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ABSTRACT
In October 2014 the European Federation of Societies for Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology formed a Gastrointestinal Ultrasound (GIUS) task force group to promote the use of GIUS in a clinical setting. One of the main objectives of the task force group was to develop clinical recommendations and guidelines for the use of GIUS under the auspices of EFSUMB. The first part, gives an overview of the examination techniques for GIUS recommended by experts in the field. It also presents the current evidence for the interpretation of normal sonoanatomical and physiological features as examined with different ultrasound modalities.
Introduction

Transabdominal gastrointestinal ultrasound (GIUS) offers the unique opportunity to examine non-invasively and in physiological condition the bowel including extra-intestinal features such as the splanchnic vessels, mesentery, omentum and lymph nodes. For properly trained users, GIUS has been shown to have good accuracy and repeatability not only in a primary work up, but also in the follow up of chronic diseases [1, 2].

Although there is an extensive documentation for the usefulness of GIUS in clinical practice it has only been fully implemented in some European countries and expert centres. Furthermore, the lack of standardization of the examination technique, and of guidelines, makes it hard to properly train physicians.

This was the motivation behind establishing the GIUS Task Force Group in 2014 under the umbrella of the European Federation of Societies for Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology (EFSUMB) which previously have published several guidelines and recommendations [3–11]. The group consists of a team of international experts of GIUS and the objective is to promote the use of GIUS in a clinical setting. This will be achieved by publishing clinical guidelines and recommendations on indications and use of GIUS for the gastrointestinal (GI) tract and by stimulating the development of training networks.

A guideline-series of altogether 7 papers are in the pipeline: examination techniques and normal findings, inflammatory bowel disease, transrectal and perineal ultrasound, other inflammatory disorders, functional disorders, upper GI ultrasound and miscellaneous pathologies.

In the making of this first document the GIUS task force group agreed on the scope of the document and then assigned a responsible author to select a panel of authors from the group based on their previous publications in the relevant fields of interest and their reputation as international experts in research and in teaching GIUS. Finally, a consensus meeting was held April 2016 to discuss important aspects of the guidelines and to vote on actual recommendations.

This document is mainly focused on presenting the examination techniques for performing GIUS and the normal ultrasound (US) features of the bowel, bowel wall and surrounding structures. Examination techniques and normal ultrasound findings for the perineal region and stomach are not included, but will be addressed in upcoming guideline papers. The recommendations are based on an extensive literature review. Based on the literature a recommendation level was suggested for each guideline. The Oxford Guidelines for reporting medical evidence was used specifying the level of evidence (LoE) and the grade of recommendation (GoR)[12]. Since many of the themes in these guidelines have not been subjected to systematic studies these recommendations often have a level of evidence 4 or 5, the latter simply being expert opinion. Therefore this document also includes the level of consensus of the members in the GIUS task force group. In April 2016 members of the Task Force Group participated in a consensus meeting in Gargnano, Italy. Each recommendation was discussed, adjusted and subjected to vote by members in the GIUS task force group. Recommendations 14 and 15 were not ready before the consensus meeting and were put to the vote during the review process. Degree of consensus was graded as follows: Strong consensus >95%, broad consensus 95–76%, majority consensus 75–50% and dissent <50%.

Equipment and examination modalities

B-mode

Ultrasound scanners should have sufficient quality and screen resolution to be able to delineate the structures in the gastrointestinal wall. The resolution of an US transducer is dependent on the frequency, the speed of sound in tissue and the number of cycles in the US pulse. Since the thickness of the bowel wall layers usually is less than 1 mm [13, 14], the frequency of a transducer must be at least 5 megahertz (MHz) for wall layers to be well discriminated [15–17]. According to their specifications most mid-frequency range transducers (5–10 MHz) offer the investigator a good compromise between resolution and depth penetration. While a mid-frequency range transducer can have a depth penetration of about 8–10 cm a high-frequency range transducer (10–18 MHz) rarely penetrates beyond 4 cm. At the same time the resolution of a mid-frequency range transducer is quite adequate for separating individual layers in the GI wall [15–17]. A low-frequency range transducer (1–6 MHz) is still needed for overview for reaching deeper lying bowel segments, such as the rectum and in obese patients. Harmonic imaging should be activated when available as this may improve the delineation of bowel wall layers [18, 19]. To document longer areas of involved intestines panoramic imaging may be helpful [20, 21].

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. For a complete examination of the bowel both a low and high resolution probe are needed, LoE 5, GoR C, Strong consensus 13/13
Doppler techniques

Doppler US can assess both the signal from the visceral vessels that supply the gastrointestinal tract and directly smaller vessels of the intestinal wall, but cannot detect capillary flow.

Analysis of superior and inferior mesenteric inflow by pulsed Doppler scanning (systolic and diastolic velocities, resistance index, blood flow volume) provides several quantifiable parameters [22–25]. The best place to position the sample area is 2–3 cm distally to the origin of the vessel, in a longitudinal section as it runs parallel to the aorta, proximal to any side branches [26–28]. The examiner should tilt the probe to obtain an angle <60°. A high-pass filter of 100–200 KHz should be used to eliminate low frequencies related to vessel wall movement [28, 29].

Colour or Power Doppler can both be used to evaluate bowel wall vascularity [30]. Colour or Power Doppler flow parameters should be optimized to maximize the sensitivity for the detection of vessels with low-velocity flow in the bowel wall. Although specific technical characteristics depend on the equipment, in general it is recommended that persistence of colour be set at “medium,” the wall filter adjusted to the lowest setting, and a combination of the lowest velocity scale with the colour sensitivity at high level to maximize visualization of vessels avoiding colour blooming [30–34]. Finally, colour Doppler gain should be turned up until flash artefacts occur and then turned down until they disappear before assessing vascularity.

The information obtained from colour Doppler images is semi-quantitative. It is recommended to measure bowel wall vascularity according to the number of vessels detected per square centimetre [30–33, 35]. Colour Doppler flow is considered present when colour pixels persist throughout the observation period and/or reoccur in the same location. Pulsed Doppler obtaining an arterial or venous signal at the location of the colour pixel should be used when there is doubt, to confirm that colour signals are originated from blood vessels and not from movement artefacts [31, 33, 36, 37].

If vascularity is not detected in the pathologically thickened intestinal wall this might be due to insensitivity of the equipment, inadequate chosen Doppler parameters, high body mass index or depth penetration >40 mm with loss of sensitivity.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

3. Colour Doppler imaging should be used to evaluate the vascularisation of pathological bowel wall, LoE 2b, GoR B, Broad consensus 12/13

Contrast-enhanced ultrasound

Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) is performed after the injection of stabilized microbubbles with gaseous content into the blood stream.

There are several ways of interpreting contrast-enhancement in the bowel wall: pattern of enhancement [38–41], contrast quantification at peak intensity [42–44] and dynamic contrast-enhanced ultrasound where intensity changes over time are analysed [45–49].

CEUS can be used to quantify vascularity [42, 43, 50], but also be used to separate vascular from avascular tissue which is particularly useful when trying to differentiate a phlegmon from an abscess [51, 52].

RECOMMENDATIONS:

4. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound of the bowel can be used to separate vascular from avascular intestinal or peri-intestinal lesions including abscesses. El 3b, GoR B, Strong consensus 12/12

Elastography

Elastography is a relative new technique that depicts the stiffness of tissues and is already used in clinical practice. An overview of the different techniques and applications has been published by EFSUMB [3, 5]. Recently, elastography has also been suggested as a tool for assessing diseases in the gastrointestinal tract [53, 54].

The bowel wall is thin, surrounded by serosa and with a lumen containing gas and chyme or fecal contents. This does not make it the ideal organ to be studied with elastography. However, pathology of the GI tract such as inflammation or tumour causes bowel wall thickening and often reduces motility and luminal contents in the affected area which may facilitate sonoelastography. There is good evidence for the use of elastography in endorectal ultrasonography [55–58], but the evidence for transabdominal elastography of the bowel is sparse. Some recent studies suggest that it can be used to differentiate between fibrotic and inflammatory stenosis in Crohn’s disease [59, 60].

RECOMMENDATIONS:

5. Ultrasound elastography can be used to evaluate the stiffness of pathological thickened bowel. LoE 4, GoR C, Broad consensus 11/12

Investigator training and learning curve

It is important to set standards for performance of GIUS and for EFSUMB to secure high quality US education and professional standards. Previously, EFSUMB defined three levels of training recommendations in its release of minimal training requirements. Appendix 5 is specifically addressing gastroenterology [61]. EFSUMB recommends that GI US should mainly be performed by operators that have considerable experience and have passed the first competence level. However, also on level 1 the operator should be able to recognise the small and large bowel, and major focal intestinal abnormalities including obstruction. On level 2,
the investigator should be able to perform a comprehensive
examination of the GI tract: evaluation of the small bowel for focal
or diffuse disease, the large bowel for the presence of diverticular
disease and its complications (tumours and obstruction), the peri-
toneal cavity, its mesenteries, compartments and the omentum
for the presence of infectious or malignant diseases. A level 3 prac-
titioner should spend the majority of their time undertaking gas-
trointestinal US or teaching, research and development and be an
expert in this area.

**RECOMMENDATIONS:**
6. Dedicated training in bowel ultrasound is necessary and
should preferably be performed following training in
general abdominal ultrasound, LoE 5, GoR C, Broad
consensus 11/12

**Preparation**

In principle, no preparation of the patient is needed to perform a
GIUS. To reduce the amount of food and air in the small bowel a
fasting period of at least 4 hours is recommended, however, fast-
ing may not significantly improve visibility except in male patients
[62, 63]. Also the presence of food in the stomach and small bow-
el will increase the flow in the splanchnic vessels which will vary
with the size, composition and time since the last meal [64–68].
An overnight fast (> 8 hours) will include both the effect of im-
proved visibility and minimize the effect of the previous meal.

Activity also affects splanchnic flow and thus the patients
should refrain from extensive physical activity in the period before
the examination [69].

**RECOMMENDATIONS:**
7. A standard examination of the intestine does not need
specific preparation, LoE 4, GoR B, Strong consensus
12/12
8. Fasting > 6 hours is recommended before measuring
splanchnic blood flow, LoE 4, GoR B, Strong consensus
12/12
9. Overnight fasting is recommended before assessing gas-
trointestinal motility, LoE 5, GoR C, Strong consensus
12/12

**Techniques**

**Scanning**

The scanning technique for evaluating the bowel may vary
according to the clinical problem [28, 70, 71] and there are no
comparative studies where one GIUS scanning technique has
been compared with another. As such these recommendations
are mostly LoE meaning they are a matter of expert opinion.

After examining the parenchymal organs in the abdomen
using the low frequency abdominal US probe the gastrointestinal
tract is scanned systematically. First the abdominal US probe is
used to get an overview before switching to a mid-range to high-
frequency probe for a detailed examination.

The rectum can be scanned behind the urinary bladder with
the abdominal US probe. The normal rectum may be difficult to
display if the urinary bladder is empty.

The investigator should use a combination of internal and
external references to describe the findings in the gastrointestinal
tract. Since the cecum, ileocecal valve and terminal ileum very of-
ten are found and identified with certainty lying over the iliopsoas
muscle in the right iliac region this is a convenient location to start
the scan of both the large and small intestine.

When scanning the large bowel the probe is moved to the right
iliac fossa in a transverse direction to identify the cecum. The
probe should then be oriented in the longitudinal direction of
the large bowel to identify haustrations more easily. After the
cecum has been identified in the right iliac fossa the bowel is fol-
lowed in the distal direction through the ascending colon, right
flexure, transverse colon, left flexure, descending colon, sigmoid
colon and the rectum. By sweeping back and forth in the trans-
verse direction the examiner gets an overview of the pathology
while at the same time tracing the path of the colon. The flexures
are located high in the abdomen. The right flexure can be seen
both intercostally and subcostally while the left flexure is found in-
tercostally in the region of the spleen and left kidney.

The small bowel scan starts by returning the probe to the right
iliac fossa and identifying the terminal ileum. The examiner should
then trace the terminal ileum as far as possible proximally. The
flexures, transverse colon, left flexure, descending colon, sigmoid
colon and the rectum are located high in the abdomen. The right flexure can be seen
both intercostally and subcostally while the left flexure is found in-
tercostally in the region of the spleen and left kidney.

The small bowel scan starts by returning the probe to the right
iliac fossa and identifying the terminal ileum. The examiner should
then trace the terminal ileum as far as possible proximally. The
rest of the small bowel is difficult to trace and to ensure most
parts of the small bowel have been included in the examination a
systematic scanning approach must be adopted. The abdomen
should be scanned in parallel overlapping lanes cranially and cau-
dally (“mowing the lawn”) while applying sufficient probe pressure
so the dorsal wall of the abdominal cavity can be identified. This
way the examiner is certain that all bowel segments between the
probe and the dorsal wall are included in the scan.

**RECOMMENDATIONS:**
10. The scanning of the intestines must involve a systematic
approach, LoE 5, GoR C. Strong consensus 12/12

**Graded compression**

Graded compression is performed by using the US probe much in
the same way as when performing palpation with the fingertips.
The probe is used to compress the abdomen while following the
respiratory movements. This can push away overlying bowel seg-
ments with gas or intraabdominal fat and in this way enable the
examiner to reach deeper with high frequency probes such as for
instance in the pelvis. The concept of graded compression was
introduced by Puylaert [72] for the diagnosis of appendicitis
[73–75]. Surgeons use the technique with good results [76].
Graded compression has been used for detection of bowel wall
thickening [77] and for specific diagnoses such as diverticulitis [78, 79] and polyp detection [80].

**Fluid use**

Luminal gas and the variable and unpredictable presence of contents in the gastrointestinal tract may interfere with its visualization and with detailed evaluation of wall structure and intraluminal lesions. This can be improved by filling the lumen with an anechoic fluid. The examination of the small bowel after ingestion of small (250 – 500 ml) amounts of iso-osmolar polyethylene glycol (PEG) 3350 – 4000 (macrogol) solution analogous to CT- or MR-enterography is called US-enterography or Small Intestine Contrast US (SICUS). With this technique the entire small bowel from the duodenal-jejunal angle to ileo-cecal valve can be visualized [81]. SICUS used in healthy controls independent from the amounts of oral contrast used, results in values of wall thickness (≤ 3 mm) and lumen diameter (≤ 25 mm). These normative values help to discriminate normal from abnormal findings [81]. US enteroclysis has also been performed after instillation of PEG solution through a nasojejunal tube, placed in the duodenum using gastroscopy [82]. However, an excellent visualization of the small bowel was achieved only for the distal part of the ileum.

Hydrocolon examination with retrograde installation of fluids has also been used to improve visualization of colon pathology [83]. However, this technique has not gained widespread acceptance in clinical practice.

**Wall layers**

The gastrointestinal wall consists of 5 distinct sonographic layers when examined with a high frequency probe in the range of 5 – 15 MHz in vitro. The echo layers are a combination of interface echoes and the echo properties of the histological layers [85, 95, 96]. When imaged in the anterior wall of a bowel loop starting from the lumen the hyperechoic layer 1 corresponds to the interface between the mucosa and the lumen and is not a part of the actual GI wall. The hypoechoic layer 2 corresponds to the mucosa without the interface between the submucosa and mucosa, the hyperechoic layer 3 to the submucosa including this interface echo, the hypoechoic layer 4 to most of the proper muscle and layer 5 to the hyperechoic interface echo between the proper muscle and the serosa.

Since interface echoes are hyperechoic and located distally to the actual tissue interface, the correspondence between histology and sonographic layers differ slightly in the dorsal wall. Notably, the interface between lumen and mucosa (layer 1) is a part of the actual mucosa and layer 2 represents the rest of the mucosa without muscularis mucosae which normally is covered by an interface echo and add thickness to layer 3. Furthermore, the interface between submucosa and the proper muscle adds thickness to layer 3 and reduces the thickness of layer 4. The interface between the proper muscle and serosa (layer 5) extends beyond the actual serosa [15, 16, 97].

Since the interface from the serosa is difficult to delineate the measurement should be made from the start of the hypoechoic layer of the proper muscle to the end of the hyperechoic layer of the mucosa. Compression of the bowel wall with the transducer will reduce thickness and can make it difficult to separate the wall layers [98, 99]. However, some operators practice mild compression suggesting that this improves reproducibility of measurements [87]. The examiner should also be aware of interpretation difficulties due to mucosal folds and haustrations and keep the mucosa. Compression of the bowel wall with the transducer will reduce thickness and can make it difficult to separate the wall layers [98, 99]. However, some operators practice mild compression suggesting that this improves reproducibility of measurements [87]. The examiner should also be aware of interpretation difficulties due to mucosal folds and haustrations and keep
the probe angled perpendicular to the GI wall to avoid tangential measurements.

**RECOMMENDATIONS:**

13. Bowel wall thickness should be measured perpendicular to the wall from the interface between the serosa and proper muscle to the interface between the mucosa and the lumen. LoE 4, GoR B, Strong consensus 10/10

Superior and inferior mesenteric artery

The normal fasting flow in the superior mesenteric artery (SMA) has been assessed in a large number of studies where the healthy volunteers mostly have been added as a control group while there is clearly less data found on the flow parameters in the inferior mesenteric artery (IMA) [26].

The mean peak systolic velocity of the SMA varies between 93 to 146 cm/s in published literature, but there is considerable inter-individual variability suggesting a normal range between 80 to 220 cm/s [100 – 106]. Resistive index ranges from 0.80 to 0.89 and blood flow from 380 to 640 ml/min in the SMA [23, 64, 100 – 102, 104 – 113]. Some of the variability could be caused by the difficult angle between the SMA and abdominal surface. In the IMA the blood flow is between 80 – 130 ml/min and the RI 0.9 [24, 26, 114].

**RECOMMENDATION:**

14. A resistive index in the superior mesenteric artery between 0.80 and 0.89 should be considered normal. LoE 4, GoR B, Strong consensus 17/17

15. A peak systolic velocity of the SMA between 80 and 220 cm/s should be considered normal. LoE 4, GoR B, Broad consensus 16/17

Intramural vessels

Vessel assessment in the GI wall is relevant with regards to diseases causing changes in vascularity such as for instance tumours, ischemia and inflammatory bowel disease. In vitro studies have shown that small vessels in the gastrointestinal wall can be identified using high frequency US [115]. More common is the use of colour Doppler to detect flow in the vessels of the GI wall. Due to the comparatively slow flow and small dimensions of these vessels the velocity range of the colour Doppler has to be set very low between 2 to 5 cm/s [31, 36, 106, 116, 117]. This increases the risk of flash artefacts and the patients need to hold their breath during the acquisition. Also, due to the PRF needed to perform this examination the depth where this flow can be detected is quite limited. Colour and power Doppler provide a semi-quantitative description of vessel density in the bowel wall. In the healthy bowel wall it is uncommon to detect more than one or two vessel signals with colour or power Doppler [36, 106].

**Small and large bowel**

**Location**

The small bowel has a tortuous course and is very moveable due to the mesenteric leaves. The jejunum is usually located in the left upper- and mid-abdomen, and the ileum in the right mid- and lower abdomen. The right iliac vessels are a landmark of the ileocecal region.

The colon is located like a picture frame more in the periphery of the abdomen. The ascending and descending colon are usually fixed to the retroperitoneum dorsolaterally on the right and on the left side, respectively. The transverse and the sigmoid colon may have a more variable course owing to the different length of the mesocolon [118].

**Appearance**

The small bowel has a length of 3 – 6 metres and is characterised by the valvulae conniventes. They decrease in number and height from the proximal jejunum to the distal ileum and are best visualised when the bowel loops are fluid-filled. In a collapsed condition bowel loops may have a predominant hypoechoic appearance or in case of intraluminal gas a hyperechoic appearance. Usually we can find both conditions side by side.

The colon is characterised by its haustration, which is best visible on US in longitudinal sections if the colon is filled with stool and gas and thus has a hyperechoic appearance. The semilunar folds protrude to the lumen between the haustra and are only visible after cleansing preparation of the colon which allows the best visualisation of the colonic wall [119]. If the colon is distended and filled with stool, bowel wall layers are hardly visible even with high-frequency transducers. When we look for the colon with the abdominal probe, we are usually guided by the typical location and by the hyperechoic luminal content and not by the aspect of the colonic wall itself. The numerous epiploic appendages of the colon can only be differentiated from adjacent fatty tissue if fluid is present in the peritoneal cavity.

When examined with a high-frequency probe, the appendix usually appears as a target structure with different wall layers [120].

**Motility**

After overnight fasting the motility of the small bowel is reduced [121, 122], but intake of food or fluids will induce contractility. To-and-fro movements in the bowel improves the contact between contents and the mucosa for absorption of nutritional components and is significantly more easily seen in patients with coeliac disease [119].

Even during transit of colonic contents such a to-and-fro movement is present [123]. But this is usually not noticeable on US because of the long transit time in the colon (20 – 72 hours) with very slow peristaltic movement.

**Blood supply**

The whole bowel is supplied by the SMA and the IMA with the watershed in the transverse colon near the splenic flexure. The rectum has its arterial supply from the IMA and the internal iliac...
artery. This explains the typical affection of the colon from the left colonic flexure to the sigmoid colon in ischemic colitis. The mesenteric veins drain via the portal vein to the liver.

Collateral pathways are important to protect the bowel wall from potential ischaemia if arterial supply is compromised [124].

Lumen

After overnight fasting, the lumen of the small bowel is frequently collapsed. Usually only small amounts of intraluminal fluid and some gas are present. Depending on nutritional components a more or less hyperechoic liquid content and more gas is visible after a meal. Small bowel obstruction and oral intake of fluids or application through a feeding tube result in hyperechoic luminal content. The normal maximum diameter of small bowel loops ranges from 2 – 2.5 cm [122, 125, 126].

At the level of the ileocecal valve, where the ileal content passes over to the colon, a still liquid content of mixed echogenicity may be visible. The faecal material gradually solidifies as it moves along in the colon and thus becomes hyperechoic. The diameter of the colon usually measures up to 5 cm, whereas that of the cecum may exceed this width [127, 128]. The width of the left hemicolectomy slightly decreases in an aboral direction. The colon is usually filled with stool and gas but the descending and sigmoid colon sometimes present in a mainly contracted condition which could make detection of these bowel segments more difficult.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

16. Transabdominal ultrasound can be used to assess the normal bowel anatomy, the vascularisation and luminal width, LoE 2b, GoR B, Broad consensus 9/10
17. The anatomical location of the bowel, peristalsis and luminal content can be assessed by GIUS, LoE 5, GoR C, Majority consensus 7/10

Peri-intestinal features

Peri-intestinal sonographic findings provide relevant elements, as an adjunct to the features of bowel wall to suspect, diagnose or exclude digestive diseases. Therefore, mesentery and lymph nodes should always be assessed during routine bowel investigation.

Mesentery and omentum

Mesentery extends laterally to the aorta, from the left hypochondrium to right iliac fossa. It is scanned with both regular abdominal and mid-range to high-frequency probes, depending on size of the patient, as visceral fat determines increase in attenuation thus limiting the use of high-frequency probes [129]. The normal mesentery appears at US as a series of mildly hypoechogenic parallel layers, 7 – 12 mm in thickness, alternated by hyperechogenic strips, resembling thickened bowel walls in a longitudinal scan. Mesentery is easily seen when ascites is present, appearing as a series of hyperechoic folds, which arise from the posterior wall of the peritoneal cavity and extend to the bowel loops, visible at their extremities.

Mesentery may be affected by several systemic and gastrointestinal diseases. [130 – 135].

Despite the accuracy of US in the description and detection of mesenteric abnormalities, it is limited by inferior panoramic view compared to CT and MRI.

Lymph nodes

The detection of enlarged or even normal mesenteric lymph nodes is a common and often incidental finding of abdominal and bowel US, in particular in children and young adults [136]. The sonographic detection of regional mesenteric lymph nodes may be a normal or physiologic condition or suggest a past or ongoing, mainly inflammatory or neoplastic, disease of the abdomen.

In adults normal mesenteric lymph nodes appear as oval, elongated or U-shaped hypo- or mildly hypo-echoic nodules with the shorter diameter <4 mm and larger diameter usually <17 mm [137 – 140]. In children, due to an activated immune response and as a result of previous intestinal infections, normal mesenteric lymph nodes may have a shorter axis with a diameter up to 10 mm, but preserved regular shape ad echogenicity [136, 141, 142].

RECOMMENDATIONS:

18. Ultrasound can assess lymph nodes and mesenteric tissue. LoE 4, GoR B, 4, Strong consensus 10/10

Reporting on the examination

There are published standards for the reporting of US examinations [143]. In addition there are specific requirements of reporting for GIUS examinations which may be focused and limited to an assessment of the intestine.

If oral bowel preparation has been used (SICUS) this should be stated in the report.

It is of particular importance to document in the report where there has been a failure to identify a structure which may influence the sensitivity of the examination, in particular identification of the ileocecal junction and appendix.

It may be necessary to state which segments of the colon, in particular the rectum and sigmoid have been evaluated when relevant to the clinical question being addressed. As the jejunal and ileal loops cannot be assessed in a contiguous fashion it may also be relevant to state the confidence with which the operator has technically assessed the small bowel.

When describing findings in GIUS the most discriminatory parameters include bowel wall thickness, length and distribution of bowel wall thickening, an assessment of the preservation of layering and symmetry of any changes present. The presence of fat wrapping and fat creep is a highly specific finding in Crohn’s disease and should be included in the report when present.

The presence of relevant identified complications such as fistulae, strictures and collections are a useful guide to management.
Clinical applications

Intestinal US is often suggested as the first imaging tool in patients with acute abdomen [79]. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses have shown that US is highly accurate in detecting acute appendicitis, although not as high as CT [144, 145]. However, as their positive predictive value is quite similar, US can be used as the first imaging tool in a conditioned US-CT strategy where patients with US positive for appendicitis, are sent directly to surgery, avoiding CT, while those with inconclusive or negative sonographic results are submitted to CT.

The diagnosis of acute colonic diverticulitis can be made in patients only by clinical evaluation [146]. However, additional imaging is usually required to establish the diagnosis and assess complications. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses have shown that US and CT have high and comparable accuracy in diagnosing acute diverticulitis [79, 147]. Despite the advantage of CT due to higher specificity, panoramic view and the ability to identify alternative diagnoses, a conditional strategy with CT performed after an inconclusive or negative US, is the preferable approach, endorsed also by national guidelines [146, 148].

Intestinal US accurately detects ileus, showing as dilated (>3 cm) and fluid-filled small bowel loops. Real-time US evaluation enables also to assess the nature of ileus, if mechanic or dynamic, and may suggest the causes and severity. In particular, the reported sensitivities and specificities of US in detecting ileus is high in most prospective studies published so far both in consecutive series of patients and in selected population of Crohn’s disease patients [149 - 151].

Besides acute conditions, one of the most common uses of intestinal US is the detection and follow-up of inflammatory bowel diseases, in particular Crohn’s disease along with disease complications such as strictures, fistulas, abscesses and extra-intestinal complications. Several systematic reviews and meta-analyses have shown that US is able to detect signs of Crohn’s disease and, like CT and MRI, has a high and comparable diagnostic accuracy at the initial presentation of terminal ileal CD, as well as in monitoring the disease by assessing its activity and abdominal complications [1, 2]. US has proven to be of value in the follow up of IBD patients irrespective of symptoms [152].

Finally, when used as preliminary imaging investigation in patients with abdominal symptoms, such as abdominal pain or changes in bowel habits, US can identify abnormal intestinal findings or lesions that suggest intestinal diseases which may not primarily have been suspected [153]. The detection of these signs in patients with abdominal complaints and changes in bowel habit can adequately drive further investigations.

Moreover, intestinal US can detect masses and neoplastic lesions of the gastrointestinal tract, in particular when in advanced stage [154]. In contrast, the role of US in detecting or suggesting gastrointestinal functional disorders is not established and needs further investigation.
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