Spontaneous perforation of an intramural esophageal pseudodiverticulosis treated with intraluminal endoscopic vacuum therapy using a double-lumen vacuum drainage with intestinal feeding tube

An intraluminal variant of endoscopic vacuum therapy (EVT) [1,2] was used in a case of acute spontaneous perforation of the esophagus. To enable simultaneous enteral nutrition, a double-lumen vacuum drainage with intestinal feeding tube was constructed.

A 31-year-old woman with the human immunodeficiency virus presented with thoracic pain and dysphagia after vomiting caused by a bolus of dry fish. Computed tomography revealed a perforation of the thoracic esophagus with discharge of air (Fig. 1). Endoscopy found an acute transmural perforation from 26 cm to 31 cm (Fig. 2). Intraluminal EVT [1,2] was started within 24 hours after the perforation event.

A triluminal tube (Freka Trelumina, CH/Fr 16/9, 150 cm; Fresenius Kabi AG, Bad Homburg, Germany) was used to construct a double-lumen vacuum drainage device (Fig. 3). First, the ventilation channel of the tube was blocked with a clamp, as it was not required for the procedure. Then the tube was inserted nasally and guided out orally. All openings of the drainage channel were wrapped in a 15-cm length of open-pore polyurethane foam (Suprasorb CNP; Wundschaum, Lohmann & Rauscher GmbH & Co. KG, Neuwied, Germany), and secured with a suture. The double-lumen drainage device was then inserted endoscopically and the intestinal feeding channel was placed in the stomach. The open-pore polyurethane foam section of the tube covered the perforation region completely. After application of negative pressure with an electronic device (KCI V.A.C. Freedom; KCI USA Inc., San Antonio, Texas, USA; setting – 125 mmHg, continuous, intensity 10), the esophageal lumen collapsed around the foam.

Placement of the drainage device (and its removal after treatment) was performed using a standard gastroscope and carbon dioxide insufflation with the patient under general anesthesia. The patient was transferred to a normal ward immediately after drainage placement.
After EVT for 5 days, the drainage device was removed by pulling the tube. The foam had been sucked onto the perforation wound (Fig. 4), which was closed and covered with an erosive pattern (Fig. 5). No fistula could be observed. Three days after the end of therapy, a small ulceration could be seen at the site of the former perforation, and after 18 days, complete healing of the perforation region was achieved. In addition, an intramural esophageal pseudodiverticulosis (Fig. 6) was detected as a possible explanation for the perforation. Double-lumen vacuum drainage with intestinal feeding tube enabled full enteral nutrition from the beginning of esophageal intraluminal EVT (Video 1).
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