working length)</td>
<td>48.33 (47.5–49.2)</td>
<td>66.8 (65.0–68.5)</td>
<td>83.7 (79.7–87.6)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.65–0.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCP Configuration 2 (long working length)</td>
<td>26.99 (25.9–28.0)</td>
<td>70.16 (67.7–72.6)</td>
<td>77.69 (73.0–82.3)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.51–0.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Value</td>
<td>≤0.0001</td>
<td>0.0640</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>≤0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: LCP, locking compression plate; NHTP, notched head T-plate.

The NHTP had significantly greater strain than the LCP during compression bending at five of twelve ROI (p ≤ 0.005, Table 2), with no difference at the remaining regions. The NHTP had significantly greater strain than the LCP during torsion testing at two of twelve ROI (p ≤ 0.005, Table 2), with no difference at the remaining regions.

Comparison of Screw Configuration 1 and 2: Notched Head T-plate
Configuration 1 was significantly stiffer in all three planes of bending and in torsion (p ≤ 0.05, Table 3).
Configuration 2 had significantly greater strain than configuration 1 during compression bending at all ROI (p < 0.005), except one region where there was no difference (Table 4).
Configuration 2 had significantly greater strain than configuration 1 in torsion at five ROI (p < 0.005), with no difference at the remaining seven regions (Table 5).

Comparison of Screw Configuration 1 and 2: Straight LCP
Configuration 1 was significantly stiffer in compression bending and in torsion (p ≤ 0.05, Table 3), with no difference in perpendicular, and tension bending.
Configuration 2 had significantly greater strain than configuration 1 during compression bending at six ROI (p < 0.005), with no difference at the remaining six regions (Table 4).
Configuration 2 had significantly greater strain than configuration 1 during torsion at four ROI (p < 0.005), with no difference at the remaining eight regions (Table 5).

Discussion
The results of this study confirmed our hypothesis that the NHTP construct would be less stiff than the LCP construct in bending. Our hypothesis that the NHTP would be stiffer in torsion was also confirmed. The greater stiffness in bending of the LCP plate was reflected in the plate strain with the LCP having lower strain than the NHTP. As hypothesized, the NHTP had significantly greater strain in bending than the LCP. Our hypothesis that the NHTP would have lower plate strain than the LCP under torsional loading was not supported. Despite the NHTP having greater stiffness under torsional load than the LCP, the NHTP had higher plate strain than the LCP.

The placement of three locking screw in the short fragment in the NHTP did not overcome the presumed lower stiffness of the smaller shaft of that plate, compared with two axially positioned screws in the LCP with larger shaft dimensions. Factors that can influence the bending resistance of a plate construct under identical load conditions are the presence of a fracture gap, the modulus of elasticity of the plate material, the cross-sectional dimensions of the plate, the plate stand-off distance, the number of screws per fragment, the working leverage of the screws in each fragment created by the distance between the end of fragment screws and the working length. Cross-sectional plate dimension is one of the key determinants of the resistance of a plate to bending loads, which is calculated as Area Moment of Inertia (AMI), and torsion loads, calculated as Polar Moment of Inertia (PMI). In our model, fracture compression was observed to be maintained in tension and perpendicular bending through not in compression bending or in torsion. Both the plates are 316L stainless steel and were applied with no stand-off from the bone and with identical working lengths. So, the relevant factors of influence in this model are the plate design/AMI and PMI, the number of screws per fracture fragment and the working leverage of the screws. The NHTP is a different shape with different dimension to the LCP. The LCP has a larger AMI and PMI than the NHTP and so would be expected to have greater resistance to bending, eccentric axial loading and torsion. We were particularly interested in the effect on construct stability of being able to place three locking screws in the head section of the NHTP in a very short juxta-articular segment compared with one locking screw and one cortical screw in the LCP and whether this would overcome the effect of different plate dimensions.
### Table 4

Mean (95% confidence interval) plate strain (mm/mm) at ROI during compression bending of the NHTP and LCP with comparison between screw configurations 1 and 2 (Configuration 1 and 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ROI 1</th>
<th>ROI 2</th>
<th>ROI 3</th>
<th>ROI 4</th>
<th>ROI 5</th>
<th>ROI 6</th>
<th>ROI 7</th>
<th>ROI 8</th>
<th>ROI 9</th>
<th>ROI 10</th>
<th>ROI 11</th>
<th>ROI 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NHTP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration 1 (short working length)</td>
<td>1.34 (1.27–1.47)</td>
<td>4.44 (4.1–4.77)</td>
<td>2.38 (2.03–2.73)</td>
<td>4.80 (4.21–5.40)</td>
<td>1.74 (1.46–2.03)</td>
<td>2.82 (2.67–2.98)</td>
<td>1.79 (1.43–2.15)</td>
<td>3.96 (3.27–4.66)</td>
<td>2.41 (2.03–2.80)</td>
<td>3.82 (3.19–4.45)</td>
<td>1.47 (1.26–1.68)</td>
<td>2.53 (2.01–3.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration 2 (long working length)</td>
<td>3.53 (2.53–4.53)</td>
<td>9.89 (8.89–10.89)</td>
<td>4.55 (3.79–5.21)</td>
<td>5.96 (5.892–10.34)</td>
<td>3.59 (2.79–4.41)</td>
<td>8.61 (7.3–9.89)</td>
<td>3.08 (2.53–3.60)</td>
<td>6.40 (5.68–7.12)</td>
<td>3.94 (3.34–4.53)</td>
<td>7.83 (6.83–8.83)</td>
<td>3.44 (2.82–4.05)</td>
<td>5.55 (4.88–6.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LCP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration 1 (short working length)</td>
<td>1.17 (1.11–1.24)</td>
<td>3.13 (2.52–3.74)</td>
<td>1.59 (1.48–1.70)</td>
<td>3.57 (3.44–3.70)</td>
<td>1.45 (1.31–1.59)</td>
<td>3.22 (2.53–3.82)</td>
<td>1.25 (1.18–1.31)</td>
<td>3.50 (3.20–3.81)</td>
<td>1.90 (1.83–1.98)</td>
<td>3.24 (2.77–3.72)</td>
<td>1.93 (1.78–2.07)</td>
<td>2.53 (2.29–2.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configuration 2 (long working length)</td>
<td>1.61 (1.53–1.68)</td>
<td>4.26 (3.52–5.01)</td>
<td>2.18 (1.99–2.38)</td>
<td>4.90 (4.58–5.23)</td>
<td>1.99 (1.78–2.20)</td>
<td>4.41 (3.59–5.22)</td>
<td>1.70 (1.63–1.78)</td>
<td>4.78 (4.43–5.14)</td>
<td>2.60 (2.55–2.66)</td>
<td>4.46 (3.74–5.18)</td>
<td>2.64 (2.41–2.88)</td>
<td>3.48 (3.07–3.89)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations: LCP, locking compression plate; NHTP, notched head T-plate; NS, not specified; ROI, regions of interest.

*a Bonferroni adjusted p ≤ 0.005.
Table 5 Mean (95% confidence interval) plate strain (mm/mm) at ROI during torsion of the NHTP and LCP with comparison between screw configurations 1 and 2

| ROI | NHTP | | | | | | LCP | | | | | |
|-----|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|     | Configuration 1 (short working length) |   |   |   |   |   |   | Configuration 1 (short working length) |   |   |   |   |   |
|     | Configuration 2 (long working length) |   |   |   |   |   |   | Configuration 2 (long working length) |   |   |   |   |   |
| Significance* | NS | NS | NS | * | * | * | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |

Abbreviations: LCP, locking compression plate; NHTP, notched head T-plate; NS, not specified; ROI, regions of interest.

*a Bonferroni adjusted p < 0.0005.

Effect of Plate Type and Working Length on a Synthetic Compressed Juxta-Articular Fracture Model

Bird et al.

Mean (95% confidence interval) plate strain (mm/mm) at ROI during torsion of the NHTP and LCP with comparison between screw configurations 1 and 2.
unlikely clinical scenario. Simple transverse fractures are typically managed with compression to achieve absolute stability. Furthermore, the transcortical bone contact modelled in that study would produce high interfragmentary strain, initiating bone resorption at the fracture gap.\textsuperscript{35–38} The consequent gap widening, necessary to reduce interfragmentary strain to levels where fibrous tissue could begin to form, could be expected to prolong fracture healing and promote further plate deformation. In such a situation, successful healing could only occur if fracture biology in that case was powerful enough to produce a healing time that was within the fatigue life and capacity of the implant.\textsuperscript{39,40}

**Conclusion**

In this experimental model of a compressed simple transverse fracture with a juxta-articular 9 mm distal fragment, a 2.0 mm LCP with two hybrid screws in the short fragment was significantly stiffer than a 2.0 mm NHTP with three locking screws in the short fragment in compression, tension and perpendicular bending but not torsion. Extending the working length of each construct by omitting locking screws adjacent to the fracture significantly reduced construct stiffness and increased plate strain.
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