

Are Absence and Limbic Seizures Mutually Exclusive?: An Experimental Approach to Enigmatic Clinical Concept

Filiz Yılmaz Onat^{1,2}  Esat Eşkan³

¹ Department of Medical Pharmacology, School of Medicine, Acibadem Mehmet Ali Aydınlar University, Istanbul, Turkey

² Department of Medical Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey

³ Department of Pharmacology, Cerrahpaşa School of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

Address for correspondence Filiz Yılmaz Onat, Department of Medical Pharmacology, School of Medicine, Acibadem Mehmet Ali Aydınlar University, Istanbul 34684, Turkey
(e-mail: fonatmarmara@gmail.com; fonat@marmara.edu.tr).

J Pediatr Epilepsy 2021;10:45–50.

Abstract

The impressive advances in the several disciplines including neurophysiology, molecular biology, neuroimmunology, neurogenetics, neuroimaging, and neuropharmacology of epilepsies have been stimulating a mutual interaction among basic scientists, clinicians, and professionals from other disciplines, leading to the identification of clinical questions and then the design of basic science paradigms to test enigmatic clinical issues. Based on a clinical observation that the coexistence of genetic (idiopathic) generalized typical absence and mesial temporal lobe epilepsy in the same patient is extremely rare and debatable, we addressed the rare coexistence in the same individual, designed an experimental approach to test the validity of this clinical concept and to study the underlying mechanisms involved. Here we presented evidence of a mutual cross-interaction in the circuits involved in typical absence and temporal lobe epilepsy. This article delineates a phenomenological picture and comprehends a theoretical understanding of a mutual cross-interaction in typical absence as a representative of genetic generalized epilepsies and limbic epilepsy in which seizures often start from the mesial temporal lobe.

Keywords

- limbic seizures
- absence seizures
- clinical concepts

Introduction

Despite the fact that generalized versus focal epilepsy on clinical side has been accepted as an enigma, no satisfactory clarifying explanation has yet been identified.^{1–5} Although improvements in video-electroencephalography (EEG), functional magnetic resonance imaging, magnetic resonance spectroscopy, positron emission tomography, super-resolution microscopy, sequencing-based gene, or variant discovery approaches greatly increased our understanding in enigmatic concepts, primary generalized, and focal onset in seizures or the interactions between the various elements of the systems in epilepsies have been a long-debated matter.^{6–8} Here, we tested the hypothesis that whether the mechanisms underly-

ing focal limbic epilepsy are distinctively diverse than those responsible for genetic generalized epilepsies (previously known as idiopathic generalized) by using a combination of electrophysiological, genetic, and pharmacological models in rats. The overall outcome so far is actually translating to learning a lot about the phenomenology of the coexistence of absence epilepsy and limbic focal-onset in the same patient.⁹

Brief Overview to Focal and Generalized Epilepsy Models: Phenotypic, Electroencephalographic, and Pharmacological Features

Several animal models have been developed since the initial identification of phenytoin in 1937 by Merritt and Putnam by

received
December 1, 2020
accepted
December 1, 2020
published online
February 2, 2021

Issue Theme Focal versus Generalized Epilepsy—An Enigma; Guest Editors: Mohammed Ilyas, MD, and Uğur Işık, MD

© 2021, Thieme. All rights reserved.
Georg Thieme Verlag KG,
Rüdigerstraße 14,
70469 Stuttgart, Germany

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0041-1722870>.
ISSN 2146-457X.

using the cat maximal electroshock (MES) seizure test.¹⁰ As a general rule, an animal model should be reproducible, predictable, quantifiable, amenable to standardization, and consistent with bioethics of experimentation.¹¹ To be a valid investigative tool, an animal model of generalized or focal epilepsies should reflect the phenotypic, EEG, and pharmacological characteristics of these disorders.^{12,13} There are several experimental *in vitro* and *in vivo* models of generalized and focal epilepsy induced by chemical and electrophysiological interventions and genetic manipulations. These models partially or fully meet the criteria and reflect the phenotypic, EEG, and pharmacological features seen in human epilepsy. We are aware that no model is likely to be perfect when animals are employed for a human condition. Instead, the initial model should be chosen on the basis of “best fit” for the situation.^{14,15} A well-validated animal models in well-defined experimental designs certainly provides a value to clarify the debatable clinical issues.

It has long been accepted that absence epilepsy as a form of genetic (idiopathic) generalized epilepsy serves as a model for an approach to generalized epilepsies. In this context, typical absence epilepsy as the prototype of genetic (idiopathic) generalized epilepsies is characterized by several daily episodes of absence seizures with intermittent impairment of consciousness or brief interruption (arrest) of behavioral activity and by spontaneous spike-and-wave discharge (SWD) paroxysms, each consisting of high-voltage spike and subsequent slow wave components in the EEG.¹³ Typical nonconvulsive seizures of absence epilepsy are considered to be generated by a rhythmogenic interplay between the cortex and the thalamus and related to a predominance of reticulo-thalamic inhibitory activity and cortical hyperexcitability.

Experimental animal models of absence seizures can be divided into pharmacological or genetic strategy.¹¹ Pharmacological strategy to induce absence seizures are triggered by some chemical substances in healthy animals.¹² The genetic models include naturally occurring mutations in rats (Genetic Absence Epilepsy Rats from Strasbourg [GAERS] and Wistar Albino Glaxo rats from Rijswijk [WAG/Rij]) and in mice single locus mutation and transgenic models. GAERS and WAG/Rij rats are both well validated and commonly used genetic models of absence epilepsy.^{13,16–19} In these models, the SWDs, which are indeed a hallmark of absence epilepsy, are generalized high-amplitude asymmetric, synchronized, and rhythmic cortical activity expressed as SWD complexes lasting at least 1 second with a fundamental frequency of 7 to 11 Hz and with the first and second harmonics in spectrograms.²⁰ Although the frequency of this phenomenon in humans (≥ 2.5 Hz) differs from the frequency in these models, SWDs and absence seizures share many similar electrophysiological, pharmacological, and behavioral characteristics in human absence epilepsy.^{3,18}

Focal epilepsies accounting for 60% of all forms of epilepsy traditionally have been regarded as largely acquired disorders.^{21,22} This perception is related to the common observation that the epilepsy resulting from an environmental insult—such as a stroke, infection, head trauma, or tumor—is focal. In many patients with focal epilepsy, there is a nongenetic cause

for seizures such as febrile convulsions, head trauma, stroke, or brain infection. This initial insult triggers a cascade of neurobiological events during a latent period corresponding to epileptogenesis.^{23,24} A cascade of neurobiological events following the initial insult includes both rapid and slower progressive changes, leading to excitotoxicity, inflammation, gliosis, neuronal loss, neurogenesis, plasticity, angiogenesis, molecular reorganization, and others. In experimental models of focal epilepsy, one of the most widely used and popular methodological tools is kindling. This historical method, kindling, addresses focal seizure development with secondary generalization due to its progressive manner and additionally resembles the progressive psychiatric and neurological changes accompanying epilepsy. The initial nonconvulsive stimulus induces a permanent seizure susceptibility and lasting brain alterations that are similar to that found in human temporal lobe epilepsy.²⁵ Temporal lobe epilepsy covers a variety of disorders that have the common feature of seizures that arise in the temporal lobe.^{4,8,9}

A phenomenon of kindling was first described in 1967 by Goddard and then became a major research tool for studying seizures involving the limbic system.²⁶ Kindling as an animal model of temporal lobe or limbic epilepsy is usually defined as a progressive, cumulative, and permanent increase in seizure activity with repeated—usually once or twice daily—application of a stimulus.^{27–29} In this model, chemical or electrical stimulation of a single site in the brain induces an after-discharge in the EEG and behavioral changes.²⁹ With repeated focal stimulations for days or weeks, there is a gradual increase in the duration of the after-discharge and behavioral seizure development until the animal's behavior progresses to the point of a full convulsions.^{30,31} In chemical kindling, repeated exposure to subconvulsive doses of a pro-convulsant agent leads to increase in seizure activity, resulting in generalized seizures. The chemical kindling is usually triggered by the repetitive administrations of subconvulsive doses of pentylenetetrazole (25–45 mg/kg, s.c.). Both electrical and chemical-induced kindling are the most commonly used models for the understanding of limbic epilepsy and epileptogenesis.

Are Absence Epilepsy and Temporal Lobe Epilepsy Mutually Exclusive to Each Other?

To address a mutual cross-interaction between absence epilepsy and mesial temporal lobe/limbic epilepsy in GAERS and WAG/Rij rats as absence epilepsy models, electrical kindling and intra-amygdaloid kainic acid were used as the models of temporal lobe epilepsy. Our first clue regarding possible interactions between the cortico-thalamo-cortical and the limbic circuitry arose from the kindling experiments. Kindling studies by Eşkazan et al, Onat et al, and Aker et al showed that when the animals are stimulated at their after-discharge thresholds, the transition from stage 2 to 3 is absent or delayed in GAERS and WAG/Rij models.^{9,32,33} Although Wistar animals all reached stage 5 with repeated electrical amygdaloid kindling stimulations, GAERS and one group of WAG/Rij rats stayed at stage 2 after 30 stimulations that was the maximum number used.³² GAERS and WAG/Rij

demonstrated higher after discharge thresholds than the Wistar rats suggesting that genetically absence epilepsy rats were less susceptible than nonepileptic animals. In addition, the genetic absence epilepsy rats (both GAERS and WAG/Rij groups), having the highest number of SWDs on the first day of the experiment, before the stimulation, were the least susceptible to kindling; in other words, a high level of SWD activity in the absence epilepsy rats reduces the progress of kindling.³³ Spearman correlation analysis showed a negative correlation between absence seizures and kindling rate in rats with genetic absence epilepsy. Further, hippocampal kindling resembles amygdaloid kindling in showing a delay of or resistance to secondary seizure generalization.³⁴ In agreement with the clinical observations in human, experimental studies of temporal lobe epilepsy in rats with genetic absence epilepsy show a resistance to, or a delay of, secondary generalization of focal limbic seizures in the amygdaloid and hippocampal kindling experiments. Moreover, we investigated the hypothesis that whether there is an age-related difference in the resistance to secondary generalization to amygdaloid kindling.³⁵ At 20 days of postnatal, when no SWDs are recordable in GAERS, all the animals were kindling prone. At 30 days of postnatal, when the first SWDs are detected, less than half of the GAERS (41%) were resistant to secondary generalization (kindling resistant) and at 60 days of postnatal, when SWDs are consistently present, the percent of kindling-resistant GAERS was 69%. In fact, all adult GAERS were kindling resistant. These results demonstrate that resistance to the secondary generalization of limbic seizures during amygdaloid kindling in GAERS increases with age as the SWDs on the EEG and possibly mechanisms underlying absence epilepsy mature. Further, GAERS during the development of the kindling course at stage 2 has demonstrated increased blood flow in the somatosensory cortex, ventrobasal, and mediodorsal thalamus as well as the entorhinal cortex, amygdala, hippocampus, nucleus accumbens, and substantia nigra pars reticulata. These results suggest a large widespread functional activation with kindling stimulations in GAERS and a relationship between thalamo-cortical and limbic structures in absence epilepsy.

The experimental approach started with a focus on absence versus limbic seizure phenomenology and took a major step forward when rats with genetic absence epilepsy (GAERS and WAG/Rij) show a reduced capacity for the production of experimental temporal lobe epilepsy by kindling.³⁶ In considering the circuitry of a focal kindling seizure, there are at least three stages in the evolution of a seizure that may involve different circuit components: transition from interictal to ictal phase, the early phase of activity that occurs within the initiating circuit and seizure spread. Seizure spread covers the process of recruiting additional regions and circuits.³⁶ The importance of breaking seizures down into these phases is that each is likely associated with different circuitry that involves different physiologies.³⁶

To maximize the reliability of the data collected and analyzed in our laboratory, we further evaluated the interplay between absence epilepsy and limbic epilepsy by using

intra-amygdaloid injections of kainic acid as another model of temporal lobe epilepsy to compare with the kindling findings in adult GAERS.³⁷ In total, 1 week after the implantation of the recording electrodes and a cannula through the stereotaxic surgery, the animals were given a single injection of kainic acid (750 ng) into the basolateral amygdala of GAERS and Wistar rats. The EEG and behavioral changes after the kainic acid injection were evaluated over a 3-month period. At the end of the 3-month period, sections of the hippocampus were stained by the Neo-Timm method. In the acute phase, an amplitude increase in the gamma range (25–45 Hz) of spectrograms was observed within the first 40 minutes after the kainic acid injection in both the Wistar and the GAERS groups, and in the Wistar rats the amplitude increase remained almost constant for the rest of the 3-hour period. The activity in this frequency range remained at a lower level in the GAERS group and did not show any further increase even after the start of convulsive seizures at 100 minutes indicating the delay of the convulsive seizures in genetic absence epilepsy rats. Medvedev et al reported that an injection of kainic acid increases the gamma activity in rats.³⁸ In vivo and in vitro studies suggest that gamma activity is produced by glutamatergic cells in rat hippocampus and neocortex.³⁹ The gamma oscillations after the kainic acid injection reflect the level of synchronization in the cortex, which probably is one of the important determinants of the occurrence of convulsive seizures, and this synchronization is less marked in GAERS than in Wistar rats provided electrophysiological and morphological clues to improve our understanding on the enigmatic issue of the rare coexistence of absence epilepsy and limbic focal-onset seizures.

In the chronic period, the first convulsive seizure on the day of the kainic acid injection and spontaneous convulsive seizures over the 3-month period were significantly delayed in GAERS compared with control animals.³⁷ Thereafter, the animals in both groups became epileptic and there was no difference in the survival rates of Wistar and GAERS. Thus, additional evidence for a mutual cross-inhibition of the circuits involved in limbic versus generalized absence epilepsy is demonstrated by our kainic acid administration findings. These include particularly the delayed convulsive seizures in the short-term during kainic acid-induced status epilepticus and long-term periods and the reduced sprouting of mossy fibers in GAERS. Additionally, the loss and reappearance of the SWDs, the changes occurring in the spike-and-wave discharges. These results are in accordance with previous findings by Vergnes et al.⁴⁰ They showed that the first seizure induced by low kainic acid doses (5 mg/kg, i.p.) was less severe and had a longer latency in GAERS than in nonepileptic controls.⁴⁰

Furthermore, a digenic mouse model of absence epilepsy and temporal lobe epilepsy by combining two epilepsy-associated ion channel mutations in the same mice reveals a protective interaction.⁴¹ Increasing membrane excitability by removing Shaker-like K⁺ channels, which are encoded by the *Kcna1* gene, masked the absence epilepsy caused by a P/Q-type Ca²⁺ channelopathy due to a missense mutation in the *Cacna1a* gene, whereas decreasing network excitability

by impairing *Cacna1a* Ca²⁺ -channel function reduced the occurrence of limbic seizures and sudden death in *Kcna1* null mice. Nanobashvili et al reported that co-stimulation of the thalamic reticular nucleus during hippocampal kindling stimulation reduced the number and the duration of generalized convulsions.⁴² They concluded that thalamic reticular nucleus stimulations suppressed limbic motor seizures in hippocampal kindling and provided a new approach for seizure control in temporal lobe epilepsy. Altogether, these findings point to interactions between cortico-thalamo-cortical and limbic circuitry but do not show how and where the interactions occur.

Another issue covers the effect of temporal lobe epilepsy on absence seizures. When the kindling-resistant postnatal 30-day-old and postnatal 60-day-old GAERS were compared with the kindling-prone group, the kindling resistant animals showed a significantly longer duration of baseline SWDs on the first day of the experiments before the kindling stimulations.^{43,44} In addition, the kindling-prone GAERS showed an almost complete loss of SWDs after the first stage 5 in the pre-stimulation and post-stimulation periods. Further, in another temporal lobe epilepsy model, the kainic acid model, intensive basal SWD activity in GAERS was completely suppressed immediately after a kainic acid injection and then gradually reappeared over a period of 2 months.^{43,44} The disappearance of SWDs seen at the kindling stage 5 seizures or after the kainic acid injections suggests that the limbic seizures spread to areas involved in absence epilepsy mechanisms and modify their activity. In accordance with these findings, electrical co-stimulation of the thalamic reticular nucleus during the rapid hippocampal kindling procedure suppresses the development of generalized limbic seizures in the adult control Wistar rats. The outcomes in experimental temporal lobe epilepsy in GAERS, WAG/Rij, and Wistar rats have made another step toward a better understanding of the interaction between the limbic circuitry and cortico-thalamo-cortical network.

These findings all point to interactions between cortico-thalamo-cortical and limbic circuitry and further indicate a mutual cross-inhibition of circuits underlying absence epilepsy and limbic epilepsy in which seizures often start from the mesial temporal lobe. However, they do not show how these interactions occur. As one possibility, the thalamic reticular nucleus, which is involved in the expression and generation of SWDs in absence epilepsy, may prove to be a critical component in producing the resistance to the generalization of limbic seizures. This could occur through the connections of the reticular nucleus with the amygdala and hippocampus, which involve two-way connections between the reticular nucleus and thalamic nuclei close to the midline such as the mediodorsal, centromedial, reuniens, and anterior nuclei.⁴⁵ The connections of the rostral thalamic reticular nucleus with limbic structures through mediodorsal, reuniens, centromedial, and anterior thalamic nuclei may be particularly relevant for understanding the resistance to secondary generalized convulsive seizures in the genetic absence epilepsy models or the kindling-induced decreases in SWDs (—Fig. 1). In vivo single neuronal recordings demonstrate that the evolution to




Fig. 1 Schematic illustration showing the interactions between cortico-thalamo-cortical and limbic circuitries. The cortico-thalamo-cortical network can be activated through the propagation of kindling seizures outside the amygdala to the limbic thalamic nuclei (anterior thalamic, reuniens, mediodorsal thalamic, and centromedial thalamic nucleus) and cortex. The amygdaloid nuclei are connected with the limbic thalamic nuclei, which have two-way links to the rostral part of the thalamic reticular nucleus, limbic reticular nucleus. In addition to the cortico-thalamo-cortical system in the pathophysiology of absence epilepsy network, the involvement of basal ganglia has also been demonstrated in childhood absence epilepsy and experimental absences.^{47,48} Hipp, hippocampus; Sub, subthalamic nucleus; TRN, thalamic reticular nucleus; VPM, ventroposteromedial thalamic nucleus; VPL, ventroposterolateral thalamic nucleus. The figure has been adapted and modified from Carcak et al (2009) with permission from Wiley.

generalized motor seizures in nonepileptic Wistar rats is associated with a change in interictal firing in the thalamic reticular nucleus to an epileptiform, burst firing pattern, and early engagement of neurons of this nucleus during the seizures.⁴⁵ These findings are absent in rats with genetic absence epilepsy (GAERS).⁴⁵ The same number of amygdala stimulations failed to induce the changes in interictal neuronal firing patterns in thalamic reticular nucleus neurons in GAERS. Kindling findings in GAERS have made a first step toward an understanding of the interaction between the limbic circuitry and cortico-thalamo-cortical network.

Conclusion

It has been hypothesized that the mechanisms underlying focal epilepsy are distinctively diverse than those responsible for generalized epilepsies. Studying the dissimilarities in focal and generalized epilepsies in experimental animal models to be representative of the human condition enable us the advantage of exploring the underlying mechanisms of these disorders. The experimental approach to the rare coexistence of limbic focal epilepsy and absence epilepsy as representative of genetic generalized epilepsies points to the mutual cross inhibition of circuitries involved in these

two particular pathophysiological conditions. This approach also provides a clue for the underlying neurobiological mechanism of the clinical rare coexistence of absence epilepsy and mesial temporal lobe epilepsy in the same patient. Meaningful results emerge from animal testing when the preclinical strategy is part of a well-developed translational plan rather than a single experiment, often relying on clinical observations to guide preclinical development.⁴⁶

Funding

None.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

- Geller EB, Lancman ME, Van Ness PC, et al. Coexistence of generalized and partial epilepsies. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1995;2(95):17P
- Sofue A, Okumura A, Negoro T, et al. Absence seizures in patients with localization-related epilepsy. *Brain Dev* 2003;25(06):422–426
- Lüttjohann A, van Luijckelaar G. Dynamics of networks during absence seizure's on- and offset in rodents and man. *Front Physiol* 2015;6:16
- Koutroumanidis M, Hennessy MJ, Elwes RD, Binnie CD, Polkey CE. Coexistence of temporal lobe and idiopathic generalized epilepsies. *Neurology* 1999;53(03):490–495
- Nicolson A, Chadwick DW, Smith DF. The coexistence of idiopathic generalized epilepsy and partial epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2004;45(06):682–685
- Jeha LE, Morris HH, Burgess RC. Coexistence of focal and idiopathic generalized epilepsy in the same patient population. *Seizure* 2006;15(01):28–34
- Whelan CD, Altmann A, Botía JA, et al. Structural brain abnormalities in the common epilepsies assessed in a worldwide ENIGMA study. *Brain* 2018;141(02):391–408
- Italiano D, Ferlazzo E, Gasparini S, et al. Generalized versus partial reflex seizures: a review. *Seizure* 2014;23(07):512–520
- Aker RG, Yananli HR, Gurbanova AA, et al. Amygdala kindling in the WAG/Rij rat model of absence epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2006;47(01):33–40
- Putnam TJ, Merritt HH. Experimental determination of the anticonvulsant properties of some phenyl derivatives. *Science* 1937;85(2213):525–526
- Kostopoulos GK. Pharmacologically Induced Animal Models of Absence Seizures. In: Pitkanen A, Buckmaster PS, Galanopoulou AS, Moshé SL, eds. *Models of Seizures and Epilepsy*. USA: Elsevier Inc, Academic Press; 2017:553–567
- Cortez MA, Snead OC. Pharmacologic models of generalized absence seizures in rodents. In: Pitkanen A, Schwartzkroin PA, Moshé SL, eds. *Models of Seizures and Epilepsy*. USA: Elsevier Inc, Academic Press; 2006:111–126
- Onat FY, van Luijckelaar G, Nehlig A, Snead OC III. The involvement of limbic structures in typical and atypical absence epilepsy. *Epilepsy Res* 2013;103(2-3):111–123
- Löschner W. Fit for purpose application of currently existing animal models in the discovery of novel epilepsy therapies. *Epilepsy Res* 2016;126:157–184
- Barker-Haliski M, SteveWhite H. Validated animal models for antiseizure drug (ASD) discovery: advantages and potential pitfalls in ASD screening. *Neuropharmacology* 2020:167
- Coenen AM, Van Luijckelaar EL. The WAG/Rij rat model for absence epilepsy: age and sex factors. *Epilepsy Res* 1987;1(05):297–301
- Cortez MA, Perez Velazquez JL, Snead OC III. Animal models of epilepsy and progressive effects of seizures. *Adv Neurol* 2006;97:293–304
- Crunelli V, Leresche N. Childhood absence epilepsy: genes, channels, neurons and networks. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2002;3(05):371–382
- Danover L, Deransart C, Depaulis A, Vergnes M, Marescaux C. Pathophysiological mechanisms of genetic absence epilepsy in the rat. *Prog Neurobiol* 1998;55(01):27–57
- Akman O, Demiralp T, Ateş N, Onat FY. Electroencephalographic differences between WAG/Rij and GAERS rat models of absence epilepsy. *Epilepsy Res* 2010;89(2-3):185–193
- Bertram EH. Temporal lobe epilepsy: where do the seizures really begin? *Epilepsy Behav* 2009;14(1, Suppl 1):32–37
- Perucca P. Genetics of focal epilepsies: what do we know and where are we heading? *Epilepsy Curr* 2018;18(06):356–362
- Sloviter RS, Bumanglag AV. Defining “epileptogenesis” and identifying “antiepileptogenic targets” in animal models of acquired temporal lobe epilepsy is not as simple as it might seem. *Neuropharmacology* 2013;69:3–15
- Engel J Jr. Mesial temporal lobe epilepsy: what have we learned? *Neuroscientist* 2001;7(04):340–352
- Sato M, Racine RJ, McIntyre DC. Kindling: basic mechanisms and clinical validity. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1990;76(05):459–472
- Goddard GV. Development of epileptic seizures through brain stimulation at low intensity. *Nature* 1967;214(5092):1020–1021
- McIntyre DC, Racine RJ. Kindling mechanisms: current progress on an experimental epilepsy model. *Prog Neurobiol* 1986;27(01):1–12
- Bertram E. The relevance of kindling for human epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2007;48(Suppl 2):65–74
- McIntyre DC, Gilby KL. Kindling as a model of human epilepsy. *Can J Neurol Sci* 2009;36(Suppl 2):S33–S35
- Sutula T, Cascino G, Cavazos J, Parada I, Ramirez L. Mossy fiber synaptic reorganization in the epileptic human temporal lobe. *Ann Neurol* 1989;26(03):321–330
- Cavazos JE, Golarai G, Sutula TP. Mossy fiber synaptic reorganization induced by kindling: time course of development, progression, and permanence. *J Neurosci* 1991;11(09):2795–2803
- Eşkazan E, Onat FY, Aker R, Oner G. Resistance to propagation of amygdaloid kindling seizures in rats with genetic absence epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2002;43(10):1115–1119
- Onat FY, Eşkazan E, Aker R. Experimental absence versus amygdaloid kindling. *Kindling 6 Boston, MA: Springer; 37–47* 2005
- Akman O, Karson A, Aker RG, Ates N, Onat FY. Hippocampal kindling in rats with absence epilepsy resembles amygdaloid kindling. *Epilepsy Res* 2008;81(2-3):211–219
- Carçak N, Aker RG, Özdemir O, Demiralp T, Onat FY. The relationship between age-related development of spike-and-wave discharges and the resistance to amygdaloid kindling in rats with genetic absence epilepsy. *Neurobiol Dis* 2008;32(03):355–363
- Bertram EH. Neuronal circuits in epilepsy: do they matter? *Exp Neurol* 2013;244:67–74
- Gurbanova AA, Aker RG, Sirvanci S, Demiralp T, Onat FY. Intra-amygdaloid injection of kainic acid in rats with genetic absence epilepsy: the relationship of typical absence epilepsy and temporal lobe epilepsy. *J Neurosci* 2008;28(31):7828–7836
- Medvedev A, Mackenzie L, Hiscock JJ, Willoughby JO. Kainic acid induces distinct types of epileptiform discharge with differential involvement of hippocampus and neocortex. *Brain Res Bull* 2000;52(02):89–98
- Herrmann CS, Demiralp T. Human EEG gamma oscillations in neuropsychiatric disorders. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2005;116(12):2719–2733
- Vergnes M, Boehrer A, Reibel S, Simler S, Marescaux C. Selective susceptibility to inhibitors of GABA synthesis and antagonists of

- GABA(A) receptor in rats with genetic absence epilepsy. *Exp Neurol* 2000;161(02):714–723
- 41 Glasscock E, Qian J, Yoo JW, Noebels JL. Masking epilepsy by combining two epilepsy genes. *Nat Neurosci* 2007;10(12):1554–1558
 - 42 Nanobashvili Z, Chachua T, Nanobashvili A, Bilanishvili I, Lindvall O, Kokaia Z. Suppression of limbic motor seizures by electrical stimulation in thalamic reticular nucleus. *Exp Neurol* 2003;181(02):224–230
 - 43 Onat FY, Aker RG, Gurbanova AA, Ateş N, van Luijtelea G. The effect of generalized absence seizures on the progression of kindling in the rat. *Epilepsia* 2007;48(Suppl 5):150–156
 - 44 Carçak N, Ferrandon A, Koning E, et al. Effect of stage 2 kindling on local cerebral blood flow rates in rats with genetic absence epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2009;50(01):33–43
 - 45 Carçak N, Zheng T, Ali I, et al. The effect of amygdala kindling on neuronal firing patterns in the lateral thalamus in the GAERS model of absence epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2014;55(05):654–665
 - 46 Barker-Haliski M, Friedman D, White HS, French JA. How clinical development can, and should, inform translational science. *Neuron* 2014;84(03):582–593
 - 47 Paz JT, Chavez M, Saillet S, Deniau JM, Charpier S. Activity of ventral medial thalamic neurons during absence seizures and modulation of cortical paroxysms by the nigrothalamic pathway. *J Neurosci* 2007;27(04):929–941
 - 48 Moeller F, Siebner HR, Wolff S, et al. Changes in activity of striato-thalamo-cortical network precede generalized spike wave discharges. *Neuroimage* 2008;39(04):1839–1849