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Background  Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the imaging modality of choice for evaluating perianal fistulae, due to its ability to show the relationship of perianal fistulae with anal sphincters, fistula extensions, secondary ramifications and associated complications.

Aim  To evaluate the role of diffusion-weighted MRI in the evaluation of perianal fistulae.

Settings and Design  A hospital-based cross-sectional study.

Materials and Methods  The study group composed of 47 patients of perianal fistula. MRI with diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) was performed with Philips 0.5 T Ingenia scanner. DWI with different $b$-values ($b = 50$, $b = 400$, and $b = 800$ smm$^2$) were obtained. The MRI findings were correlated with local clinical examination and or surgical findings.

Statistical Analysis Used  Chi-square test, independent samples $t$-test, and receiver operating characteristic curve analysis.

Result  Fifty-nine perianal fistulas in 47 patients were included in the study sample. The visibility of perianal fistula on DWI was less than T2-weighted (T2W) and combined DWI-T2W images. Distinctly visualized (visibility score 2) perianal fistulas were observed in 47 fistulas (79.6%) on DWI, 54 (91.5%) on T2W, and 58 (98.3%) on DWI-T2W images. The mean of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values of active fistula was $0.972 \pm 0.127 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s and inactive was $1.232 \pm 0.185 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s with a significant difference ($p$-value < 0.0005). A cut-off mean ADC value of $1.105 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s was used to differentiate active from the inactive fistula with a sensitivity of 87.5% and specificity of 73.3%.

Conclusion  Combined DWI-T2W evaluation had a better performance in the detection of fistula than DWI or T2W alone. DWI with mean ADC calculation had a good performance in differentiating active from the inactive fistulas.
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Abstract

Background  Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the imaging modality of choice for evaluating perianal fistulae, due to its ability to show the relationship of perianal fistulae with anal sphincters, fistula extensions, secondary ramifications and associated complications.

Aim  To evaluate the role of diffusion-weighted MRI in the evaluation of perianal fistulae.

Settings and Design  A hospital-based cross-sectional study.

Materials and Methods  The study group composed of 47 patients of perianal fistula. MRI with diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) was performed with Philips 0.5 T Ingenia scanner. DWI with different $b$-values ($b = 50$, $b = 400$, and $b = 800$ smm$^2$) were obtained. The MRI findings were correlated with local clinical examination and or surgical findings.

Statistical Analysis Used  Chi-square test, independent samples $t$-test, and receiver operating characteristic curve analysis.

Result  Fifty-nine perianal fistulas in 47 patients were included in the study sample. The visibility of perianal fistula on DWI was less than T2-weighted (T2W) and combined DWI-T2W images. Distinctly visualized (visibility score 2) perianal fistulas were observed in 47 fistulas (79.6%) on DWI, 54 (91.5%) on T2W, and 58 (98.3%) on DWI-T2W images. The mean of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values of active fistula was $0.972 \pm 0.127 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s and inactive was $1.232 \pm 0.185 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s with a significant difference ($p$-value < 0.0005). A cut-off mean ADC value of $1.105 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s was used to differentiate active from the inactive fistula with a sensitivity of 87.5% and specificity of 73.3%.

Conclusion  Combined DWI-T2W evaluation had a better performance in the detection of fistula than DWI or T2W alone. DWI with mean ADC calculation had a good performance in differentiating active from the inactive fistulas.
Introduction

Fistula in ano (FIA) is increasingly a common condition that causes morbidity with a prevalence of 1 per 10,000 of the population with an underlying cause of anal glandular infection, Crohn's disease, radiotherapy, or secondary malignancy.1,2 FIA commonly affects the young adults with male preponderance.1,3,4 The perianal fistulae usually result from anal gland obstruction with subsequent infection, associated secondary abscess formation, and its complications.5,6

Surgical excision of the fistulous tract is the treatment option for perianal fistulas.5 Recurrence of fistula occurs up to 25% to 30% after surgery, usually due to the infection that escaped during surgery and/or fistula gone untreated.5

Preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is of utmost importance to completely evaluate the fistulous tract, ramification/secondary tracts, grading of fistula, and associated abscess. MRI is the accurate preoperative imaging for detailed evaluation of perianal fistulae.6,7

Because of excellent soft-tissue contrast and multiplanar capability of MRI, it is the ideal preoperative imaging of choice for characterizing perianal fistulae over other imaging modalities like conventional fistulography, endoanal/endorectal ultrasonography, perianal ultrasonography, and computed tomography fistulography. Preoperative MRI in FIA can impact surgical planning, decreases the rate of recurrence, and alter the surgical outcome of various types of fistulae.7

Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) provides good contrast between the acute inflammatory process and adjacent normal-appearing tissues.8 Various recent literatures showed good sensitivity and specificity of DWI for detection of perianal fistula, assessment of fistulas activity, and its complicating abscesses.9,10

DWI with higher b-value provides better contrast, more tissue diffusibility, and less T2 shine-through effect.11 Increasing b-value in DWI is associated with decreased apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) value, reduction of T2 shine-through effect in perianal fistula and/or associated abscess. Higher b-value DWI images provide more conspicuity of the perianal fistula or associated abscess from the surrounding structures.11

A study by Mohsen and Osman observed a preoperative accuracy of 97.8% for detecting and classifying perianal fistulae with combined DWI and T2W sequences.12 Cavusoglu et al13 showed a sensitivity of 72.79% and specificity of 100% for preoperative detection of perianal fistula with T2W images alone, while combined DWI and T2W sequences showed sensitivity of 96 to 100% and specificity of 100%. Previously published studies also showed the difference of mean ADC values between the active and inactive fistulas.4,8,12,14

The present study was conducted to evaluate the role of diffusion-weighted MRI in the evaluation of perianal fistulae. from June 2019 to March 2020 with review of clinical and cross-sectional imaging data of consecutive 47 patients of perianal fistula. The study group composed of clinically suspected 47 patients of perianal fistula who underwent an MRI scan of pelvis. Informed consent was obtained from patients/guardians before undergoing an MRI scan.

MRI images were reviewed by two experienced radiologists blinded to clinical information and observed for the presence and numbers of visible perianal fistulas, internal openings, secondary ramifications, sphincteric extension, and associated complications like horseshoe fistula or abscess formation. Previously operated for perianal fistula, the patient refused surgical treatment, and completely healed fistula were excluded from the study. The completely healed fistula was defined when no T2-weighted (T2W) hyperintense signal intensity observed in perianal fistula with no contrast enhancement after gadolinium injection.

ADC value measurement of the perianal fistulous tracts was done in different b-value DWI images. Comparison between DWI, T2W, and combined DWI-T2W MRI sequences was done. The MRI findings of perianal fistulae were recorded according to the St James’s University Hospital Classification and were correlated with clinical and/or surgical observations. Finally, the surgical or local clinical examination findings of FIA were considered as reference standard in this study.

MRI Protocols

All patients were subjected to an MRI scan using the Philips Ingenia 1.5 Tesla machine (the Netherlands). All patients were subjected to an MRI scan of pelvis in a supine position with phased-array surface coil. The MR protocols of various sequences for evaluation of perianal fistula are shown in Table 1.

MRI Image Interpretation

The perianal fistula was evaluated on T1W, T2W, DWI, VISTA, and fat-suppressed postcontrast T1W sequences. MRIs were reviewed and evaluated by two radiologists in all patients in consensus. The details of MRI findings of perianal fistula were evaluated for.

- **Primary fistula tract:** We follow the radiological classification of perianal fistula of St James's University Hospital Classification for defining the primary fistula tract.5 Grade 1 is a simple linear intersphincteric fistula. Grade 2 is intersphincteric fistula with abscess or secondary tract formation. Grade 3 having transsphincteric fistula while Grade 4 having transspincteric fistula with abscess or secondary tract formation within the ischiorectal fossa. Finally Grade 5 includes suprarelevator and transcervical extensions of fistula.

- **Internal opening of fistula:** The site of the internal opening was determined according to the clock face. The site of internal opening defined as any defect or interruption of anal sphincter which showed high signal intensity in T2W SPAIR, DWI images, or shows enhancement on postcontrast images.

Materials and Methods

After approval from the institutional ethics review committee, a hospital-based cross-sectional study was conducted in a tertiary care hospital of North-Eastern India.
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• **Secondary extension of primary tract**: Secondary extensions of fistulous tracts detected for horseshoe, intersphincteric, ischioanal/ischiorectal space, suprarelevator, or translevator extensions.

• **Abscess formation**: Determine the size, location, and extension of perianal abscess in association with fistula. Early abscess formation is defined as a localized distension of tract more than 5 mm and which shows DWI restriction and irregular peripheral enhancement on postcontrast images. The presence of air pockets within collection also suggests abscess formation.

• **Inflammation without abscess formation**: It is defined as an area of increased signal intensities in T2W SPAIR images, no DWI restriction, and showing ill-defined diffuse postcontrast enhancement.

DWI images with \( b \)-value of 800 smm\(^2\) were used for visibility comparison with T2W images. The visibility of a perianal fistula was graded on a 3-point scale from 0 to 2. Score 0 = no evident fistula, 1 = probably present fistula, and 2 = distinctly present fistula.\(^1\)\(^2\) To know the diagnostic performance of DWI in the evaluation of perianal fistula, the imaging findings of the fistula were determined on DWI, T2W, combined T2W-DWI images, and CEMRI. As a reference for grading perianal fistula, the combined T2W-CEMRI (contrast enhanced MR) images were used.\(^1\)\(^5\)

### Quantitative Image Analysis

The ADC values were generated in the operating system console using region of interest (ROI) placed in the fistulous tract. Constant diameter of circular ROI was used with a minimum area of 0.1 cm\(^2\). Initially the ROI was placed in the DWI image, after copying the same ROI from DWI image pasted in the ADC map image and then mean ADC value was calculated, as shown in **Figs. 1** and 2. Calculation of mean ADC value was done and \( b = 50, b = 400, \) and \( b = 800 \text{ smm}^2 \) images were obtained and finally mean average of these ADC values was obtained.

### Table 1 Protocol for MRI imaging in perianal fistula

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>T2W TSE</th>
<th>Axial T2W SPAIR</th>
<th>Coronal T2W SPAIR</th>
<th>T1W TSE</th>
<th>Sagittal STIR</th>
<th>DWI</th>
<th>VISTA</th>
<th>FS T1W TSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imaging plane</td>
<td>Oblique axial</td>
<td>Oblique axial</td>
<td>Oblique coronal</td>
<td>Oblique axial</td>
<td>Sagittal</td>
<td>Oblique axial</td>
<td>Oblique axial</td>
<td>Oblique axial, Oblique coronal and sagittal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE/TR (ms)</td>
<td>100/3,500</td>
<td>90/3,500</td>
<td>90/3,600</td>
<td>9/550</td>
<td>90/3,600, T1 = 160</td>
<td>90/3,900</td>
<td>200/1,500</td>
<td>10/550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slice thickness (mm)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interslice gap (mm)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of slices</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>272 × 215</td>
<td>212 × 186</td>
<td>256 × 256</td>
<td>256 × 256</td>
<td>252 × 216</td>
<td>80 × 79</td>
<td>220 × 220</td>
<td>256 × 256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flip angle</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>90°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echo train length</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion directions</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b )-value (s/mm(^2))</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>50, 400, 800</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: FS, fat suppressed; SPAIR, spectrally selective adiabatic inversion recovery; STIR, short tau inversion recovery; TI, inversion time; VISTA, 3D volume isotropic turbo spin-echo acquisition.

---

**Fig. 1** (A–D): 41-year-old male patient with Grade-4 FIA and multiple external fistulous openings. Axial and coronal T2W SPAIR images (A and B) show hyperintense multiple fistulae in the perianal region with communication of these tracts within posterior intersphincteric space and surrounding hyperintense inflammatory changes (→arrow). Axial DWI image (C) shows the diffusion restriction in the irregular outlined fistulae (←arrow). Axial postcontrast image (D) shows irregular peripheral enhancement of the fistulous tracts with minimal central nonenhancing areas (↓arrow).
Clinical and Surgical Correlation
Surgical findings were used as the gold standard for active and inactive fistulas in 28 patients who underwent surgery. Nineteen patients who did not undergo surgery were categorized according to the findings on local clinical examination. On local clinical examination, active fistula was defined as where there was detection of pus and or presence of active signs of inflammation like redness, pain, and swelling around the perianal fistula. The absence of pus or active inflammatory signs around the fistula was categorized as inactive fistula.

Statistical Analysis
Data were presented in terms of percentage and mean. Calculations were done using Microsoft Excel and SPSS programs (Statistical Package for the Social Science version 16, SPSS Inc., Chicago, United States).

The visibility scores of each of the DWI, T2W, and CEMRI were compared with the combined DWI-T2W images using a Chi-square test. In addition, visibility score of each sequence was also compared between active and inactive fistula groups using a Chi-square test. The mean ADC value of active and inactive perianal fistulas was compared using an independent unpaired t-test. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed to determine the optimal cutoff ADC value for differentiating active and inactive fistulae.

Results
The study included 47 patients, 40 were males and 7 were females. The mean age was 38.5 ± 1.4 [standard deviation, SD] years. A total of 59 perianal fistulae were detected in 47 patients and 8 patients (17%) had more than one fistula [►Fig. 3]. Thirty-two patients (68%) had n = 43 active perianal fistulas and 15 patients (32%) had n = 16 inactive fistulas. Twenty-eight patients (60%) showed secondary ramification of the primary fistula tract, confined within the intersphincteric space [►Fig. 4] in 15 patients (31.9%), ischiorectal fossa [►Fig. 1] in 9 patients (19.1%), and suprarelevator space in 4 patients (8.5%) [►Figs. 2 and 5]. Horseshoe-appearing fistula was observed in six patients (12.8%) [►Fig. 1]. Perianal fistula associated with abscess was observed in 19 patients (40.4%), 17 abscesses (36.2%) within intersphincteric space and 2 abscesses (4.3%) within ischiorectal fossa [►Fig. 5 and 6], according to St James classification, there were 24 grade-1 fistulas, 14 grade-2 fistulas, 9 grade-3 fistulas [►Fig. 7], 6 grade-4 fistulas [Figs 1,3,4 and 8 , and 6 grade-5 fistulas [►Figs. 2 and 5].

DWI, T2W, and CEMRI Visibility of Perianal Fistula
On evaluating 59 perianal fistulas in 47 patients, 47 perianal fistulas (79.6%) were distinctly visualized (score 2) on DWI [►Fig. 9], in comparison to 54 fistulas (91.5%) on T2W [►Figs 6], 58 fistulas (98.3%) on combined DWI-T2W, and 57 fistulas (96.6%) on CEMRI. Twelve perianal fistulas were poorly visualized (score 1) on DWI [►Fig. 2], in comparison to 5 fistulas on T2W [►Fig. 8], 2 inactive fistulas on CEMRI, and 1 fistula on combined DWI-T2W. The visibility scores on DWI were not significantly different from that of T2W (p-value = 0.984) and both of them were less than the visibility scores of the combined DWI-T2W. The visibility scores of perianal fistulas on DWI were significantly different in active and inactive
fistulas \( (p\text{-value} = 0.006) \). However, the visibility scores on T2W, CEMRI, and combined DWI-T2W did not show any statistically significant difference between the active and inactive fistulas shown in \textbf{Table 2}.

\textbf{Postcontrast Imaging Appearance of Perianal Fistula}

Variable pattern of contrast enhancement elicited in 59 perianal fistulas of 47 patients. Only peripheral postcontrast enhancement without central enhancement of the fistulas was observed.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{MRI sequence} & \textbf{Visibility score} & \textbf{Total number} & \textbf{Active fistula} & \textbf{Inactive fistula} & \textbf{\(p\) (chi-square)} \\
& & \(n = 59\) & \(n = 43\) & \(n = 16\) & \\
\hline
DWI & Score 2 & 47 & 38 & 9 & 0.006 \\
& Score 1 & 12 & 5 & 7 & \\
& Score 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline
T2W & Score 2 & 54 & 40 & 14 & 0.498 \\
& Score 1 & 5 & 3 & 2 & \\
& Score 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline
Combined & Score 2 & 58 & 43 & 15 & 0.098 \\
& Score 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \\
& Score 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline
CEMRI & Score 2 & 57 & 43 & 14 & 0.070 \\
& Score 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & \\
& Score 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textbf{Fig. 4} \((A-D)\): 29-year-old female with inactive Grade-5 FIA. Axial T2WI and coronal T2W SPAIR images (A and B) show a long coursed hyperintense fistulous tract (visibility score 2) in the left perineal region at 5 O’clock position in extra-sphincteric location (↑arrow) and pierced the left levator ani muscle (←arrows). Axial DWI and ADC map images (C and D) show the bright signal on DWI (visibility score 2) of the fistula (↓arrow). ROI placement shown in DWI and ADC map images and calculation obtained from ADC map image.
fistulous tract representing fluid-filled tract was observed in 24 fistulas (40.7%) \textbf{[Figs. 5, 6, and 10]}, central enhancement of the tract with high signal on T2WI representing granulation tissue in 28 fistulas (47.5%) \textbf{[Fig. 3]}, and minimal progressive enhancement of the tract with low signal on T2WI images representing fibrosis or healing in 7 (11.9%).

**ADC values of Perianal Fistula**

ROI placement within the perianal fistula is shown in \textbf{Figs. 1 and 2} for active and inactive fistulas. The mean of ADC values of active perianal fistula was 0.972 ± 0.127 [SD] 10⁻³ mm²/s and that of inactive fistula was 1.232 ± 0.185 [SD] 10⁻³ mm²/s. There was a statistical significant difference \textit{(p-value < 0.0005)} between the mean ADC values of active and inactive fistulas while using unpaired student \textit{t}-test. Boxplot of mean ADC values of active and inactive fistulae shows a considerable overlap between these two groups. Despite this overlap there was a statistically significant difference between the mean of ADC values of active and inactive fistulae with \textit{p}-value of 0.0005 \textbf{[Fig. 11]}. ROC curve analysis of mean ADC value of perianal fistulae in our study sample showed cutoff mean ADC value of 1.105 × 10⁻³ mm²/s used for differentiating active from the inactive fistula with a sensitivity of 87.5%, a specificity of 73.3%, a positive predictive value of 87.5%, a negative predictive value of 73.3%, and a diagnostic accuracy of 82.98% \textbf{[Fig. 12]}.
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Discussion

Surgical excision of perianal fistulous tracts and drainage of associated abscess with preservation of anal sphincteric complex is the primary surgical treatment.\textsuperscript{3,16} Fistula recurrence after surgery occurs usually due to untreated or undetected fistula and abscess at the time of surgery.\textsuperscript{17} Hence preoperative MRI evaluation of perianal fistula is essential to avoid treatment failure after surgery.

Various MRI sequences, especially combined DWI-T2W images, can identify the fistulous tract in relation to the anal sphincteric complexes, their course, ramifications, or associated abscesses.\textsuperscript{3}

In our study sample, the visibility scores of the combined DWI-T2W images overcome the visibility score on DWI, T2W and CEMRI images shown in Table 2. The findings of our study sample are comparable with the previous study conducted by Mohsen and Osman.\textsuperscript{12}

The concordance rate between preoperative MRI and surgical findings varies from 86 to 88% in previous studies.\textsuperscript{5,18} However, recent literature showed more sensitivity of MRI with a reported accuracy of 97%\textsuperscript{6,19} and considered it the most accurate preoperative technique for classification of the perianal fistulae.\textsuperscript{5}

Grade 1 and Grade 2 perianal fistulae were associated with a satisfactory outcome after initial surgery, whereas Grades 3 to 5 were usually associated with unsatisfactory outcome after initial surgery and these patients are more prone to recurrence and need further surgery.\textsuperscript{6}

In our study sample, Grade 1 perianal fistulas were most commonly observed in 40.7%, followed by grade 2 fistulas in 23.7%. Khera et al\textsuperscript{20} found the most common intersphincteric type of perianal fistula in 60%, followed by transsphincteric in 33%. Morris et al\textsuperscript{21} found intersphincteric fistula in 70% while transsphincteric in 20%. de Miguel Criado et al\textsuperscript{3} commonly found Grade 3 and Grade 4 fistulae in their study sample of 178 patients. The findings of our study sample were compared with the previous literature shown in Table 3.

DWI had a potential role in assessing the activity of the perianal fistulas.\textsuperscript{8,9,15,21} In our study, the mean of ADC value of active and inactive perianal fistulas and their cutoff mean ADC values are comparable with those of the study conducted by Yoshizako et al\textsuperscript{8} and are shown in Table 3.

Combined DWI-T2W images may obviate the contrast MRI scan for perianal fistula evaluation, which was compared in our study sample. Almost equivalent performance of CEMRI on visibility of perianal fistula was found as compared with
Fig. 8 (A–D): 27-year-old male patient with Grade-4 FIA. Axial T2W SPAIR image (A) shows central hyperintense transsphincteric fistula (visibility score 1) in perineal region at 7 O’clock position (→ arrow). Coronal T2W SPAIR image (B) shows the curve transsphincteric opening of the fistula with sphincteric defect (↓ arrow) and minimal surrounding inflammation. Axial DWI and ADC map images (C and D) show diffusion restriction (↑ arrow) within the tract (visibility score 2) with low ADC value (← arrow).

Fig. 9 (A–D): 15-year-old male patient with Grade-4 FIA. Axial and coronal T2W SPAIR images (A and B) show hyperintense fistula in the left perineal region at the 5 O’clock position (→ arrow) with hyperintense minimal surrounding inflammation. Axial DWI and ADC map images (C and D) show bright signals on DWI (↑ arrow) with variable signal intensities on ADC map image (← arrow).

Fig. 10 (A–F): 35-year-old male with Grade-5 FIA. Axial T2W SPAIR images (A and B) show hyperintense transsphincteric fistula at 5 O’clock position with partial horseshoe ramification (↑ arrow). Axial DWI image (C) shows diffusion restriction of the fistula tract (← arrow). The axial and coronal postcontrast images (D–F) show the irregular enhancement of the fistulae with central liquefaction. Supralevator extension of fistula identified (→ arrow) with extension of fistula along the right para-prostatic and para-vesicular spaces which finally open into the anterior abdominal wall (↓ arrow).
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So, those patients of known contraindication for MR contrast agent, DWI, T2W, and combined DWI-T2W images play an important role.

Conclusion

DWI alone is not superior to the T2W regarding the visibility of perianal fistula in our study. However, the best performance was observed for combined DWI-T2W image evaluation, although it was not statistically significant than DWI or T2W alone. Mean ADC value calculation with obtained cutoff ADC value helps in differentiating active from the inactive perianal fistulas. We still need a larger study sample to confirm the visibility of perianal fistulas with DWI, T2W, CEMRI, and combined DWI-T2W.
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Table 3  Literature review of MRI findings of perianal fistula

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Yoshizako et al8</th>
<th>Mohsen and Osman,12 de Miguel Criado et al3</th>
<th>Wang et al14</th>
<th>Present study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of patients</td>
<td>n = 24 with 41 fistulae</td>
<td>n = 38 with 45 fistulae</td>
<td>n = 178</td>
<td>n = 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classification of perianal</td>
<td>Intersphincteric, n = 27 (65.8%)</td>
<td>Grade-1 = 21 (46.67%)</td>
<td>Grade-1 = 44 (24.7%)</td>
<td>Intersphincteric, n = 19 (55.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fistula St James's University Or Parks system</td>
<td>Grade-2 = 4 (8.8%)</td>
<td>Grade-2 = 33 (18.5%)</td>
<td>Grade-2 = 14 (23.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transphincteric, n = 6 (14.6%)</td>
<td>Grade-3 = 4 (8.8%)</td>
<td>Grade-3 = 24 (2.2%)</td>
<td>Transphincteric, n = 9 (26.5%)</td>
<td>Grade-3 = 9 (15.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suprasphincteric, n = 4 (9.75%)</td>
<td>Grade-4 = 5 (11.1%)</td>
<td>Grade-4 = 45 (25.3%)</td>
<td>Suprasphincteric, n = 4 (11.8%)</td>
<td>Grade-4 = 6 (10.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrasphincteric, n = 4 (9.75%)</td>
<td>Grade-5 = 6 (13.3%)</td>
<td>Grade-5 = 13 (7.3%)</td>
<td>Extrasphincteric, n = 2 (5.9%)</td>
<td>Grade-5 = 6 (10.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ADC value of active fistula</td>
<td>0.908 ± 0.171 [SD] x 10^-3 mm^2/s</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.5 [SD] x 10^-3 mm^2/s</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.979 ± 0.441 [SD] x 10^-3 mm^2/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ADC value of active perianal fistula tract</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10^-3 mm^2/s</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ADC value of inactive fistula</td>
<td>1.124 ± 0.244 [SD] x 10^-3 mm^2/s</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.4 [SD] x 10^-3 mm^2/s</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.393 ± 0.256 [SD] x 10^-3 mm^2/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity and specificity of cut-off ADC value in distinguishing active from inactive fistula</td>
<td>Cut-off ADC = 1.109 ± 10^-3 mm^2/s having sensitivity = 95.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cut-off ADC = 1.069 ± 10^-3 mm^2/s having sensitivity = 100%</td>
<td>Cut-off ADC = 1.105 ± 10^-3 mm^2/s having sensitivity = 87.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; SD, standard deviation.

Fig. 11  Boxplot summarizing the range of distribution of the mean ADC values of active and inactive Perianal fistulae in 47 patients. Boxplot of mean ADC value of active and inactive perianal fistula shows a considerable overlap between these two groups. Despite this overlap there was a statistically significant difference between the mean of ADC value of active and inactive fistulae with a p-value of 0.0005.
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Fig. 12 Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of mean ADC value for perianal fistulae in 47 patients. The cut-off mean ADC value was $1.105 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$ used for differentiating active from inactive fistula with a sensitivity of 87.5%, specificity of 73.3%, positive predictive value of 87.5%, negative predictive value of 73.3%, and a diagnostic accuracy of 82.98%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria (Cut off ADC value)</th>
<th>Sensitivity(%)</th>
<th>Specificity(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\leq 0.998$</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\leq 1.105$</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\leq 1.166$</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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