Abstract

Introduction: Several studies have found anesthetic agents including propofol in ovarian follicular fluid. However, little is known about the effect of anesthetic agents on ovarian function. We aimed to investigate whether there were differences in the postoperative levels of sex hormones when propofol was used as the anesthetic agent.

Methods: A retrospective review was done of 80 patients who underwent ovarian surgery, with 72 infertile women serving as controls. Patients were included in the study if their serum estradiol (E2) and follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) levels were measured during their first postoperative menstrual cycle.

Results: Patients were grouped according to the use or non-use of propofol as follows: propofol group (n = 39) and non-propofol group (n = 41). The control group did not undergo surgery. Postoperative E2 levels did not differ between the three groups, but FSH levels were significantly higher in the patients who had undergone surgery compared to controls (p < 0.05). Post-hoc analysis of E2 and FSH levels in the propofol and non-propofol groups did not show any significant differences.

Conclusions: The use of propofol did not result in any differences compared to other anesthetic agents in terms of postoperative sex hormone levels after gynecologic surgery. The type of anesthetic agent does not seem to affect the postoperative levels of female sex hormones.

Zusammenfassung


Methoden: Die Daten von 80 Patientinnen, die sich einem chirurgischen Eingriff am Eierstock unterzogen hatten, wurden retrospektiv untersucht. 72 unfruchtbare Frauen dienten als Kontrollgruppe. Einschlusskriterium für die Studie war die postoperative Messung des Östradiolspiegels (E2) und des folliclestimulierenden Hormonspiegels im 1. Zyklus nach dem operativen Eingriff.


Introduction

Women of reproductive age and their gynecologists have voiced concerns about the postoperative levels of female sex hormones after ovarian surgery. It has been suggested that supra- or sub-physiological hormone levels may be due to a compromised blood supply postoperatively or may even result from the use of a specific type of anesthetic agent. Assuming that there are no significant differences in inter- or intra-surgeon surgical skills, the question is whether specific anesthetic agents affect postoperative hormone levels.

General anesthesia is an indispensable part of surgery; it produces amnesia and immobility despite noxious stimulation [1]. Although general anesthesia is considered safe and effective, the different characteristics of anesthetic agents mean that the importance of administering the right anesthetic agent cannot be overemphasized. Propofol is an intravenously administered anesthetic agent and the most common agent used to induce and maintain general anesthesia [2].

It has been reported that the outcomes of several diseases may differ depending on the type of anesthesia – loco-regional versus general anesthesia – used during surgery [3,4]. Recently, a number of studies have reported that propofol has been detected in ovarian follicular fluid [5,6] and that the concentration of propofol correlated with the administered dosage and the duration of anesthesia [6]. Propofol has also been reported to increase apoptosis and inhibit the invasion and migration of epithelial ovarian cancer cells [7,8].

It could be hypothesized that ovarian function is affected by propofol. However, little is known about the effect of propofol on ovarian function and whether the intra-ovarian effect of propofol differs from that of other, non-propofol anesthetic agents. We aimed to investigate differences in sex hormone levels associated with ovarian function according to the use of propofol during anesthesia. In this study, we compared postoperative FSH and estradiol (E2) levels in a control group and in a group which required anesthesia for a surgical procedure. We carried out a subgroup analysis of two groups treated with either propofol or with a non-propofol anesthetic agent. The two subgroups showed no inter-group differences in terms of baseline physical characteristics or surgery-related variables.

Methods

Study population

After the study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Hospital, a retrospective analysis was done of study population consisting of ASA physical status I and II patients who underwent ovarian surgery (n = 80). Sex hormone levels were measured postoperatively. All participants were aged 16–40 years and underwent unilateral ovarian surgery with or without total hysterectomy performed under general anesthesia. Patients were classified into one of two groups according to the use or non-use of propofol during anesthesia as follows: propofol group (n = 39) and non-propofol group (n = 41). The control group consisted of infertile women between the ages of 21 and 40 years who underwent a work-up at Seoul National University Hospital and had no history of gynecologic surgery. Infertility in the control group was due to male factor infertility or tubal factor infertility; ovarian function of the control group was normal and menstrual cycles were regular.

Patients undergoing ovarian surgery and controls were compared and the effects of anesthetic agents on ovarian function were measured. Post-hoc analysis was used to compare the propofol and non-propofol groups. Patients were excluded from the study if they were post-menopausal at the time of surgery or had a previous history of adnexal surgery. Patients were also excluded if they took any hormonal medication such as oral pills within 3 months prior to surgery. All clinical information including blood loss during surgery was collected and retrospectively reviewed.

Techniques for general anesthesia

Each patient was monitored using standard monitoring techniques (continuous electrocardiography, noninvasive arterial blood pressure, and pulse oximetry). For patients in the propofol group, general anesthesia was induced with propofol (1.5–2 mg/kg), remifentanil (0.5–1 µg/kg/min), and vecuronium (0.1 mg/kg). Tracheal intubation was done and anesthesia was maintained with continuous infusion of propofol (100–250 µg/kg/min) and remifentanil (0.1–2 µg/kg/min). For patients in the non-propofol group, anesthesia was induced with thiopental (5–6 mg/kg), fentanyl (1–2 µg/kg), and vecuronium (0.1 mg/kg). Patients were intubated and anesthesia was maintained with sevoflurane (1–1.5 minimum alveolar anesthetic concentration) in 50% oxygen in air and supplemental bolus doses of fentanyl (1–2 µg/kg).

For each patient in both groups, muscle relaxation was achieved with supplemental 1–2 mg doses of vecuronium. A forced air warming device was used to maintain normothermia. To maintain mean arterial blood pressure and heart rate within 20% of baseline values, the depth of anesthesia was controlled with fluid bolus and drug infusion according to the attending anesthesiologist’s assessment.

Measurement of serum hormone levels

Postoperative serum hormone levels were measured on day 2 to 5 of the first menstrual cycle after surgery. In the control group, hormones were measured on cycle day 2 to 5 during the infertility work-up. Serum estradiol (E2) assay was performed using a radioimmunoassay kit (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc., Deerfield, IL, USA) and serum FSH was measured using an immunoradiometric assay kit (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc.). Minimum detection limits were 8 pg/mL and 0.06 mIU/mL, respectively. The intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation of each assay ranged from 2.2 to 4.2%.

Statistical analysis

All data were expressed as mean and standard error or percentage. All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 12.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The power of the present study was 0.89 and the effect size was 0.29 with a 5% type I error. Demographic data were analyzed by Student’s t-test and analysis of variance or χ² test, depending on the type of anesthesia. The differences in hormone levels according to the type of anesthesia were tested after adjustment for potential confounding factors, using analysis of covariance. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant for all analyses.
Results

Baseline characteristics of study subjects
The baseline characteristics were compared between the control, propofol and non-propofol groups (Table 1). There was no significant difference in age between the three groups (control vs. propofol vs. non-propofol: 32.6 ± 0.4 years vs. 31.6 ± 1.0 years vs. 32.5 ± 1.0 years). Body mass index (control vs. propofol vs. non-propofol: 21.2 ± 0.3 kg/m² vs. 22.0 ± 0.6 kg/m² vs. 22.6 ± 0.4 kg/m²) and parity also did not differ significantly.

Comparison of surgical variables between propofol and non-propofol groups
Surgical variables were compared for the propofol and non-propofol groups (Table 1). The duration of surgery did not differ significantly between the two groups (propofol vs. non-propofol: 160.0 ± 13.8 min vs. 151.0 ± 17.1 min). The estimated blood loss during surgery did not differ significantly (propofol vs. non-propofol: 468.2 ± 65.6 ml vs. 453.8 ± 41.5 ml). The percentage of patients who underwent simultaneous hysterectomy did not differ significantly between the two groups (propofol vs. non-propofol: 25.6% vs. 25.6%) and parity also did not differ significantly.

Postoperative hormone levels according to the type of anestheisa
Although E2 levels did not differ significantly between the three groups, FSH levels differed significantly between the control group and the group which had undergone surgery (p < 0.05). FSH levels were significantly higher in the group which had undergone surgery compared to the control group (control vs. propofol: 14.8 ± 2.3 mIU/mL vs. 15.6 ± 2.3 mIU/mL) levels show no significant differences between the propofol and non-propofol groups.

Discussion

For gynecologists and patients of reproductive age, it is important to understand the potential changes in postoperative levels of female sex hormones after ovarian surgery. One of the questions in this context is whether a specific anesthetic agent might have a greater impact on postoperative hormone levels. To the best of our knowledge, our investigation is the first of this kind. General anesthesia is used in conventional surgery to block sensory, motor and sympathetic nerve conduction, resulting in unconsciousness, analgesia, and the suppression of autonomic reflexes. A variety of drugs are used for anesthesia in current practice, and propofol is one of the most commonly used intravenous agents administered by continuous infusion or intermittent bolus injection.

Propofol distributes rapidly into the peripheral tissues including the central nervous system due to its high lipophilicity [2]. Intriguingly, it has also been found in ovarian follicular fluid [6,9]. Serum propofol levels fluctuate during surgery whereas follicular fluid levels steadily increase in proportion to the administered dose of propofol [6,9]. It has been suggested that the oocyte retrieval procedure should be kept as short as possible, in order to minimize the accumulation of the anesthetic agent in follicular fluid [9]. These findings suggest that propofol accumulates in ovarian follicular fluid; however, the ovarian clearance rate of propofol has not yet been elucidated.

A dose- and time-dependent detrimental effect of propofol has been demonstrated for the in vitro maturation of oocytes and cleavage rates of embryos in animal models [10,11]. In this context, residual propofol after surgery may affect postoperative ovarian function. To date, our current report is the first study to

Table 1 Baseline characteristics according the type of anesthesia in patients who had gynecologic surgery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Non-propofol (n = 41)</th>
<th>Intravenous propofol (n = 39)</th>
<th>Control (n = 72)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>32.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>31.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>32.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.61*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>22.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>21.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.06*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of live births</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 0</td>
<td>57.5%</td>
<td>64.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 2</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery (min)</td>
<td>151.0 ± 17.1</td>
<td>160.0 ± 13.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated blood loss (ml)</td>
<td>453.8 ± 41.5</td>
<td>468.2 ± 65.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concomitant hysterectomy (%)</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelviscopic surgery (%)</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Postoperative hormone levels according to the type of anesthesia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hormones</th>
<th>Non-propofol (n = 41)</th>
<th>Intravenous propofol (n = 39)</th>
<th>Control (n = 72)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FSH (mIU/mL)</td>
<td>15.6 ± 2.3*</td>
<td>14.8 ± 2.3†</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.7†</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol (pg/mL)</td>
<td>42.0 ± 5.6</td>
<td>33.2 ± 5.6</td>
<td>33.9 ± 4.0</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FSH: follicle stimulating hormone. Data are presented as mean ± SE. * p value calculated using analysis of variance and p value by t-test and χ² test. Values adjusted for age and body mass index. †, ‡: p < 0.01
investigate whether sex hormone levels differ postoperatively according to the use or non-use of anesthetic agent propofol. Marana et al. [12] recently compared the levels of neuroendocrine stress hormones including thyroid stimulating hormone and prolactin during intraveneous anesthesia using propofol versus inhalational anesthesia. They found that increased prolactin levels were maintained for four hours after the end of surgery. When prolactin levels rise, the hypothalamus releases more dopamine, and increased dopamine levels suppress the release of gonadotropin-releasing hormone and FSH [13, 14]. In another report, the levels of stress hormones such as epinephrine and cortisol were found to be influenced by the type of anesthetic agent used [15]. In contrast to these studies, our study found that E2 and FSH levels measured during the first postoperative menstrual cycle did not differ significantly between the propofol and non-propofol groups. It could be inferred from this that sex hormone responses to anesthetic agents may differ from those of neuroendocrine stress hormones.

Ovarian reserve, i.e., the functional capacity of the ovary to provide oocytes, can decrease after ovarian surgery. The most common test of ovarian reserve consists of measuring E2 and FSH levels in the early follicular phase, as was done in the present study. An elevated early follicular phase E2 level (usually greater than 80 pg/mL) predicts ovarian follicular depletion [14, 16–18]. Increased levels of E2 can suppress pituitary FSH secretion, possibly masking elevated FSH levels that would indicate decreased ovarian reserve. High FSH levels represent a decrease in fertility; however, FSH levels can vary between different laboratories due to the different FSH assay methods used [14, 19]. Anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH), which is known to decline with reproductive aging [20, 21], has been reported to decrease after ovarian cystectomy and to recover by three months postoperatively [22, 23]. Our study has a few limitations. Firstly, the study was a retrospective study and the inclusion criteria restricted the study population to women undergoing ovarian surgery. The surgical procedures themselves may affect the sex hormone levels and blur the effects of anesthetic agents on ovarian function. Secondly, preoperative serial FSH and E2 levels were not measured, since these assays were not routine in our protocols. To compensate for this weakness, the hormone values of the groups who underwent surgery were compared to those of a control group which did not undergo surgery. Thirdly, the present study did not measure AMH, a more powerful marker of ovarian reserve. AMH has minimal inter- or intra-cyclic variability, and can be measured irrespective of the menstrual cycle [24, 25]. It has since been adopted in our clinical setting, but at the time we were not able to analyze and present data that included AMH. Finally, the data would be more meaningful if postoperative FSH and E2 levels were measured serially over several menstrual cycles. However, we could not retrieve the relevant data due to the limitation of the retrospective design of our study.

Conclusions

Overall, propofol did not differ from other anesthetic agents in terms of postoperative ovarian function after gynecologic surgery. The type of anesthetic agent did not seem to affect the postoperative levels of female sex hormones. Further prospective studies with comprehensive serial measurements of sex hormone levels are needed.
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