A Rare Case of Sporadic Inclusion Body Myositis with Atypical Presentation
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Abstract

Sporadic inclusion body myositis (IBM) is the most common acquired inflammatory myopathy that occurs after the age of 50 years. IBM typically involves wrist and finger flexors and quadriceps, but all sporadic IBM may not have the classic presentation of distal arm and proximal leg involvement. Treating physicians must be aware of this atypical presentation to avoid the misdiagnosis of IBM, leading to treatment with immunosuppressive agents. The aim of this study is to increase the awareness among physicians about the atypical presentation of IBM and to emphasize the importance of muscle biopsy in such cases. Here we report a case of 52 years old male diagnosed with sporadic IBM by muscle biopsy presented with atypical presentation.
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Introduction

Sporadic inclusion body myositis (IBM) is the most common acquired muscle disease in patients older than 50 years of age.¹ It is becoming more prevalent because of the increasing age of the population, the emerging development of more inclusive diagnostic criteria, and the advent of a diagnostic autoantibody. Prevalence of sporadic IBM ranges from 5 per million to 71 per million, while this variability may relate to geographic location.²

In most cases, the presenting symptoms are related to weakness of the quadriceps femoris and other proximal lower limb muscles. However, some patients have unusual presentations such as dysphagia, foot-drop, “dropped-head,” or camptocormia due to weakness of the paraspinal muscles, while scapuloperoneal or facioscapulohumeral patterns of weakness have also been reported.³ Sporadic IBM with the atypical presentation was underdiagnosed or misdiagnosed, which will affect the quality of life. In such a case, muscle biopsy plays an important role in the diagnosis.

Case Report

A 55-year-old male presented with complaints of insidious onset of symmetrical flaccid weakness (proximal more than distal) involving bilateral upper and lower limb for the past 6 months. On further questioning, the patient described difficulty in standing and getting up from squatting or sitting posture. He also developed difficulty in climbing stairs, raising hands above the head, and difficulty in combing hairs. He also had erythematous skin rash over bilateral nasolabial fold, anterior aspect of chest, and back of the neck for the past 6 months.

On examination, he had the following pattern on muscular strength testing: neck flexors were 5/5, bilateral shoulder abduction (supraspinatus) was 3/5; bilateral shoulder abduction (pectoralis major and minor) was 3/5; bilateral shoulder flexion and extension (deltoid) were 3/5, bilateral elbow flexion (biceps) and extension (triceps) were 3/5; bilateral wrist flexion (flexor carpi ulnaris, flexor carpi radialis, flexor digitorum) and wrist extension (extensor carpi...
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Discussion

Sporadic (IBM) is one of several chronic adult inflammatory myopathies. Its prevalence varies, but it may be as high as 35 per 1 million adults over age 50, with a slight male predominance. IBM typically manifests as slowly progressive weakness of quadriceps muscle more than hip flexors leading to frequent falls or difficulty in standing and next common problem would be finger flexor weakness leading to loss of dexterity.

However, all sporadic IBM may not have the classic presentation of distal arm and proximal leg involvement. In this case, it can present with symmetrical weakness where the proximal group of muscles involved more than the distal group of muscles without complications like dysphagia and most commonly misdiagnosed as polymyositis or dermatomyositis.

In such case, muscle biopsy and imaging of muscle play an important role in diagnosis. The recent identification of a serum autoantibody against anti-5'-nucleotidase, cytosolic IA in patients with sporadic IBM has offered a new clinical tool.

Anticytosolic 5'-nucleotidase is a highly specific diagnostic marker for IBM among patients with myopathy. Other blood biomarkers for IBM include an abnormal population of large granular lymphocytes on flow cytometry and a reduced CD4/CD8 ratio with an increased CD8 count.

High-dose corticosteroids are considered the first-line treatment. Unfortunately, IBM does not typically respond to any known immunotherapies. The mainstay of treatment is physical and occupational therapy to improve function and swallowing therapy. Patients with dysphagia may benefit from intravenous immunoglobulin therapy, along with esophageal balloon dilation or cricopharyngeal myotomy. Most patients require a wheelchair within 10 to 15 years of onset of symptoms. Life expectancy is not significantly altered in IBM.
Conclusion

Sporadic IBM with atypical presentation is still difficult to diagnose and unfortunately remains frequently misdiagnosed; in such cases, muscle biopsy and muscle imaging play an important role in diagnosis. Although sporadic IBM is rare and without effective therapy, accurate diagnosis is crucial to providing adequate patient counseling and information about the prognosis and course of the disease. Patients with IBM are highly motivated and should be encouraged to participate in clinical trials.
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