Sesquiterpene Coumarins from *Ferula sinkiangensis* Act as Neuroinflammation Inhibitors
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**ABSTRACT**
Neuroinflammation mediated by microglia cells plays a critical role in the development of Alzheimer’s disease. To identify novel natural neuroinflammation inhibitors, a bioactivity-guided phytochemical research was performed on the traditional Chinese medicine “Awei”, that exhibited a significant inhibitory effect on nitric oxide production in over-activated microglia cells. The research identified sixteen bioactive sesquiterpene coumarins (two new and fourteen known ones) in the effective extract of *Ferula sinkiangensis*. Further, the anti-neuroinflammatory activities in BV-2 microglial cells were evaluated by monitoring LPS-induced nitric oxide production. In conclusion, the major constituent, (3′S,5′S,8′R,9′R,10′R)-kellerin (1.5%, w/w), should be responsible for the anti-neuroinflammatory effect exhibited by Awei. Furthermore, it might be a potential natural therapeutic agent for Alzheimer’s disease. The research indicated moreover, that its primary mechanism is the inhibition of mRNA expression of the inflammatory cytokines nitric oxide, tumor necrosis factor-α, cyclooxygenase-2, interleukin-6 and interleukin-1β.

**Introduction**
Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a complex neurodegenerative disease characterized by progressive cognitive impairments and severe irreversible behavioral abnormalities, and ultimately causes death [1]. AD affects more than 36 million people worldwide, mostly elderly adults, and is reportedly associated with plaques and tangles in the brain [2]. The cause and progression of AD are still not well understood. Although there is no known clinical treatment that can stop or reverse the progression of the disease completely, one approach is to investigate traditional herbals as possible natural therapeutic agents.

Excessive inflammatory responses in the central nervous system (CNS) play a critical role in the pathogenesis of AD [3–4]. Neuroinflammation is a key defense mechanism against infectious agents and neuronal injuries in the CNS. However, excessive or sustained neuroinflammatory processes may result in neuronal damage [5–6]. Microglial cells, the resident macrophage-like immune cells, produce various proinflammatory cytokines in response to inflammatory signals. Those cytokines include nitric oxide (NO), tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α), and interleukin 1β (IL-1β), which contribute to the progressive damage in AD [7–8]. Thus, the anti-neuroinflammatory effect of inhibiting microglial over-activation may become a promising therapeutic target for AD.
Our previous studies screened 40 herbal medicines by means of anti-neuroinflammatory activity assays and phytochemical analysis [9–12]. One of the results was that the chloroform extract of Awei (the gum resin from *Ferula sinkiangensis* K. M. Shen) exhibits a significant effect.

The genus *Ferula* belongs to the family Umbelliferae, which is widely distributed throughout central Asia, especially in Iran. Several species of *Ferula* have been used as folk medicine. For example, the roots of *Ferula persica* Willd. var. *persica* Chamberlain have been used to treat diabetes [13], and *Ferula asafoetida* H. Karst. is known as a traditional medicine for the therapy of asthma, epilepsy, stomachache, flatulence, intestinal parasites, weak digestion and influenza [14]. In addition, *Ferula fukanensis* K. M. Shen is widely used for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis and bronchitis [15].

The gum resin produced by plants of the *Ferula* genus is usually called “Awei” in China. The Awei from *F. sinkiangensis* and *F. fukanensis* are recognized in the Chinese Pharmacopoeia as Traditional Chinese Medicines. Phytochemical research has revealed that derivatives of sesquiterpene coumarins are responsible for diverse bioactivities exhibited by plants of the *Ferula* genus, including antitumor [16], anti-immune inflammatory [15, 17], antibacterial [18, 19], and disinsection [20, 21] activity. However, there are few reports of the genus *Ferula* with respect to a potential therapeutic effect on AD by targeting the inhibition of over-activation of microglial cells.

Based on the previous results of screening, bioactivity-guided isolation and bioassay were performed with LPS-induced BV-2 cells and assessed by multiple chromatography and spectroscopy methods (1D and 2D NMR, MS, single-crystal X-ray analysis, and ECD). We also investigated the primary mechanism of the major component and the brief structure and activity relationship (SAR) of the bioactive compounds.

### Results and Discussion

The chloroform extract of *F. sinkiangensis* significantly inhibited the NO production in LPS-induced BV-2 microglial cells with an IC50 value of 1.66 ± 1.10 µg/mL. Sixteen bioactive sesquiterpene coumarins (Fig. 1) were isolated from the chloroform extract: (3’S, 8’R, 9’S, 10’R)-sinkianol A (1), (5’S, 8’R, 9’S, 10’R)-ferukrinone (2), ferukrin (3), (3’S, 5’S, 8’R, 9’S, 10’R)-kellerin (4), (3’S, 5’S, 8’R, 9’S, 10’R)-deacetylkellerin (5), farnesiferol A (6), farnesiferone A (7), gummosin (8), polyanthin (9), (3’R, 3’R)-sinksianol B (10), farnesiferol B (11), farnesiferol C (12), galbanic acid (13), methyl galbanate (14), karatavicinol (15), and umbelliprenin (16). Further, these sixteen compounds were elucidated by means of spectral data analysis (1D and 2D NMR, MS, single-crystal X-ray...
analysis, [α]D, and ECD spectroscopy). Compounds 1 and 10 are reported for the first time, and compounds 2–9 and 13–15 were obtained for the first time from Awei. Because of the complicated chiral centers in sesquiterpene coumarins, there is still some confusion about the elucidation of their stereotaxures. We analyzed the stereochemistry by 2D NMR, ECD, and single-crystal X-ray analysis. The results established the absolute configurations of compounds 1–5 and 10, and for the first time clarified the absolute configurations of compounds 2, 4, and 5, which had been reported in the literature only with their relative configurations [22,23]. Moreover, a detailed analysis of the 2D-NMR spectra produced a systematic assignment of compounds 2–6 for the first time.

Compound 1, obtained as colorless oil with [α]D° +39.8 (c 1.13, CHCl3), has a molecular formula of C25H30O4, determined by HR-ESI-MS ion at m/z 405.2037 [M+Na]+: (calcd. 405.2036 for C25H30NaO4).

The 1H NMR spectrum of compound 1 showed signals of a typical 7-O-substituted coumarin at δH 7.63 (1H, d, J = 9.5 Hz, H-4), 7.35 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, H-5), 6.85 (1H, d, J = 8.6, 2.2 Hz, H-6), 6.81 (1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz, H-8) and 6.24 (1H, d, J = 9.5 Hz, H-3). We observed resonances of olefin at δH 5.50 (1H, t, J = 3.3 Hz, H-6′), an oxygenated methylene group at δH 3.87 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, H-11′a), 3.83 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, H-11′b) and an oxygenated methine group at δH 3.47 (1H, t, H-3′). The 1H NMR spectrum also exhibited signals for three tertiary methyl groups at δH 1.10 (3H, s, Me-13′), 1.10 (3H, s, Me-15′), 1.05 (3H, s, Me-14′) and one secondary methyl group at δH 0.96 (3H, d, J = 6.9 Hz, Me-12′). Twenty-four carbon signals were observed in the 13C NMR spectrum of compound 1, including nine carbons for typical umbelliferone skeleton and fifteen carbons ascribable to a sesquiterpene moiety. The spectral data of 1D NMR (Table 1) suggested that compound 1 was a sesquiterpene coumarin, which is a characteristic skeleton for the genus Ferula. HSQC and HMBC spectra further clarified the planar structure.

In the HMBC experiment (Fig. 2), the connection between sesquiterpene and coumarin moieties was proven to be C-11′-O-C-7 by observed long-range correlations between δH 3.87 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, H-11′a), 3.83 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, H-11′b) and δC 163.1 (C-7), 32.0 (C-8′), 38.1 (C-9′). In addition, the correlations from signals at δH 1.10 (3H, s, Me-13′), 1.06 (3H, s, Me-14′) to the carbon resonance at δC 76.7 (C-3′), 42.3 (C-4′), 142.6 (C-5′) revealed

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>1*</th>
<th>δH (J in Hz)</th>
<th>10b</th>
<th>δH (J in Hz)</th>
<th>δC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.98 (1H, tdd, 14.0, 4.5, 2.5, H-α)</td>
<td>7.63 (1H, d, J = 9.5 Hz, H-4)</td>
<td>6.83 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, H-5)</td>
<td>6.81 (1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz, H-8)</td>
<td>6.24 (1H, d, J = 9.5 Hz, H-3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.66 (1H, m, H-α)</td>
<td>6.85 (1H, d, J = 8.6, 2.2 Hz, H-6)</td>
<td>6.81 (1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz, H-8)</td>
<td>6.24 (1H, d, J = 9.5 Hz, H-3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.47 (1H, s)</td>
<td>3.87 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, H-11′a)</td>
<td>3.83 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, H-11′b)</td>
<td>3.47 (1H, t, H-3′)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.50 (1H, brt, 3.3)</td>
<td>1.64 (1H, m, H-β)</td>
<td>1.64 (1H, m, H-β)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>142.6 (1H, t, 4.2)</td>
<td>1.13 (1H, t, 4.2)</td>
<td>1.13 (1H, t, 4.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.50 (1H, brt, 3.3)</td>
<td>120.0 (1H, m, H-β)</td>
<td>120.0 (1H, m, H-β)</td>
<td>120.0 (1H, m, H-β)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.87 (1H, m, H-α)</td>
<td>31.7 (1H, dd, 11.0, 4.0)</td>
<td>2.20 (2H, m)</td>
<td>2.20 (2H, m)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.82 (1H, m)</td>
<td>32.0 (1H, m)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.81 (1H, t, 6.6, 0.9)</td>
<td>118.6 (1H, m, H-β)</td>
<td>118.6 (1H, m, H-β)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.37 (1H, d, 10.8)</td>
<td>38.4 (2H, dd, 6.6, 1.8)</td>
<td>65.6 (1H, m, H-β)</td>
<td>65.6 (1H, m, H-β)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.87 (1H, d, 8.6, H-α)</td>
<td>72.8 (1H, d, 8.6, H-α)</td>
<td>4.60 (2H, dd, 6.6, 1.8)</td>
<td>4.60 (2H, dd, 6.6, 1.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.96 (3H, d, 6.9)</td>
<td>15.5 (3H, s)</td>
<td>1.79 (3H, s)</td>
<td>1.79 (3H, s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.10 (3H, s)</td>
<td>24.7 (3H, s)</td>
<td>1.04 (3H, s)</td>
<td>1.04 (3H, s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.05 (3H, s)</td>
<td>26.3 (3H, s)</td>
<td>0.80 (3H, s)</td>
<td>0.80 (3H, s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.10 (3H, s)</td>
<td>20.9 (3H, s)</td>
<td>1.18 (3H, s)</td>
<td>1.18 (3H, s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>161.4 (3H, s)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.24 (1H, d, 9.5)</td>
<td>112.6 (3H, s)</td>
<td>6.25 (1H, d, 9.5)</td>
<td>6.25 (1H, d, 9.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.63 (1H, d, 9.5)</td>
<td>143.6 (3H, s)</td>
<td>7.64 (1H, d, 9.5)</td>
<td>7.64 (1H, d, 9.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.35 (1H, d, 8.6)</td>
<td>128.8 (3H, s)</td>
<td>7.37 (1H, d, 8.5)</td>
<td>7.37 (1H, d, 8.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.85 (1H, d, 8.6, 2.2)</td>
<td>113.3 (3H, s)</td>
<td>6.85 (1H, dd, 8.5, 2.3)</td>
<td>6.85 (1H, dd, 8.5, 2.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>163.1 (3H, s)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.81 (1H, d, 2.2)</td>
<td>101.6 (3H, s)</td>
<td>6.83 (1H, d, 2.3)</td>
<td>6.83 (1H, d, 2.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>156.1 (3H, s)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>113.1 (3H, s)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 300 MHz for 1H and 75 MHz for 13C. b 400 MHz for 1H and 100 MHz for 13C.
the presence of a hydroxyl group at C-3’. The correlations between δH 0.96 (3H, d, J = 6.9 Hz, Me-12′) and δC 31.7 (C-7), 32.0 (C-8′), 38.1 (C-9′) fixed the methyl group Me-12 at C-8’. The remaining methyl groups Me-15′ were established at C-9′ by the HMBC correlations between δH 1.10 (3H, s, Me-15′) and δC 32.0 (C-8′), 38.1 (C-9′), 38.4 (C-10′), 72.8 (C-11’).

In the NOESY spectrum (Fig. 2), we determined that the configurations of OH-3′, CH₂-11′ were β-oriented, based on the correlations between H-3′ and Me-14′, H-1′eq; H-10′ and Me-12′, H-2′ax, Me-14′; Me-15′ and H-2′ax, H-7′eq and that the configurations of H-3′, H-10′, Me-12′, Me-15′ were α-oriented. We also determined that the relative configuration of compound 1 was 3′S, 8′R, 9′S, 10′R, and a comparison of experimental and calculated ECD spectra further established the absolute configuration. Preferred conformers within a 20 kcal/mol energy threshold were revealed by a conformational search with the Discovery Studio 3.0 program using a systematic method. We found no imaginary frequencies when we subjected these conformers to geometrical optimization and energy calculation using TDDFT with the B3LYP function and 6–31G(d) combined with calculation of vibrational modes to confirm these minima. Calculations of the ECD spectra for these conformers were performed as described above.

Fig. 3A shows the weighted ECD spectrum in MeOH. The calculated ECD spectrum of an isomer (3′S, 8′R, 9′S, 10′R) of compound 1 closely matched the experimental data, in particular a negative Cotton effect (CE) in the 200–220 nm region and a positive CE in the regions of 240–270 nm, 290–310 nm and 340–360 nm [24]. Thus, the authors determined that the absolute configuration of compound 1 was 3′S, 8′R, 9′S, 10′R. We established the structure of compound 1 as shown in Fig. 1 and identified it as (3′S, 8′R, 9′S, 10′R)-sinkianol A. Analysis of the 1D and 2D NMR spectra permitted the assignment of the ¹H and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopic data listed in Table 1.

Compound 10, obtained as white crystal with [α]D⁰ -10.9 (c 0.76, CHCl₃), has a molecular formula of C₂₄H₃₂O₅ established by HR-ESI-MS ion at m/z 423.2140 [M+Na⁺]: (calcd. 423.2142 for C₂₄H₃₂NaO₅).

The ¹H NMR spectrum of compound 10 exhibited the signals of a typical 7-O-substituted coumarin at δH 7.64 (1H, d, J = 9.5 Hz, H-4), 7.37 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-5), 6.85 (1H, dd, J = 8.5, 2.3 Hz, H-6), 6.83 (1H, d, J = 2.3 Hz, H-8) and 6.25 (1H, d, J = 9.5 Hz, H-3). We also observed the signals of olefin at δH 5.50 (1H, td, J = 6.6, 0.9 Hz, H-9′) and an oxygenated methine group at δH 3.32 (1H, dd, J = 11.0, 4.0 Hz, H-3′). The ¹H NMR showed resonances of four tertiary methyl groups at δH 1.79 (3H, s, Me-12′), 1.18 (3H, s, Me-15′), 1.04 (3H, s, Me-13′) and 0.80 (3H, s, Me-14′). The ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound 10 displayed twenty-four carbon signals, nine peaks for a typical umbelliferone skeleton and the others ascribable to a sesquiterpene moiety. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data (Table 1) suggested that compound 10 was a sesquiterpene coumarin. HSQC and HMBC spectra further clarified the plane structure.

The HMBC experiment (Fig. 2) proved that the connection between sesquiterpene moiety and coumarin was C-11′-O-C-7, based on the observation of long-range correlations between δC 6.10 (2H, dd, J = 6.6, 1.8 Hz, CH₂-11′) and δC 162.3 (C-7), 143.7 (C-8′), 118.6 (C-9′). Long range HMBC correlations from the pro-
The correlation between δXing Y et al. Sesquiterpene Coumarins from 3H, s, Me-13′, 0.80 (3H, s, Me-14′) to the carbon resonance at δC 78.4 (C-3′), 40.6 (C-4′), 55.5 (C-5′) revealed the location of a hydroxyl group at C-3′. A tertiary methyl Me-15′ was fixed at C-10′ because of correlations between δH 1.18 (3H, s, Me-15′) and δC 55.5 (C-5′), 41.2 (C-1′). We established the remaining methyl groups Me-12′ at C-8′ carbon based on HMBC correlation between δH 1.79 (3H, s, Me-12′) and δC 42.7 (C-7′), 118.6 (C-9′).

In the NOESY spectrum (Fig. 2), the correlations between H-3′ and H-1′ax, H-5′, H-13′ and H-3′, H-5′, Me-15′ and Me-12′, Me-14′ proved that H-3′ was β-oriented and that OH-3′, Me-15′ were α-oriented. Based on the above data, the relative configuration of compound 10 was established as 3′-R’, 5′-R’, 10′R. We further established the absolute configuration of compound 10 by comparison of experimental and calculated ECD spectra. The calculation of the ECD spectra was performed in the same manner as with compound 1. Fig. 3B shows the weighted ECD spectrum in MeOH. The calculated ECD spectrum of an isomer (3′R, 5′R, 10′R) in compound 10 closely matched the experimental data, in particular the positive CE in the 200–210 nm region, and the negative CE in the regions of 210–215 nm and 290–330 nm. Differences between the calculated and experimental spectra were apparently due to minor differences between the calculated and solution conformers of this flexible molecule [24]. Thus, we established that the structure of compound 10 was (3′R, 5′R, 10′R)-sin-1, 11, 12 and 15 could reduce the production of NO greatly with IC50 values ranging from 19.88 to 47.43 µM, and compounds 1, 2, 6 and 16 showed significant inhibiting activities at 50 µM (Table 2, Fig. 15S, Supporting Information).

Effects of extracts and isolated sesquiterpene coumarins on NO production by LPS-activated microglia cells. By monitoring LPS-induced NO production, we assayed the anti-neuroinflammatory activities of the extract and the sixteen sesquiterpene coumarins in BV-2 microglial cells (Table 2 and Fig. 15S, Supporting Information). We assayed the cytotoxic activities of tested samples by MTT method in order to avoid the possible effect of reduced viability on NO release. To focus on the effective fraction, we partitioned the chloroform extract into three fractions (Ext-2, Ext-3 and Ext-4) according to the polarity by using flash silica column chromatography. The result indicated that the chloroform extract (Ext-1), low polar fraction (Ext-2) and moderate polar fraction (Ext-3) could reduce LPS-induced NO production significantly at 10 µg/mL. None of the extracts exhibited a toxic effect on BV-2 microglial cells at 0.1, 1, 10 µg/mL (Fig. 15S, Supporting Information).

We identified fourteen known sesquiterpene coumarins as (5′S, 8′R, 9′S, 10′R)-ferukrinone (2) [22], ferukrin (3) [25], (3′S,5′S,8′R, 9′S, 10′R)-kellerin (4), (3′S,5′S, 8′R, 9′S, 10′R)-deacytelykellerin (5) [23], farnesiferol A (6) [26], farnesiferone A (7) [27], gymnossin (8) [28], polyanthinin (9) [29], farnesiferol C (12) [30], galbanic acid (13) [31], methyl galbanate (14) [20], karatavicol (15) [32], and umbelliprenin (16) [33] (Fig. 1). Comparison of experimental and simulated ECD spectra established the absolute configurations of compounds 2–5. This is the first confirmation of the absolute configurations of compounds 2, 4 and 5, which had been reported previously as relative structures. The relative configuration of compound 2 was established by NOESY and X-ray crystallographic analysis as 5′R, 8′S*, 9′S, 10′S (Fig. 13S, Supporting Information). We then established the absolute configuration of compound 2 as 5′S, 8′R, 9′S, 10′R (Fig. 14S, Supporting Information) by means of the calculated and experimental ECD spectra. Based on the relative configuration from the NOESY experiment, we determined that the absolute configuration of compound 3 was 3′R, 5′S, 8′R, 9′S, 10′R with the calculated and experimental ECD spectra in Fig. 14S, Supporting Information. We used the same procedure to determine that 3′S, 5′S, 8′R, 9′S, 10′R was the absolute configuration of compound 4 and compound 5 (Fig. 14S, Supporting Information).

Table 2 Effects of extracts and isolated sesquiterpene coumarins from F. sinkiangensis on NO production by LPS-activated microglia cells (Mean ± SEM).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>IC50*</th>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>IC50*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ext-1 b</td>
<td>1.66 ± 1.10</td>
<td>Compound 7</td>
<td>37.88 ± 1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ext-2 b</td>
<td>2.72 ± 1.06</td>
<td>Compound 8</td>
<td>6.93 ± 1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ext-3 b</td>
<td>11.18 ± 2.07</td>
<td>Compound 9 b</td>
<td>19.88 ± 1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ext-4 b</td>
<td>8.83 ± 1.29</td>
<td>Compound 10</td>
<td>45.37 ± 1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound 1</td>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>Compound 12</td>
<td>31.43 ± 1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound 2</td>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>Compound 13 b</td>
<td>10.50 ± 1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound 3</td>
<td>21.34 ± 1.55</td>
<td>Compound 14 b</td>
<td>5.95 ± 1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound 4</td>
<td>4.96 ± 1.39</td>
<td>Compound 15 b</td>
<td>47.43 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound 5</td>
<td>31.61 ± 1.47</td>
<td>Compound 16</td>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound 6</td>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>minocycline</td>
<td>37.04 ± 2.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a IC50 (µg/mL for extracts and µM for compounds), b Ext-1–4 showed cytotoxicity at 50 µg/mL; Compound 4, 9, 13–15 showed cytotoxicity at 50 µM.

* Positive control

Table 3 Comparison of experimental and calculated ECD spectra of compound 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound 2</th>
<th>Experimental ECD</th>
<th>Calculated ECD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4 BV-2 cell viability assay and anti-neuroinflammatory activities of compounds 4, 8, 13, 14 from F. sinkiangensis on LPS-induced NO production in BV-2 microglial cells. Each bar represents the means ± SE of three independent experiments. Significance: * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001, compared to LPS groups. ### P < 0.001, compared to control groups.

Fig. 5 Cytotoxic activities of tested samples by MTT method in order to avoid the possible effect of reduced viability on NO release. To focus on the effective fraction, we partitioned the chloroform extract into three fractions (Ext-2, Ext-3 and Ext-4) according to the polarity by using flash silica column chromatography. The result indicated that the chloroform extract (Ext-1), low polar fraction (Ext-2) and moderate polar fraction (Ext-3) could reduce LPS-induced NO production significantly at 10 µg/mL. None of the extracts exhibited a toxic effect on BV-2 microglial cells at 0.1, 1, 10 µg/mL.
The phytochemical result indicated that sesquiterpene coumarins are the main bioactive components of Awei produced from *F. sinkiangensis*. The identified sesquiterpene coumarins can be divided into bicyclic (compounds 1–9), monocyclic (compounds 10–14) and chain sesquiterpene coumarins (compounds 15 and 16) according to the differences in the structures of sesquiterpene moieties (Fig. 1).

Considering the structural characteristics and anti-neuroinflammatory effects, we can summarize the brief SAR as follows:

Firstly, the substitution at C-3′ in bicyclic sesquiterpene coumarins, which possessed the substitution of methyl and hydroxyl at C-8′ (R), may play an important role in mediatai anti-neuroinflammatory activity. The ability of substituted groups at C-3′ to enhance the activity followed the sequential order of acetoxyl group, α-hydroxy group, β-hydroxy group, and carbonyl group, but the order is different in bicyclic sesquiterpene coumarins with a terminal double bond substituted at C-8′. The order for those is β-hydroxy group, acetoxyl group, carbonyl group, and α-hydroxy group. Secondly, for monocyclic sesquiterpene coumarins, the crack position of the rings in the sesquiterpene moieties could affect the activities. Compounds 13 and 14, with the A ring cracked, exhibited a better anti-neuroinflammatory effect than compounds 11 and 12, with the B ring cracked. Furthermore, we confirmed that the presence of an oxygen bridge in the A ring in monocyclic sesquiterpene coumarins could improve the activity. Finally, the chain sesquiterpene coumarins, compounds 15 and 16, exhibited weak activities. The research therefore suggests that the ring in a sesquiterpene coumarin is necessary for the anti-neuroinflammatory effect.

It can be concluded that the major constituent (3′S,5′S,8′R,9′S, 10′R)-kellerin (compound 4, 1.5%, w/w) might be an effective component of Awei produced from *F. sinkiangensis*. We also used quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) to investigate the primary mechanism of compound 4. The research revealed that (3′S,5′S, 8′R, 9′S, 10′R)-kellerin could significantly inhibit the mRNA expression of inflammatory factors TNF-α, IL-6, IL-1β induced by LPS in BV2 microglial cells at concentrations of 1–10 µM. And it could also significantly inhibit mRNA expression of inflammatory factor COX-2 induced by LPS in BV2 microglial cells at concentration of 3–10 µM.

**Material and Methods**

**General experimental procedures**

The authors used a Shimadzu QP-2010 Plus device to measure mass spectra. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker ARX-300 and ARX-600 spectrometers; δ in ppm rel. to Me$_3$Si as internal standard; J in Hz. HR-EST-MS: Bruker micro TOF-Q mass spectrometer; in m/z (rel.%). ECD spectra recorded in MeOH on MOS-450 spectrometer. Silica gel (SiO$_2$: 200–300 mesh), Sephadex LH-20 (Pharmacia, Co.), and ODS (10 – 30 µm) were used for column chromatography. Semi-preparative HPLC was carried out on a YMC ODS C-18 column (250 mm × 10 mm, 10 µm, ODS-A) and on a Shimadzu SPD-10 A UV detector, and silica gel GF$_{254}$ was used for TLC (SiO$_2$: 200–300 mesh). We visualized the chromatograms under UV light (at 254 and 365 nm) before spraying a sulfuric acid-ethanol (10%) spray reagent. CDCl$_3$, DMSO, and thiazolyl blue (MTT) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, and Bio-Rad Laboratories provided the reagents for the qRT-PCR experiments. All other chemicals and solvents for this experiment were of analytical grade or higher.

**Materials**

The gum resin of *F. sinkiangensis* was collected in Xinjiang Province, China, during June 2012. Prof. Jie Xue identified the plant material, and a voucher specimen (No. 81060 332) is deposited in the School of Traditional Chinese Materia Medica at Shenyang Pharmaceutical University. Minocycline (purity > 98%) was purchased from Melone Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.

**Extraction and isolation**

Dried gum resin of *F. sinkiangensis* (900 g) was extracted with CHCl$_3$ (3 × 2.7 L, 2 h each) under reflux, and the organic solvent was concentrated to afford a crude extract (558 g). 300 g of the extract were subjected to a silica gel column, eluted with a gradient of PE-acetone, to afford 10 fractions (Fr.1–Fr.10). Compound 16 (16.0 mg, 98.1%) was isolated from Fr.1 by silica gel CC and recrystallization. Fr.2 was separated by ODS CC and semi-preparative HPLC to yield compound 1 (17.6 mg, 98.3%), and compound 14 (20.5 mg, 98.1%). Fr.3 was fractionated by silica gel CC to yield compound 12 (1.6 g, 99.0%) and compound 9 (20.5 mg, 97.5%). Fr.4 was subjected to silica gel CC to yield compound 7 (728.8 mg, 98.3%), 11 (2.0 g, 98.2%) and 8 (16.9 mg, 96.9%). Then compound 4 (7.25 g, 97.4%) was purified from Fr.5 by CC and ODS CC. Fr.6 was subjected to silica gel CC and then purified by HPLC to yield compound 6 (250.0 mg, 98.1%) and compound 13 (40.2 mg, 98.7%). Fr.8 was subjected to ODS CC to yield compound 3 (17.0 mg, 97.1%), and then purified by semi-preparative HPLC to yield compound 2 (23.6 mg, 97.4%), compound 5 (20.5 mg, 97.3%) and compound 15 (42.7 mg, 98.2%). Fr.10 was purified by silica gel CC, recrystallization, and PTLC to yield compound 10 (42.1 mg, 96.8%). For details on the isolation process, see Supporting Information.

**Conformational analysis, geometrical optimization, and ECD calculation**

Conformers of compounds 1–5 and compound 10 were generated by conformational search with the Discovery Studio 3.0 program, using the method of systematic search within a relative energy threshold of 20 kcal/mol. The conformers were chosen for geometrical optimization by AM1 method and for energy calculation by density function theory (DFT) with the B3LYP functional and the 6–31 G(d) basis-set with the Gaussian 09 program [34, 35].

We performed the vibrational analysis at the same level to confirm minima. TD-DFT/B3LYP/6–31 G(d) in MeOH using the SCRF (self-consistent reaction field) method with a CPCM (conductor-like polarizable continuum) solvent model was employed to calculate the excitation energy (denoted by wavelength in nm) and rotational strength R in the dipole velocity (Rvel) and dipole length (Rvel) forms. ECD curves were calculated based on rotational strengths using a half bandwidth of 0.2 eV with conformers of compounds 1–5 and compound 10 using Gaussian 2.2 software [35]. To generate
the final spectrum of a molecule, we Boltzmann-averaged all of the simulated spectra of the generated conformers.

Assay of anti-inflammatory activities in BV-2 cells

Determination of cell viability: Cell viability was evaluated by MTT reduction assay [36]. In brief, cells were seeded into 96-well microtiter plates. After treatment with tested samples for 24 h, cells were incubated with MTT (0.25 mg/mL) for 4 h at 37 °C. The supernatant was removed and then the formazan crystals in the cells were dissolved in DMSO. Plates were read on an aplate reader (Bio-Tek) at 490 nm.

Nitrite assay: The accumulation of nitrite in culture supernatant fluids was measured by Griess reaction [36]. Cells were plated into 96-well microtiter plates and treated with tested samples in presence of LPS (100 ng/mL) for 24 h. 50 µL of culture supernatant fluids were mixed with 50 µL Griess reagent at room temperature; the absorbance at 540 nm was determined after 15 min.

Quantitative real-time PCR

BV-2 microglial cells were treated with compound 4 (1, 3, 10 µM) in presence of LPS (100 ng/mL) for 4 h, total RNA was extracted with Trizol (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol, and qRT-PCR assays were performed with the CFX Connect™ real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad) using total RNA and the GoTaq one-step real-time PCR kit with SYBR green (Promega). The following primers were used: TNF-α, sense primer: 5′-CCCTCA-CACTGATCATCTTTCT-3′, antisense primer: 5′-GCTACGACGT-GGCTACAG-3′; IL-6, sense primer: 5′-AGGTCCGAGTGGAGGATG-3′, antisense primer: 5′-CTTGGTCTAGCCACTCTTC-3′; IL-1β, sense primer: 5′-GCAACTGTTCTGAACTCACT-3′, antisense primer: 5′-ATCTTTTGGGGCTCAACT-3′; COX-2, sense primer: 5′-GACAGATCATAGCGGA-3′, antisense primer: 5′-CACAGCAAATCTCAGG-3′; GAPDH, sense primer: 5′-AGGTCCGAGTGGAGGATG-3′, antisense primer: 5′-TGTACACATG- TAGTGGGAT-3′. The gene expression values were normalized to those of GAPDH, see ▶ Fig. 5.

Supporting Information

Details on the isolation procedure, spectral assignments of known compounds, the X-ray (MoKα) crystallographic structure of compound 2, the comparison of calculated and experimental ECD spectra of compounds 2–5, and the BV-2 cell viability assay and anti-neuroinflammatory activities of extracts, fractions and identified compounds are available as Supporting Information.
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