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Abstract

Maximizing hemostasis during total knee replacement procedures remains a key challenge in current practice. Bipolar sealer technology achieves intraoperative hemostasis through tissue sealing and coagulation with adjustable radio frequency energy and a saline-irrigated tip. Optimal surgical site hemostasis is important to avoid potential complications such as hemarthrosis, wound drainage, increased pain, delayed discharge, and readmissions. The aim of this study is to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of the bipolar sealer device in primary knee replacement in the largest consecutive series to date. A consecutive, treatment-control series of subjects who underwent a primary total knee arthroplasty (TKA) utilizing a bipolar sealer for hemostasis, one subgroup with concomitant tranexamic acid (TXA) administration (n = 1599) and one subgroup without TXA administration (n = 3582), compared with a control group of primary TKA under tourniquet only (n = 667). Statistical analyses were performed through two-tailed unpaired t-tests. There was less total postoperative drain output and a lower overall transfusion rate in the bipolar sealer group (807ml ± 428) (2.5%) than the tourniquet only group (1290 ± 658, p = 0.001) (8.4%, p = 0.0001), respectively. Drainage output in bipolar sealer + TXA (450 ± 297 ml) was lower than the other two groups (bipolar sealer 807 ± 428 mL, p = 0.0001; tourniquet only 1290 ± 658 ml, p = 0.0001). The bipolar sealer group had a higher hematocrit at postoperative day 1 (POD1) (bipolar sealer: 33.1 ± 4.3 cc, tourniquet only: 32.5 ± 4.3 cc, p = 0.001) and at discharge (POD2, bipolar sealer: 31.5 ± 3.7 cc, tourniquet only: 30.2 ± 3.9 cc, p = 0.0001). There were zero reported serious adverse events related to hemostasis management in any group. The bipolar sealer system is a safe and effective instrument to achieve intraoperative hemostasis during primary TKA. The bipolar sealer group required significantly fewer postoperative blood product transfusions and maintained a higher hematocrit concentration at the time of discharge compared with subjects treated solely with tourniquet mediated hemostasis. Addition of TXA to local hemostasis methods may further reduce blood loss and transfusion requirements.
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Level of Evidence This is a Level III study.
The widespread increase in obesity and rising average life expectancy within the United States have significantly contributed to the proliferation of symptomatic knee osteoarthritis that is refractory to nonoperative treatment modalities.\textsuperscript{1} As a result, there are currently over 500,000 primary total knee arthroplasty (TKA) procedures performed every year in the United States with future projections estimating well over 3 million procedures per year by 2030.\textsuperscript{2,3} As successful as TKA can be, one of the major challenges around surgery has been blood management. Blood loss during this procedure has been associated with complications including wound drainage, infection, hypotension, dizziness, need for transfusion, delayed discharge, and increased morbidity. Blood management techniques have evolved from autologous and cell-saving transfusions, erythropoietin and iron supplementation, topical and system hemostatic agents, surgical technologies, and others. In spite of all of these developments, optimization of blood management around elective TKA remains a challenge.

Maximizing hemostasis during TKA procedures remains a key challenge in current practice, especially in the setting of increasingly medically complex patient populations. Currently, over 80% of patients who undergo TKA have a metabolic comorbidity that may affect intraoperative hemostasis or postoperative tissue remodeling.\textsuperscript{4} These patients often require significant additional care during the surgical procedure, including blood transfusion care. While every effort is made to avoid perioperative blood transfusions, which are costly, invasive, and include long-term risk to the patient, \textasciitilde30 to 40% of both historic and contemporary at-risk patients undergoing TKA can require a transfusion.\textsuperscript{5–7} Additional risk factors for perioperative blood transfusions include preoperative hemoglobin levels,\textsuperscript{8} gender, and total time a tourniquet is used during the surgery.\textsuperscript{9} Blood transfusions impart high direct and indirect costs to the patient,\textsuperscript{10} along with risks of disease, infection, and surgical complications.\textsuperscript{11} Blood management is also increasingly more important as outpatient joint replacement increases, as these patients do not have the monitoring or hospital care after surgery if symptoms from blood loss should occur. Because there is no consensus on a single treatment to prevent blood loss and transfusions, understanding all possible options broadens the hemostasis armamentarium. Blood management strategies must be optimized beginning prior to entering the operating room, to assure successful outpatient outcomes and avoid readmissions.

Efforts to avoid an intraoperative blood transfusion can begin prior to the surgery taking place. Patients can be prescribed iron supplements or erythropoietin to increase their preoperative hemoglobin levels.\textsuperscript{12} Numerous intraoperative blood management strategies (strict preservation of normothermia, normovolemic hemodilution, perioperative red cell salvage, topical fibrin sealant application, and tranexamic acid (TXA) administration) are used to control bleeding and minimize the need for allogenic blood transfusion secondary to critical anemia.\textsuperscript{13–16} However, one of the most widely-used methods for intraoperative hemostasis continues to be the use of an air tourniquet.\textsuperscript{17} Although air tourniquet usage has been shown to be an effective and reliable strategy to slow blood loss during TKA, there have been reports of increased perioperative total blood loss and increased perioperative complication rates (nerve injury, deep vein thrombosis, delayed wound healing/infection, compartment syndrome) after its utilization.\textsuperscript{9,18–21} Hypotensive anesthesia can also be an effective means of minimizing blood loss during TKA,\textsuperscript{22} but the technique is challenging, dependent on the expertise of the surgical staff, and not appropriate for large subpopulations of patients.\textsuperscript{23} Cell salvage techniques (where the patient’s own blood is collected during the surgery, filtered for contaminants, an anticoagulant is added, and returned to the patient) are successful at mitigating many of the risks that accompany traditional allogenic transfusions, but are exceptionally costly and can be wasteful if the salvaged blood is not needed.\textsuperscript{24} Topical sealants are also an option with a meta-analysis in 2014 demonstrating reductions in blood loss, length of hospital stay, and postoperative complications.\textsuperscript{25} but the products are expensive, and the small improvements in outcomes do not warrant the current market price.\textsuperscript{26}

Bipolar sealer technology is an alternative method to achieve intraoperative hemostasis through tissue sealing and coagulation with adjustable radio frequency energy and a saline-irrigated tip. The combination of radio frequency energy and saline irrigation was designed to maximize hemostatic sealing without tissue searing. It has been utilized in total hip and knee arthroplasty procedures, along with numerous neurosurgical, orthopaedic, hepatobiliary, and thoracic surgical applications with successful outcomes.\textsuperscript{27–37} While there are cost considerations to adoption of the bipolar sealer technique,\textsuperscript{38} one analysis by Kuznetsov and Woodward demonstrated that the initial costs associated with establishing the procedure in a new facility or practice are recouped by the cost savings by year 3 of implementing the bipolar sealer technique.\textsuperscript{39} The extra expense of the bipolar sealer technique was also found by Ackerman et al to be offset by the cost savings due to shortened hospital stays and reduced operating room costs, resulting in a comparable cost per patient as traditional intraoperative hemostatic techniques. The benefits of bipolar sealer technology have been reported to include improved perioperative visual field,\textsuperscript{40} decreased trauma to the surrounding tissue, and reduced blood loss that results in a reduced rate of transfusion.\textsuperscript{29,36,41,42}

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of the bipolar sealer device in primary knee replacement in the largest series to date. This was evaluated through a consecutive, treatment-control series of subjects who underwent a primary TKA utilizing a bipolar sealer for hemostasis as compared with those without. Several metrics were used to investigate the effectiveness of each modality including serial hematocrit values, perioperative transfusion rates, and total knee drain outputs. Safety was investigated through an evaluation of postoperative complication rates.

**Methods**

An observational, single site, consecutive treatment-control series was conducted for subjects who underwent primary, unilateral, cemented, TKA. An institutional database of two
fellowship trained arthroplasty surgeons included 5,318 subjects who underwent 5,848 consecutive primary TKAs from 2004 to 2016. All subjects were diagnosed with advanced degenerative osteoarthritis and failed nonoperative treatment modalities prior to surgical reconstruction. There were 667 TKAs in the control group (group 1), 3,582 TKAs with use of a bipolar sealer (Aquamantys, Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN) without administration of TXA (group 2), and 1,599 TKAs with bipolar sealer and concomitant intraoperative TXA administration (group 3).

The control group contained 614 subjects who underwent 667 primary TKAs under tourniquet without the use of a bipolar sealer. All groups underwent intra-articular placement of reinfusion drains and postoperative anticoagulation with either coumadin (2 weeks) or aspirin (6 weeks) based on surgeon preference. Standard electrocautery was used for surgical approach only in all groups. All procedures were performed under tourniquet. Because tourniquet was used, there was zero intraoperative blood loss recorded. Knee blood loss was measured by knee drain output.

The study group was comprised of group 2, 3,582 TKAs in 3,241 patients, where the bipolar device was used without TXA. The subsequent 1,599 TKAs in 1,463 patients had the bipolar device used in conjunction with 1 g of intravenous TXA. The subsequent 1,599 TKAs in 1,463 patients had the bipolar device used in conjunction with 1 g of intravenous TXA intraoperatively. The bipolar sealer was used after meniscal removal in the medial and lateral gutters, prior to definitive implant insertion with the knee in flexion in the posterior capsule, and prior to tourniquet deflation in the suprapatellar pouch.

Several metrics were collected for both groups including intraoperative tourniquet time, total knee drain output, preoperative hematocrit, hematocrit obtained immediately (within the post-anesthesia care unit) postoperatively, hematocrit at the time of discharge, need for perioperative blood transfusion, and occurrence of postoperative paresthesias in the ipsilateral surgical extremity. Hematocrit value was measured and compared between groups because clinical intervention, such as transfusion triggers, was based on hematocrit value. Transfusions were performed if patient had clinical signs of hypotension such as lightheadedness, dizziness, hypotension, or tachycardia. Statistical analyses were performed through two-tailed unpaired t-tests. Data are reported as mean ± standard deviation with significance defined as \( p < 0.05 \).

### Results

Demographics (gender, body mass index, and age) were similar among the three groups (\( \text{Table } 1 \text{– } 3 \)). Average intraoperative tourniquet time was also similar between the two main groups (bipolar sealer: \( 59.6 \pm 15.7 \) minutes, tourniquet only: \( 58.9 \pm 15.8 \) minutes, \( p > 0.05 \); \( \text{Table } 4 \)). Lateral release, which is a potential source of additional bleeding, had a rate across groups that was also similar (bipolar sealer: 322 [9%], tourniquet only: 56 [10%], \( p > 0.05 \); \( \text{Table } 4 \)). There was no difference in blood loss prior to discharge based on drain output or hematocrit based on anticoagulation type used. There was significantly less total postoperative drain output in the bipolar sealer group (3.4 cc, 3.7 cc, 3.4 cc, \( p < 0.05 \); \( \text{Table } 4 \)) and immediately postoperatively (bipolar sealer: 3.0 cc, 3.7 cc, 3.4 cc, \( p > 0.05 \); \( \text{Table } 4 \)). However, the bipolar sealer group had a higher hematocrit at postoperative day 1 (bipolar sealer: 33.1 ± 4.3 cc, 33.1 ± 4.3 cc, 33.1 ± 4.3 cc, \( p > 0.05 \); \( \text{Table } 4 \)).

### Table 1: Demographics of TKA surgeries with bipolar sealers or tourniquet only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bipolar sealer</th>
<th>Tourniquet only</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>2006 (56%)</td>
<td>394 (59%)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (lbs)</td>
<td>186 ± 58</td>
<td>190 ± 68</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (in)</td>
<td>67 ± 7.3</td>
<td>66.4 ± 9.1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>68.5 ± 12</td>
<td>67.5 ± 15</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of TKA</td>
<td>3582</td>
<td>667</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: TKA, total knee arthroplasty.

### Table 2: Demographics of TKA surgeries with bipolar sealers or bipolar sealers and TXA administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bipolar sealer</th>
<th>Bipolar sealer and TXA</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>2006 (56%)</td>
<td>927 (58%)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (lbs)</td>
<td>186 ± 58</td>
<td>188.2 ± 42</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (in)</td>
<td>67 ± 7.3</td>
<td>66.8 ± 7.1</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>68.5 ± 12</td>
<td>68.7 ± 14</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of TKA</td>
<td>3582</td>
<td>1599</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: TKA, total knee arthroplasty; TXA, tranexamic acid.

### Table 3: Demographics of TKA surgeries with bipolar sealers and TXA administration or tourniquet only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bipolar sealer and TXA</th>
<th>Tourniquet only</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>927 (58%)</td>
<td>394 (59%)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (lbs)</td>
<td>188.2 ± 42</td>
<td>190 ± 68</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (in)</td>
<td>66.8 ± 7.1</td>
<td>66.4 ± 9.1</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>68.7 ± 14</td>
<td>67.5 ± 15</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of TKA</td>
<td>1599</td>
<td>667</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: TKA, total knee arthroplasty; TXA, tranexamic acid.

There was significant difference in blood loss prior to discharge based on drain output in group 3 (450 ± 297 ml) compared with either of the other two groups (bipolar sealer 807 ± 428 ml, \( p = 0.0001 \); \( \text{Table } 5 \)) and tourniquet only 1,290 ± 658 ml, \( p = 0.0001 \); \( \text{Table } 6 \)). As a result, there was a lower overall transfusion rate in the bipolar sealer group (89, 2.5%) than in subjects who underwent tourniquet only TKA (56, 8.4%, \( p = 0.0001 \); \( \text{Table } 4 \)). There was also further reduction in group 3 (19, 12%, \( p = 0.002 \) and 0.0001 as compared with the bipolar and tourniquet only groups respectively; \( \text{Table } 5 \) and \( \text{Table } 6 \)). Hematocrit was similar across groups at baseline (bipolar sealer: 40.4 ± 3.4 cc, tourniquet only: 40.2 ± 4.1 cc, \( p > 0.05 \); \( \text{Table } 4 \)) and immediately postoperatively (bipolar sealer: 34.9 ± 3.2 cc, tourniquet only: 35 ± 3.7 cc, \( p > 0.05 \); \( \text{Table } 4 \)). However, the bipolar sealer group had a higher hematocrit at postoperative day 1 (bipolar sealer: 33.1 ± 4.3 cc,
Table 4 Surgical data of TKA surgeries with bipolar sealers or tourniquet only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bipolar sealer</th>
<th>Tourniquet only</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ave tourniquet time (min)</td>
<td>59.6 ± 15.7</td>
<td>58.9 ± 15.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total knee drainage output (mL)</td>
<td>807 ± 428</td>
<td>1290 ± 658</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit, preoperative</td>
<td>40.4 ± 3.4</td>
<td>40.2 ± 4.1</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit, PACU</td>
<td>34.9 ± 3.2</td>
<td>35 ± 3.7</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit, postoperative day 1</td>
<td>33.1 ± 4.3</td>
<td>32.5 ± 4.3</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit, postoperative day 2</td>
<td>31.5 ± 3.7</td>
<td>30.2 ± 3.9</td>
<td>0.0001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfusion rate</td>
<td>89 (2.5%)</td>
<td>56 (8.4%)</td>
<td>0.0001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative paresthesias</td>
<td>11 (0.31%)</td>
<td>1 (0.15%)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral releases</td>
<td>322 (9%)</td>
<td>56 (10%)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PACU, postanesthesia care unit; TKA, total knee arthroplasty.

Table 5 Surgical data of TKA surgeries with bipolar sealers or bipolar sealers and TXA administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bipolar sealer and TXA</th>
<th>Bipolar sealer and Tourniquet only</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ave tourniquet time (min)</td>
<td>60.2 ± 16.2</td>
<td>58.9 ± 15.8</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total knee drainage output (mL)</td>
<td>450 ± 297</td>
<td>1290 ± 658</td>
<td>0.0001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit, preoperative</td>
<td>40.5 ± 4.8</td>
<td>40.2 ± 4.1</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit, PACU</td>
<td>35.1 ± 4.1</td>
<td>35 ± 3.7</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit, postoperative day 1</td>
<td>34.5 ± 4.1</td>
<td>32.5 ± 4.3</td>
<td>0.0001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit, postoperative day 2</td>
<td>32.8 ± 3.5</td>
<td>30.2 ± 3.9</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfusion rate</td>
<td>19 (1.2%)</td>
<td>56 (8.4%)</td>
<td>0.0001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative paresthesias</td>
<td>1 (0.06%)</td>
<td>1 (0.15%)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral releases</td>
<td>127 (7.9%)</td>
<td>56 (10%)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PACU, postanesthesia care unit; TKA, total knee arthroplasty; TXA, tranexamic acid.
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Unexplained postoperative paresthesias occurred less frequently in knees without (1, 0.15%) versus knees with tourniquet only: 32.5 ± 4.3 cc, p = 0.001;  
(Table 4) and at time of discharge (postoperative day 2, bipolar sealer: 31.5 ± 3.7 cc, tourniquet only: 30.2 ± 3.9 cc, p = 0.0001;  
(Table 4).

The use of a bipolar sealer (10, 0.31%, p = 0.4,  
Table 4). There were zero reported serious adverse events in both groups.

Discussion

This study demonstrates that the bipolar sealer system is a safe and effective instrument to achieve intraoperative hemostasis during a primary TKA. The bipolar sealer group required significantly fewer postoperative blood product transfusions and maintained a higher hematocrit concentration at the time of discharge compared with subjects treated solely with tourniquet-mediated hemostasis. Furthermore, there was no difference in observed cases of postoperative paresthesias in subjects treated with the bipolar sealer system compared with those who underwent primary TKA under tourniquet. The addition of TXA can further reduce blood loss based on drain output and hematocrit decreased blood loss. Transfusions are also less frequent when the two treatment options are used together.

Multiple investigations of total joint replacement procedures have reported reductions in postoperative blood loss or transfusions using a saline-coupled bipolar sealing device compared with conventional technologies.43–46 Isabell and Weeden evaluated subjects undergoing primary unilateral TKA procedures using conventional electrocautery to the same procedure using bipolar sealer technologies. They reported a significant reduction in autologous (16 vs. 44%, p < 0.001) and allogeneic (8 vs. 22%, p < 0.001) transfusion requirements in the bipolar sealer group.43 Similarly, Marulanda et al reported a significantly lower transfusion
rate in subjects treated with a bipolar sealer device (20%) during unilateral, anterior total hip arthroplasty (THA) than in those treated with conventional electrocautery (52%).
Pfeiffer et al demonstrated the use of a bipolar sealer resulted 28.4% reduction in blood loss and reduced the rate of transfusions by a factor of five when compared with procedures without the bipolar sealer. Additionally, Morris et al reported that the use of a bipolar sealer device in anterior supine intermuscular THA resulted in fewer intraoperative and postoperative transfusions than procedures employing standard electrocautery techniques. Although these trends are consistent with our findings, the observed transfusion rate in both arms of our study was lower than previously reported values after a primary unilateral joint replacement. Perhaps this is a result of institutional policies within our study center to initiate blood transfusion protocols based on observable symptoms rather than laboratory values, or possibly it is secondary to our adoption of efficient and less invasive surgical approaches. With the volume of outpatient joint replacement procedures increasing 47% from 2012 to 2015 and the majority of joint replacement procedures expected to be performed in an outpatient setting by 2026, blood management and precise hemostasis techniques will play a critical role in minimizing blood loss, anemia, and other complications from hypotension, fainting, or transfusion associated with rapid discharges. This bipolar device could be critical to assuring patient safety and increasing the reliability of outpatient joint replacement.

Conversely, other well-designed studies have reported little to no evidence that bipolar sealing devices are superior to conventional electrocautery. Falez et al reported there was no difference in perioperative blood loss either on the day of surgery or 3 days after surgery between subjects randomized to parallel operative groups utilizing either a bipolar sealer or standard electrocautery. Nielsen et al conducted a prospective cohort study with retrospective controls undergoing revision TKA without the use of a tourniquet. They reported no significant differences in calculated blood loss between the prospective bipolar sealer group and the retrospective standard electrocautery group. Morris et al, Zeh et al, and Barsoum et al published separate prospective, double-blind studies that found no difference in transfusion requirements between bipolar sealer treated individuals undergoing THA and subjects randomized to THA with standard electrocautery. Further, a meta-analysis by Yang et al of studies comparing standard electrocautery to bipolar sealers found no significant difference in the quantity of blood loss, hemoglobin levels, length of hospital stay, or operation time between the two devices.

Similarly, meta-analysis evidence from Min et al reviewing randomized controlled trials suggested that usage of the bipolar sealer reduced the need for transfusions, but had no impact on hemoglobin drop, blood loss, Harris score, and rates of infection.

**Bipolar Sealer Used with TXA**

Measurable outcomes such as subjective patient recovery, decreased length of inpatient hospital admission, and a diminished blood product transfusion rate have been stressed within the current climate of healthcare quality evaluations. The effect of emerging surgical approaches and technologies on blood transfusion rates is of particular importance given the potentially serious adverse effects (infection, depression of erythropoiesis, acute lung injury, anaphylactic hypotensive reactions, graft versus host disease) associated with allogenic product transfusion. As a result, several intraoperative blood conservation adjuncts have been utilized and previously studied. One well-studied hemostatic agent is TXA, which inhibits fibrinolysis leading to improved effectiveness of coagulation and hemostasis. The clinical randomization of an antifibrinolytic in significant hemorrhage 2 (CRASH-2) trial demonstrated that administration of TXA significantly reduced the rate of mortality, vascular occlusive events, and blood transfusion in trauma patients with significant hemorrhage. TXA administration also has been shown to significantly decrease intraoperative bleeding in patients undergoing elective gynecologic, cardiac, and some orthopaedic surgeries. While additional confirmation studies are underway, evidence suggests that TXA and bipolar sealants can be used in the same patient as they have different mechanisms of action, whereas TXA stabilizes a clot and prevents its breakdown and dissolution, the bipolar sealer encourages coagulation and shrinks collagen tissues to achieve hemostasis. Fibrin sealants (Evicel/Floseal) are alternative agents that have been shown to provide excellent hemostasis. However, although these sealants are efficacious, they are expensive and carry antigenic risks.

The bipolar device may be helpful in tourniquetless total knee surgery. There are many rare, yet potentially life- or limb-threatening complications that have been reported after air tourniquet utilization to create a bloodless field during TKA procedures. These risks include neurologic injury, muscle crush injuries, pulmonary emboli, and arterial compromise (secondary to traction injuries, fractures of atherosclerotic plaques, intimal tears, thrombosis or prolonged vessel occlusions). Patients with a history of arterial insufficiency, a suspected popliteal artery aneurysm, or radiographic evidence of superficial femoral or popliteal artery calcifications, have been shown to be at higher risk of these potentially limb-threatening sequelae of tourniquet use. Utilization of a bipolar sealer system over an air tourniquet during primary TKA procedures should be considered to reduce the risk of these devastating complications without adversely impacting hemostasis.

A limitation of this study is the single-site design, which limits generalizability of the results. All procedures were performed by fellowship-trained surgeons at a high-volume, specialized orthopaedic medical center. Similar results may not be observed in a setting with less-experienced healthcare providers and staff. Second, intraoperative and perioperative blood loss was inferred based on postoperative hemoglobin concentration decrements, drain outputs, and transfusion requirements rather than direct measurements. Nevertheless, while previous studies have evaluated patients in the hundreds, this study is the largest to date in evaluating thousands of consecutive patients with these hemostasis...
methods, so we believe that the results are of value to surgeons considering using the technology. Furthermore, the statistically and clinically relevant improvements in transfusion requirements after a bipolar sealer system was utilized to achieve intraoperative hemostasis establish a significant advantage compared with tourniquet only techniques.

Despite limitations, this study remains the largest series to date to investigate bipolar sealer utilization to minimize intraoperative blood loss during primary TKAs. In combination with the other recognized clinical benefits of this technology (limited tissue searing, minimal learning curve), our results support that bipolar sealer systems are a safe and effective blood management alternative to air tourniquet systems during primary TKA procedures. Improved intraoperative hemostasis may potentially lead to a reduction in postoperative hemorrhage and time to return to function. Therefore, future studies should investigate patient-reported outcome measures to describe the impacts of saline coupled bipolar sealer use on postoperative pain, swelling, and quality of life.
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