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Abstract

Background This study aims to evaluate association between suicidal ideation and pesticide exposure in rural communities of Wardha district of Central rural India compared with villagers who are not exposed to it.

Method It was a cross-sectional study done in rural community of Wardha district in Central India. About 100 farmers who were exposed to pesticides and 100 controls who were not exposed to pesticides were included. A Semistructured proforma to record sociodemographic variables and Indian version of the Self Reporting Questionnaire-20 was used.

Results Of these 200 subjects, data were available for 85 farmers in study group and 74 in control group. In the farmers group, 31% of farmers had suicidal ideas, while 8% of controls felt like ending their life.

Conclusion Measures must be taken to store pesticides at a safer place thus reducing long-term exposure. Organic farming is a better choice to avoid suicidal ideas.

Introduction

India has 16% of the world population but is able to sustain only 2.4% of land resources. Two-thirds of population in India depend on agriculture for its livelihood and agriculture provides employment to approximately 57% of its total population.1 Despite farming being considered as an easy-going way of life, the agricultural sector in India experiences the highest number of suicides in comparison to all other industries.2

Wardha district lies in Vidarbha region of Maharashtra state in the central part of India. Farmers in Vidarbha region grow cotton as their major crop and the region has approximately 3.4 million cotton farmers. According to the web site of Vidarbha Jan Jyoti Andolan Samiti (the Farmers Support Network), 95% of these farmers are struggling with massive debts.
Suicides accounted for 2% of all deaths in the state of Maharashtra in contrast to 1.3% across India. According to national data (the Report of Government of Punjab, Suicide in Rural Punjab, 2009) suicide in India was 10.9/100,000 population. The important factors leading to suicide of farmers are poverty, bankruptcy leading to pressure from loan sharks and banks, debt, rising cost of cultivation and farming commodities, dependence on rainfall, crop failure, urbanization, lack of interest in younger generation toward farming as a profession, and, most importantly, easy availability of poisons or pesticides as a source for committing suicide. More than 70% of population in India lives in rural areas and majority of these are either primarily or secondarily dependent on agriculture for their livelihood. Approximately 58% of total working population in India still depends on agriculture for work, either as farmers or as farm laborers. Farmer suicides have also been reported from other countries of the world like the United Kingdom, Sri Lanka, Canada, and Australia. In India, over the last decade, the number of suicides has increased by 28%. India is ranked second in the world with respect to number of suicides. Every seventh suicide in India is committed by a farmer. The state of Maharashtra accounts for the highest number of farmers’ suicide among all other states in India and has seen an annual increase at the rate of 2.5%. In our region, farmer’s suicide has seen a rising trend by compound rate of 9.8% annually which is more than double. Pesticide consumption and hanging are the methods employed for suicide. Majority of people residing in rural areas are farmers with the exception of a small population working in small scale industries and local places. Organophosphate group of pesticides are used in India most commonly. Though endosulfan is used quite extensively in India, its use has been long prohibited in other countries. 

Looking at other countries, suicide by ingestion of pesticide was the reason in 62% of all suicides in China. In the United Kingdom, firearms were used most commonly followed by hanging and carbon monoxide poisoning. Earlier studies on suicides also have reported high prevalence of pesticide use as a common method of suicide in agricultural parts of Sri Lanka (71%), Trinidad (68%), and Malaysia (>90%). Studies have reported that in Australia, higher suicide rates were observed as compared with general population, whereas studies in Italy show that pesticide applicators had lower incidents of accidents and suicide. Exposure to pesticides has been implicated in higher suicide rates in predominantly agricultural areas of southeastern Spain as compared with other areas of similar sociodemographic and socioeconomic compositions. Multiple studies have demonstrated increased rates of self-poisoning in farmers mostly in Asian countries of Bangladesh, China, and India. A study conducted in Sri Lanka reported that if pesticides are stored safely in fields away from homes, it contributes in a way to decrease the rate of self-poisoning. Risk of self-poisoning is higher in those households where safe storage may not be available. The World Health Organization (WHO) in 2006 also advised similar pattern of storage of pesticides safely to decrease suicides. WHO recommended the design and production of boxes with help of local communities and storage of pesticides in these boxes. Community intervention for the International Association for Suicide Prevention of WHO in 2006 recommended the boxes are to be kept safely in fields, so as to reduce the impulsive acts of self-poisoning. Professor Flemming Konradsen, of Copenhagen University’s Department of International Health, Immunology, and Microbiology has designed one such box. The pesticide container is made from strong plastic. It has an outer and an inner cover, is waterproof, locked and buried in the ground. The farmers normally store their pesticides in their houses or in bags outside. This makes it easily accessible in case a family member should become suicidal. This gives exposure to pesticides as well. Instead, if the pesticides are buried in a container out in the field, it gets harder for people to get their hands on them.

Farmers usually resort to storing their pesticides either in their homes or nearby places. A study conducted recently found that around 65% of farmers committing suicides used chemicals stored in the home in China. Most commonly used pesticides are organophosphates followed by organochlorine (endosulfan). In India, an expert panel on the Social, Environmental, and Economic Performance of Cotton Production (SEEP) found that the national consumption of pesticides was highest in the early 1990s (~75,000 metric tons) and has reduced subsequently.

Organophosphorous compounds had the highest amount of usage among all groups of pesticides in India in the last decade. It was found that suicide rates were higher when organophosphates were used in farming. It has been reported that pesticide exposure can also lead to Alzheimer’s disease and Parkinson’s disease. From a mental health point of view, many studies state that apart from suicide, it can lead to depression and anxiety. Some studies also suggest that it can be a cause of mortality ascribed to mental disorder. On the other hand, some studies state that research in the area of suicidal ideation and pesticide exposure has not been conclusive. A similar study failed to demonstrate any correlation between moderate pesticide use and suicide. Many farmers have also described generalized weakness that they develop following exposure during spraying, as there are several applications per crop.

Although suicide among farmers is rampant in Wardha district as described above, its relation to pesticide exposure has never been studied in this area of Central rural India. This study was done to find out whether any correlation exists between suicidal ideation in farmers with prior exposure to pesticides in comparison to those without exposure to pesticides.

Aims

We sought to evaluate association between suicidal ideation and pesticide exposure in the rural agricultural population of Wardha district of Central rural India in comparison to villagers without any exposure to pesticides by using data from our larger study entitled “Psychological Distress in...
Suicides: A Cross-sectional Comparative Study from Central Part of Rural India.  

Methods

Study Design
Present study is a cross-sectional comparative study.

Study Setting
Study was conducted in a rural agricultural community of Wardha district in Central India. Wardha is in the Vidarbha region of Maharashtra state. Wardha district is 1 of the 36 districts in Maharashtra state in central India and is a part of Nagpur Division. As per census of 2011, Wardha district had a population of 1,300,774 of which male and female were 668,385 and 632,389 respectively. It has eight counties which are, Wardha, Deoli, Seloo, Arvi, Ashti, Karanja, Hinganghat, and Samudrapur. 

Ethics Committee approval was obtained from Institute Ethics Committee for Research on Human Subjects. Before participating in the study, written informed consent was obtained in local language (Marathi) from the subjects.

Sample Size
A total number of 100 farmers were included in the study who had been exposed to pesticides for more than 10 years. Age, sex-matched 100 controls who were not exposed to pesticides were included in the study for comparison.

We selected 1:1 age (±2 years), sex-matched controls who were residing in same village or nearby villages (as few persons are in the villages who are not involved in farming, therefore samples were taken from nearby villages in the same geographic location). Controls and cases were not related to each other. In a situation where eligible controls could not be identified or declined to participate in the study, adjacent lanes were visited for selection of controls. Controls were selected randomly and were screened for presence of any psychopathology by psychiatric assessment.

Sampling Procedure
Samples were randomly selected based on inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Tools Used
1. Semistructured proforma to record sociodemographic variables: this proforma was used to collect sociodemographic details, such as age, sex, marital status, education, occupation, and others, were recorded.

2. The Self-Reporting Questionnaire-20: Indian version of the Self-Reporting Questionnaire-20 (SRQ-20) was used. SRQ-20 was devised by WHO to screen for psychological distress in primary health care settings, especially in developing countries. WHO in 1994 stated that this scale had good validity and reliability for adults (≥15 years), can be used both as a self-rated or interviewer-administered questionnaire and consists of 20 closed questions covering suicidal ideas. Earlier studies reported that this instrument has been widely used in a variety of settings in different countries. It has high face, content, criterion, and construct validity. Many earlier studies have validated the use of the SRQ in India. A study in India demonstrated good internal consistency and a high discriminating ability for the questionnaire, but when compared with clinical interview, questionnaire demonstrated strong positive predictive value only when sensitivity was reduced. In a recent study in Pune, India, sensitivity and specificity of SRQ-20 were reported to be 83% and 92%, respectively, as compared against interview by psychiatrist.

Inclusion Criteria
- Both the sexes were included.
- Farmers who had earlier attempted suicide in the past but were given timely intervention were included.
- Farmers were exposed to pesticides were included for the study group.
- Control group comprised of villagers involved in professions other than farming, who were not exposed to pesticides but belonged to same or nearby villages.
- Farmers those who had a history of prior exposure to pesticides for a period of 10 years or more were only included in the study.

Exclusion Criteria
- Farmers having history of prior psychiatric illness.
- Families where prior suicide had taken place.
- Family members who were not willing to participate.

Results
Overall, 200 subjects in study and control groups (100 in each group) were eligible for the study. Data were available for 85 farmers in the study group and 74 villagers in the control group. Overall response rate was 85% in study group and 74% in control group. The reason was either they were not willing to participate or not available during the visit.

It has been found that farmers mostly belonged to the age group between 40 and 49 years (Fig. 1). Control group mostly belonged to the age group below 30 years of age (Fig. 2). In the study group, 47% had marginal land below 1 hectare, 28% of farmers had small land between 1 and 2 hectares, and 19% of farmers had semi medium land between 2 and 4 hectares. Only 6% of farmers had medium to large land, more than 4 hectares (Table 1).

In the SRQ, 56% of farmers had headache in comparison to 36% of controls. Also, 71% of farmers reported low appetite in comparison to only 39% of controls. Around 59% of farmers reported to have sleep disturbances in comparison to 20% of controls. Another significant difference was that 74% of farmers reported feeling unhappy, while only 28% of controls did so. Again, 73% of farmers reported of having lost interest in things, while only 16% of controls reported the same. In the farmers group, 54% felt worthless, while in control group, only 10% felt worthless. In the farmers group, 31% of farmers felt like ending their life or had suicidal ideas, while 8% of controls...
felt like ending their life. Significantly high number of farmers around 68% felt tired all the time and 55% reported to be tired easily. In comparison only 28% of controls felt tired all the time and 20% of controls were easily tired. Also 63% of farmers reported to feel uncomfortable in their stomach in comparison to 19% of controls.

Discussion

In our study, we found that a positive correlation existed between pesticide exposure and suicidal ideations, as 31% of farmers reported suicidal thoughts, while in the control group (who were not exposed to pesticide), only 8% reported having suicidal ideas. This finding was similar with many earlier studies on pesticide exposure and farmers suicide.

Table 1 Distribution of farmers by size of land owned in hectares

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land (hectares)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marginal (&lt;1)</td>
<td>40 (47.05%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small (1–2)</td>
<td>24 (28.23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi medium (2–4)</td>
<td>16 (18.82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium-Large (&gt;4)</td>
<td>05 (5.88%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 Age wise distribution of farmers.

Fig. 2 Age wise distribution of control group.
Many similar studies have reported that use of pesticides is associated with suicidal thoughts and behavior. Some studies, however, have found no significant relationship between suicidal ideation and pesticide exposure. Picket et al did not find any relationship between suicide and following exposure measures: (1) the costs of purchased agricultural chemicals, (2) acres sprayed with insecticides, and (3) acres sprayed with herbicides among a group of Canadian farmers. Another study by Beard et al did not report any association of moderate pesticide use with suicidal ideation. Organic farming may play a significant role in throwing some light on this association in the future.

### Table 2: Responses to self-reporting questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question no.</th>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Cases (% of yes responses)</th>
<th>Controls (% of yes responses)</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Do you often have headaches?</td>
<td>47 (55.29)</td>
<td>27 (36.49)</td>
<td>0.0104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Is your appetite poor?</td>
<td>60 (70.59)</td>
<td>29 (39.19)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Do you have sleep disturbances?</td>
<td>50 (58.82)</td>
<td>14 (18.92)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Are you easily frightened?</td>
<td>19 (22.35)</td>
<td>07 (9.46)</td>
<td>0.0180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Do you feel nervous, tense, or worried?</td>
<td>37 (43.53)</td>
<td>18 (24.32)</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Do you see hands tremble?</td>
<td>29 (34.12)</td>
<td>08 (10.81)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Is your digestion poor?</td>
<td>46 (54.12)</td>
<td>23 (31.08)</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Do you have trouble thinking clearly?</td>
<td>44 (51.76)</td>
<td>13 (17.57)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Do you feel unhappy?</td>
<td>63 (74.12)</td>
<td>21 (28.38)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Do you cry more than usual?</td>
<td>40 (47.06)</td>
<td>06 (8.11)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Do you find it difficult to enjoy your daily activities?</td>
<td>50 (58.82)</td>
<td>12 (16.22)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Do you find it difficult to make a decision?</td>
<td>33 (38.82)</td>
<td>11 (14.86)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Is your daily work suffering?</td>
<td>34 (40.00)</td>
<td>13 (17.57)</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Do you feel you are not usefully contributing in life?</td>
<td>31 (36.47)</td>
<td>07 (9.46)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Have you lost interest in things?</td>
<td>62 (72.94)</td>
<td>12 (16.22)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Do you feel that you are a worthless person?</td>
<td>46 (54.12)</td>
<td>08 (10.81)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Have you thought about ending your life?</td>
<td>26 (30.59)</td>
<td>6 (8.11)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Do you feel tired all the time?</td>
<td>58 (68.24)</td>
<td>21 (28.38)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Do you have uncomfortable feelings in your stomach?</td>
<td>53 (62.35)</td>
<td>14 (18.92)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Are you easily tired?</td>
<td>47 (55.29)</td>
<td>15 (20.27)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Bold signifies important points in drawing inference.
**Limitations of Study**

This study includes the following limitations:

- Being a cross-sectional study involving one-time assessment, no inferences can be conclusively made through this study.
- Respondent bias in hope of seeking some financial gains may affect the findings, as this was the part of bigger study where farmers were expecting some compensation.
- One of the questionnaires has a strong positive predictive value only at the cost of decrease in sensitivity.
- As detailed evaluation with help of clinical interview was not done, complete assessment of the mental health status of participants was not possible.
- Needs further study in these areas as many had other depressive symptoms

In this study, suicidal ideation was found to be present in 31% of the population included in the study group (i.e., farmers with exposure to pesticide which were included in study), whereas suicidal ideation was present in only 8% of those belonging to control group sample. It was also noticed that those who had suicidal ideations also had symptoms of depression on SRQ when compared with those in control group.

**Conclusion**

Our study concludes that in the farmers group, 31% of farmers had suicidal ideas while 8% of controls felt like ending their life, and these were statistically significant. In view of this, measures must be taken to store pesticides at a safer place, thus reducing long-term exposure. Pesticides in the villages should be stored in a common place outside the village. Organic farming is a better choice to avoid exposure to pesticides which may avoid suicidal ideas.

**Implications of Study**

1. Our study mandates to take into consideration the effects of organophosphorous pesticides in farming in India and suicidal ideation.
2. Measures must be taken to store pesticides at a safer place, rather than in houses of farmers thus reducing long-term exposure.
3. The spraying of pesticides in farms should be performed by different individuals, so that the exposure to pesticides is not more than 1 hour.
4. Organic farming is a better choice to avoid suicidal ideas.

**Ethical Approval**

The study was granted approval by the Medical Ethics Committee of Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Wardha, Maharashtra, India. All procedures performed in this study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. No animal or human studies were performed by the authors for this article. Informed consent was compulsorily obtained from all individual participants before inclusion in the study.

**Authors’ Contributions**

This work was performed in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding**

None.

**Conflict of Interest**

None declared.

**Acknowledgment**

The authors are grateful to all the farmers and villagers who participated in our study. Also, they are thankful to Dr. Debolina Chowdhury for going through the script and giving positive suggestions.

**References**

Anne R. New invention helps reduce pesticide suicides. Science Nordic 2012;06:20
Chincholkar SV. Use of SRQ in psychiatric epidemiolog. Indian J Community Med 2004;XXIX(04):190–191
Booth N, Briscoe M, Powell R. Suicide in the farming community: methods used and contact with health services. Occup Environ Med 2000;57(09):642–644
Smith CLM. Elements of Molecular Neurobiology, 2nd ed., Chichester, NY: Wiley; 1996