

Neuropsychological Profile of Traumatic Brain Injury Patients with Medicolegal Cases: A Pilot Study

Rajakumari P. Reddy¹⁰ Anna R. Mathulla¹⁰ Jamuna Rajeswaran¹ Dhaval P. Shukla² Simi K. Prakash¹

¹Department of Clinical Psychology, National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

²Department of Neurosurgery, National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

Address for correspondence Rajakumari P. Reddy, PhD, Department of Clinical Psychology, National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences, Hosur Road, Bengaluru 560029, Karnataka, India (e-mail: rajkumari227@yahoo.com).

Indian | Neurotrauma 2023;20:107-115.

Method The aim of the study was to evaluate the cognitive functions, postconcussion, and depressive symptoms in TBI patients with MLC and without MLCs (non-MLC). Patients were also assessed on electrophysiological parameters. An observational cross-sectional design was adopted, the sample size was 30 TBI patients in total, 15 (MLC) and 15 (non-MLC), and 11 patients from each group for electrophysiological assessment. The patients were in the age range of 18 to 50 years.	Abstract	Introduction Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a global health problem and is a silent epidemic of the modern times. Studies indicate litigation is a prominent factor that accounts for poor outcome and prolonged recovery from mild TBI. Depression is the most frequently diagnosed psychiatric disorder after TBI. Postconcussion symptoms, litigation, and suboptimal effort could contribute to the neuropsychological functioning of TBI patients medicolegal cases (MLCs). With increase in TBI and medicolegal cases, there is a requirement for comprehensive neuropsychological assessment.
ResultsThe MLC group had poor performance compared with the non-MLC group on both neuropsychological and electrophysiological measures. There was evidence of significant difference in verbal working memory, verbal learning, and memory and visuoconstructive ability. In the MLC group, postconcussion and depressive scores were negatively correlated with visuospatial span.EEGConclusionFindings from this study indicate differences in the neuropsychological performance and electroencephalographic measures in between MLC and non-MLC groups. The results could be indicative of persistent cognitive problems associated with TBI for patients pursuing litigation. Poor performance could also be attributed to suboptimal level of effort. However, being a preliminary study with a small sample size, the findings need to be treated with caution	 Keywords traumatic brain injury cognition EEG medicolegal case postconcussion syndrome neuropsychology cognitive impairment 	Method The aim of the study was to evaluate the cognitive functions, postconcussion, and depressive symptoms in TBI patients with MLC and without MLCs (non-MLC). Patients were also assessed on electrophysiological parameters. An observational cross-sectional design was adopted, the sample size was 30 TBI patients in total, 15 (MLC) and 15 (non-MLC), and 11 patients from each group for electrophysiological assessment. The patients were in the age range of 18 to 50 years. Results The MLC group had poor performance compared with the non-MLC group on both neuropsychological and electrophysiological measures. There was evidence of significant difference in verbal working memory, verbal learning, and memory and visuoconstructive ability. In the MLC group, postconcussion and depressive scores were negatively correlated with visuospatial span. Conclusion Findings from this study indicate differences in the neuropsychological performance and electroencephalographic measures in between MLC and non-MLC groups. The results could be indicative of persistent cognitive problems associated with TBI for patients pursuing litigation. Poor performance could also be attributed to suboptimal level of effort. However, being a preliminary study with a small sample size, the findings need to be treated with caution.

article published online September 20, 2022

DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0041-1740943. ISSN 0973-0508.

© 2022. The Author(s).

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction so long as the original work is properly cited. (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers Pvt. Ltd., A-12, 2nd Floor, Sector 2, Noida-201301 UP, India

Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a global public health crisis typically caused by contact and inertial forces acting on the brain. TBI can have a physical, cognitive, emotional, and psychosocial impact across the lifespan of an individual. An epidemiological study undertaken by Gururaj¹ at National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences (NIMHANS) in the period March 2000 to March 2003 revealed that in India nearly two million people sustain brain injuries, 0.2 million lose their lives, and nearly a million need rehabilitation services in a year. Patients with mild TBI (mTBI) most often have impairments in immediate memory, attention, speed, and executive functions. In TBI patients, depression is the most common comorbid psychiatric disorder. TBI is associated with adverse such decreased social outcomes as activity, unemployment, reduced quality of life, and suicide.² Research shows that symptom reporting in the TBI group was significantly associated with age, gender, preinjury alcohol abuse, preinjury psychiatric history, and severity of injury.^{3–9} A meta-analytic review of neuropsychological studies of mTBI at varied stages postinjury found that speed of processing, working memory, attention, memory and executive function were most sensitive to dysfunction in individuals, with memory being predominantly affected in the acute phase, and showing resolution with time.¹⁰ A cross-sectional study of 13,332 individuals from the Brain Health Registry with history of repetitive head injury (RHI) or TBI showed evidence of worsening neuropsychiatric and cognitive functioning in later life.¹¹ Litigation is the process of taking legal action in the case of injury, it involves ascertaining the circumstances, impact, and responsibility regarding an injury obtained, in the court of law, and is referred to as a medicolegal case (MLC). Most individuals with mTBI have complete recovery; however, some may experience persistent symptoms that appear inconsistently with the severity of the injury. Often symptoms may be ascribed to malingering, exaggeration, or poor effort on cognitive testing. Studies examined the influence of poor effort on symptoms and neurocognitive performance following TBI on patients receiving financial compensation and found that they performed poorly on attention and executive functioning indexes. Hiploylee et al¹² compared litigants with nonlitigants in a sample of 285 patients with concussion. The extent and degree of sequelae depended on the severity and location of the injury and was mitigated by premorbid and postinjury factors such as pain, work status, litigation status, and support. Subrahmanyam and Agrawal¹³ in 2012 studied the medicolegal issues faced by TBI patients in India. They explored the consequences of TBI and the medicolegal requirements for such cases. Yattoo et al¹⁴ studied the factors that impact the outcome of TBI in a tertiary care hospital; they found that factors such as early recognition, resuscitation, and triage, imaging, and aggressive surgical management improved that outcome of severe head injury. Individuals seeking financial compensation are four times more likely to give poor effort on neuropsychological testing, with studies reporting 40% base rate of poor effort/test invalidity in personal injury cases.¹⁵ The presence of an ongoing MLC is a significant factor and should be taken into account when evaluating cognitive impairment following TBI.¹⁶ Studies suggest that effort and symptom could influence performance in TBI.¹⁷⁻²⁵ There is a dearth of studies on the neuropsychological profile of TBI patients with MLCs in India. The neuropsychological assessment and interpretation is primarily driven by normative data, behavioral observation, and clinical interviews. With the increase in TBI and associated accurate neuropsychological MLCs, the need for assessment and reporting is critical. The focus of this study was to profile neurocognitive functions using a battery of neuropsychological tests coupled with an electrophysiological measure (electroencephalography, EEG). The aim of the study was to identify the neuropsychological profile of TBI patients to compare the neuropsychological performance of patients with and without MLCs and evaluate associated postconcussion and depression symptoms.

Methodology

The objective of the study was to assess attention, processing speed, verbal and visual working memory, visuoconstructive ability, verbal learning and memory, visual learning and memory, postconcussive symptoms and depressive symptoms as well as electrophysiological parameters in TBI patients and to compare the performance of those with and without an MLC. An observational, cross-sectional, single assessment design was adopted. The subjects were recruited from the neurosurgery outpatient services during the period July 2016 to March 2017. Convenience sampling technique was used. The sample size for the study was 30, 15 TBI patients with litigation (MLC group) and 15 TBI patients without litigation (non-MLC group), and 11 subjects from consented for participating each group in the electrophysiological assessment. The inclusion criteria were as follows: age range of 18 to 50 years, right-handed individuals, ability to read and write with corrected vision/hearing, and history of head injury within a period of 3 months to 2 years prior to recruitment. Those with history of posttraumatic epilepsy, major psychiatric disorders, or neurological disorder and neurosurgical condition other than head injury, clinical evidence of mental retardation, surgery, substance dependence, severe sensorimotor, or language deficits were excluded from the study.

Materials

The sociodemographic and clinical proforma was developed by the researcher. Cognitive tests were selected from the NIMHANS Neuropsychological Battery.²⁶ The Digit Symbol Substitution Test (DSST)²⁷ a test of mental speed was used to assess the visuomotor coordination, motor persistence, sustained attention, and response speed. The color trail test (CT 1 and CT 2)²⁸ was used to assess focused attention, perceptual tracking, and mental flexibility. Verbal working memory was assessed using the n-back test²⁹ and visuospatial span was measured using the spatial span test.³⁰ Rey's Auditory Verbal Learning Test (AVLT)³¹ was used to assess verbal learning and memory. Complex figure test (CFT)³² assessed the visual constructive ability, visual learning, and memory. The Rey 15-item memorization test^{33,34} was used to establish possible suboptimal performance that could imply possible malingering. The Rivermead Post Concussion Symptom Questionnaire (RPQ) ³⁵ was used to measure postconcussion symptoms and Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)³⁶ was used to measure depressive symptoms. The EEG was performed with the contingent continuous performance task, as an attention task (CONCPT),³⁷ and the Halstead finger tapping task was used as a motor task.³⁸ For the electrophysiological measure, the EEG/ERP (event-related potentials) was recorded using the Neuroscan from eight discrete along with one ground and two reference channels using the standard 10 to 20 montage, and electrode impedances were kept at less than 15 Kilo Ohms at each site. The recording consisted of two parts eyes closed (3 minutes) and task phase. The motor speed, attention, and memory tasks developed on the Neuroscan Stim software (version 2.2) were utilized. Data was analyzed using software for EEG analysis for both active and eyes closed.

Procedure

Recruitment was initiated after obtaining approval from the Internal Ethics Committee of the Department of Clinical Psychology. The patients were informed about the nature of the study. Those who met the inclusion criteria were recruited after obtaining a written informed consent. The overall duration for assessment was \sim 5 to 6 hours. Neuropsychological tests were paper pencil tests and required a maximum of 3 to 4 hours. The EEG evaluation required \sim 2 hours. The participants were given adequate rest periods to reduce the effects of fatigue.

Table	1	Sociodemographic	variables	of	MLC	and	non-MLC	groups
-------	---	------------------	-----------	----	-----	-----	---------	--------

Results

The results obtained were analyzed using descriptive statistics such as means and standard deviation for continuous variables, frequencies, and percentages for and qualitative variables. The neuropsychological electrophysiological assessment data was analyzed using nonparametric tests, since the distributions for neuropsychological and electrophysiological assessment were not normal. *p*-Value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Sociodemographic Variables

The sociodemographic findings (> Table 1) of both MLC and non-MLC TBI patients are given below. The mean age of the MLC group was $33.13(\pm 9.37)$ years and the mean age of the non-MLC group was $32.93 (\pm 8.66)$ years. There was no significant difference between the two groups with respect to age. The mean number of years of education was 10.73 (± 2.81) years in the MLC group and 13.07 (± 4.07) in the non-MLC group. The number of years of education in both groups was comparable, and there was no significant difference (p = 0.264). The male: female ratio was 13:2 in both groups. There was no statistical difference between both groups with regard to marital status (p = 0.489). In terms of employment, 33.3% patients were employed in the MLC group and 73.3% were employed in the non-MLC group. There was no statistical difference between both groups with regard to employment (p = 0.066). Majority of patients from both groups were from the middle socioeconomic status (53.3% MLC group; 46.7% non-MLC group). Socioeconomic status did not differ significantly between groups. The severity was determined with the scores of Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) (score of 13-15 was mTBI, 9-12 moderate TBI, and 3-8 severe TBI). From the medical records and GCS scores of the patients, it was found that 84% of the patients had mild traumatic injury.

Sociodemographic variables		MLC (<i>n</i> = 15)		Non-MLC (<i>n</i> = 1	5)	p-Value
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Age (y)		33.13	9.37	8.66	32.93	0.952
Education (y)		10.73	2.815	4.079	13.07	0.0769
Sociodemographic Variables		MLC (n = 15)		Non-MLC (<i>n</i> = 15)		<i>p</i> -Value
		n	%	n	%	
Education	School	11	73.3	7	46.7	0.264
	College	4	26.7	8	53.3	
Employment	Employed	5	33.3	11	73.3	0.066
	Unemployed	10	66.7	4	26.7	
Marital status	Married	6	40	9	60	0.489
	Unmarried	6	40	5	33.3	
	Separated	3	20	1	6.7	

Abbreviations: MLC, medicolegal case; SD, standard deviation.

Neuropsychological Profile

Neuropsychological functions from various cognitive domains were assessed and analyzed using the two tailed Mann–Whitney U test. **-Table 2** shows the neuropsychological deficits of all the participants. On the AVLT, 70% of patients had deficits in verbal learning and memory (66.66% immediate recall; 70% delayed recall), and on the complex figure test 56.66% had deficits in delayed recall for visual material. About 50% of patients had deficits in mental speed (53.33% on DSST), 40% of the patients had deficits in visual recall (43.33% CFT immediate recall) and focused attention (46.66% CT 1; 40% CT 2), 30% of the sample had deficits in verbal working memory (36.6% on the visual working memory task.

A comparison of the neuropsychological functions of both groups was made (**-Table 3**). Results showed a significant difference between MLC and non-MLC patients on verbal working memory in terms of correct responses and errors (n-back 2, Hits{ p = 0.041}, Errors {p = 0.044}). On the test of visuospatial construction, there was evidence of significant difference between both groups (CFT copy p = 0.029). The MLC group performed significantly poorer on the test of verbal learning and memory, particularly in the recognition trial (hits and misses, p = 0.047) The performance of both MLC and non-MLC groups was comparable on the tests of mental speed, focused attention, visuospatial working memory, and visual learning and memory (p > 0.05).

Postconcussion and Depressive Symptoms

The data from the self-report measures of RPQ and BDI indicated that both groups were relatively asymptomatic. The postconcussion and depressive symptoms were comparable and there was no statistically significant difference between both MLC and non-MLC groups (**-Table 4**). A two-tailed Spearman rank correlation was

Table 2 Neuropsychological deficits in TBI patients (n = 30)

Cognitive domains	Test variables	No. of patients with deficits	Percentage
Mental speed	Digit Symbol Substitution (time taken)	16	53.33
Focused	CT 1 (time taken)	14	46.66
attention	CT 2 (time taken)	12	40
Verbal	1 back hits	11	36.66
working memory	2 back hits	9	30
Visual working memory	Visuospatial span	8	26.66
Verbal learning and	AVLT (total words recalled)	21	70
memory	AVLT-IR	20	66.66
	AVLT-DR	21	70
Visuospatial construction	СҒТ-сору	19	63.33
Visual	CFT-IR	13	43.33
learning and memory	CFT-DR	17	56.66

Abbreviations: AVLT-DR, Auditory Verbal Learning Test delayed recall; AVLT-IR, Auditory Verbal Learning Test immediate recall; CFT-DR, complex figure test delayed recall; CFT-IR, complex figure test immediate recall; CT, colors trail test; TBI, traumatic brain injury.

computed for the neuropsychological functions with BDI and RPQ for both groups (**-Table 5**). In the MLC group, results obtained indicated that the visuospatial span was negatively correlated with symptoms of postconcussion and

Table 3 Comparison of the neuropsychological profile of MLC and non-MLC groups

Tests	Median MLC group $n = 15$	Median non-MLC group n = 15	Mann–Whitney U test	Sig.
Digit Symbol Substitution	526 (680–322)	310 (405–201)	72	0.093
Color trail-1	104 (154–90)	85 (139–55)	79.5	0.171
Color trail-2	235 (339–150)	142 (196–120)	74.5	0.115
n-back 1 hit	7 (9–6)	8 (9–7)	85.5	0.247
n-back 1 error	1 (3–0)	1 (2–1)	100.5	0.609
n-back 2 hit	4 (6-4)	8 (8-6)	64	0.041
n-back 2 error	5 (6-4)	3 (5–1)	64.5	0.044
Spatial span	14 (16–8)	14 (16–10)	107	0.818
AVLT recognition hits	11 (14–10)	14 (15–14)	65.5	0.047
AVLT misses	4 (5–1)	1 (4–0)	65.5	0.047
CFT-copy	26 (31–20)	34 (35–29)	60	0.029
CFT-immediate recall	14 (18-8)	20 (30–12)	76.5	0.134
CFT-delayed recall	11 (15–9)	22 (28–10)	71	0.085

Abbreviations: AVLT, Auditory Verbal Learning Test; CFT, complex figure test; MLC, medicolegal case.

Tests	Group	Mean	SD	p-Value
RPQ	MLC	7.20	6.87	0.472
	Non-MLC	9.13	7.68	
BDI	MLC	9.33	10.14	0.873
	Non-MLC	9.87	8.16	

Table 4 Postconcussion and depression scores of MLC and non-MLC groups

Abbreviations: BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; MLC, medicolegal case; RPQ, Rivermead Post Concussion Symptom Questionnaire.

depression. In the non-MLC group, it was found that on the test of verbal learning and memory, total recall, and immediate recall of words was negatively correlated with depressive symptoms and postconcussion symptoms.

Electrophysiological Parameters

Relative power distribution of different frequency bands was analyzed. The relative contribution of each frequency band was expressed in terms of the percentage of power contributed (**-Table 6**). Results for the Rey 15 memorization test indicated that there was significant difference in α band in electrode TP8 between MLC and non-MLC groups. Performance of non-MLC patients was better when compared with MLC patients. The latency related to P300 indicated that the non-MLC group as compared with the MLC group had significantly shorter latencies at three left frontal electrodes. There was no significant difference in amplitude between two groups (**-Table 7**). Results from the EEG indicated significant difference in β , theta, and α band between the two groups on the finger (right) tapping test. Latency related to P300 indicated that there were significantly shorter latencies in the non-MLC group at F3 on the continuous performance test.

Discussion

The sociodemographic variables education, of socioeconomic status, and marital status of both MLC and non-MLC groups were comparable. The neuropsychological data revealed that the majority of TBI patients had deficits in verbal learning and memory, visual recall, and mental speed. In addition, there were deficits in attention, verbal, and visual working memory. Frencham et al¹⁰ in a metaanalytic review found that speed of processing, working memory, attention, and executive functions were the most sensitive indicators of impairment in mTBI. Attentional and processing speed deficits are commonly reported after TBI that is supported by several studies.³⁹⁻⁴⁴ TBI patients have difficulty in organizing new information that impedes the encoding and retrieval process. Research has shown that TBI has a greater effect on verbal and visual memory. Temporal lobes, medial temporal regions, and orbitofrontal regions are vulnerable to the effects of TBI that disrupts memory formation and retention functions.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶

The comparison of neuropsychological functions of the MLC and non-MLC groups showed comparable performance in mental speed, attention, visuospatial span, visual learning, and memory. However, there was a significant difference in verbal working memory, verbal learning and memory, and visuospatial construction. On the verbal working memory task, the total number of correct responses were lower and the number of errors were higher for the MLC group. In view of the sample size, nonparametric testing was used to compare the data for both groups, and the generalizability of the findings is restricted. Studies show that working memory deficits are common and are sensitive to brain damage. The frontal lobe that is responsible for executive functions and working memory is particularly vulnerable to the TBIs due to coup and contre-coup insults to the brain.^{10,29,47} With respect to verbal learning and memory the MLC group showed significantly lower learning and recall

Table 5 Correlation between neuropsychological functions with BDI and RPQ

Neuropsychological Tests	RPQ (r _s)	BDI (r _s)	RPQ (r _s)	BDI (r _s)
	MLC group		Non-MLC group	
Digit Symbol	0.102	-0.059	0.224	0.258
Color trail 1	0.237	0.110	0.183	0.299
Color trail 2	0.162	0.002	-0.013	0.081
Spatial Span	-0.578*	-0.569*	0.001	-0.168
AVLT total correct	0.155	0.298	-0.570^{*}	-0.538*
AVLT-IR	-0.063	0.109	-0.589^{*}	-0.610*
AVLT-DR	0.044	0.214	-0.247	-0.268
СҒТ Сору	-0.101	0.068	-0.269	-0.359
CFT-IR	-0.027	0.198	-0.306	-0.323
CFT-DR	-0.291	-0.122	-0.287	-0.332

Abbreviations: AVLT-DR, Auditory Verbal Learning Test-delayed recall; AVLT-IR, Auditory Verbal Learning Test-immediate recall; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; CFT-IR, complex figure test immediate recall, CFT-DR, complex figure test delayed recall; MLC, medicolegal case; RPQ, Rivermead Post Concussion Symptom Questionnaire; r_s, Spearman's Correlation coefficient. *Negatively corelated.

Electrode	Frequency band	Median MLC group $n = 11$	Median non-MLC group n = 11	Mann–Whitney U test	Sig.
T7	Delta	1.447	1.498	47	0.375
	Theta	3.367	3.064	59	0.922
	Alpha	3.062	2.952	57	0.818
	Beta	2.070	2.879	31	0.053
TP7	Delta	1.385	1.483	60	0.948
	Theta	3.843	0.664	42	0.224
	Alpha	4.473	3.528	57	0.818
	Beta	2.749	3.362	46	0.341
TP8	Delta	1.616	1.228	41	0.200
	Theta	4.106	0.764	45	0.309
	Alpha	3.765	6.991	30	0.045
	Beta	2.301	2.195	55	0.718

Table 6 Comparison of relative power on the Rey 15 memorization test

Abbreviation: MLC, medicolegal case.

Table 7 Comparison of amplitude and latency for P300 on continuous performance test

Electrode		Median MLC group, n = 11	Median non-MLC group, n = 11	Mann– Whitney U test	Sig.
F3	Latency	511	389	30	0.042
	Amplitude	5.387	5.057	59	0.922
F4	Latency	535	373	39	0.158
	Amplitude	3.843	0.664	54	0.670

Abbreviation: MLC, medicolegal case.

of words. When compared with the non-MLC group, the MLC group had significant errors on the recognition task of the AVLT, pointing to underutilization of recognition cues in verbal recall. Research has shown that TBI patients tend to have a difficulty in consolidation of new information; they have less proactive interference and impaired acquisition. However, they do not differ in the benefit experienced from semantic or recognition retrieval cues.^{45,48,49} The weaker performance on the recognition task could also be an indicator of poor effort, as recognition clues should ideally help in retrieval. Forced choice tests or recognition tasks are often used for detecting malingering or decreased effort in patients.⁵⁰ On the visuospatial construction test, the MLC group had significantly poor performance as compared with non-MLC group. Mild traumatic injury has a significant effect on verbal and visual memory domains initially. But typically 3 months postinjury most patients show improvement across cognitive domains. In the current study, factors such as severity of injury, location of injury, or time since injury have not been considered while comparing the neuropsychological functions of the MLC and non-MLC group. Neuropsychological functioning and recovery post-

Indian Journal of Neurotrauma Vol. 20 No. 2/2023 © 2022. The Author(s).

TBI vary across individuals and domains; for instance, in moderate-to-severe TBI, recovery may take several years. Time since injury is also a significant moderator of neuropsychological functioning post-TBI.^{10,51} Research initial effect of mTBI indicates that the on neuropsychological functioning tends to dissipate quickly. On the other hand, memory complaints may occur due to poor effort, in view of ongoing litigation or financial incentives. In addition to the above-mentioned functions, across all neuropsychological domains there was an observed difference in the performance of the MLC group, wherein their performance levels were lower than the non-MLC group.

An evaluation of the postconcussion and depressive symptoms showed that both groups did not have significant symptoms on either parameter. This finding is consistent with results reported by ⁴⁶ which suggest fairly low mean frequency scores for both patients with mTBI and patients with minor injuries. Dikmen et al⁵² in a longitudinal study of cognition and posttraumatic symptoms found that most neuropsychological and functional problems decreased by year 1; however, three or more posttraumatic symptoms persisted for about half of the individuals. Another longitudinal study of postconcussion syndrome patients concluded that postconcussion syndrome may be permanent if recovery has not occurred by 3 years. The symptoms occur in a predictable order, and additional symptoms reduce recovery rate by 20%. Correlation of neuropsychological functions with postconcussion symptoms and depression was done to explore the relationship between cognitive functioning and selfreported symptoms. There was significant correlation between some neuropsychological functions with BDI and RPQ in both groups. There was negative correlation between visuospatial working memory and symptoms of postconcussion and depressive symptoms in the MLC group. It was found that a higher spatial span was correlated with lesser postconcussion and depressive symptoms on both RPQ and BDI. Working memory functions are most commonly associated with postconcussion symptoms, after head trauma.53,54 Several studies highlight the presence of working memory impairments in patients with depressive symptoms.^{2,55} In the non-MLC group, there was correlation between total learning and immediate recall with postconcussion and depressive symptoms. Reddy et al⁴³ in a study found that both verbal and visual learning and memory were negatively correlated with postconcussion symptoms. The present study also showed a negative correlation between verbal learning and memory and depression. Kizilbash et al⁵⁶ found that depressive symptoms had an adverse effect on immediate recall of new information and the total amount of acquisition. The findings suggest that cognitive functions such as impaired working memory, verbal learning, and recall are related to individual reports of postconcussion symptoms and mood symptoms.

An evaluation of the electrophysiological parameters and neuropsychological functions showed that the finger tapping test (right hand) indicated no significant difference in β , theta, and α band frequencies between two groups. However, there was an observed difference between the groups. Performance of MLC patients was better than non-MLC patients for both right hand and left hand on finger tapping test. The cerebellum is known to be involved in event-based timing of repetitive movements. Cerebellar damage might cause deficit in finger tapping and disrupted timing of discontinuous movements.⁵⁷ The anterior cingulate cortex within the prefrontal cortex is increasingly considered as a brain region activated during tasks requiring conflict-monitoring and allocation of attention.⁵⁸ On the continuous performance test, P300 results indicated that the non-MLC group had significantly shorter latencies than the MLC group This was not due to inability to perform fast movements, since the MLC group of both finger movements was much higher than the non-MLC group. Obtained results for 15 memory test indicated that there was significant difference in the α band for both groups. Performance of non-MLC patients was better as compared with MLC patients. Several studies have found that effort and symptoms can influence neuropsychological performance and functioning post-TBI.¹⁷⁻²⁵ Litigation status may mediate the profile of neuropsychological performance, symptoms, and even recovery following TBI.¹⁶

Conclusion

TBI creates a medical, social, and economic burden on the world at large. There are multiple sequelae of head injury including postconcussion symptoms, depression symptoms, and cognitive deficits. Cognitive functions are a vital part of both basic and instrumental activities of daily living, and are critical to recovery and adaptive functioning. In the current study, clinical evaluation of the TBI patients suggested that at the time of assessment, all subjects were able to comprehend test instructions and there was no evidence of any developmental or comorbid psychiatric, or neurological disorders. The TBI patients consisted of an MLC group that was seeking compensation (incentive) for the injury and a non-MLC group that did not pursue litigation. The MLC group performed weaker across cognitive domains when compared with the non-MLC group. The findings of the study showed evidence of cognitive deficits in TBI patients. In addition, those pursuing MLCs performed significantly poorer on tests of verbal working memory, verbal learning and memory, and visuospatial construction. Self-report measures of postconcussion and depression symptoms did not indicate significant problems. However, there was correlation between postconcussion measures, depression measures, and working memory. There was also evidence of variations in the electrophysiological measures on tests of attention and memory for the MLC group. It may be inferred that TBI patients follow a heterogeneous and protracted recovery pattern; some patients could be experiencing cognitive challenges in the form of working memory impairments, processing speed, learning, and memory difficulties. These impairments may be the reason for pursuing legal action. Alternatively, litigation itself may be a factor affecting the recovery process. The poor performance of the MLC group on the recognition tasks could be indicative of suboptimal effort, which could be an underlying factor affecting the neuropsychological profile of TBI patients with MLCs.

The limitations of the study include small sample size, participants not matched for age, gender, duration of illness, education, employment, marital status, and socioeconomic status. Consideration factors such as severity, location of imaging data would have yielded injury, more comprehensive results. The neuropsychological data was comprehensive; however, the tasks and analysis of EEG were limited to only three tests. For the EEG, the present study focused on frontal and temporal regions; however, inclusion of other regions would have been valuable. The use of symptom validity tests would have aided in determining the presence of malingering. In view of the above limitations, generalization of the results will be restricted. Future studies in this area should include larger samples, matched for parameters of age, gender, education, and brain injury parameters so that findings may be generalized with more confidence. The study evaluated symptoms and performance through behavioral, cognitive, and electrophysiological measures. The implication of this study is the presence of significant difference in neuropsychological functions in TBI patients with and without MLCs, and the possibility of suboptimal effort. This study emphasizes the need for comprehensive neuropsychological assessment, symptom validity and effort testing while assessing patients with MLC and TBI. This being one of the first studies to evaluate the neuropsychological and electrophysiological parameters in TBI with MLCs in India could further contribute to the development of forensic neuropsychological assessment practices.

Conflict of Interest None declared.

References

- 1 Gururaj G. Road traffic deaths, injuries and disabilities in India: current scenario. Natl Med J India 2008;21(01):14–20
- 2 Hartlage S, Alloy LB, Vázquez C, Dykman B. Automatic and effortful processing in depression. Psychol Bull 1993;113(02): 247–278
- 3 Kwok FY, Lee TM, Leung CH, Poon WS. Changes of cognitive functioning following mild traumatic brain injury over a 3-month period. Brain Inj 2008;22(10):740–751
- 4 Dikmen SS, Corrigan JD, Levin HS, Machamer J, Stiers W, Weisskopf MG. Cognitive outcome following traumatic brain injury. J Head Trauma Rehabil 2009;24(06):430–438
- 5 Dikmen S, Machamer J, Fann JR, Temkin NR. Rates of symptom reporting following traumatic brain injury. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2010;16(03):401–411
- 6 Konrad C, Geburek AJ, Rist F, et al. Long-term cognitive and emotional consequences of mild traumatic brain injury. Psychol Med 2011;41(06):1197–1211
- 7 FasliSidheek KP. Neuropsychological functioning, social cognition and emotion perception in traumatic brain injury. [Dissertation] Department of Clinical Psychology, National Institute of Mental Health And NeuroSciences, Bangalore; 2014:102
- 8 Barker-Collo S, Jones K, Theadom A, et al; BIONIC Research Group. Neuropsychological outcome and its correlates in the first year after adult mild traumatic brain injury: a population-based New Zealand study. Brain Inj 2015;29(13-14):1604–1616
- 9 Metting Z, Spikman JM, Rödiger LA, van der Naalt J. Cerebral perfusion and neuropsychological follow up in mild traumatic brain injury: acute versus chronic disturbances? Brain Cogn 2014; 86:24–31
- 10 Frencham KAR, Fox AM, Maybery MT. Neuropsychological studies of mild traumatic brain injury: a meta-analytic review of research since 1995. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2005;27(03):334–351
- 11 Alosco ML, Tripodis Y, Baucom ZH, et al. Late contributions of repetitive head impacts and TBI to depression symptoms and cognition. Neurology 2020;95(07):e793–e804
- 12 Hiploylee C, Dufort PA, Davis HS, et al. Longitudinal study of postconcussion syndrome: not everyone recovers. J Neurotrauma 2017;34(08):1511–1523
- 13 Subrahmanyam BV, Agrawal A. Medico-legal issues in patients of traumatic brain: Indian perspective. Indian J Neurotrauma 2012;9 (02):117-122
- 14 Yattoo GH, Tabish SA, Afzal WM, Kirmani A. Factors influencing outcome of head injury patients at a tertiary care teaching hospital in India. Int J Health Sci (Qassim) 2009;3(01):59–62
- 15 Podell K, Gifford K, Bougakov D, Goldberg E. Neuropsychological assessment in traumatic brain injury. Psychiatr Clin North Am 2010;33(04):855–876
- 16 Matsuzawa YK, Dijkers MP. The experience of litigation after TBI.I: barriers to recovery. Psychol Inj Law 2014a7:388–396
- 17 Lange RT, Pancholi S, Bhagwat A, Anderson-Barnes V, French LM. Influence of poor effort on neuropsychological test performance in U.S. military personnel following mild traumatic brain injury. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2012;34(05):453–466
- 18 Lange RT, Iverson GL, Brickell TA, et al. Clinical utility of the Conners' continuous performance test-II to detect poor effort in U.S. military personnel following traumatic brain injury. Psychol Assess 2013;25(02):339–352
- 19 Schiehser DM, Delis DC, Filoteo JV, et al. Are self-reported symptoms of executive dysfunction associated with objective executive function performance following mild to moderate traumatic brain injury? J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2011;33(06): 704–714
- 20 Belmont A, Agar N, Azouvi P. Subjective fatigue, mental effort, and attention deficits after severe traumatic brain injury. Neurorehabil Neural Repair 2009;23(09):939–944

- 21 Spencer RJ, Drag LL, Walker SJ, Bieliauskas LA. Self-reported cognitive symptoms following mild traumatic brain injury are poorly associated with neuropsychological performance in OIF/OEF veterans. J Rehabil Res Dev 2010;47(06):521–530
- 22 Green P, Flaro L, Courtney J. Examining false positives on the Word Memory Test in adults with mild traumatic brain injury. Brain Inj 2009;23(09):741–750
- 23 Green CM, Kirk JW, Connery AK, Baker DA, Kirkwood MW. The use of the Rey 15-Item Test and recognition trial to evaluate noncredible effort after pediatric mild traumatic brain injury. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2014;36(03):261–267
- 24 Tsanadis J, Montoya E, Hanks RA, Millis SR, Fichtenberg NL, Axelrod BN. Brain injury severity, litigation status, and selfreport of postconcussive symptoms. Clin Neuropsychol 2008;22 (06):1080–1092
- 25 Bashem JR. Detecting Suboptimal Effort in Traumatic Brain Injury Assessment. [Thesis]. Wayne State University; 2012:180
- 26 Rao SL, Subbakrishna DK, Gopakumar K. NIMHANS Neuropsychology Battery. Bangalore: NIMHANS Publication; 2004
- 27 Wechsler D. Manual for the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised. New York: Psychological Corporation; 1981
- 28 D' Elia LF, Satz P, Uchiyama CL, White T. Color Trails Test Professional Manual. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources; 1996
- 29 Smith EE, Jonides J. Storage and executive processes in the frontal lobes. Science 1999;283(5408):1657–1661
- 30 Milner B. Interhemispheric differences in the localization of psychological processes in man. Br Med Bull 1971;27(03):272–277
- 31 Schmidt M. Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test: A Handbook. Los Angeles, CA: Western Psychological Services; 1996
- 32 Meyers JE, Meyers KR. Rey Complex Figure Test and Recognition Trial Professional Manual. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources; 1995
- 33 Rey A. The Clinical Examination in Psychology. Paris: University Press of France; 1964:1964
- 34 Lezak MD. Neuropsychological Assessment. 3rd edition. New York: Oxford University Press; 1995:611
- 35 King NS, Crawford S, Wenden FJ, Moss NEG, Wade DT. The Rivermead Post Concussion Symptoms Questionnaire: a measure of symptoms commonly experienced after head injury and its reliability. J Neurol 1995;242(09):587–592
- 36 Beck AT, Steer RA. Manual for the Beck Depression Inventory. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corp; 1993
- 37 Beck LH, Bransome ED Jr, Mirsky AF, Rosvold HE, Sarason I. A continuous performance test of brain damage. J Consult Psychol 1956;20(05):343–350
- 38 Halstead WC. Brain and Intelligence: A Quantitative Study of the Frontal Lobes. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press; 1947
- 39 Stierwalt JA, Murray LL. Attention impairment following traumatic brain injury. Semin Speech Lang 2002;23(02):129–138
- 40 Ashman TA, Gordon WA, Cantor JB, Hibbard MR. Neurobehavioral consequences of traumatic brain injury. Mt Sinai J Med 2006;73 (07):999–1005
- 41 Brenner LA. Neuropsychological and neuroimaging findings in traumatic brain injury and post-traumatic stress disorder. Dialogues Clin Neurosci 2011;13(03):311–323
- 42 Dymowski AR, Owens JA, Ponsford JL, Willmott C. Speed of processing and strategic control of attention after traumatic brain injury. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2015;37(10):1024–1035
- 43 Reddy RP, Rajeswaran J, Devi BI, Kandavel T. Cascade of traumatic brain injury: A correlational study of cognition, postconcussion symptoms, and quality of life. Indian J Psychol Med 2017;39(01): 32–39
- 44 Kaltiainen H, Liljeström M, Helle L, et al. Mild traumatic brain injury affects cognitive processing and modifies oscillatory brain activity during attentional tasks. J Neurotrauma 2019;36(14): 2222–2232

- 45 Rohling ML, Binder LM, Demakis GJ, Larrabee GJ, Ploetz DM, Langhinrichsen-Rohling J. A meta-analysis of neuropsychological outcome after mild traumatic brain injury: re-analyses and reconsiderations of Binder et al. (1997), Frencham et al. (2005), and Pertab et al. (2009). Clin Neuropsychol 2011;25(04):608–623
- 46 Ponsford J, Willmott C, Rothwell A, et al. Factors influencing outcome following mild traumatic brain injury in adults. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2000;6(05):568–579
- 47 McAllister TW, Flashman LA, McDonald BC, Saykin AJ. Mechanisms of working memory dysfunction after mild and moderate TBI: evidence from functional MRI and neurogenetics. (Abstract) J Neurotrauma 2006;23(10):1450–1467
- 48 Vanderploeg RD, Crowell TA, Curtiss G. Verbal learning and memory deficits in traumatic brain injury: encoding, consolidation, and retrieval. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2001;23(02):185–195
- 49 Geary EK, Kraus MF, Pliskin NH, Little DM. Verbal learning differences in chronic mild traumatic brain injury. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2010;16(03):506–516
- 50 Langeluddecke PM, Lucas SK. Quantitative measures of memory malingering on the Wechsler Memory Scale—Third edition in mild head injury litigants. Arch Clin Neuropsych 2003;18(02): 181–197

- 51 Millis SR, Rosenthal M, Novack TA, et al. Long-term neuropsychological outcome after traumatic brain injury. J Head Trauma Rehabil 2001;16(04):343–355
- 52 Dikmen S, Machamer J, Temkin N. Mild traumatic brain injury: longitudinal study of cognition, functional status, and post-traumatic symptoms. J Neurotrauma 2017;34(08): 1524–1530
- 53 King NS. Post-concussion syndrome: clarity amid the controversy? Br J Psychiatry 2003;183(04):276–278
- 54 Dean PJA, Sterr A. Long-term effects of mild traumatic brain injury on cognitive performance. Front Hum Neurosci 2013;7:30
- 55 Channon S, Green PSS. Executive function in depression: the role of performance strategies in aiding depressed and non-depressed participants. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1999;66(02):162–171
- 56 Kizilbash AH, Vanderploeg RD, Curtiss G. The effects of depression and anxiety on memory performance. Arch Clin Neuropsychol 2002;17(01):57–67
- 57 Farkas Z, Szirmai I, Kamondi A. Impaired rhythm generation in essential tremor. Mov Disord 2006;21(08):1196–1199
- 58 Fallgatter AJ, Bartsch AJ, Herrmann MJ. Electrophysiological measurements of anterior cingulate function. J Neural Transm (Vienna) 2002;109(5-6):977–988