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Introduction
Compliance to hand hygiene (HH) is an important measure in preventing infections to patients in health-care settings. Wellness and safety of patients and health-care workers (HCWs) can be achieved by promoting best practices in infection control through education and advocacy.

Aims and Objectives
To assess the compliance to HH among all cadres of HCWs and its association with hospital-acquired infection (HAI) in patients.

Materials and Methods
A prospective, observational study was conducted for a period of 5 years (January 2014 to December 2018) in Neuro Trauma intensive care unit. A standard checklist based on World Health Organization’s 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene was used as a tool to measure the HH compliance.

Results
HAI rate was found to be directly proportional to the compliance to HH. Reduction in HAI rates was reported when there was an increase in HH compliance. HAI of 4.25% was found to be lowest in the year 2015 with the compliance to HH of 63.65%. The HH compliance was also found to be highest (64.63%) in the year 2016 followed by 64.12% in the year 2017. During this period HAI rates were 4.35% and 4.8%, respectively. When the HH compliance declined in the year 2018 to 53.95%, there was an increase in the rate of HAI to 6.9%.

Conclusion
It could be concluded that HH compliance was associated with the decrease in HAI. HH could be a simple and cost-effective method in the prevention of HAI.
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Hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) are considered as undesirable outcome and since they are preventable, they are considered as a quality indicator of patient care.

The impact of HAI may be prolonged hospital stays, long-term disability, increased resistance of microorganisms to antimicrobials, massive additional financial burdens, an excess of deaths, high costs for the health systems, and emotional stress for patients and their families.1

Transmission of health care-associated pathogens occurs through direct and indirect contact, droplets, air, and/or some common vehicle. Transmission through contaminated HCWs’ hands is a common pattern in most settings.2

Many studies have pointed out that HCWs can contaminate their hands or gloves with pathogens while performing “clean procedures” or touching intact areas of skin of hospitalized patients.3,4,5

HCWs can also become infected during patient care.6,7 In fact, HCWs were infected during the influenza pandemics.1,8

HH has proven to be effective in preventing HAI and the spread of antimicrobial resistance. It has been seen that HCWs find it difficult to comply with HH indications at different levels.1

Aims and Objectives
To assess the compliance to HH among all cadres of HCWs and its association with HAI in patients.

Materials and Methods
A prospective, observational study was conducted for a period of 5 years (January 2014 to December 2018) in a 20-bedded neurosurgery intensive care unit (ICU) of the 248-bed AIIMS Trauma Center, a tertiary care patient care, teaching, and research hospital in Delhi, India. A standard checklist based on World Health Organization’s (WHO’s) 5 Moments for Hand Hygiene was used as a tool to measure the HH compliance. All cadres of HCWs were observed for HH compliance by an infection control nurse posted in the Neuro Trauma ICU by direct observation for a minimum time period of 1 hour per 24 hours. The infection control team held monthly meetings to review the data. A monthly report of data on HH compliance, interventions, and barriers to implementation were discussed and noted.

Results
HAI rate was found to be directly proportional to the compliance to HH (Fig. 1). Reduction in HAI rates was reported when there was an increase in HH compliance (Fig. 2). HAI of 4.25% was found to be lowest in the year 2015 with the compliance to HH of 63.65% (Fig. 3). The HH compliance was also found to be highest (64.63%) in the year 2016 followed by 64.12% in the year 2017 (Fig. 3). During this period HAI rates were 4.35% and 4.8%, respectively (Fig. 3). When the HH compliance declined in the year 2018 to 53.95%, there was an increase in the rate of HAI to 6.9% (Fig. 3).

Discussion
Variable compliance rates to HH have been found in both developed and developing countries. Adherence by HCWs to recommended HH procedures (with mean baseline rates) ranges from 5% to 89%, with an overall average of 38.7%.1 HH compliance among HCWs in an accredited tertiary care hospital as per WHO Guidelines was 78%. Nurses had an adherence rate of 63%; and the allied staff had an adherence of 86.5%.9
In an observational study on HH compliance in ICUs, the mean HH compliance rates increased from 75.1 to 88.6% during the study period, yielding an estimated increase of approximately 4.5% per year. In another study on HH compliance in the ICUs of a tertiary care hospital, it was found out that the overall compliance was 43.2% (301/728) among different HCWs.

About 20 hospital-based studies of the impact of HH on the risk of HAI, published between 1977 and June 2008, showed a temporal relation between improved HH practices and reduced infection and cross-transmission rates.

In a study on the effect of HH compliance on HAIs in an ICU setting, the overall rate of HH compliance by all the HCWs increased from 42.9% preintervention to 61.4% postintervention. The effect of the increase in the HH compliance rate on the nosocomial infection rate was noticeable. There were remarkable reductions in the rate of overall health care-associated infections per 1,000 patient-days, from 37.2 preintervention to 15.1 postintervention; the rate of bloodstream infections decreased from 18.6 to 3.4 per 1,000 central-line-days; and the rate of lower respiratory tract infections decreased from 17.6 to 5.2 per 1,000 ventilator days.

As a note of caution, one study points out that it is common to link HH interventions to infection reduction without reporting on other prevention strategies associated with these high-risk exposures. The logic that good HH compliance contributes to the prevention of HAIs can be accepted. But HH alone cannot singularly inhibit the influence of formidable risk factors for HAI. The HH behavior is complex and is influenced by numerous factors.

**Conclusion**

It could be concluded that HH compliance was associated with the decrease in HAIs. HH could be a simple and cost-effective method in prevention of HAIs. These studies can be done without any funding but with great effect to the health-care setup.
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