Outcome of COVID-19 Infection in Cancer Patients in Pune
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Introduction We document our data on the course of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection in cancer patients in an attempt to help optimize their management in India and globally.

Material and Methods Between February 2020 and January 2021, participating oncologists from Pune (members of the Oncology Group of Pune) documented effect of COVID-19 infection in their cancer patients. Binomial logistic regression analysis as well as correlation analysis was done using Pearson Chi-square test to determine significance of clinical factors.

Results A total of 29 oncologists from 20 hospitals contributed their data involving 147 cancer patients who developed COVID-19 infections. COVID-19 infection resulted in higher deaths (likelihood ratio of 4.4) amongst patients with hematological malignancies (12/44 = 27.2%) as compared with those with solid tumors (13/90 = 14.4%, p = 0.030). Patients with uncontrolled or progressive cancer (11/34 = 32.4%) when they got infected with COVID-19 had higher mortality as compared with patients whose cancer was under control (14/113 = 12.4%; p = 0.020). Complication of thromboembolic episodes (seen in eight patients; 5.4% cases) was associated with higher risk (25.6 times) of death (five-eighths; 62.5%) as compared with those who did not develop it (20/139;14.4%; p <0.001).
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Discussion Patients with cancer should be advised to take strict precautions to reduce the risk of being infected with COVID-19. They should also be given priority for COVID-19 vaccination. If infected with COVID-19, patients with hematological malignancy and uncontrolled cancer are at higher risk of morbidity and mortality. When they are being treated (OPD or inpatient basis), additional precautions are necessary to ensure their exposure to potential COVID-19 virus is minimized. If they get infected with COVID-19, they should be given aggressive treatment to prevent complications, especially thromboembolic episodes. If they develop any thromboembolic complication, their risk of dying are significantly higher, and management should be modified accordingly.

Introduction

As the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic continues to baffle the world, we are facing new characteristics, changing mutations and surrounding circumstances that continue to teach us about the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2 virus). Pune, a city in Maharashtra has been a hotspot during this pandemic.

A lot of conflicting data and opinions have been put forth scientific literature regarding the effect of COVID-19, especially amongst cancer patients. We therefore decided to document some of the features of this viral infection amongst our cancer patients. Our objective was to document the course of the COVID-19 infection in cancer patients and whether any characteristic correlated with outcome. We hoped that the analysis of our data will allow us to optimize management of COVID-19 infection amongst cancer patient in India and globally.

Material and Methods

Member Oncologists of the Oncology Group of Pune decided to collaborate and collectively analyze their real-world experience of cancer patients who had developed COVID-19 infection. This study was conducted over 1 year (February 2020 to January 2021). Participating oncologists provided their anonymous data using a specifically designed online data collection form. The data was then tabulated. Binomial logistic regression analysis was performed to ascertain the effects of age, gender, stage, hematological versus solid tumors, treatments received, the status of cancer, and thromboembolic complications with respect to the outcomes of COVID-19 infection. Correlation analysis was done using Pearson Chi-square test.

Results

A total of 29 oncologists from 20 cancer centers participated in the study. Their data included 147 patients, of which 75 were females and 72 males. Their age ranged from 18 to 83 years, with a median of 60 years. The initial diagnosis of cancer was made between 1997 and 2020.

The cancer types are shown in Table 1. Breast cancer was the commonest diagnosis amongst the group. Solid tumors were seen in 105 (71%) cases and 42 (29%) patients had hematological malignancies.

Of the 147 cancer patients who got infected with COVID-19, 20 were in stage 1; 31 were in stage 2; 36 in stage 3; and 52 in stage 4 (in the eight patients with acute leukemia, staging was not applicable).

On doing correlation analysis with Pearson Chi-square test, the malignancy group was found to be significantly associated with COVID-related deaths with higher deaths (likelihood ratio of 4.4) in hematological malignancies (12/44 = 27.2%) as compared with solid tumors (13/90 = 14.4%, p = 0.030).

Amongst the 147 patients, 95 were on active treatment; another 17 had completed their treatment in the last 6 months; 27 had completed their treatment more than 6 months ago; and nine had not received any anticancer treatment. Their oncology disease status included 34 with progressive disease, 55 with responding cancer, and 59 in complete remission.

Once diagnosed as COVID-19 positive, these patients were treated at 28 COVID-19 designated treatment centers. At initial presentation for COVID-19, 20 patients were asymptomatic; 64 had mild symptoms; 34 had moderate symptoms; and 30 had severe symptoms (including the requirement of ventilation). Their details are shown in Table 2.

Amongst the COVID-19 asymptomatic cases, four were in stage 1, seven in stage 2, six in stage 3 and two in stage 4. (one acute leukemia, stage NA). In the mildly symptomatic group, 10 in stage 1, 12 in stage 2, 16 in stage 3, and 20 in stage 4 (five with acute leukemia, Stage NA). Those with moderate symptoms included four in stage 1, eight in stage 2, eight in stage 3, and 12 in stage 4 (one acute leukemia, stage NA). In the group with severe symptoms, two were in stage 1, four in stage 2, six in stage 3, and 18 in stage 4 (one with acute leukemia, stage NA) (Table 3).

Correlating outcomes of COVID-19 with the status of the cancer, the results are show in Table 4.

The current status of cancer was found to be significantly associated with COVID-19 outcome. Patients having...
uncontrolled malignancy (11/34 = 32.4%) at the time of COVID infection had higher mortality (likelihood ratio = 5.4) as compared with controlled malignancy (14/113 = 12.4%, p = 0.020).

Thromboembolic episodes were seen in a total of eight (5.4%) cases, five of whom (62.5%) died and three recovered.

This is in contrast with 20 (14.4%) deaths in patients without thromboembolic complications. Statistical analysis shows that those who developed thromboembolic complications had 25.6 times (95% CI: 4.3–142.1) higher risk of death (likelihood ratio of 8.9, p < 0.001).

Interestingly, the deaths correlated with the incidence of COVID-19 positivity amongst the cancer patients (maximum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. no.</th>
<th>Severity of COVID-19</th>
<th>No of cases</th>
<th>No of deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Severity of COVID-19 features at presentation and outcome

Abbreviations: CRC, colorectal cancer; MM, multiple myeloma; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; SCCHN, squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck; STS, soft-tissue sarcoma.

Table 3 Outcome of COVID-19 and status of anticancer treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. no.</th>
<th>Statue of anticancer Rx</th>
<th>Alive</th>
<th>Dead (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>19 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Completed within 6 mo</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2 (11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Completed more than 6 mo ago</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>No anticancer Rx received</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1 (11%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Status of cancer and outcome of COVID-19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. no.</th>
<th>Status of cancer</th>
<th>Alive</th>
<th>Dead (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Active and PD</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11 (32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Active and responding</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>7 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>In CR</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>7 (12%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 Correlation of nine predictive variables with respect to outcome of COVID-19 infection amongst cancer patients in Pune

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictive variables</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>Wald</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp (B)</th>
<th>95% CI for EXP(B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>1.608</td>
<td>0.570 to 4.535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignancy_group</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td>2.697</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>2.380</td>
<td>0.845 to 6.702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment_status</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>1.311</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>0.654</td>
<td>0.316 to 1.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last_cancer_treatment</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>1.703</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>1.320</td>
<td>0.870 to 2.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current_status_cancer</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>2.461</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>0.263 to 1.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thromboembolic_comlications_COVID19</td>
<td>3.232</td>
<td>0.903</td>
<td>12.816</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.007 to 0.232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>3.351</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>0.925 to 1.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>0.466 to 1.660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>4.235</td>
<td>2.358</td>
<td>3.226</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>69.065</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Variable(s) entered on step 1: Gender, Malignancy_group, Treatment_status, Last_cancer_treatment, Current_status_cancer, Thromboembolic_Complications_COVID19, Age, Stage.
in months of July, August, and September 2020); and is similar to the incidence of new COVID-19 positive cases in India; peaking in September 2020.8,9

Overall, the logistic regression model was statistically significant, $\chi^2(9) = 28.2, p < 0.0005$. The model explained 29.0% (Nagelkerke $R^2$) of the variance in COVID-related deaths and correctly classified 83.0% of cases. Of the nine predictor variables, only thromboembolic complications were statistically significant (as shown in Table 5).

Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic is relentlessly affecting our world in a manner that we have failed to understand or predict.10 The hope that vaccination shall tame the monster was a premature celebration.11 Also vaccination studies had specifically excluded patients with cancer. So, the impact of COVID-19 on cancer patients remained an enigma.

It is now clear that cancer patients may have poorer outcome when infected with COVID-19.45 We have to simply glance at the overall mortality rate in India (1.2%; total deaths 1,72,115 when total incidence was 1,38,73,825); in United States (1.8%; total deaths: 5,77,179 when total incidence was 3,20,70,840); and globally (2.2%; total deaths 29,74,544 when total incidence was 13,81,06,949) and compare with the mortality rate of 9.8% seen in the apex cancer hospital of India (Tata Memorial Hospital, Mumbai).1,12,13

Like in the general population, COVID-19 infection in cancer patients mimicked the chronological incidence as well as the age of patients (Fig. 1). It also affected cancer patients across the entire spectrum of solid tumors and hematological malignancies. However, COVID-19 infection resulted in higher death rate in patients with hematological malignancies (12/44 = 27.2%) as compared with those with solid tumors (13/90 = 14.4%, $p = 0.030$).

Higher mortality was also seen amongst cancer patients whose malignancy remained uncontrolled when COVID-19 infection occurred (11/34 = 32.4% vs. 14/113 in patients with controlled malignancy; $p = 0.020$).

The most significant factor from our data is the impact of thromboembolic episodes on outcome. They occurred in 8/147 (5.4%) cases, five of whom (62.5%) died (as compared with 20 [14.4%] deaths in patients who did not develop thromboembolic complications; $p < 0.001$). Thus, development of thromboembolic complications was associated with 25.6 times (95% CI: 4.3–142.1) higher risk of death.

It is well known that COVID-19 infected patients have a higher incidence of coagulopathy, disseminated intravascular coagulation, and venous thromboembolism (VTE). They appear to be in a prothrombotic state that can even lead to deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, and death. It is said to be triggered by endothelial damage, microvascular thrombosis, venous occlusion, and/or autoimmune phenomenon. Elevated blood D-dimer (>1.5 μg/mL; normal range: 0.0–0.5 μg/mL) has been shown to predict higher risk of VTE (sensitivity 85.0%; specificity 88.5%). D-dimer has the potential to also serve as a risk stratification tool in COVID-19. In fact, the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH), recommends that if any COVID-19 patient has D-dimer levels which are three to four-times higher than normal, such patients should be hospitalized even if they are asymptomatic. International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis as well as American Society of Hematology have published guidelines. They recommend that all patients (including non-critically ill) who require hospital admission for COVID-19 infection should receive pharmacologic thromboprophylaxis with LMWH, fondaparinux, or similar agents—unless there is increased bleeding risk.14–17

Based on our data we suggest the following take home messages (Table 6) for patients with cancer during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic and especially when the second wave is overwhelming many parts of the world.12,18-21

### Table 6 Take home messages for optimized management of cancer patients during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. no.</th>
<th>Message</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Patients with cancer should take strict precautions to reduce the risk of being infected with COVID-19.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cancer patients should be given priority for COVID-19 vaccination—as vulnerable patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>If exposed or infected with COVID-19, cancer patients should be considered at higher risk of morbidity and mortality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>When cancer patients come to health care facilities to undergo treatment (suggesting that their cancer is yet to be controlled), additional precautions are necessary to ensure their exposure to potential COVID-19 virus is minimized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cancer patients with COVID-19 infections should be treated aggressively to prevent development of any thromboembolic complication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>If cancer patients with COVID-19 infections develop a thromboembolic complication, their risk of dying are significantly higher.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>All patients (including noncritically ill) who require hospital admission for COVID-19 infection should receive pharmacologic thromboprophylaxis with LMWH, fondaparinux, or similar agents—unless there is increased bleeding risk.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; LMWH, low molecular weight heparin.
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