Social Disparities in Maternal Smoking during Pregnancy
Based on Data from the German KiGGS Study

Soziale Unterschiede im mütterlichen Rauchverhalten während der Schwangerschaft

Authors
B. Kuntz, T. Lampert

Affiliation
Department of Epidemiology and Health Monitoring, Unit “Social Determinants of Health”,
Robert Koch Institute, Berlin

Key words
● maternal smoking
● pregnancy
● social status
● migration background
● health inequality

Schlüsselwörter
● mütterliches Rauchen
● Schwangerschaft
● Sozialstatus
● Migrationshintergrund
● gesundheitliche Ungleichheit

Abstract

Background: Maternal smoking during pregnancy represents a significant developmental risk for the unborn child. This study investigated social differences in maternal smoking behavior during pregnancy in mothers living in Germany. The study focused on maternal age at delivery, social status and migration background.

Method: The evaluation of data was based on two surveys carried out as part of the German Health Interview and Examination Survey for Children and Adolescents (KiGGS) carried out in 2003–2006 and in 2009–2012. The study compared the information given by parents of children aged between 0 and 6 years who were born either in the period from 1996 to 2002 (KiGGS baseline study, n = 4818) or in the period from 2003 to 2012 (KiGGS Wave 1, n = 4434). Determination of social status was based on parental educational levels, occupational position and income. Children classified as having a two-sided migration background either had parents, both of whom had immigrated to Germany, or were born abroad and had one parent who had immigrated to Germany; children classified as having a one-sided migration background had been born in Germany but had one parent who had immigrated to Germany. Results: The percentage of children whose mothers had smoked during pregnancy was 19.9% for the older birth cohort and 12.1% for the younger birth cohort. In both birth cohorts, the probability of being exposed to tobacco smoke was twice as high for children whose mothers were aged < 25 years at delivery compared to the children of older mothers. Children from socially deprived families were most affected by smoking behavior, and the relative social differences were found to have even increased over time (KiGGS baseline study: OR = 6.34; 95% CI = 4.53–8.86; KiGGS Wave 1: OR = 6.87; 95% CI = 5.03–9.44).

Zusammenfassung

Hintergrund: Das mütterliche Rauchen während der Schwangerschaft stellt für die Entwicklung des unbeborenen Kindes ein erhebliches Risiko dar. Untersucht werden soziale Unterschiede im Rauchverhalten während der Schwangerschaft bei Müttern in Deutschland. Das Alter der Mutter bei der Geburt des Kindes, der Sozialstatus und ein etwaiger Migrationshintergrund stehen dabei im Fokus.


Ergebnisse: Der Anteil der Kinder, deren Mütter während der Schwangerschaft rauchten, lag in der älteren Geburtskohorte bei 19.9%, in der jüngeren bei 12.1%. Kinder, deren Mütter bei Geburt < 25 Jahre alt waren, sind in beiden Geburtskohorten rund doppelt so häufig Tabakrauch ausgesetzt gewesen wie Kinder älterer Mütter. Am stärksten betroffen sind Kinder aus sozial benachteiligten Familien, wobei die relativen sozialen Unterschiede im Zeitverlauf sogar noch zugenommen haben (KiGGS-Basis: OR = 6.34; 95%-KI = 4.53–8.86; KiGGS Welle 1: OR = 13.88; 95%-
OR = 13.88; 95% CI = 6.85–28.13). A two-sided migration background was associated with a lower risk of exposure to smoking.

**Conclusions:** The KiGGS results are in accordance with the results of other national and international studies which have shown that the percentage of mothers who smoke during pregnancy is declining. Because of a change in the method how data are collected for the KiGGS survey (written questionnaire vs. telephone interview) the trend results must be interpreted with caution. Measures aimed at preventing smoking and weaning women off smoking should focus particularly on younger and socially deprived mothers.

**Introduction**

Health starts in the womb, and maternal smoking during pregnancy represents a significant developmental risk for the unborn child [1–5]. The toxic substances present in tobacco smoke can damage the placenta, which is why the rates for pregnancy complications such as miscarriage, prematurity and stillbirth are higher for women who smoke [6–8]. Nicotine, carbon monoxide and other harmful substances enter the fetal blood circulation and impair the supply of oxygen to the fetus, thus inhibiting key growth and maturation processes. The neonates born to women who smoke are, on average, both smaller and lighter and have a slower rate of growth and maturation. The neonates born to women who smoke are, on average, both smaller and lighter and have a slower rate of growth and maturation. The neonates born to women who smoke are, on average, both smaller and lighter and have a slower rate of growth and maturation. The neonates born to women who smoke are, on average, both smaller and lighter and have a slower rate of growth and maturation. The neonates born to women who smoke are, on average, both smaller and lighter and have a slower rate of growth and maturation.

Stopping smoking before or during pregnancy can significantly reduce the risk of complications of pregnancy and lower the negative impact on the health of mother and child [32–34]. From a public health point of view, preventing pregnant women and women of childbearing age from smoking or weaning them off smoking has assumed a great importance [35]. The public health target “Reduce tobacco consumption” was developed as one of the national public health targets and updated in 2015; one of the five sub-goals was reducing maternal smoking during pregnancy [36]. Repeated epidemiological studies on the prevalence of smoking during pregnancy are associated with significant economic burden and involve substantial costs for the healthcare system [30,31].

Both international and national studies have concurred in showing that there are significant demographic and social differences with regard to the distribution of maternal smoking behavior in pregnancy. Particularly young, poorly educated and socially deprived women are significantly more likely to smoke during pregnancy than older, better educated and socially better-off women [38,40,41,42,44,45,46,47,48]. There are only a few studies on the impact of a migration background on maternal smoking [46,55,58,59].

This study was based on data collected by the Robert Koch Institute (RKI) as part of its German Health Interview and Examination Survey of Children and Adolescents (KiGGS) and focuses primarily on three issues: how high is the percentage of women in Germany who smoke during pregnancy? What role do maternal age at delivery, social status and migration background play for maternal smoking behavior during pregnancy? How do social differences in maternal smoking behavior during pregnancy develop based on a comparison of two birth cohorts (1996–2002 and 2003–2012)?

**Method**

**Data basis**

KiGGS is part of the health monitoring system of the RKI and was designed as a combined cross-sectional and cohort study. The goals, concept and design of the KiGGS study have been described in detail elsewhere [60–63]. KiGGS aims to repeatedly obtain national prevalence data for the state of health of children and adolescents living in Germany and covering an age range of 0–17 years. The KiGGS baseline study (2003–2006) consisted of surveys, medical examinations, tests and laboratory analyses; KiGGS Wave 1 (2009–2012) was carried out as a telephone-based sur-
vey. The KiGGS baseline study was a pure cross-sectional study of a total of 17,641 surveyed persons aged 0–17 years and had a participation rate of 66.6%. The names of persons invited to participate were obtained by stratified random sampling in 167 locations across Germany and were drawn by chance from the population registers [61]. The sample used in KiGGS Wave 1 consisted – on the one hand – of a new cross-sectional sample of children aged 0 to 6 years, who in their turn were again drawn by chance from the population registers of the original study locations. However, KiGGS Wave 1 also invited the former participants of the original KiGGS baseline study to participate in the survey again. The telephone interviews were carried out by trained study staff from the RKI. Prior to starting the study, the Ethics Commission of the Charité/University Medicine Berlin and the German Federal Commissioner for Data Protection had approved the study. Patients were only surveyed or interviewed after the person(s) who were responsible for their care had been informed about the purpose and extent of the study and given their written consent or – once the test person had come of age – after obtaining the participant’s own written consent. A total of 12,368 children and adolescents aged from 0–17 years and thus relevant for the cross-sectional study participated in the study, of whom 4,455 had been invited to participate for the first time (response rate 38.8%) and 7,913 had been invited to participate for the second time (response rate 72.9%) [63].

Maternal smoking behavior during pregnancy
The evaluation of maternal smoking behavior during pregnancy was limited to the information provided for children aged between 0 and 6 years. The KiGGS baseline study used information provided by parents whose children were born in the years 1996–2002 (n = 4,818). KiGGS Wave 1 included data for the birth cohorts of 2003–2012 (n = 4,434) (Table 1). To prevent an overlap of birth cohorts, the birth cohorts of 2003–2006 from the KiGGS baseline study (n = 1,862) and the birth cohort for the year 2002 from KiGGS Wave 1 (n = 21) were subsequently excluded from the analysis. Respondents were asked: “Did the mother of the child smoke during pregnancy?” (Response categories: “Yes, regularly”, “Yes, occasionally”, “No, never”); the figures for the two assenting response categories were then combined below [64,65]. Neither the question nor the preset response categories were changed from the KiGGS baseline study to KiGGS Wave 1. Although it is important to take account of the changed mode of data collection (written questionnaire vs. telephone interview), keeping the question and the response categories the same made it possible to make a statement about changes in maternal smoking behavior over time by comparing two birth cohorts (1996–2002 and 2003–2012).

Table 1 Description of random sampling in the German Health Interview and Examination Survey of Children and Adolescents (KiGGS) with regard to children aged 0–6 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of cases (n)</td>
<td>Unweighted sample (%)</td>
<td>Weighted sample* (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of the child</td>
<td>0 years</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>919</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>953</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>1,006</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>2,435</td>
<td>50.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>2,383</td>
<td>49.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of the biological mother at delivery</td>
<td>Up to 24 years</td>
<td>779</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 to 29 years</td>
<td>1,399</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 to 34 years</td>
<td>1,699</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35+ years</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social status</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>2,821</td>
<td>58.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>1,181</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration background</td>
<td>Two-sided</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One-sided</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3,686</td>
<td>76.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother smoked during pregnancy</td>
<td>Yes, regularly</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, occasionally</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No, never</td>
<td>3,870</td>
<td>80.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Weighted figures excluding the number of persons for whom no data was available (official population size on 31.12.2010, distribution of the educational level of the head of the household according to the 2009 microcensus)
Sociodemographic and socio-economic determinants
Maternal age at delivery was calculated based on information about the mother’s current age and age of the child at the time of participating in the KiGGS baseline study or KiGGS Wave 1. The following categories were created for analysis: < 25 years, 25–29 years, 30–34 years, 35+ years (Table 1).
Social status was determined using an index developed by the RKI, which combines information provided by the parent on their education and their vocational training, their occupational position, and their income, and allows participants to be categorized as low, moderate or high status group (66) (Table 1).
Data on migration background was compiled based on information about the children’s own experience of immigration together with information on the country of birth and the nationality of both parents. Children who had immigrated to Germany from another country and who had at least one parent who was not German citizens were categorized as having a two-sided migration background. Children were classified as having a one-sided migration background if they had been born in Germany but one parent had immigrated to Germany from another country and/ or did not hold German citizenship (67–69) (Table 1).

Statistical analysis
All analysis was done using a weighting factor which corrected for deviations of the sample from the population structure (as per 31.12.2010) with respect to age, gender, region, nationality, type of community and level of education of the head of the household (Microcensus 2009) (63). Outcomes were reported as prevalences with 95% confidence intervals and looked at differences in maternal age at the time of delivery, social status and migration background. Binary logistic regression analysis was used to calculate odds ratios (OR) to show potential demographic and social differences in the distribution of maternal smoking. The odds ratios indicate the factor by which the statistical odds that the mother smoked during pregnancy increases or decreases for children in one group compared to the children from another predetermined, reference group.

Results
Overall development over time and impact of maternal age at delivery
The KiGGS data show that when the birth cohorts of 1996–2002 are compared with the birth cohorts of 2003–2012, the percentage of children aged 0 to 6 years whose mothers smoked during pregnancy declined from 19.9 to 12.1%. As shown in Fig. 1, the prevalence of smoking decreased irrespective of maternal age at the time of delivery; only the group which included the youngest mothers showed no statistical differences between the two birth cohorts. It was striking, however, that in both birth cohorts, children whose mothers were younger than 25 years were twice as likely to be exposed to tobacco smoke in the womb compared to children whose mothers were older.

Maternal smoking behavior during pregnancy according to social status
Socio-economic differences in maternal smoking behavior during pregnancy were particularly pronounced. The social gradient was statistically significant in both birth cohorts (Fig. 2): the higher the social status, the lower the percentage of children whose mothers smoked during pregnancy. A comparison of both birth cohorts showed that the prevalence of smoking only declined significantly in the moderate and high status groups, while the decrease in maternal smoking was not statistically significant in the low status group.

Maternal smoking behavior during pregnancy according to migration background
Differences in maternal smoking behavior during pregnancy were less striking when the migration background of the children was considered. While the percentage of children with two-sided, one-sided or no migration background whose moth-
ners smoked during pregnancy was between 18 and 22% in the birth cohorts of 1996–2002, these percentage dropped to around 9 to 13% for the birth cohorts of 2003–2012 (Fig. 3).

**Multivariate analysis**

Multivariate analysis showed an independent impact of maternal age at the time of delivery and of the family’s social status on maternal smoking behavior during pregnancy for both birth cohorts (Table 2, Model 1). It should be recognized, however, that maternal age at the time of delivery, social status and migration background are closely interconnected. It is known, for example, that socially deprived women give birth on average at a significantly younger age compared to women who have a socially higher status, and it is also known that children from immigrant families grow up significantly more often in socially deprived conditions than children with no migration background.

In the second model shown in Table 2 the results were therefore additionally statistically adjusted for these interconnections. It was found that in both birth cohorts, the risk of being exposed to tobacco smoke in the womb was almost double for the children of mothers younger than 25 years at the time of delivery compared to children whose mothers were at least 35 years old – irrespective of social status or migration background. Children whose mothers were between 25 and 34 years old at the time of delivery were not at greater risk of exposure to smoke in the womb than the children of older mothers.

The comparison of the two birth cohorts showed that relative social differences had significantly increased over time. While the risk of maternal smoking during pregnancy for both birth cohorts was not at greater risk of exposure to smoke in the womb than the children of older mothers.

The results were therefore additionally statistically adjusted for these interconnections. It was found that in both birth cohorts, the risk of being exposed to tobacco smoke in the womb was almost double for the children of mothers younger than 25 years at the time of delivery compared to children whose mothers were at least 35 years old – irrespective of social status or migration background. Children whose mothers were between 25 and 34 years old at the time of delivery were not at greater risk of exposure to smoke in the womb than the children of older mothers.

The comparison of the two birth cohorts showed that relative social differences had significantly increased over time. While the risk of maternal smoking during pregnancy for children with a low or moderate social status in the birth cohorts 1996–2002 was higher by a factor of 6.3 and 2.6, respectively, compared to children with a high social status in the same birth cohorts, the respective odds ratios for the birth cohorts 2003–2012 were 13.9 and 5.0. But when the often poorer social situation of immigrant families was also taken into account, a two-sided migration background appeared to exert a protective effect on maternal smoking during pregnancy. It was found that the risk of exposure

---

**Table 2** Trends for social differences in maternal smoking behavior during pregnancy for mothers of children aged 0 to 6 years. Binary logistic regression analysis was used to calculate odds ratios (OR), 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) and p-values. Results of the KiGGS baseline study and KiGGS Wave 1 adjusted for the 2009/2010 population structure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>Model 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of the biological mother at delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Up to 24 years</td>
<td>2.95 (2.19–3.96)</td>
<td>4.11 (2.28–7.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ 25 to 29 years</td>
<td>1.14 (0.88–1.48)</td>
<td>1.28 (0.70–2.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.324</td>
<td>p = 0.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ 30 to 34 years</td>
<td>0.87 (0.65–1.15)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.42–1.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.318</td>
<td>p = 0.334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ 35+ years</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Low</td>
<td>6.75 (4.87–9.37)</td>
<td>17.49 (9.74–31.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Moderate</td>
<td>2.83 (2.18–3.67)</td>
<td>5.42 (3.38–8.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ High</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration background</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Two-sided</td>
<td>0.88 (0.68–1.14)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.40–1.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.316</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ One-sided</td>
<td>1.12 (0.81–1.56)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.36–1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.476</td>
<td>p = 0.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ None</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model 1: adjusted for age and gender of the child; Model 2: additionally reciprocally adjusted for the age of the biological mother at the time of delivery, social status, and migration background; bold = significant result.
to cigarette smoke during pregnancy for children in both birth cohorts who had a two-sided migration background was only around half of that of children with no migration background, although these differences were only statistically significant for the older birth cohorts. The risk of exposure to smoke in the womb for children with a one-sided migration background did not differ from that of children with no migration background.

**Discussion**

The results of the KiGGS study suggest that the percentage of mothers who smoked during pregnancy has decreased significantly over the last twenty years. While every fifth mother of a child born in the period 1996–2002 smoked during pregnancy, only every eighth mother of a child born between 2003 and 2012 smoked during pregnancy (19.9 vs. 12.1%). These KiGGS data are largely commensurate with and correspond to the data presented by Scholz et al. on the prevalence of maternal smoking during pregnancy which are based on the German perinatal survey (birth cohorts 1995–1997: 23.5%, 2007–2011: 11.2%) [56]. The percentage of women who smoke during pregnancy has decreased significantly since the 1990s, not just in Germany but in most westernized countries [39, 41, 42, 45].

Despite this welcome development, there are still certain risk groups where smoking is especially common. If maternal age at the time of delivery is considered, then the KiGGS data showed that young women were the most likely group to smoke during pregnancy. With a percentage of around 30% in the birth cohorts 2003–2012, the percentage of mothers who smoked during pregnancy is particularly high among women under 25 years of age. This finding was confirmed in numerous national [48, 55] and international [37, 39, 42, 58] studies.

The KiGGS data also prove for both birth cohorts that children with a low social status are exposed significantly more often to tobacco smoke before they are born than children with a moderate social status, and, in turn, that children with a moderate social status are exposed significantly more often to tobacco smoke in the womb than children with a high social status. This social gradient in maternal smoking behavior was also reported in the overwhelming majority of national [35, 48, 55] and international studies [37, 39, 41, 42, 58].

In a Dutch study started in the period 2009–2011 the prevalence of maternal smoking for pregnant women was 25.4, 11.4 and 2.6%, respectively, for low, moderate and high social status groups [58], a distribution pattern that was found to be very similar to that for children with low (28.7%), moderate (11.1%) or high social status (2.3%) in the KiGGS birth cohorts of 2003–2012.

The significance of a migration background for maternal smoking behavior during pregnancy initially appeared to be less clear. The prevalences for children with two-sided, one-sided or no migration background did not differ much between both birth cohorts. Only after a statistical analysis which also considered the – on average – poorer social situation and younger maternal age at delivery was it possible to show that the risk of exposure to smoking in the womb for children with a two-sided migration background was almost half that of children without a migration background. The risk of exposure to smoke in the womb for children with a one-sided migration background did not differ significantly from that of children without a migration background.

As persons with a migration background form a highly heterogeneous population with regard to their country of origin, religion and culture, it can be assumed that maternal smoking behavior during pregnancy is also likely to differ significantly between different groups of immigrant women. Because of the lack of case numbers it has not been possible to carry out corresponding subgroup analyses. As part of a special statistical evaluation of the German perinatal survey of 2005, Schneider et al. reported that mothers of foreign nationality were significantly less likely to smoke during pregnancy than women of German nationality [55]. In the Berlin perinatal study of 2011/2012 the percentage of pregnant women who reported smoking during pregnancy was 19.8% for women born in Turkey and 17.8% for German women [59]. However, significant differences were found depending on the length of stay in Germany, the degree of integration and the women’s language skills in German. The percentage of Turkish women who smoked during pregnancy was found to be lower for Turkish women who had spent less time in Germany, were less integrated and whose language skills in German were lower. In the French ELFE study, 21.9% of women born in France reported that they had smoked while pregnant; the corresponding figure for immigrant women not born in France was significantly lower, amounting to just 8.8% [46].

**Importance of the findings for policy decisions, health promotion and prevention programs in pregnancy**

Reducing maternal smoking during pregnancy is an important goal of healthcare policy. This is not only expressed by the German health target “Reduce tobacco consumption” [36]. In addition to ten core indicators, the “European Perinatal Health Report 2010” also lists maternal smoking during pregnancy as one of twenty recommended indicators which require regular monitoring [38]. In Australia, reducing pregnant women’s consumption of tobacco products is the first point on the list of the “10 national core maternity indicators” [40].

There are several reasons why the finding that a lower percentage of women smoke during pregnancy compared to ten or twenty years ago is plausible. The KiGGS results have highlighted a development which – as mentioned before – is reflected both in
the data of German perinatal surveys and from a number of international studies. At the early years of the millennium a number of tobacco control measures were introduced both in Germany and across Europe; these measures aimed to reduce the consumption of tobacco and the exposure to secondhand smoke in the general population and specifically among certain vulnerable groups such as pregnant women [70]. Since about 2003 cigarette packs in all EU member states must display written warnings such as “Smoking during pregnancy can harm your baby”. In addition, the increased taxes on tobacco have led to a perceptible increase in the price of cigarettes and other tobacco products [71]. Since 2007 a number of laws to protect non-smokers were passed in Germany which led to a comprehensive smoking ban on public transport and in public buildings, hospitals, restaurants and pubs. Data from the microcensus show that in this context, the percentage of women of reproductive age (15–39 years) who smoke has successively declined in the period from 2003 to 2013, dropping from 31.0 to 25.4% [72]. And a comparison of the birth cohorts for 1996–2002 with those of the birth cohorts of 2003–2012 shows that the percentage of mothers of children aged 0 to 6 years who reported that they smoked at the time of inclusion in the KiGGS study has decreased from 31.8 to 25.2%.

Pregnancy is an appropriate point in time for interventions aimed at changing behavior (“window of opportunity”) [5]. These results from the KiGGS survey are in accordance with the results of other studies and show that young women and socially deprived women are disproportionately more likely to smoke during pregnancy. They are therefore particularly important target groups for public information campaigns and for health promotion and health prevention measures initiated before and after giving birth. Doctors, midwives and professionals who regularly work with pregnant women should inform women who smoke about the real risks of smoking, give recommendations on how to quit smoking and provide information on appropriate and available measures of support to quit smoking.

Strengths and limitations of this study

These results are based on representative data obtained across all of Germany. While German perinatal surveys only look at the smoking status of pregnant women who give birth in hospital, the KiGGS study also includes the data of women who gave birth outside hospital, e.g. at home or in a facility run by midwives. Another advantage of the KiGGS data is the limited number of non-responders. Compared to a percentage of around 15% of non-responders for the German perinatal survey 2005 [55] and of around 20% for the period 2007–2011 [56], the percentage of women for whom there was no information on their smoking behavior during pregnancy was significantly lower, amounting to only 2.6% in the KiGGS baseline study and 0.3% in KiGGS Wave 1. However, the data from the KiGGS studies cannot be directly compared with the data from surveys of pregnant women. This comparison of the birth cohorts of 1996–2002 and of 2003–2012 is based on information provided by the parents of children aged 0 to 6 years. At the time of participating in the KiGGS study, up to six years could have passed since pregnancy, meaning that the responses to questions about smoking behavior during pregnancy could be skewed because of the retrospective nature of the data collection (“recall bias”). Another problem is that the data on maternal smoking behavior during pregnancy is based on self-reporting. It is not possible to exclude responses given based on what is considered socially desirable; this would result in an under-reporting of the actual percentage of women who smoked during pregnancy (“social desirability bias”). However, a recent Swedish study reported that the information provided by participants themselves on their own smoking behavior during pregnancy is valid. In their study, 95% of women who reported not having smoked during pregnancy were confirmed as non-smokers based on cotinine measurements in maternal serum done at the time of delivery [73]. Another limitation of this study is that outcome variables could not be operationalized better in terms of quantity and quality. Pregnant women often stop smoking during pregnancy as soon as they become aware they are pregnant. Some pregnant women attempt to reduce their overall consumption of tobacco. Some women only smoke a cigarette once or twice on very special occasions during pregnancy (New Year’s Eve, special celebrations) and otherwise do not smoke at all. Unfortunately the KiGGS data do not allow us to differentiate between these groups.

Finally, it is also important to consider the change in the mode of data collection which occurred between the KiGGS baseline study and KiGGS Wave 1 [63]. For the baseline study the information on maternal smoking behavior during pregnancy was collected using questionnaires filled out by the study participants themselves; in the subsequent survey, data were collected using computer-based telephone interviews. As interviews sometimes show a stronger tendency towards social desirability bias than written surveys [74,75], it cannot be excluded that the trend showing a decrease in maternal smoking behavior during pregnancy could be based, at least in part, on a “mode effect”. Whether this mode effect was actually present and how maternal smoking behavior during pregnancy will develop for the birth cohorts after 2012 can be assessed at the earliest in 2017 using the most recent KiGGS data. Written questionnaires asking about maternal smoking behavior during pregnancy will be used again during the fieldwork phase of KiGGS Wave 2, which takes place over a period of three years (2014–2016/2017).

Conclusion

According to the data from KiGGS, the percentage of children aged 0 to 6 years whose mothers smoked during pregnancy has decreased significantly, from 19.9% for the birth cohorts of 1996–2002 to 12.1% for the birth cohorts of 2003–2012. It should be noted that there was a change in the mode of data collection used in the KiGGS studies (written questionnaire vs. telephone interview). Children whose mothers were younger than 25 years at the time of their birth and children from socially deprived families have an above-average risk of being exposed prenatally to the harmful effects of the teratogenic substances in tobacco smoke. A two-sided migration background appears to be associated with a lower risk of exposure to tobacco smoke during pregnancy. From the point of health inequality studies, these results once again confirm that, in terms of health opportunities and the risk of disadvantage in adulthood, social inequality has an impact very early on in life, sometimes even before birth [76]. Future target group-specific measures to prevent smoking and to help persons quit smoking should focus more on young and socially deprived women.

Conflict of Interest

None.
References


21 Starhis SL, O’Callaghan M, Williams GM et al. Maternal cigarette smoking during pregnancy is an independent predictor for symptoms of middle ear disease at five years’ postdelivery. Pediatrics 1998; 104: e16


34 Rasenack R, Jähne A. Tabakkonsum und Tabakentwöhnung in der Schwangerschaft. SUCHT 2010; 56: 183–196


36 Kuntz B and Lampert T. Social Disparities in... Geburtsh Frauenheilk 2016; 76: 239–247


58 Baron R, Mannien J, de Jonge A et al. Socio-demographic and lifestyle-related characteristics associated with self-reported any, daily and occasional smoking during pregnancy. PLoS One 2013; 8: e74197

59 Reiss K, Breckenkamp J, Borde T et al. Smoking during pregnancy among Turkish immigrants in Germany–are there associations with acculturation? Nicotine Tob Res 2015; 17: 643–652


Kurth B and Lampert T. Social Disparities in... Geburtsh Frauenheilk 2016; 76: 239–247