Induction of Labour in Late and Postterm Pregnancies and its Impact on Maternal and Neonatal Outcome

Abstract

Introduction: This study aimed to determine the effects of induction of labour in late-term pregnancies on the mode of delivery, maternal and neonatal outcome.

Methods: We retrospectively analyzed deliveries between 2000 and 2014 at the University Hospital of Cologne. Women with a pregnancy aged between 41 + 0 to 42 + 6 weeks were included. Those who underwent induction of labour were compared with women who were expectantly managed. Maternal and neonatal outcomes were evaluated.

Results: 856 patients were included into the study. The rate of cesarean deliveries was significantly higher for the induction of labour group (33.8 vs. 21.1%, p < 0.001). Aside from the more frequent occurrence of perineal lacerations (induction of labour group vs. expectantly managed group = 38.1% compared with 26.4%, p = 0.002) and all types of lacerations (induction of labour group vs. expectantly managed group = 61.5% vs. 52.2%, p = 0.021) in women with vaginal delivery, there were no significant differences in maternal outcome. Besides, no differences regarding neonatal outcome were observed.

Conclusions: Our study suggests that induction of labour in late and postterm pregnancies is associated with a significantly higher cesarean section rate. Other maternal and fetal parameters were not influenced by induction of labour.

Zusammenfassung

Einleitung: Diese Studie untersuchte die Auswirkungen der Geburtseinleitung in der Spätschwangerschaft bzw. bei Übertragung auf die Art der Entbindung sowie auf das mütterliche und kindliche Outcome.


Ergebnisse: Es wurden insgesamt 856 Patientinnen in die Studie aufgenommen. Die Kaiserschnittrate war in der Geburtseinleitungs-Gruppe signifikant höher (33.8 vs. 21.1%, p < 0.001). Abgesehen von einem häufigeren Auftreten von Dammrissen (Geburtseinleitungs-Gruppe vs. Gruppe mit expektativem Vorgehen = 38,1 vs. 26,4%; p = 0,002) sowie aller Arten von Läsionen (Geburtseinleitungs-Gruppe vs. Gruppe mit expektativem Vorgehen = 61,5 vs. 52,2%; p = 0,021) bei Frauen, die vaginal entbunden, gab es keine wesentlichen Unterschiede im mütterlichen Outcome. Es gab auch keine signifikanten Unterschiede im Neugeborenen-Outcome zwischen den beiden Gruppen.
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Introduction

The optimal management of postterm pregnancies is a current issue and experts opinions vary. Postterm pregnancies are defined as ≥ 42 + 0 weeks of gestation or ≥ 294 days from the first day of the last menstrual period according to ACOG [1]; late-term pregnancies refer to a pregnancy that is ≥ 41 + 0 weeks through 41 + 6 weeks of gestation. Approximately 10% of all pregnancies are postterm pregnancies [2]. The etiology of late or postterm pregnancies is unknown. Genetic factors [3] and an elevated BMI [4] before pregnancy have been assumed. The ACOG recommends offering routine induction or an expectant management after 41 + 0 completed weeks [5]. According to the British Guidelines women with uncomplicated pregnancies should usually be offered induction of labour (IOL) between 41 + 0 and 42 + 0 weeks to avoid the risks of prolonged pregnancy [6]. German guidelines envisage to offer IOL after 41 + 0 completed weeks and to recommend ≥ 41 + 3 weeks of gestation in order to avoid fetal complications [7].

Although a few studies showed no differences in maternal and fetal outcomes when IOL has been performed [8,9], expert opinions vary concerning this issue. In a recently published review [10] including a meta-analysis of trials analyzing the outcome of IOL in postterm pregnancies the authors concluded that IOL reduces the risk of cesarean sections in case of intact membranes. Others who have published studies concerning this issue, reported about increasing cesarean section rates when IOL is performed [11,12]. Additionally, maternal and neonatal outcomes concerning IOL were discussed controversially as well. Most studies showed no differences in maternal outcomes such as laceration or hemorrhage when IOL has been performed [8,13–15]. In another study the authors concluded that the maternal outcome could be impacted by IOL, such as a trend towards decreased postpartum hemorrhage [9].

Prolonged pregnancy is known to be associated with higher neonatal and maternal morbidity and mortality [16–24]. For example, the fetal mortality [20], the Apgar score, the rate of neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admissions [22] and maternal complications such as lacerations and postpartum hemorrhage [18] increases with gestational age. To decrease the risk of adverse outcome of prolonged pregnancy antenatal surveillance and IOL seems to be necessary.

The aim of our study was to evaluate a large cohort of patients that gave birth in our hospital and to report the outcome of patients with IOL in late and postterm pregnancies compared to those with expectant delivery. Therefore, we focussed on both maternal and neonatal outcome, in particular on the mode of delivery.

Methods

We performed a retrospective analysis of late-term and postterm pregnancies (41 + 0 to 42 + 6 weeks) in which we compared IOL to a policy of expectant management. The data was acquired retrospectively from our birth database Viewpoint between 2000 and 2014. The digital database contained obstetrical and neonatal information from all deliveries in our hospital.

Selection of study groups

Inclusion criteria were live singleton gestation with a cephalic presentation, a gestational age from 41 + 0 to 42 + 6 and no primary cesarean section. For the IOL group, we included women undergoing an IOL just for late-term or postterm pregnancies. Women undergoing an IOL for a medical indication, such as diabetes mellitus, premature rupture of membranes (PROM) and preeclampsia were excluded from the study, as well as women who had an IOL without prostaglandin as first induction medication. Besides, patients having sonographic abnormalities (oligohydramnion, placental insufficiency and suggested macrosomia) or fetuses with malformation were also excluded.

Management of study groups

We compared women who had an IOL to women who were expectantly managed in late and postterm pregnancies. IOL was always induced by prostaglandin gel or tablet solely or in combination with oxytocin infusion, Atad Ripener Device (ARD) or amniotomy. Patients who had a cesarean section before had an IOL with vaginal gel. The first application includes 1 mg of Minprostin gel, after 6 hours 2 mg of Minprostin gel were applied. Maximum daily dosage was 3 mg. Application was continued until uterine contractions were noticed. IOL was attempted until contraindications for spontaneous delivery could be noticed such as pathologic CTG, suspected uterine rupture and maternal exhaustion. Patients having an IOL with prostaglandin tablets received two dosages of 50 µg every 6 hours, afterwards they received 100 µg every 4 hours. Patients who had no IOL and had a spontaneous onset of labour were considered to be part of the control group called expectantly managed group. Those patients were seen in the hospital until then every two days for CTG and amniotic fluid controls from 40 + 0 weeks of gestation. The management was determined by the individual doctor on duty. Induction would have been recommended in case of reduced amniotic fluid, suspicious CTG, decreasing fetal movements, but those patients were excluded from the study.

Data collection

In each group data about the mode of delivery, the number of cesarean deliveries, operative vaginal and spontaneous delivery were assessed as the primary outcome. The secondary outcomes included maternal complications and fetal outcome. Maternal complications were assessed by the occurrence of lacerations, episiotomies, atonic hemorrhage and appearance of other complications during labour (laceration-associated hemorrhage, retention of placenta, uterine rupture and maternal death) were collected. Relevant hemorrhage has been defined as a blood loss of more than 1000 ml.

The neonatal outcome was assessed by the umbilical cord blood pH to evaluate fetal asphyxia, the Apgar score at five minutes, respiratory status, birth weight, birth weight ≥ 4000 g, the rate of neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admissions and neonatal death. According to the literature [25–27], an umbilical cord
blood pH below 7.1 has been defined as critical to evaluate fetal asphyxia. As a final point, we analysed all above-named variables concerning primiparous women.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 22.0. The normal distribution of data was proven with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Student t-tests and Mann-Whitney U test were performed in order to explore significant differences between the two groups. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results
A total of 4200 patients were identified from our birth database at the University Hospital of Cologne, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, between 2000 and 2014. From this group we had to exclude 3344 patients due to the criteria mentioned above. The remaining 856 patients were included in the study, of which 400 (46.7%) underwent IOL and 456 (53.3%) were expectantly managed beyond 41 + 0 weeks.

Demographic data
400 women who underwent IOL were compared with 456 women who underwent expectant management. The demographic characteristics of both groups are presented in Table 1. The IOL and expectantly managed groups were similar in maternal characteristics concerning age and BMI before pregnancy. Parity, gestational age and weight gain during pregnancy were statistically significant different between the two groups. In the IOL group 62.9% were primiparous women compared to 50.4% in the expectantly managed group. The median weight gain during pregnancy was 15 ± 5 kg in the IOL group and 14 ± 6 kg in the expectantly managed group.

Focusing on primiparous women, there were 251 women who underwent IOL, compared to 227 women who underwent expectant management. The demographic characteristics of both groups are also presented in Table 1. The IOL and expectantly managed groups were similar in maternal characteristics including BMI before pregnancy and weight gain during pregnancy. The maternal age and the gestational age were statistically significant different between the two groups (p < 0.05).

Maternal outcome
Table 2 demonstrates the maternal outcome in both groups. The mode of delivery was statistically significantly different between the two groups (p < 0.001). First, the rate of cesarean deliveries was significantly higher in the IOL group vs. the expectantly managed group (33.8% vs. 21.1%, p < 0.001) (Fig. 1); second, 53.5% of the induced patients vs. 66.4% of those expectantly managed delivered spontaneously (p < 0.001). The operative vaginal delivery rate was 12.8 and 12.5%, respectively, and showed no statistically significant difference (p = 0.903). The indications for secondary cesarean section are listed in Table 2. The most frequent indication for secondary cesarean section in both groups was an abnormal CTG (IOL group: 46.9% vs. 34.0%) (p < 0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Maternal demographic characteristics. In italics: primiparous.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Induction of labour group</strong> (n = 400)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age mean ± SD (years)</td>
<td>32.24 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI before pregnancy ± SD (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.12 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity, n (%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primiparous</td>
<td>251 (62.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiparous</td>
<td>148 (37.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age at admission (weeks)</td>
<td>41.21 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age at delivery (weeks)</td>
<td>41.29 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain during pregnancy ± SD (kg)</td>
<td>15.25 ± 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Statistically significant difference (p < 0.05), Mann-Whitney U test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Maternal outcome. In italics: primiparous.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Induction of labour group</strong> (n = 400)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of delivery, n (%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cesarean delivery</td>
<td>135 (33.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative vaginal delivery</td>
<td>51 (12.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous delivery</td>
<td>214 (53.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indication for cesarean delivery</td>
<td>&lt;0.001a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal CTG</td>
<td>61 (46.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstetric labour in dilatation stage</td>
<td>48 (36.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstetric labour in expulsion stage</td>
<td>19 (14.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malpresentation</td>
<td>7 (5.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Suspected) intra-amniotic Infection</td>
<td>12 (9.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalopelvic disproportion</td>
<td>8 (6.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal complications at vaginal delivery, n (%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perineal lacerations (3rd degree)</td>
<td>16 (6.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All types of lacerations</td>
<td>163 (61.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episiotomy</td>
<td>113 (42.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal complications, n (%)</td>
<td>0.009a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atonic hemorrhage</td>
<td>7 (1.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other complications</td>
<td>11 (2.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>8 (3.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Statistically significant difference (p < 0.05), Mann-Whitney U test
There were 265 vaginal deliveries in the IOL group and 360 vaginal deliveries in the expectantly managed group. Concerning these women, the rate of all types of lacerations, such as perineal, cervical, labial or other lacerations (61.5% in the IOL group vs. 52.2% in the expectant group, p = 0.021) and the rate of perineal lacerations (1st/2nd/3rd degree) was significantly higher in the IOL group compared to expectantly managed group (38.1% vs. 26.4%, p = 0.002).

Analyzing 3rd degree lacerations separately from other lacerations, deliveries in the induced group were associated with a significantly higher rate compared to deliveries in the expectantly managed group (6% vs. 2.8%, p = 0.044). However, within the subgroup of primiparous women, the differences between the IOL group and expectantly managed group was not significant (9.4% vs. 5.0%, p = 0.138).

Other maternal outcomes, including the rate of episiotomy and maternal death (no event) were not statistically significant different between the two groups.

In a subanalysis, we evaluated the maternal outcome for primiparous women only. The results were largely in line with the results regarding all included women and can be found in Table 2. It should be noticed that the rate of lacerations and the rate of episiotomies were not significantly different within the two groups.

**Binary logistic regression**

In order to analyze the variables that have an impact on the delivery mode we did a binary logistic regression. The binary logistic regression analysis showed that parity (p = 0.001), gestational age at admission (p = 0.009) and IOL (p = 0.001) had an impact on the cesarean section rate. Patients with IOL have a higher risk for cesarean section, whereas multiparity is associated with higher rate of vaginal deliveries. Low gestational age seems to be associated with higher rate of vaginal deliveries. Weight gain has no influence on the mode of delivery (p = 0.562). The results are presented in Table 3.

**Neonatal outcome**

Neonates in the IOL group needed mask ventilation more often than neonates in the expectantly managed group (12.3 vs. 7.7%, p = 0.025). To analyze this further, we evaluated the rate of mask ventilation in the subgroup of vaginally born neonates and neonates who were born via cesarean section. There was no statistically significant difference within the two subgroups. Concerning other neonatal outcomes on the aforementioned variables, no significant differences could be noticed (Table 4).

**Discussion**

International trials led to a controversial discussion whether to end a late or postterm pregnancy with IOL or not. The aim of our study was to contribute to the discussion with our experiences and results. We retrospectively analyzed IOL in late and postterm pregnancies at the University Hospital of Cologne. In this context we have focused on maternal and fetal outcomes and compared the data with those patients who were expectantly managed. Several trials indicated a higher likelihood of cesarean section following labour induction [11, 12, 28–31]. Within the subgroup of primiparous women, we found similar results concerning mode of delivery.
and risk of cesarean delivery as compared to the whole study group. Nevertheless, the finding of our study contrasts with those of some previous studies.

A few authors concluded that IOL leads to a reduction of cesarean section rate [25, 32, 33]. The different results are possibly based on different study designs. Roach et al. [32] induced women who were beyond 42 + 0 weeks of gestation, whereas we decided to include women who had a gestational age of 41 + 0 weeks to 42 + 6 weeks at admission. Hannah et al. [25] did not exclude fetal malformation which might have influenced the results of their study.

Wood et al. [10] reviewed 19 trials concerning IOL in postterm pregnancies and the risk of cesarean section. In this analysis, IOL was also associated with a risk reduction of cesarean section (OR 0.85; 95% CI [0.75, 0.95]). The authors themselves admitted that this effect may arise from non-treatment effects and that additional trials are needed. Several other studies found a similar effect of parity. Considering this point, our finding of a higher rate of cesarean delivery can be found. This is confirmed by evaluating the rate of mask ventilation concerning neonates that were born vaginally or via cesarean section separately. When considering patients who had cesarean sections, the need for mask ventilation was not statistically significant different in the two groups (18.7% [IOL group] vs. 16.8% [expectantly managed group]).

The present study clearly shows that the neonatal outcome does not differ between induced patients and expectantly managed pregnancies beyond 41 + 0 weeks. It can therefore be concluded that the decision between IOL and expectant management in late and postterm pregnancies does not have decisive influence on the neonatal mortality and morbidity. Nonetheless it must be kept in mind that a higher gestational age is associated with a rise in stillbirth and perinatal/neonatal deaths [20, 21]. Furthermore the risk of other neonatal complications such as aspiration, pneumonia or asphyxia rises strongly with gestational age [21].

The large number of variables available in the Viewpoint Database register, including information on delivery mode, method of induction, a range of different information about maternal demographic data, maternal outcome and neonatal outcome, has to be considered as a strength of our study. This allowed us to conduct a detailed examination of current management practices and outcomes beyond 41 + 0 weeks. Our study groups are quite homogenous due to strict inclusion criteria.

The retrospective study design has to be considered as a limitation, therefore it has potential for confounding and selection bias such as cervical ripening, which was not documented for all patients. To keep the influence to a minimum we applied strict inclusion criteria. Our study suggests that IOL in late and postterm pregnancies is associated with significantly higher cesarean delivery rate. There was no evidence that other maternal and neonatal complications differ. Nevertheless it has to be kept in mind that with increasing gestational age, rate of maternal and neonatal complications rise, which indicates that prolonged pregnancies should be delivered promptly. Overall, the choice of whether or not late and postterm pregnancies should be induced cannot be conclusively clarified. The decision should be taken individually together with the patients after an active exchange about advantages and disadvantages.
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