2D and 3D Ultrasonographic Evaluation of Fetal Midface Hypoplasia in Two Cases with 3-M Syndrome
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Abstract

This paper highlights the utility of 2D and 3D ultrasonography in the prenatal diagnosis of facial dysmorphisms suggestive of very rare syndromes such as 3-M syndrome. Two pregnant women at risk for fetal skeletal dysplasias were referred to our clinic for 2D/3D ultrasound scan in the second trimester of pregnancy. Only one of the patients had a familial history of 3-M syndrome. Karyotyping and genetic testing of abortion material were performed in both cases. 2D ultrasonography revealed growth retardation of the long bones in both cases. In the case without a familial history of the syndrome, 2D and 3D ultrasonography showed an absence of nasal bones and a flat malar region suggestive of 3-M syndrome, although the difficult differential diagnosis included other dysmorphic growth disorders with prenatal onset. The karyotype was normal but the pregnancy was terminated in both cases. Postmortem examination confirmed 3-M syndrome as indicated by prenatal findings. In high-risk cases with a familial history of 3-M syndrome, prenatal diagnosis of 3-M syndrome is possible by analyzing fetal DNA. In the absence of risk, a definitive prenatal diagnosis is often not possible but may be suspected in the presence of shortened long bones, normal head size and typical flattened malar region (midface hypoplasia) shown on complementary 2D and 3D sonograms. 2D and 3D ultrasonography has been shown to offer reliable information for the prenatal study of skeletal and facial anomalies and can be useful if there is a suspicion of 3-M syndrome in a pregnancy not known to be at risk.

Zusammenfassung

Introduction

3-M syndrome is a rare form of autosomal recessive dwarfism characterized by severe pre- and postnatal growth retardation (final height: 5–6 SD below the mean, i.e., 120–130 cm), characteristic facies, and normal intelligence. It is a rare syndrome and its prevalence is unknown; approximately 100 affected individuals have been reported in the literature since the first case was described in 1975 [1, 2]. A diagnosis of 3-M syndrome may be suggested in children with low birth weight, characteristic facies, and radiological findings that may not be present in the first two years of life. Molecular genetic testing is useful to confirm the diagnosis: CUL7, OBSL1 and CCDC8 are the only genes with mutations known to cause 3-M syndrome. However, it is difficult to make the diagnosis prenatally, especially in the absence of a familial history. This is due to the fact that, although the most striking feature of 3-M syndrome is severe growth retardation starting in utero, intrauterine growth retardation is not specific and the skeletal findings only appear after birth. We report on two cases with prenatal findings suspicious for 3-M syndrome with and without a familial history of the syndrome. Both pregnancies ended with termination of pregnancy (TOP) [3].

Case Reports

Patient 1

L.S., a 34-year-old woman, was referred to us in her 18th week of pregnancy for an anatomical survey ultrasound scan. She is married to her first cousin. Her first pregnancy led to a child with 3-M syndrome and her second pregnancy was terminated following a prenatal diagnosis of Down syndrome (previously published case) [3].

Screening of chromosomopathies was done at 12 weeks gestation. Findings were negative, and nuchal translucency values were within normal ranges. At 18 weeks gestation, ultrasound assessment showed a single fetus with a biparietal diameter and a head circumference of 45 mm and 125 mm respectively, which was appropriate for gestational age. The respective femur and tibia lengths were 22.4 and 19.6 mm, which corresponds to the fifth centile for gestational age, while the radius, ulna and humeral lengths were 17.6, 17.6 and 20.8 mm, respectively, which were all below the fifth centile. The amniotic fluid was normal. A further scan for amniocentesis and to monitor long bone growth was performed at 22 weeks’ gestation. The karyotype was normal (46XY) and the biparietal diameter, head circumference and head shape were normal. However, measured femur, tibia, fibula, humeral, radius and ulna lengths were 28, 24, 25, 28, 24 and 25 mm, respectively. The thorax showed mild hypoplasia (short thorax with normal circumference), and mild polyhydramnios was present. In view of these findings and, in particular, of the patient’s previous history, a diagnosis of 3-M syndrome was made. The pregnancy was terminated shortly thereafter. The postmortem examination findings were consistent with the prenatal signs and featured short long bones, a normal-sized head and a short thorax. Finally, genetic testing confirmed 3-M syndrome with CUL7 gene defect.

Patient 2

L.M., a 30-year-old Caucasian woman, was referred to us for evaluation in her second trimester of pregnancy. The pregnancy, which was her first, started as a twin pregnancy. However, the patient miscarried one fetus at 9 weeks’ gestation. She has no familial history of 3-M syndrome.

Nuchal translucency measured at 11 weeks of gestation was normal. Ultrasound assessment at 16 weeks’ gestation showed a biparietal diameter, head circumference, abdominal circumference and femur length of 35 mm, 14.6 cm, 9.4 cm, and 2 cm, respectively, which were in accordance with gestational age. Another scan was performed at 22 weeks’ gestation to evaluate fetal morphology. The results confirmed that biparietal diameter and head circumference were normal for gestational age, measuring 53.5 mm and 18.6 cm, respectively. The lengths of the long bones
length were, however, below the fifth centile: ulna: 22.7 mm; fibula: 20.5 mm; humerus: 20.5 mm; radius: 22 mm; tibia: 20.8 mm; femur: 21 mm. Amniotic fluid was normal, but umbilical artery Doppler flow was pathologic with an absence of diastolic waves. The 2- and 3-dimensional ultrasound evaluations of the fetal face profile both showed an absence of nasal bones, a flattened malar region (Fig. 1), and a left club foot. The karyotype was assessed by chorionic villus sampling at 22 weeks’ gestation and was found to be normal (46XX).

The ultrasound findings suggested a diagnosis of 3-M syndrome, and genetic testing was proposed. After TOP, small pieces of fetal skin and placenta were taken for molecular genetic testing. The fetus was characterized by severe growth retardation, hypoplastic skin and placenta were taken for molecular genetic testing. The finding was assessed by chorionic villus sampling at 22 weeks’ gestation and was found to be normal (46XX).

The precise mechanism of prenatal and postnatal growth retardation, the number of villi in the placenta was markedly increased that CUL7 affects vasculogenesis or angiogenesis. Due to the mutation in 3-M syndrome remains unclear. Based on an analysis of the fetal face profile both showed an absence of nasal bones, a flattened malar region (Fig. 1), and a left club foot. The karyotype was assessed by chorionic villus sampling at 22 weeks’ gestation and was found to be normal (46XX).

The ultrasound findings suggested a diagnosis of 3-M syndrome, and genetic testing was proposed. After TOP, small pieces of fetal skin and placenta were taken for molecular genetic testing. The fetus was characterized by severe growth retardation, hypoplastic skin and placenta were taken for molecular genetic testing. The finding was assessed by chorionic villus sampling at 22 weeks’ gestation and was found to be normal (46XX).

The precise mechanism of prenatal and postnatal growth retardation, the number of villi in the placenta was markedly increased that CUL7 affects vasculogenesis or angiogenesis. Due to the mutation in CUL7 the gene whose mutations cause the disorder, can be used to differentiate Mulibrey nanism from 3-M syndrome [8].

Molecular genetic testing of TRIM37, the gene whose mutations cause the disorder, can be used to differentiate Mulibrey nanism from 3-M syndrome [8]. Microcephaly, eczema, a characteristic facial appearance, and intellectual disability are the main features differentiating Dubowitz syndrome from fetal alcohol syndrome. SRS has many similarities to 3-M syndrome, including intrauterine growth retardation, short stature, triangular face, relatively large skull, asymmetry of body or limbs, and clinodactyly. Mild mental retardation may also be found in patients with SRS [4]. However, the characteristic radiological findings of 3-M syndrome are not found in Silver-Russell syndrome. Chromosomes 11 and 17 have been found to be consistently involved in individuals fulfilling the strict diagnostic criteria of SRS [7].

TRIM37, the gene whose mutations cause the disorder, can be used to differentiate Mulibrey nanism from 3-M syndrome [8]. Microcephaly, eczema, a characteristic facial appearance, and intellectual disability are the main features differentiating Dubowitz syndrome from 3-M syndrome. A history of maternal alcoholism is usually present in individuals with fetal alcohol syndrome; microcephaly, nail hypoplasia, a specific facial appearance, and intellectual disability are the primary differences. It can be challenging to discriminate between these disorders because they are rare and, as discussed above, many ultrasound findings are not necessarily pathognomonic for a specific disorder. Obtaining a differential diagnosis before delivery is very useful for clinical decision making and to accurately determine the risk of recurrence. In families at risk for this syndrome, prenatal diagnosis is possible by analyzing the DNA.

**Table 1** Useful pointers to guide clinicians through the differential aspects of IUGR syndrome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3-M syndrome</th>
<th>Silver-Russell syndrome (SRS)</th>
<th>Mulibrey nanism</th>
<th>Dubowitz syndrome</th>
<th>Fetal alcohol syndrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IUGR</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triangular face</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcephaly</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental retardation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flattened malar region</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short long bones</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumb length asymmetry</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal alcoholism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After birth, it is possible to establish a diagnosis of 3-M syndrome based on clinical and radiographic findings that may not be present in the first two years of life. These findings include slender long bones with diaphyseal constriction and flared metaphyses, tall vertebral bodies that become foreshortened over time, anterior wedging of thoracic vertebral bodies, thoracic kyphoscoliosis, small pelvic bones, and a broad thorax with slender and horizontal ribs. During pregnancy, however, the diagnosis of 3-M syndrome is rarely suspected, as prenatal ultrasound examination usually reveals severe growth retardation of all long bones, as shown in the two cases reported here, which is not an unequivocal sign of the disorder.

Intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR) is the main characteristic of a large group of other growth retardation-malformation syndromes. Thus, it is very important to distinguish 3-M syndrome from other forms of IUGR, such as Silver-Russell syndrome (SRS), Mulibrey nanism, Dubowitz syndrome and fetal alcohol syndrome.

SRS has many similarities to 3-M syndrome, including intrauterine growth retardation, short stature, triangular face, relatively large skull, asymmetry of body or limbs, and clinodactyly. Mild mental retardation may also be found in patients with SRS [4]. However, the characteristic radiological findings of 3-M syndrome are not found in Silver-Russell syndrome. Chromosomes 11 and 17 have been found to be consistently involved in individuals fulfilling the strict diagnostic criteria of SRS [7].

Molecular genetic testing of TRIM37, the gene whose mutations cause the disorder, can be used to differentiate Mulibrey nanism from 3-M syndrome [8]. Microcephaly, eczema, a characteristic facial appearance, and intellectual disability are the main features differentiating Dubowitz syndrome from 3-M syndrome. A history of maternal alcoholism is usually present in individuals with fetal alcohol syndrome; microcephaly, nail hypoplasia, a specific facial appearance, and intellectual disability are the primary differences. It can be challenging to discriminate between these disorders because they are rare and, as discussed above, many ultrasound findings are not necessarily pathognomonic for a specific disorder. Obtaining a differential diagnosis before delivery is very useful for clinical decision making and to accurately determine the risk of recurrence. In families at risk for this syndrome, prenatal diagnosis is possible by analyzing the DNA.
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extracted from fetal cells obtained by amniocentesis or chorionic villus sampling (CVS). Table 1 can guide clinicians through the differential aspects of mid facial hypoplasia and IUGR syndrome.

In the two cases described in this paper, the nasal bones could not be visualized and long bone growth was already below or equal to the fifth centile at 18 and at 22 weeks' gestation (Fig. 3).

**Fig. 3a and b**  Fetal limb growth curves (5th, 50th, 95th centiles): long bone growth was already below or equal to the fifth centile. a Case 1. b Case 2. Curves are based on [10].
Facial dysmorphisms and anomalies involving the hands and feet may be visualized better with 3D ultrasonography, as demonstrated in case 2 who had no familial history. Three-dimensional ultrasonography is currently accepted as an interesting complementary technique to conventional 2D ultrasonography in the antenatal diagnosis of skeletal dysplasia [9].

3D ultrasonography can be used to visualize planes of section that cannot be obtained with 2D ultrasonography, allowing a comprehensive evaluation of fetal facial anatomy, with visualization of all facial structures such as the fetal nose, eyebrows, mouth and eyelids. One of the most important advantages of 3D ultrasonography is its ability to display a true midsagittal plane of the fetal face.

**Conclusion**

Prenatal diagnosis of 3-M syndrome is very important to establish a prognosis and perform molecular genetic testing to confirm the diagnosis and provide parents with information on the nature and implications of this genetic disorder [9]. 2D and 3D ultrasonography have been shown to be reliable in the prenatal study of skeletal and facial anomalies and can be useful if there is a suspicion of 3-M syndrome in a pregnancy not known to be at risk.
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