Evaluation of Serum Levels of Procalcitonin and C-Reactive Protein as Prognostic Indicators in Burns
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Background  Burn is a leading cause of fatality in a developing country. C-reactive protein levels (CRP) and procalcitonin (PCT) can be prognostic indicators for the burn patients’ mortality.

Aim  To assess serial levels of serum PCT and serum CRP as prognostic indicators in burns.

Patient and Methods  In patients admitted with burns, alternate-day serum PCT and CRP were measured from the time of admission until the time of discharge or until survival. The change in trends of CRP and PCT serum levels were studied, and it was then correlated with mortality among these burn patients.

Results  The first-day value of serum PCT > 1772 pg/mL and serum CRP > 71 mg/mL or any value of serum PCT > 2163 pg/mL and of serum CRP > 90 mg/L indicate a poor prognosis in burns.

Conclusions  The day-1 values of PCT and CRP were significantly higher in nonsurvivors than survivors in burns. The increasing trends of serum PCT and CRP levels are independent predictors of mortality in burns requiring prompt intervention. Rising PCT and CRP level denote poor prognosis in burns with an increased likelihood of death by 4.5 and 23.6 times, respectively.
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Introduction

Burns are a major public health concern around the world, causing substantial morbidity and mortality. Various scoring systems used for prognosis are Baux score, APACHE-II, Roi index and a body shape index (ABSI). Most of the tools used for evaluating burn prognosis include age, total burn surface area (TBSA), and inhalational injury; hence, they are insufficient to predict changes in patient’s prognosis during the hospital stay and cannot predict prognosis in patients of similar cohorts. It is imperative to find out criteria that can predict mortality on the first day and during the hospital stay to take necessary corrective measures, in order to improve prognosis. Serum procalcitonin (PCT) and C-reactive protein (CRP) are already being used for predicting infection, sepsis, and ICU mortality, but very few have studied their use in burn mortality. Hence, there is a need to study the effect of day-one PCT and CRP trends on burn mortality.
The assessment of mortality risk in burn patients aids in the development of a management protocol that improves prognosis. PCT is a stable marker for burn inflammation, which is not affected by immunodeficiency states, neutropenia, and steroid and nonsteroid anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). CRP is an acute-phase reactant, which is a surrogate for proinflammatory interleukin (IL-6). We hypothesized that serum levels of PCT and CRP are reliable prognostic indicators in burns. Testing the hypothesis helped determine trends and levels at which we require intervention in burns to prevent a fatal outcome.

Patients and Methods

This prospective observational study, conducted from February 1, 2018, to August 31, 2019, consisted of 51 patients. We did the study after obtaining approval from the institutional ethical committee and obtaining fully informed written consent.

Inclusion criteria—age between 18 to 60 years, admitted within 48 hours of thermal burn injury of 20 to 60%, and total burn surface area (TBSA) without inhalational injury.

Exclusion criteria—pregnancy, patients with associated injuries or comorbidities.

Study procedure—day 1 onward (24 hours to 48 hours of burn injury), we estimated alternate-day serum PCT and CRP until patient death or discharge.

Serum PCT and CRP level was determined by commercially available ELISA test kit (human PCT ELISA, human CRP ELISA), available from BioVendor - Laboratorní medicina a.s., following manufacture’s instruction manual. Samples were diluted three times in dilution buffer. A microplate reader was used to read the absorbance of each well within 5 minutes, ideally at 450 nm with a reference filter of 630 nm. The concentration of serum PCT and CRP was directly proportional to the absorbance and was determined using a standard curve of absorbance value. The value obtained was then multiplied by sample dilution factor 3 to get the actual value.

Average serum concentration taken as a reference value are serum PCT: < 0.5 ng/mL; serum CRP: < 3 mg/dl.

Day 1 and then alternate-day serum PCT and serum CRP levels of all the patients included in the study were measured during their hospital stay. Patients were classified into two groups: nonsurvivors and survivors (discharged). The values and trend of change in of serum PCT and serum CRP were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Comparison of survivors and nonsurvivors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient demography</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>NonSurvivor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.53 ± 12.11</td>
<td>38.53 ± 12.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBSA</td>
<td>51.67 ± 8.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay</td>
<td>8.87 ± 6.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial levels of mean PCT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCT1</td>
<td>2637.87 ± 1505.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCT3</td>
<td>2293.36 ± 659.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCT5</td>
<td>2227 ± 886.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCT7</td>
<td>1790.75 ± 693.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCT9</td>
<td>1865 ± 717.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCT11</td>
<td>1988.67 ± 520.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCT15</td>
<td>2048 ± 385.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCT17</td>
<td>2072.5 ± 38.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial levels of mean CRP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP1</td>
<td>91.33 ± 7.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP3</td>
<td>96.79 ± 2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP5</td>
<td>91.25 ± 3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP7</td>
<td>87.33 ± 8.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP9</td>
<td>83.83 ± 11.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP11</td>
<td>86 ± 7.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP13</td>
<td>75 ± 10.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP15</td>
<td>76 ± 2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson coefficient of CRP and PCT trends</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCT trend</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP trend</td>
<td>− 0.01 ± 0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CRP, C-reactive protein; PCT, procalcitonin; SD, standard deviation; TBSA, total burn surface area.
compared in both the groups. The factors that affect mortality in this study are alternate-day serum PCT level, alternate-day serum CRP level, age, TBSA, and hospital stay.

The smallest sample size required with a 5% level of significance was 37, with the required power of study being 80%. We considered the sample size of 50 to decrease the error.

Statistical Analysis
The data and variables were entered into MS Excel spreadsheet, and SPSS 21.0 was used for the statistical analysis. Pearson correlation coefficient was used to assess PCT and CRP's correlation with a time interval to assess PCT and CRP trends. The cutoff values for serum PCT and serum CRP associated with risk of mortality were analyzed, utilizing the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. Logistic regression and Cox proportional hazard regression were used to determine the association of risk factors with mortality. p-value < 0.05% was considered as statistically significant.

Results
Comparison of Groups
Out of 51 patients enrolled, 36 patients were discharged in a stable condition, and 15 patients expired, forming the survivor and nonsurvivor groups, respectively. Mean age and TBSA were significantly higher in nonsurvivor than survivors. Mean hospital stay was approximately nine days in both groups. Serum PCT and CRP were observed to be significantly higher in nonsurvivors than survivors during the study (►Table 1 and ►Fig. 1).

Trends and Correlations
Survivors had significant negative PCT and CRP trends with the Pearson correlation coefficient of − 0.93 and − 0.82, respectively. Nonsurvivors had a significant positive PCT trend with a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.4. Nonsurvivors had a nonsignificant negative CRP trend with a Pearson correlation coefficient of − 0.01 (►Tables 1, 2 and ►Fig. 1). PCT and CRP’s trend difference in nonsurvivor and survivor groups were highly significant (p-value < 0.0001) (►Table 1).

First-day values of PCT and CRP correlated to TBSA with the Pearson coefficient of 0.54 and 0.87, respectively (p-value < 0.001). PCT1 correlated to age with Pearson coefficient of 0.539 (p-value <0.001). CRP1 correlated to the hospital stay with a Pearson coefficient of 0.394 (p-value 0.004) (►Table 2).

ROC
The ROC curve was used to estimate the cutoff point of various risk factors in predicting mortality by taking their day one value into account. Out of all variables, TBSA was found to be the best predictor of mortality on day 1. Serum PCT1 over 1772 pg/mL and CRP1 above 71 mg/L show poor prognosis, with the Youden index being 0.66 and 0.7222, respectively. (►Table 3).

The ROC curve for PCT and CRP’s highest value were plotted during the study to predict mortality, which denotes a poor prognosis. Any reading in a patient for PCT over 2163 pg/mL was significant, with an are under curve (AUC) of 0.921. Youden index of PCTmax was 0.83. Any reading in a patient for CRP over 90 mg/L was significant, with an AUC of 0.912. Youden index of CRPmax was 0.81 (►Table 3).

Fig. 1 Mean values of PCT and CRP during the hospital stay in nonsurvivors and survivors.
Logistic Regression and Cox Regression

Using univariate logistic regression analysis, and taking mortality as a dependent variable, age, TBSA, PCT and CRP were the significant factors associated with increased risk on day 1. Increasing values of age, TBSA, PCT1 and CRP1 were associated with an increase in mortality risk. Only TBSA was
found to be an independent risk factor for mortality on day 1 in a multivariate logistic regression study (►Table 4).

The risk of time point mortality was calculated using univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard regression. An increasing trend of PCT and CRP was associated with a significant increase in the risk of mortality by 13.4 and 20.8 times, respectively (p-value < 0.001 and 0.0001%), compared with patients who did not have increasing trends of PCT and CRP (►Table 4). On multivariate Cox proportional hazard regression analysis, only PCT and CRP trend were independent risk factors associated with mortality, which increased by 4.5 and 23.6 times, respectively (p-value 0.039 and 0.009), compared with patients who did not have increasing trends PCT and CRP (►Table 4).

Discussion

Sepsis is a leading cause of death in burn patients. The prompt administration of appropriate antimicrobial therapy is the most significant isolated factor for the septic patient’s survival, and any hourly delay is correlated with an increase in mortality. It is also critical to reducing the likelihood of microbial resistance developing. Selecting the appropriate drug to target the microbiological agent, limiting the length of treatment to the absolute minimum to avoid antibiotic resistance, and selective pressure on microorganisms are also essential. This technique has additional benefits such as fewer prescription side effects, lower treatment rates, and, in most cases, shorter hospital stays. It is critical to identify biomarkers that can predict mortality, so that timely intervention can be initiated to improve prognosis. Serum PCT and CRP are already used to predict infection, sepsis, and ICU mortality, but there has been little research into their use in burn mortality, particularly in Indian scenarios.

PCT and CRP levels were significantly higher in non-survivors than in survivors, according to previous studies. Barati et al in 2008 found that raised PCT was associated with higher TBSA. The CRP production response was greater and prolonged when associated with infections. The sequential estimation of CRP is helpful in the management of scald burns in children.

In our study, higher values of PCT1 and CRP1 were associated with an increased risk of mortality. Increasing trends in PCT and CRP denote increased chances of mortality (►Tables 1, 4). Higher PCT1 and CRP1 were found to be associated with higher TBSA. Our study also showed that non-survivors had higher average PCT and CRP levels. The higher value of PCT and CRP on day 1 is associated with an increase in mortality. Rising trends of PCT and CRP denote poor prognosis in burn patients, and the likelihood of death increases by 4.5 and 23.6 times, respectively. For every 1 picogram/mL increase in serum PCT1, the mortality is increased by 0.1%. For every 1 microgram/mL increase in serum CRP1, the mortality is increased by 0.01%. On day 1, higher levels of age, TBSA, PCT1, and CRP1 were associated with an increase in mortality risk. Only TBSA, however, was discovered to be an independent risk factor for mortality on day 1. PCT1 and CRP1 were found to correlate with TBSA. As a result, more research is needed to determine the effect of TBSA on PCT1 and CRP1 and their impact on mortality.

Thus, based on the current study and the previous studies, it is possible to conclude that both physical and laboratory investigations are relevant for burn prognosis. These findings can be used to prognosticate the patients and modify the management.

Serum PCT1 and CRP1 and the increasing trend in serum PCT and CRP levels can be used not only for the prognostication of burn mortality, but also monitoring patients’ response to the management protocol or in deciding if there is any need for change in management plan. Hence, this study shows that not only absolute value on day 1 of admission but trend is also important in prognostication in burns. A larger sample size study is needed to validate the results. Further studies are warranted to examine the role of various interventions, in order to improve the prognosis and their relation with PCT and CRP levels.

Conclusions

In patients with burn injuries, rising trends of serum PCT and CRP values are associated with poor prognosis. Any value of serum PCT > 2163 pg/mL and serum CRP > 90 mg/L during hospitalization indicates poor prognosis with sensitivity 100% and specificity of 80.56% and 83.33%, respectively, and the likelihood of death increasing by 4.5 and 23.6 times, respectively. The trend of change in serum values of PCT and CRP can be used for prognostication of mortality and patient’s response to treatment.
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