Evaluation of Daytime Sleepiness and Academic Performance in Dentistry Students
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Objective  This study aimed to evaluate the daytime sleepiness and sleep quality of students in different academic years of the dental school program.

Materials and Methods  A total of 221 students, from the 1st to the 5th year, answered the questionnaires: Epworth, Pittsburg, Nasal Obstruction Symptom Evaluation, and Berlin, related to daytime sleepiness, sleep quality, nasal obstruction, and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS), respectively.

Statistical Analysis  The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to assess the normality of continuous quantitative data and, posteriorly, the chi-square test was used to show if there were any associations. The significance level adopted was 5%.

Results  An association between daytime sleepiness and nasal obstruction was observed at the beginning of the school year (T1) ($p = 0.002$) and at the end of the school year (T2) ($p = 0.043$). In T2, an association between nasal obstruction and sleep quality was also observed ($p = 0.026$). The academic performance (AP) was only associated with the OSAS in T1 ($p = 0.012$). There was no significant difference in AP between the beginning and the end of the academic year ($p = 0.935$).

Conclusion  With the methodology used, it was observed that nasal obstruction influenced sleep quality and daytime sleepiness, and daytime sleepiness did not have any influence on AP.
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Introduction

Sleep is a periodic state, commanded by the circadian cycle, when the brain and the body get in a resting state. It is divided into cycles of 90 minutes, and each cycle is subdivided into rapid eye movement (REM) and non-REM sleep.¹

Many factors can affect sleep, leading to many conditions called sleep disturbances, which are relatively usual in a young adult population. About 20 to 41.7% of population suffer from lack of sleep, usually motivated by stress, excessive noise, not having a specific time to go to sleep, and/or low sleep time.² The majority of this population is made of university students, who have changes in their routines due to study habits changes when they begin their academic life in universities.³
Nowadays, there are many self-applied questionnaires used to assess daytime sleepiness, sleep quality, and related factors, as obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS). Of all those questionnaires, we should mention the Epworth Sleepiness Scale, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index, Berlin Questionnaire, and Nasal Obstruction Symptom Evaluation (NOSE) questionnaire, all scientifically validated and largely used in researches.4-11

The daytime sleepiness must be considered a potential public health issue because it can affect not only the students but also the patients, as some studies identified that daytime sleepiness is associated with accidents at work, car crashes, and even medical errors.12,13 Furthermore, there are few researches made to identify the daytime sleepiness prevalence and impacts on health care university students, especially on dental students.14,15

Thus, this study aimed to assess, prospectively, daytime sleepiness, sleep quality, and nasal obstruction in dental students and associate the results with the academic performance (AP). To this end, four self-applied questionnaires (Epworth Sleepiness Scale, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index, Berlin Questionnaire, and NOSE questionnaire) were used, and the AP data from Araraquara Dental School of São Paulo State University’s students were collected in two different times: at the beginning of the school year (T1) and at the end of the school year (T2). This study might show a picture of how daytime sleepiness and sleep quality may affect AP in dental students, and highlight the importance of balancing academic load during graduation years.

Materials and Methods

Sample Selection

There were 255 volunteer students selected from the 1st to the 5th year of the dental school from a total of 375 students (68% questionnaire acceptance), to whom the following questionnaires were applied, direct or indirectly regarding sleep quality and quantity: Epworth Sleepiness Scale, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index, Berlin Questionnaire, and NOSE questionnaire. Adding to that, the following data were collected: body mass index (BMI), AP, sleep quality complaints, and health problems. The AP was obtained by the graduation school administrative office.

Excluded were the sample students who did not agree to sign the consent form and students who had disorders controlled by continuous use medication that may cause sleepiness.

This study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee (CAAE: 58501716.0.0000.5416; number 1.712.532/2016).

Questionnaire Application and Data Collect

The questionnaires were applied in two different times: 2 months after T1 and T2. The AP was assessed at the same two times.

The Epworth Sleepiness Scale is a self-applied questionnaire, which assessed the students’ chance to fall sleep in eight situations regarding their daily activities. The global score ranges between 0 and 24, and scores above 10 suggest daytime sleepiness.5

The Berlin Questionnaire is made up of 10 questions, divided into three categories. The first category is about snore severity during sleep; the second is about the presence of daytime sleepiness; and the third is about high blood pressure history. Students with positive scores in two or three categories are considered high risk to OSAS.6

The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index consist of 19 questions about sleep quality, such as night sleep time, sleep latency (time between lying in bed and falling sleep), and sleep disturbances. These questions are divided in seven groups, each group ranging from 0 to 3, which added to each other to make the Global Score from 0 to 21. Global Scores above 5 indicate bad sleep quality. There are also five other questions in this questionnaire; however, they must be answered by a person who sleeps in the same bed or in the same room with the student. This last five questions regard to clinical information and are not part of the Global Score.4

The NOSE questionnaire assesses the presence of nasal obstruction and its severity on students, and it is made up of five questions ranging from 0 to 4. The score obtained after adding each question score is multiplied by 5, giving a score between 0 and 100; where scores between 0 and 25 indicate low nasal obstruction level, between 30 and 50 moderate level, and above 55 high nasal obstruction level.7

Statistical Analysis

The software used for statistical analysis were SPSS 21.0 (IBM Corp., United States) and GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Prism Inc., EUA). The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to test the continuous quantitative data normality. The sleepiness questionnaire’s data were dichotomized using threshold score above 10 for Epworth Sleepiness Scale, above 5 for Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index, and two or more categories with positive scores for Berlin Questionnaire. The chi-square test was used to show the possible association between sleepiness and overweight, and between daytime sleepiness and the NOSE questionnaire, at T1 and T2. The paired t-test was used to verify the possible association between sleepiness and AP, at T1, and if there was difference between T1 and T2. The significance level chosen for the statistical analysis was 5%.

Results

Two hundred and fifty-five students from the 1st to the 5th year of a dental school answered four self-applied questionnaires (Epworth, Pittsburgh, Berlin, and NOSE). The AP and weight and height (for BMI calculation) were obtained at two different times: T1 and T2. From the 255 volunteer students, 34 withdrawn or did not inform their AP. The final sample number was 221 students.

Sleepiness data from Epworth, Pittsburgh, and Berlin questionnaires were dichotomized using as thresholds scores above 10 for Epworth, above 5 for Pittsburgh, and two positive categories for Berlin. The absolute and percentage quantity of sleepy students of each school year, according to each questionnaire, are shown in Table 1 at T1 and Table 2 at T2.
The results of the chi-square test of the association between sleepiness and overweight in T1 are shown in Table 3 and in T2 in Table 4. Tables 5 and 6 show the results of the association between sleepiness and NOSE questionnaire in T1 and T2, respectively.

It was verified an association between overweight and positive scores in the Berlin Questionnaire ($p = 0.001$). This association was maintained in both T1 and T2. When assessed the association between the NOSE questionnaire (assessment of nasal obstruction) and the other questionnaires, a significant association was found between NOSE questionnaire and Epworth questionnaire in T1 ($p = 0.002$) and T2 ($p = 0.043$). However, the association between NOSE questionnaire and Pittsburgh questionnaire was significant only in T2 ($p = 0.026$). Also in T2, it was observed that the association between NOSE questionnaire and positive values in more than one questionnaire was significant ($p = 0.006$).
The results of paired t-test used to verify the association between sleepiness and AP in T1 and T2 are shown in Tables 7 and 8, respectively.

Significant association between AP and sleepiness was observed in the Berlin Questionnaire, which assesses the presence of OSAS, in T1 ($p = 0.012$) but not in T2 ($p = 0.614$). However, there was no difference between the initial and final AP of the evaluated students ($p = 0.935$), even considering only the students with positive score in at least one questionnaire ($p = 0.927$).

**Table 5** Distribution of sleepiness and nasal obstruction (NOSE questionnaire) of evaluated students, according to sleepiness questionnaire, in T1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questionnaire</th>
<th>Sleepiness</th>
<th>No nasal obstruction</th>
<th>Nasal obstruction</th>
<th>$p$-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epworth</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berlin</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one questionnaire</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*$p < 0.05.$

**Table 6** Distribution of sleepiness and nasal obstruction (NOSE questionnaire) of evaluated students, according to sleepiness questionnaire, in T2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questionnaire</th>
<th>Sleepiness</th>
<th>No nasal obstruction</th>
<th>Nasal obstruction</th>
<th>$p$-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epworth</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.043*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.026*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berlin</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one questionnaire</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.006*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*$p < 0.05.$

**Table 7** Mean and standard deviation of sleepiness variables and academic performance of students, according to sleepiness questionnaire, in T1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questionnaire</th>
<th>Sleepiness</th>
<th>Initial AP</th>
<th>$p$-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epworth</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berlin</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one questionnaire</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviation: AP, academic performance.

* $p < 0.05.$

Discussion
Insufficient sleep can induce sleepiness and impair learning. This happens because sleepiness leads to reduced attention and memory, and consequently, interferes in AP.\(^{16,17}\) Furthermore, there are studies showing that daytime sleepiness reduces operational safety and predisposes to accidents, besides harming the professional’s health.\(^{12,13,18}\) There are several studies that assess sleep quality and daytime sleepiness in medical school students because this may lead to
medical errors. However, studies which seek to identify association between sleepiness or bad sleep quality, usually, collect data in only one period of time, what does not allow to verify if increasing in sleepiness or worsening in sleep quality of students have an association with their AP. Studies with this goal, in health care students, are scarce in literature, and there are hardly any samples from dental school students. Dentistry is an academic course where students treat patients; and this is why studies about daytime sleepiness in this population are important because this may lead to risks to patient’s health in some situations. Therefore, studies, as this one, about assessing associations between daytime sleepiness and bad sleep quality and AP in dental school students, are very relevant.

Different from many authors, sleep quality and AP were assessed in two different times in our study: at the beginning (T1) and at the end of school year (T2). This way, it was possible to lower the bias of a single time assessment, where transitory factors (health problems, psychological problems, etc.) can affect the results. Bahammam et al. in their study, used the Epworth questionnaire to evaluate daytime sleepiness in medical school students. As so in our study, they divided students in groups according to each school year. They found that students with “average” AP had higher scores in the Epworth. In our study, higher scores in Epworth were observed in students in the 1st year of dental school, where 67.7% of these students had daytime sleepiness in T1 and 81.5% in T2. This can be explained, in part, by the fact that students from the 1st and 2nd year have almost only lectures and no practical classes, compared with the other years of dental school. In this context, besides daytime sleepiness, there are other factors that can also be associated with AP, as socioeconomic position, life style (smoking, alcohol intake, and overweight), and mental diseases. This may be true, since we observed an association between overweight and OSAS (Berlin Questionnaire) in both T1 and T2 in our study.

Howell et al. verified that full-time students with bad sleep quality had lower grades compared with students with good sleep quality, in “Introduction to Psychology” classes. On the other hand, half-time students did not have significant differences in grades between good and bad sleepers. However, when the AP in general was assessed, significant differences were found only when sex and age were added as covariants in the statistical analysis. The author did not found an association between daytime sleepiness and AP. The same results were found by Gray and Watson who did not find any association between AP and sleep quality. In our study, the evaluation of AP and sleepiness or sleep quality was made in two different times, aiming to verify if variations in AP could be associated to increase of sleepiness or worsening in sleep quality. However, no significant differences were observed in the student’s AP between T1 and T2 (p = 0.935).

The Pittsburgh questionnaire is more associated to assessment of sleep quality, while the Epworth questionnaire assesses daytime sleepiness. In our study, these two questionnaires were used together with the Berlin questionnaire, which assesses the presence of symptoms of OSAS, and the NOSE questionnaire (about nasal obstruction). It was observed that the percentage of bad sleepers (69.2% in T1 and 68.8% in T2) and sleepy students (58.5% in T1 and 59.3% in T2) was elevated compared with the values found by Alsaggaf et al. who found 30 and 39%, respectively. Zailinawati et al. and Ozder and Eker also found a lower percentage of daytime sleepiness in students, 28.5 and 35.5% in their samples, compared with our study. On the other hand, Rodrigues et al found a similar percentage of daytime sleepiness (61.62%) to our study (58.5–59.3%), and a similar percentage of dental students with bad sleep quality was found by Elagra et al. (72.5%). In this last study, the author found a negative association between student’s AP and bad sleep quality, and this association was stronger in students with more practical activities. Despite this, it is important to consider that the AP was obtained directly from the university registrar office, what increases the reliability of data obtained.

Kang and Chen also evaluated sleep quality and daytime sleepiness in university students in Taiwan by applying the Epworth and Pittsburgh questionnaires. In that study, they also used the Fatigue Severity Scale and observed that irregular bedtime and sleep time mean were associated with only the Pittsburgh questionnaire. Galland et al. made a meta-analysis that identified a clear association between bad AP and sleep breathing disorders in children and teenagers. In our study, we observed association between students
with nasal obstruction (NOSE questionnaire) and excessive daytime sleepiness in T1 ($p = 0.002$). In T2, an association between excessive daytime sleepiness and nasal obstruction ($p = 0.043$) and bad sleep quality ($p = 0.026$) was also observed.

Other studies, as one made by Perez-Lloret et al., also evaluated the association between sleepiness and AP. In that study, the authors evaluated the correlation of sleep duration, daytime sleepiness, attention levels, and AP in teenagers. They observed that the AP correlated with attention decrease, attention decrease correlated with daytime sleepiness, and daytime sleepiness correlated with short sleep time. In our study, it was observed an association between overweight and nasal obstruction with OSAS in both T1 and T2. However, regarding AP, the association found with positive scores in the Berlin questionnaire (for OSAS) occurred only in T1. Veldi et al., connected daytime sleepiness with sleep quality, workload, and BMI in dental students. The authors found an association between overweight and the increase of daytime sleepiness. This association was not verified in our study ($p = 0.676$ in T1, $p = 0.709$ in T2). As well as in our study, Zailinawati et al. did not find an association between sleepiness and overweight.

Lee et al., on the other hand, evaluated 101 teenagers and observed that the insufficient sleep syndrome was associated with many factors, for instance, poor AP and daytime sleepiness. In our study, we observed and association between sleepiness and OSAS (Berlin Questionnaire), but only in T1 (in T2 there was no significant difference). Alóe et al. observed an increase in sleepiness in 111 medical students of University of São Paulo who answered to the Epworth questionnaire. Rhinology 2011;49(2):227–231
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Conclusion
In this context, with the methods used, we observed that nasal obstruction had influence in sleep quality and daytime sleepiness. Nevertheless, in our study sample, daytime sleepiness did not influence the AP. This can be explained, in part, by the fact that the AP was obtained directly by the university registrar office in our study, while in other studies that had shown sleepiness influence over AP, it was self-reported.

Adding to that, regarding the topic importance, more studies with larger samples must be done to assess daytime sleepiness in dental students, since, until this time, most studies assessed medical students only.
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