Improveing Radiation Awareness and Feeling of Personal Security of Non-Radiological Medical Staff by Implementing a Traffic Light System in Computed Tomography

Zusammenfassung


Abstract

Purpose: Non-radiological medical professionals often need to remain in the scanning room during computed tomography (CT) examinations to supervise patients in critical condition. Independent of protective devices, their position significantly influences the radiation dose they receive. The purpose of this study was to assess if a traffic light system indicating areas of different radiation exposure improves non-radiological medical staff’s radiation awareness and feeling of personal security.

Material and Methods: Phantom measurements were performed to define areas of different dose rates and colored stickers were applied on the floor according to a traffic light system: green = lowest, orange = intermediate, and red = highest possible radiation exposure. Non-radiological medical professionals with different years of working experience evaluated the system using a structured questionnaire. Kruskal-Wallis and Spearman’s correlation test were applied for statistical analysis.

Results: Fifty-six subjects (30 physicians, 26 nursing staff) took part in this prospective study. Overall rating of the system was very good, and almost all professionals tried to stand in the green stickers during the scan. The system significantly increased radiation awareness and feeling of personal protection particularly in staff with ≤ 5 years of working experience (p < 0.05). The majority of non-radiological medical professionals stated that staying in the green stickers and patient care would be compatible. Knowledge of radiation protection was poor in all groups, especially among entry-level employees (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: A traffic light system in the CT scanning room indicating areas with lowest, intermediate, and highest possible radiation exposure is much appreciated. It increases radiation awareness, improves the sense of personal radiation...
Schlussfolgerung: Ein „Ampelsystem“ im CT-Untersuchungsraum, das Bereiche mit geringster, mittlerer und höchster Strahlenexposition anzeigt, wird sehr geschätzt. Es erhöht das Strahlenbewusstsein und verbessert das Gefühl der persönlichen Sicherheit und könnte die Bemühungen zur Verringerung der beruflich bedingten Strahlenbelastung unterstützen, auch wenn nach wie vor der beste Strahlenschutz ist, sich während des Scans ausserhalb des CT-Untersuchungsraums aufzuhalten.

Kernaussagen:
- Das „Ampelsystem“ steigert das Strahlenbewusstsein sowie das Gefühl der persönlichen Sicherheit von nicht-radiologischem medizinischem Personal.
- Kenntnisse im Strahlenschutz waren insgesamt sehr gering, wobei dies vor allem bei Berufsanfängern sehr deutlich war.

Key Points:
- A traffic light system indicating areas with different radiation exposure within the computed tomography scanner room is much appreciated by non-radiological medical staff.
- The traffic light system increases non-radiological medical staff’s radiation awareness and feeling of personal protection.
- Knowledge on radiation protection was poor in non-radiological medical staff, especially in those with few working experience.
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Introduction

Considerable technical advances and greater availability of modalities have led to a marked increase of medical imaging with the majority relying on ionizing radiation [1]. In particular the number of computed tomography (CT) scans performed significantly grew, currently accounting for more than half of the collective radiation dose received by patients [2, 3]. Nowadays CT constitutes an integral part of the diagnostic curriculum of most patients, including emergency and intensive care patients [4]. In particular, when imaging intensive care patients non-radiological medical staff often needs to remain in the CT room throughout the scan to keep patients in critical condition under surveillance [5]. As a consequence, non-radiological medical professionals are repeatedly exposed to ionizing radiation and therefore require appropriate protective tools and equipment [6, 7]. Based on national and international recommendations and regulations, these protective devices at least consist of an apron (or vest/skirt configuration) and a thyroid collar [6–9]. However, despite these safety precautions, some body parts are left vulnerable to radiation exposure [10] with scatter from the patient being the greatest source of radiation exposure [6, 7]. For this reason it is important that non-radiological medical staff stand in a low-scatter area and as far away from the X-ray beam as possible according to the inverse square law [6, 7]. To follow these recommendations adequately, all medical professionals should receive appropriate education and training in radiation safety [7, 11 – 13]. Nonetheless, often a lack of radiation awareness and ignorance of radiation protection is apparent particularly in non-radiological medical professionals, which is frequently being accompanied by a feeling of personal endangerment due to radiation exposure. To overcome this uncertainty and lower occupational radiation exposure of non-radiological medical staff in our hospital, we performed dose measurements at several points close to our emergency CT scanner in order to define positions within the room with different exposure for medical professionals. To tag the different areas, we placed colored stickers on the floor according to a traffic light system with a green sticker indicating lowest and a red sticker implying highest possible dose rate. We informed our non-radiological medical staff about the rationale of the system and asked them to judge the stickers to learn about the advantages and disadvantages of our traffic light system. The purpose of our study was to evaluate whether a traffic light system indicating areas of different radiation exposure raised radiation awareness of non-radiological medical professionals who need to remain in the CT room during the scan. Moreover, it was assessed if the system achieved an improvement of the staff’s feeling of personal safety.

Material and Methods

The study was conducted at one of our computed tomography (CT) scanners (LightSpeed VCT, GE Healthcare Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA), which is located close to the emergency room and is preferentially used for imaging of patients from the emergency room and from the intensive care unit.

Before we applied the colored stickers, our medical physicists performed dose measurements to identify radiation exposure at different locations close to the CT gantry. Measurements were accomplished with a CT torso dose phantom, a X-ray multimeter (Barracuda, Version 1.3.2, RTI Electronics, Mölndal, Sweden) and a dose detector (R100B, RTI Electronics, Mölndal, Sweden) with an active detector area of 10×10 mm. Devices were calibrated at regular intervals. The protocol applied was a CT scan of the chest acquired with a tube voltage of 120 kV and a tube current-exposure time product of 175 mAs. Measurements were performed at 13 different points within the CT scanner room. The points with the expected highest and lowest dose rate were chosen as points of reference to systematically cover the scanner room, and adequately reflect different dose rate within the scanner room. Each point was measured three times and average radiation exposure was calculated thereafter.

After the measurements colored stickers with a diameter of 30 cm were mounted on the floor according to a traffic light system, in which green implied lowest and red highest possible radiation exposure (orange = intermediate dose rate; median of dose rate measurements Fig. 1).

Non-radiological medical staff and questionnaire

Upon tagging the colored stickers to the floor the traffic light system was introduced in an interdisciplinary meeting to all non-radiological medical professionals (physicians and nursing staff), who potentially might accompany patients undergoing CT exam-
im CT-Untersuchungsraum eingeführt. Hierbei zeigten grüne Aufkleber die geringste, orange die mittlere und rot die höchste Strahlenbelastung von nicht-radiologischem medizinischen Personal an, das während der Untersuchung im Raum bei den Patienten blieben musste.

Fig. 1  A traffic light system was implemented in the CT scanning room to increase radiation awareness of non-radiological medical professionals and to improve their feeling of personal safety. The green sticker indicated lowest, the orange intermediate, and the red sticker highest possible radiation exposure of non-radiological medical staff, which was required to stay in the scanning room throughout the examination.

Abb. 1  Um das Strahlenbewusstsein und das Gefühl der persönlichen Sicherheit von nicht-radiologischem medizinischem Personal zu erhöhen, wurde ein „Ampelsystem“ im CT-Untersuchungsraum eingeführt. Hierbei zeigten grüne Aufkleber die geringste, orange die mittlere und rot die höchste Strahlenbelastung von nicht-radiologischem medizinischem Personal an, das während der Untersuchung im Raum bei den Patienten blieben musste.

Statistical Analysis
A radiologist with 10 years of experience in CT and with special interest in radiation protection conducted data analysis. Data was manually transferred to Microsoft Excel 2013 (Redmond, WA, USA) and statistical evaluations were accomplished using SPSS, version 22 (IBM; Amonk, NY, USA). Analysis was done for all medical professionals together, separately for physicians and nursing staff as well as based on the years of working experience (4 groups: 1 – 2 years, 3 – 5 years, 6 – 10 years, and > 10 years, respectively).

To look for statistical comparisons between the groups the Kruskal-Wallis test was performed. Moreover, Spearman’s correlation test was applied to find out whether years of working experience correlated with rating. For both, a p-value < 0.05 was deemed to represent statistical significance.

Results

Phantom Measurements
Based on the medical physicists’ measurements, highest radiation exposure (20 micro-Gray per second; μGy/s) was detected in the corner between the computed tomography (CT) bed and the scanner gantry as well as on the other side of the gantry close to the X-ray beam (14.1 μGy/s); these areas were marked with red stickers. Lowest possible radiation exposure was evidenced at the lateral side of the gantry (0.2 μGy/s), where green stickers were attached. Orange stickers, indicating intermediate radiation exposure (1.9 μGy/s), were mounted on the floor with their center lying 1.35 m away from the CT bed and 1.75 m distant from the gantry in the direction of the foot end of the bed.

Questionnaire
A total of 56 non-radiological medical professionals answered the questionnaire after they had stayed in the CT scanning room during the examination. The 56 CT scans were performed of the head (n = 23), of head, thorax, and abdomen (n = 15), of thorax and abdomen (n = 12), or of the abdomen alone (n = 6).

The study group of the non-radiological medical professionals consisted of 33 women and 23 men with a mean working experience of 7.5 years (range, 1 to 21 years; 1 – 2 years, n = 13; 3 – 5 years,
Thirty subjects were physicians (mean working experience, 6.9 years), either being resident (n = 17) or consultant (n = 13) in internal medicine (n = 17), anesthesiology (n = 8), or surgery (n = 5). In addition, 26 nurses with special expertise in emergency and/or intensive care medicine and with a mean working experience of 8.2 years took part in the study.

Reasons to remain in the scanning room were to keep patients in critical conditions under close surveillance and to be able to take appropriate actions immediately (42/56; 75%), to calm agitated patients (9/56; 16%), to prevent tubes or cables snagging on the CT bed (4/56; 7%), or to physically restrain patient’s body movement (1/56; 2%).

Physicians and nursing staff evaluated the traffic light system quite similar, demonstrated by nearly identical mean scores to question 1 to 4: (physicians/nursing staff) question 1, 4.8/4.8; question 2, 2.2/2.2; question 3, 4.8/4.9; and question 4, 4.6/4.6. Only as to question number 5 assessing knowledge on radiation protection slight differences were evident (2.8/2.6).

With a focus on non-radiological medical staff’s years of working experience analysis of the questionnaire revealed that all groups judged the system positively. This was underlined by a mean score of 4.8 (question number 1; Fig. 2) and the fact that 82% of study participants “completely agreed” that the stickers were reasonable (score 5). There was no significant difference between the groups with different years of working experience (p = 0.473). In contrast to that, answers to question number 2 were less uniform (Fig. 3), although again no statistically significant difference between the groups was visible (p = 0.875). The mean score was 2.2, and almost two-thirds of non-radiological medical staff stated that they “completely or partly disagreed that the green stickers are not compatible with patient care”. Five of 56 (9%) non-radiological medical professionals were of the opinion that adequate patient supervision is in part impeded by the position of the green stickers.

The groups showed high agreement regarding question number 3 (Fig. 4). Almost all (95%) non-radiological medical professionals at least partly approved the statement that they would strive to stand in the green stickers during the scan, resulting in a mean score of 4.8. Again, no considerable difference between the groups was substantiated (p = 0.697).

Physicians and nursing staff evaluated the traffic light system quite similar, demonstrated by nearly identical mean scores to question 1 to 4: (physicians/nursing staff) question 1, 4.8/4.8; question 2, 2.2/2.2; question 3, 4.8/4.9; and question 4, 4.6/4.6, respectively (for all, p = 0.05). Only as to question number 5 assessing knowledge on radiation protection slight differences were evident (2.8/2.6).

With a focus on non-radiological medical staff’s years of working experience analysis of the questionnaire revealed that all groups judged the system positively. This was underlined by a mean score of 4.8 (question number 1; Fig. 2) and the fact that 82% of study participants “completely agreed” that the stickers were reasonable (score 5). There was no significant difference between the groups with various working experience as well as within the same group, clear differences were obvious (Fig. 6), pointed out by a p-value of 0.046. In addition to that, a positive correlation was seen in answers of groups 1 and 3 (p = 0.573; p < 0.05), implying that more working experience might correlate with improved knowledge of radiation protection.
Discussion

With the growing number of computed tomography (CT) scans performed, not only the patients’ but also the medical staff’s radiation exposure increased [14–16]. Although only limited data on the occupational exposure of non-physician medical professionals is available, indicating that their exposure is less than among physicians [17], there is evidence that even small but repetitive exposure may lead to a significant increase in the risk of radiation-induced cancer [2, 3, 14, 18]. Because of that, occupational radiation protection for all medical professionals is of paramount importance [7]. In addition to tried and true principles of justification, optimization, and limitation, which reduces both patients’ and medical professionals’ dose [9], protective tools should always be worn if ionizing radiation is applied.

Fig. 3 Summary of responses to question number 2 investigating compatibility of the green colored stickers with patient care. As can be drawn from the figure evaluation was unequal not only between the groups with different years of working experience but also within the same group.

Abb. 3 Zusammenfassung der Antworten zu Frage Nummer 2, die die Vereinbarkeit der Position der grünen Aufkleber mit der Patientenversorgung untersuchte. Wie man der Abbildung entnehmen kann, herrschte sowohl zwischen als auch innerhalb der Gruppen mit unterschiedlicher Berufserfahrung eine deutliche Heterogenität der Antworten.

Fig. 4 The figure illustrates whether non-radiological medical professionals would try to stay in the colored stickers during the scan. Irrespective of the years of working experience most of the non-radiological medical staff strives to follow the traffic light system.

Abb. 4 Die Abbildung gibt die Bemühungen von nicht-radiologischem medizinischem Personal wieder, während der Untersuchung die farbigen Aufkleber zu beachten. Es zeigte sich, dass die meisten Befragten unabhängig von den Jahren der Berufserfahrung versuchten, dem „Ampelsystem“ zu folgen.
Irrespective of the protection equipment positioning within the room in relation to the X-ray beam and to the patient significantly impacts staff’s radiation exposure with scattered radiation emanating from the patient in all directions being the main determinant for occupational exposure [7]. However, several studies have documented a lack of knowledge and awareness of radiation exposure and possible protection among medical professionals regardless of their field of expertise [14, 19]. This is stressed by the observation of a recent study that 85% of a trauma team’s members did not wear a lead apron in the trauma bay [20].

As we encountered high uncertainty of our non-radiological medical staff concerning their best position in the CT room during a scan, we decided to tag areas with different radiation exposure adjacent to the CT gantry. We therefore performed phantom measurements and defined positions with the lowest (green), intermediate (orange), and the highest (red) possible dose rate and placed colored stickers on the floor corresponding to a traffic

Fig. 5 Summary of answers to the question if the traffic light system improved the non-radiological medical professionals’ feeling of personal security. At this, especially entrants felt safer since the colored stickers were mounted on the floor, while benefits were evaluated less remarkably by non-radiological medical staff with 6 – 10 years of working experience.  

Abb. 5 Zusammenstellung der Antworten auf die Frage, ob das „Ampelsystem“ bei dem nicht-radiologischen medizinischen Personal das Gefühl der persönlichen Sicherheit erhöhte. Insbesondere Berufsanfänger fühlten sich durch die Aufkleber sicherer, während Befragte mit 6 – 10 Jahren Berufserfahrung eine weniger deutliche Verbesserung angaben.

Fig. 6 Assessment of knowledge on radiation safety is depicted in the figure. As can be seen, overall knowledge of radiation exposure was poor with significant differences between groups. In particular, non-radiological medical staff with little working experience previously misjudged their radiation exposure within the scanning room.  

Abb. 6 Die Abbildung zeigt, dass das Strahlenschutzwissen der Befragten insgesamt gering war, wobei sich deutliche Unterschiede zwischen den Gruppen fanden. Insbesondere Berufsanfänger schätzten die eigene Strahlenexposition im Untersuchungsraum falsch ein.
light system. Similar to Mori et al. [5] who investigated qualitative and quantitative factors related to radiation exposure to radiologists, highest dose rate was evidenced in the corner between the CT bed and the CT scanner gantry and on the opposite side of the gantry, while lowest radiation exposure was measured at the lateral part of the gantry. The purpose of the orange stickers was to remind the non-radiological medical staff of the inverse square law that already one or two steps removed from the X-ray beam and the gantry significantly reduces their radiation exposure. Without any doubt, the best radiation protection always is to stay outside the CT room during the scan. We therefore wanted to hear about non-radiological medical professionals’ rationale to remain in the scanning room, which revealed similar reasons as described in literature. However, in our study predominantly consisting of emergency and intensive care patients, supervision and surveillance of patients was the most frequent reason, while others specified monitoring of contrast media extravasation as main rationale [5].

In medical professionals, there is a tendency to underestimate radiation exposure and potential harm from imaging tests [14]. Apparently, our colored stickers on the floor improved non-radiological medical staff’s radiation awareness as indicated by answers to question number 1 and 3, showing that the traffic light system was highly appreciated and motivated non-radiological medical professionals to stay in the green stickers during the scan. However, about one third of the non-radiological medical staff mentioned that the position of the green stickers might be prejudicial to patient care because patient’s face cannot be directly observed from this position, which is regarded the major disadvantage of the stickers. Nevertheless, most of the non-radiological medical professionals agreed that they nevertheless would try to follow the stickers.

Many studies pointed out that knowledge on radiation exposure and protection is clearly lacking in medical professionals [7, 14, 21–23], particularly among medical staff involved in X-ray imaging procedures outside the radiology department [7]. This finding is supported by Zhou et al. [19] and Arslanoglu et al. [24], who detected that the majority of medical professionals were not able to state the radiation dose of various radiological imaging procedures. In agreement with this, state of knowledge on radiation protection was poor in both our physicians and nursing staff with only a slight lead of the physicians, although teaching of radiation protection is usually more elaborated in medical school [22]. Our study was the first to demonstrate that in particular entrants misjudged areas of lowest and highest radiation exposure when compared to non-radiological medical staff with more working experience. However, even in those, who have been accompanying patients for several years, distinct unawareness regarding radiation dose existed. Consequently, our traffic light stickers improved the non-radiological medical professionals’ sense of personal security and reduced their uncertainty. Irrespective of this, our data underpins the necessity to improve medical professionals’ training and education in radiation exposure and protection as already mentioned by others [21–23, 25]. At this, recommendations serve to provide more robust education in medical physics and radiation safety in medical school and during residency as well as to introduce regular, mandatory in-service training for technologists and physicians on radiation safety [21, 22]. At the same time, such trainings should aim to overcome the in-compliance and negligence towards radiation protection present among some professionals [1].

Our study has some limitations that need to be addressed: (1) it is a single-center study with a rather small sample size in total and particularly within the different groups, which might confine representativeness. (2) Results are based on non-radiological medical professionals’ subjective evaluation and therefore personal habits might have influenced answers. (3) Our measurements were performed with a phantom and a single CT protocol, but both a patient’s body weight and the X-ray dose to the patient significantly impact level of scatter [7], which are not considered in our study set-up. However, although dose values would change with different set-ups the relation of the green, orange, and red stickers would stay the same.

In conclusion, our study demonstrated that a traffic light system indicating lowest, intermediate, and highest possible radiation exposure in the CT room was highly appreciated by non-radiological medical professionals. The system increased radiation awareness, improved feeling of personal safety, and reduced uncertainty, particularly of medical staff with little working experience. Given clear knowledge gaps concerning radiation protection such a system can be a reasonable component of the endeavor to reduce occupational radiation exposure, although without any doubt, the best radiation protection is to stay outside the CT room during the scan. Therefore, the first step in personal radiation protection should be to scrutinize whether patient supervision requires remaining inside the CT room.

Clinical relevance:
- Non-radiological medical staff needed to accompany a patient throughout a CT scan, is often unsure where to stand best to receive lowest possible radiation dose.
- A traffic light system helps to improve feeling of personal security by indicating areas with different radiation exposure.
- Such a traffic light system is much appreciated and increases radiation awareness.
- Especially in entry-level employees, knowledge of radiation protection is poor.
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