Epidemiological Profiling and Trends of Primary Intracranial Tumors: A Hospital-Based Brain Tumor Registry from a Tertiary Care Center
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Background and Objectives Hospital-based cancer registry is an essential tool for augmentation of the standard of care, administration motive, and resource for population-based cancer registries. Here, we presented hospital-based brain tumor registry (HBBTR) to outline a comprehensive epidemiological data, both clinical and histopathological, as well as trends of central nervous system tumors. In addition, we compare this data with national brain tumor data as well as an international brain tumor registry.

Materials and Methods For the generation of this 7-year HBBTR data of all primary intracranial tumors operated, diagnosed, and registered at the Department of Pathology, Sawai ManSingh, between January 1, 2013 and December 31, 2019, was collected, analyzed, and compared with Tata Memorial Hospital, National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences, and Central Brain Tumor Registry of the United States.

Results A total of 3,526 patients were of primary intracranial tumors. Out of which, male patients were 1,982 (56.2%), while 1,544 (43.8%) were female patients. Maximum proportion of tumors was in fifth decade. Overall, pediatric and adult patients constituted of 15.5 and 84.5% of the cases, respectively. Among all primary intracranial tumors, meningiomas (20%) were most common followed by glioblastoma multiforme (18%) and least common were germ cell tumors (0.1%) followed by pineal tumors (0.3%). In pediatric cohort astrocytic tumors (30.1%) are most common followed by embryonal tumors (20.8%), while in adults meningiomas (23.1%) were most common followed by glioblastomas (20.3%). Our registry showed similar trends of tumors with national data as compared with international data in median age of presentation.

Conclusion This HBBTRs provide prevalence of primary intracranial tumors at a tertiary care center and could be a part of population-based registry.
Introduction

Cancer is a global disease and one of the preeminent causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide, with ~14 million new cases in 2012. Cancer was accountable for 8.8 million deaths in 2015; being the second most common cause of death globally after cardiovascular disease. Because of lack of infrastructure, low health budget, late-stage presentation, inaccessible diagnosis, and treatment, it causes ~70% of deaths in low- and middle-income countries.

Cancer registry studies have pivotal role to find out epidemiology and patterns of cancer as well as precise measurement of the incidence trends. So, in future they will be helpful for designing infrastructure, upgrading diagnostic procedures and treatment facilities, and executing necessary screening programs for a wide variety of cancers. Population-based cancer registries (PBCRs) gather data on cancer occurrence in demarcated populations, clearly highlighting public health challenges. In resource-limited setting, they uplift the standard for enhanced and unbiased cancer care by delivering information for epidemiological studies and recognizing intervention preferences. However, hospital-based cancer registries (HBCRs) administer information to hospital management and policy makers about patients treatment needs by documentation of cases in specific hospitals for best care. These registries built a fundamental part of all PBCRs, helped in assessing cancer patient survival but do not supply cancer incidence rate statistics.

Tumors of central nervous system (CNS) represent the 17th most common cancer worldwide and account for 1 to 2% of all tumors. Although CNS tumors are not frequent but the effect on healthcare systems is out of proportion with incidence due to the high rate of mortality and morbidity leading to relatively poor survival rate.

For making large-scale contribution nationally, generation of hospital-based brain tumor registry (HBBTR) data for epidemiology and trends of intracranial tumors is important in Indian population, similar to the ones done internationally. For comparison of data of CNS tumors with previous and future studies, as well as world literature, HBBTRs would provide us necessary clinical benchmark. Such data would be invaluable not only in highlighting healthcare and policy needs of the nation but also in directing academic research into brain tumors.

In India, availability of robust statistical data for use in national cancer registries and epidemiology studies is limited as few studies on brain tumors are available in India coming from major institutes such as Tata Memorial Hospital (TMH), Mumbai, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Delhi, and National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences (NIMHANS), Bangalore, which could aid in the calculation of accurate incidence rates for various brain tumors in our country. In addition, some epidemiological studies in India have focused solely on the pediatric population.

Aims and Objectives

The aims of this study are (1) to outline a comprehensive epidemiological data, both clinical and histopathological, as well as trends of CNS tumors (according to the World Health Organization [WHO] 2016 classification) at the Sawai ManSingh (SMS) medical college and attached hospitals, Jaipur, India; (2) to provide significant data for designing and administering specialty healthcare services in the planning of disease elimination and control projects, in analytic activities and for brain tumor clinicians. In addition, we compare this data with data from TMH Mumbai, (NIMHANS), Bangalore, as well as an international brain tumor registry—the Central Brain Tumor Registry of the United States (CBTRUS).

Materials and Methods

For the generation of this 7-year HBBTR data of all primary intracranial tumors operated, diagnosed and registered at the Department of pathology, SMS, between January 1, 2013 and December 31, 2019 was collected. Information about patient’s age, sex, histological diagnosis, and tumor classification were entered into our database and did not involve any patient’s personal information or any implication on the management protocol. A total of 4,718 patient details were collected and evaluated. All tumors were assorted based on the WHO 2016 classification. All primary intracranial neoplasms and tumors of the pituitary gland (though not codified under WHO 2016) were also included in this registry, similar to CBTRUS.

Exclusion criteria were considered as spinal tumors, secondary tumors of the CNS (metastases), cases with incomplete clinical data, inconclusive pathology or differential diagnosis, bony tumors and benign nonmalignant cystic lesions (arachnoid cysts, colloid cysts, epidermoid cysts, etc.) and duplicate records for the recurrent disease of the same patient; in that case, data from the first surgery were recorded if within the inclusion criteria. After excluding above, 3,526 cases of primary intracranial tumors were included in registry with complete case details. To remove errors in registration, documents of all registered patients were double-checked. According to CBTRUS data, cases were categorized as pediatric (0–18 years) and adult (>18 years) group. However, we have very limited resources and could not expect all the standard diagnostic procedures, including molecular and cytogenetic studies in our center; so, diagnosis was reclassified as tumors not otherwise specified.

Statistical Analysis

Data was entered in Microsoft excel spreadsheet and analyzed using SPSS version for Windows version 21.0. Quantitative variables were presented as mean and standard deviation whereas qualitative variables were presented as frequencies and proportion and graphs were generated for data visualization.

Results

Total number of patients registered in the Neuro Oncology services between the years 2013 and 2019 was 4,718. Out of which 3,526 patients were of primary intracranial tumors...
accounting for 74.4%. The proportion of primary intracranial tumors to all cases diagnosed at the Department of Pathology in SMS remained relatively consistent between 69 and 79% over this 7-year period (►Fig. 1).

**Demographics**

The allocation of patients in proportion to gender, age, and grades of primary intracranial tumors is noted.

**Distribution Based on Gender**

The present registry consists of 1,982 (56.2%) male patients and 1,544 (43.8%) female patients. So, males slightly outnumbered females with male:female (M:F) ratio by 1.28.

We also looked for the gender-wise distribution of all primary intracranial tumors for each histology. Most of the tumors showed male preponderance except meningiomas, choroid plexus tumors, and nerve sheath tumors. M:F ratio was as 2.85:1, 2:1, 1.99:1, 1.86:1, 1.85:1 for embryonal tumors, lymphomas, oligoastrocytomas, oligodendrogliomas, craniopharyngioma, glioblastomas, and ependymomas, respectively. Meanwhile, meningiomas, choroid plexus tumors, and nerve sheath tumors showed a ratio of 0.57:1, 0.89:1, and 0.87:1, respectively. Some tumors demonstrated a relatively balanced ratio (►Fig. 2).

**Distribution Based on Age and WHO Grade**

Upon age-wise distribution, it was noted that the highest fraction of intracranial tumors was diagnosed in the fifth decade, and 56.3% of all patients were adults between the ages of 30 and 60 years. About 7.3% proportion of the cohort had patients aged 10 years and below, whereas ~2.8% were aged 70 years and above (►Fig. 3). Overall, pediatric and adult patients accounted for 15.5 and 84.5% of the cases, respectively. We observed the mean age of all tumors. Meningioma and glioblastoma patients had a mean age of 45.81 ± 14.16 and 45.94 ± 15.39 years, respectively.

In the registry, out of 3,526 cases, 3,025 cases (85.9%) were classified according to WHO grades. Overall, 38.6% tumors were WHO Grade I, 18% as Grade II, 6.5% as Grade III, and 22.8% as Grade IV tumors. About 14.1% of the cases remained unclassified with respect to WHO grading.

These were pituitary adenoma, lymphoma, and germ cell tumors. For the 3,025 codified tumors, we looked at the age-wise distribution along with percentage of each tumor grade. After excluding pediatric population, the results showed that with increasing age there was increase in proportion of Grade IV tumors. Inversely, with the exception of the pediatric age group, percentage of Grade I, II, and Grade III tumors were noticed to be consistently decreasing with increasing age. In the pediatric age group (0–18 years); Grade I tumors were most common, followed by Grade IV, Grade II, and Grade III tumors (►Fig. 4).

**Histopathology**

In our cohort, we also analyzed the percentage distribution of all primary intracranial neoplasms based on tumor histology. Among all those, meningiomas were most common followed by glioblastoma multiforme and least common were germ cell tumors followed by pineal tumors (►Fig. 5).

Subsequently, we segregated all primary intracranial tumors in two basic broad categories, that is,
Epidemiological Profiling and Trends of Primary Intracranial Tumors

Meel et al.

The spectrum of all primary intracranial tumors based on their histopathological diagnosis in these two groups is discussed in the following section.

**Histological Distribution of Tumors in Pediatric Group**

The largest proportion of tumors in the pediatric population was noted to be astrocytoma (30.1%) followed by embryonal tumors (20.8%) and craniopharyngioma (11.2%). Together, these three tumor types constituted more than 60% of all pediatric tumors (►Fig. 6). Ependymomas, glioblastoma, oligodendroglioma, meningioma, nerve sheath tumors, pituitary adenoma other tumors (hemangioblastoma, solitary fibrous tumor/hemangiopericytoma and central neurocytoma), gliomegalyneural tumors, and chordoid plexus tumors constituted 8, 7.8, 4.2, 3.6, 3.3, 2.6, 2.6, 2.4, and 2% of all tumors, respectively. Within embryonal tumors, medulloblastomas were most common followed by atypical teratoid/rhabdoid tumors (►Fig. 6).

We also compared the prevalence of pediatric primary intracranial tumors reported from various centers in India (►Table 1).

**Histological Distribution of Tumors in Adult Group**

The study population included 2,978 histologically verified adult primary intracranial tumors. Overall, most common tumors were glial tumors contributing 44% of total tumors. However, individually meningiomas were most common...
and present in 23.1% (n = 689). This was followed by glioblastomas (20.3%), astrocytic tumors (15%), pituitary adenomas (13.9%), nerve sheath tumors (10.5%), oligodendrogial tumors (7.8%), and other tumors (2.8%). The less common tumors were ependymal tumors, craniopharyngioma, embryonal tumors, and oligoastrocytomas, accounting for <6%. The rarest tumors in this registry were lymphoma, chordoid plexus tumors, glioneuronal tumors, and pineal tumors with a combined frequency of <1.5%. In adult group, no germ cell tumor was detected (Fig. 7).

**Histological Distribution of Gliomas in the Adult versus Pediatric Group**

In our cohort, we also exclusively analyzed distribution of gliomas in both groups. In the pediatric group, pilocytic astrocytomas were the most common (47.8%) glial tumors, constituting about half of all gliomas in children. Later ependymomas and glioblastomas were almost equal in number, 15.9 and 15.6%, respectively. Meanwhile, in adults the common tumors were glioblastomas, followed by diffuse astrocytoma and oligodendroglioma with a frequency of 44.3%, 17.5%, and 10.8%, respectively (Fig. 8).

**Comparison with Western and Indian Literature**

In our HBBTR data, we compared primary intracranial tumors based on histology and clinical parameters such as median age at diagnosis with world literature, as well as Indian data (Table 2). We noted that meningiomas were most common followed by glioblastomas in all primary intracranial tumors except TMH data and percentage of frequency for meningioma was similar with NIMHANS data and for glioblastomas with CBTRUS data. For almost all histologies, the median age at diagnosis was similar between the TMH, NIMHANS, and SMS data, marginally varying by just 1 to 5 years for most lesions except pineal tumors where variation in median age was >5 years. Median age for meningioma, glioblastoma, pituitary adenoma, nerve sheath tumors, anaplastic astrocytomas, lymphomas, and craniopharyngioma was significantly different in CBTRUS data and Indian data; it was almost one or two decades later in CBTRUS data. Median age for oligodendrogliomas, ependymomas, and embryonal tumors was almost similar in Indian data and CBTRUS data.

**Follow-Up**

In current cohort, mean time for surgery (admission to surgery) was 5.8 ± 1.4 days for high-grade tumors and 12.4 ± 3.2 days for low-grade tumors. During postoperative period, most common complication was metabolic derangement (7.2%), followed by wound-related complication and surgical site infection constituting 2.4% and least common was coagulation abnormality. Average reporting time after sample received in pathology department was 6.2 ± 1.1 days. In 6 months of postoperative period, a significant proportion (1,324 cases—35%) was lost to follow-up. Out of 648 patients of glioblastoma, 126 patients lost to follow-up and in remaining 522 cases 186 patients died during 6 months of follow-up. During this 6-month period; 18 cases (11.7%) of medulloblastoma, 3 cases of lymphoma, single case of ependymomas, and meningioma each succumbed due to disease.

**Discussion**

The burden of cancer in India will nearly double in the next 20 years, in relation to a project done by International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), GLOBOCAN. The principal elements of cancer control are prevention, early perception, identification and administration of therapy, and supportive care. So, key elements of a cancer control program are cancer registries as it includes systemic assembly, storage, evaluation, interpretation, and delineation of cancer-related statics.


### Table 2: Overall frequency and median age at presentation for various histological types and comparison with national and international data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency in %</td>
<td>Median age (y)</td>
<td>Frequency in %</td>
<td>Median age (y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meningioma</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>46.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastoma multiforme, NOS</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pituitary adenoma</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve sheath tumors</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse astrocytoma, NOS</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilocytic astrocytoma</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligodendrogloma, NOS</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embryonal tumors</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craniopharyngioma</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytoma, NOS</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>38.50</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ependymal tumors</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemangioblastoma</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solitary fibrous tumors/ Hemangiopericytoma</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>42.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligoastrocytoma</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central neurocytoma</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioneuronal tumors</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choroid plexus tumors</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pineal tumors</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique astrocytic variants</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germ cell tumors</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CBTRUS, Central Brain Tumor Registry of the United States; ICT, intracranial tumors; NIMHANS, National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences; NA, not available; NOS, not otherwise specified; PGIMER, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research; SMS, Sawai Mansingh; TMH, Tata Memorial Hospital.

PBCRs and HBCRs are the two main types of cancer registry. PBCRs that are used for epidemiological studies and public health surveillance and whether HBCRs are important resource for planning and monitoring of cancer prohibition scheme as well as useful for the analysis and comparison of tumor occurrence with other countries lay ground for evidence-based brain tumor research. Hence, they play important role for the development of quality of care of cancer patients and addressing administrating challenges in hospitals. In the United States, the CBTRUS is an exclusive professional research institution that focuses entirely on producing quality statistical data on population regarding patterns and frequency of primary brain and CNS tumors. It is a high-quality, large-scale statistical data and a crucial resource to the long-term goal of cancer control, planning, and evaluation. Here, we compared our registry’s data with Indian as well as international data and noted both differences and similarities. In our HBBTR, on assessing the demographics, percentage of male and female patients was 56 and 44%, respectively, similar to NIMHANS data; whereas it was noted inversely in CBTRUS report as 42 and 58%, respectively, that could be due to bias in seeking medical care as females are less aware and less literate for the treatment in Indian scenario.

We analyzed for the patterns of gender distribution in tumors and noted that meningioma, nerve sheath tumors, and choroid plexus tumors showed a female predominance in our registry. Among these only meningiomas denoted similarity with NIMHANS and CBTRUS data with an M:F ratio of 2:1. Reason behind female predilection could be due to association of disease risk with the presence of sex hormone receptors in meningiomas. Among gliomas, glioblastoma is the most common glioma, showed a M:F ratio of 1.85:1, higher than that reported in CBTRUS report (1.57:1). Probable explanation for male predominance of glioblastomas is the occurrence of inactivation of the tumor suppressor
retinoblastoma gene in glioblastoma twice more common
in males in contrast to females demonstrated by study
done by Sun et al.17

When we looked for age-wise distribution of tumors, we
noted that in Indians there is trend of an early onset of brain
tumor presentation as compared with international popu-
lation, that is, median age of primary intracranial tumors
such as meningiomas, glioblastomas, pituitary adenomas,
nerve sheath tumors, craniopharyngiomas, and anaplastic
astrocytomas presentation is one or two decades earlier in
Indian registries (SMS, TMH, NIMHANS) as compared with
CBTRUS. Such trends of early onset of disease in Indians
are not exclusively limited to brain cancers but have also been
noted for colorectal cancer, renal cell carcinoma, and breast
cancer.18-20 The late occurrence of tumors in international
population in contrast to Indians could be due to the better
wellness programs in United States leading to longer average
life expectancy (both sexes combined) of 79 years as com-
pared with 66 years in India.21 In our cohort, median age at
diagnosis for pineal tumors was seen to be later than Indian
data but corroborating with US data. Only explanation of
this could be long duration of data collection in our HBBTR
(7 years) as compared with TMH (1 year) and NIMHANS
(5 years).22

Further in our cohort, tumors in pediatric population
(0–18 years) accounted for 15.5%, matching with Indian data
with minor differences. While in CBTRUS report (0–19 years),
they comprised of 6.6% of overall CNS tumors; this could be
attributed to the sizeable percentage of young people in our
country as compared with the US population.23 Astrocytic
tumors (including glioblastoma) constituted most common
form of all primary intracranial tumors (37.9%), followed by
embryonal tumors (20.8%) in pediatric cohort. Both of them
were equitable with results of study performed by Jain et al
with national average of 34.7 and 22.4%, respectively.11 In
adult population, meningiomas were most common (23.1%)
followed by glioblastomas (20.3%) similar to NIMHANS
cohort (23.2%) and (15.5%) with minor variation in frequency
percentage.

On analyzing individual glial tumors, pilocytic astrocy-
tomas were most common in pediatric cohort (47.8%) and
glioblastomas in adult group (44.3%), corroborating with
NIMHANS data.

Brain tumors are heterogeneous because they differ not
only in their histomorphological features but also have a
distinct biological background and disease course. Since the
global trends of brain cancer are increasing irrespective of
age, preventive and protective measures to reduce the risk
factors of this cancer are recommended to reduce the inci-
dence of the disease. But brain tumors have been considered
as an orphan disease due to small in number as compared
with other cancers as well as relatively limited sponsorship
to study these tumors. Meanwhile, the epidemiologic reports
on brain tumors are, in many areas, still ambiguous; there are
many optimistic areas to trace in forthcoming studies.24 The
etiology of CNS tumors is complex and has multifactorial risk
factors. The predominant brain tumors are meningiomas
and gliomas that vary significantly in histological type, age
at diagnosis, gender, race, and country. On comparing with
national and international population, the crucial elements
imparting the observed distinctions in data gathered from
geographically heterogenous populations are gene environ-
ment and gene–gene interactions. Consequently, such inter-
actions warrant well designed, large-scale, and statistically
accurate studies to elucidate the different risk factors.19

As our cohort included the histopathologically confirmed
brain tumor cases, it has generated some interesting insights
and corroborating patterns into the prevalence of primary
intracranial tumors. It also had certain limitations that need
to be considered. The data are based on a single tertiary cen-
ter’s record in North India and may not entirely exhibit the
profile of whole community. Being a tertiary government
institute, it also measures many mystifying elements, such as
bias in referral patterns as well as toward the low socio-
economic status.

Conclusion

HBCR is a major resource for administrative planning and
provides essential and effective information for tracking
trends of cancer care plans over time and patterns of care in
different institutions as well an important resource for PBCSs.
However, HBCRs are usually expensive and do not receive
enough support from IARC or other international communi-
ties. So, a single-institute HBBTR gives a quick look for the
diverse distribution of brain tumors, but is of limited use.
There should be a collaborative study in various hospitals
of country to make a nationally representative data regard-
ing incidence of brain tumors. Due to economic reasons in
developing countries, a widespread database is a dream yet
to attain. Hence, for better understanding of the epidemi-
ological profile, etiology, incidence, and mortality of brain
tumors, such registries could be useful for providing a base
line as well as robust data that eventually could be stored in
HBCRs and PBCSs.
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