Clinical outcomes of gastric polyps and neoplasms in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis
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ABSTRACT
Background and study aims Familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) is an autosomal dominant syndrome caused by a germline mutation in the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) gene, characterized by the presence of more than 100 adenomatous polyps in the colorectum. The upper gastrointestinal tract is an extracolonic site for malignancy in patients with FAP. The frequency of death in Japanese patients with FAP because of gastric cancer is 2.8 % and that because of colon cancer is 60.6 %. Few studies have reported upper gastrointestinal diseases in patients with FAP. In the present study, we investigated the clinical outcomes of patients with FAP diagnosed with gastric neoplasms.

Patients and methods We enrolled 80 patients with FAP who underwent esophagogastroduodenoscopy from October 1997 to December 2011. We investigated patient characteristics, endoscopic findings of gastric lesions, treatment outcomes, and long-term courses.

Results Fundic gland polyposis was observed in 51 patients (64 %) and gastric neoplasms in 22 patients (28 %), including 20 with non-invasive and 2 with invasive neoplasm. Of the 26 neoplasms, 11 were treated by endoscopic resection (ER) and 4 by surgical resection. Metachronous gastric neoplasms were observed in 7 patients (15 lesions) and treated by ER, except for in 1 patient. No patients died of gastric lesions during a median follow-up period of 6.5 years (range, 0–14).

Conclusion Because gastric lesions including gastric cancers in patients with FAP did not cause any deaths, they can be considered to have favorable prognoses. Early detection of gastric neoplasms through an appropriate follow-up interval may have contributed to these good outcomes.

Introduction
Familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) is an autosomal dominant syndrome caused by a mutation in the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) gene characterized by the presence of more than 100 adenomatous polyps in the colorectum. The penetrance of colorectal cancer in patients with FAP in their 40 s is approximately 50 % and rises to approximately 100 % if they are not treated before their 60 s [1]. With the exception of colorectal cancer, various neoplastic and non-neoplastic lesions occur in other organs in patients with FAP. The most frequent cause of death in patients with FAP is colorectal cancer, which accounts for 60.6 % of FAP-related deaths in Japan [2]. As the prognoses of patients with FAP have improved in recent years because of the use of prophylactic colectomy, postoperative extracolonic lesions have started to become a more significant issue.

The causes of death in Japanese patients with FAP because of lesions outside the colon are as follows: desmoid neoplasms in 9.9 %, duodenal cancer in 5.6 %, and gastric cancer in 2.8 % cases [2]. Since the first report of complicating gastric lesions in patients with FAP by Hauser in 1895 [3], a high proportion of synchronous neoplasms have been reported [2, 4–6]. The types of gastric lesions associated with FAP are fundic gland polyps, gastric adenomas, and gastric cancers. Fundic gland polyposis

Nakamura Keiko et al. Clinical outcomes of... Endoscopy International Open 2017; 05: E137–E145
Gastric neoplasms detected over 1 year after initial endoscopic resection (ER) or surgery were defined as metachronous gastric neoplasms (MGNs). The endoscopic extent of gastric atrophy was diagnosed according to the Kimura–Takemoto classification, which correlates with the histologic degree of atrophic gastritis [19]. Patients with FAP having atrophic gastritis were regarded as having a history of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. The condition of the background gastric mucosa was classified into 4 groups according to the presence of FGP and atrophic gastritis as follows: FGP with atrophic gastritis, FGP without atrophic gastritis, no FGP with atrophic gastritis, and no FGP without atrophic gastritis. Gastric neoplasms included invasive neoplasms and noninvasive neoplasms; invasive neoplasms were defined as gastric cancers invading the submucosal layer or deeper or poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma, and noninvasive neoplasms were defined as gastric adenomas or intramusosal carcinomas. Two expert pathologists reviewed all resected specimens at the National Cancer Center Hospital. Histologic diagnoses were based on the Japanese Classification of Gastric Carcinoma [18].

Treatment strategies for gastric cancers were determined by a cancer board consisting of endoscopists, surgeons, and pathologists. Patients with gastric cancer were treated based on the Japanese Gastric Cancer Treatment Guidelines [20]. The basic hospital policy for ER for gastric neoplasm is to resect all lesions containing biopsy-confirmed adenocarcinoma. Therefore, all patients with FAP having gastric adenoma underwent regular surveillance EGD and provided biopsy specimens from gastric adenomas regardless of macroscopic changes. ER included endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) and endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) [21–24]. All ER procedures and surgeries were performed by skilled endoscopists and surgeons at the National Cancer Center Hospital.

Baseline and outcomes data for patients who underwent ER were collected from electronic medical records and prospectively collated in a computerized database. In addition, we analyzed the proportion of patients with FAP who underwent surgical colectomy between 1972 and 2010 in cooperation with the colorectal surgery division. Pathologic information was subsequently added after ER or surgical resection results were confirmed. All patients with FAP were followed up every 6 months (FGP) associated with FAP was first reported by Halstead et al. and is the most common gastric lesion in patients with FAP, showing an incidence of 50%–60% [7–9]. Gastric adenoma in patients with FAP was initially reported by Hoffman et al. [10], and several studies have reported the incidence of gastric adenoma as 2%–35% [7, 11–13]. Gastric cancer related to FAP was first reported by Murphy et al. [14]. In Japan, gastric cancer is observed in 4.5%–13.6% patients with FAP [15], whereas the incidence in East Asia is 2.7%–4.2% [13, 16]. In western countries, the incidence of gastric cancer associated with FAP is similar to that of the general population [17], whereas that in East Asia is 3–4 times higher [15, 16]. However, few reports about the clinical course and treatment of gastric lesions in patients with FAP exist. Therefore, we aimed to investigate the clinical outcomes of patients with FAP with gastric lesions and to reveal the relationships between the incidence of gastric neoplasms and the background mucosa.
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FAP, familial adenomatous polyposis; FGP, fundic gland polyposis; EMR, endoscopic mucosal resection; ESD, endoscopic submucosal dissection; tub1, well-differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma; tub2, moderately differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma.

1 Two patients who had multiple gastric adenomas were excluded because the large number of lesions were difficult to count exactly.

2 Total number of gastric neoplasms excluded metachronous neoplasms.

3 Type 2 in Japanese Gastric Cancer Treatment Guidelines.

or 12 months with blood tests, EGD, and computed tomography.

Results

Characteristics of patients with FAP

The mean age of the 80 patients (52 men and 28 women) at initial EGD was 40 ± 17 years (mean ± SD) (Table 1). Of them, 42 (53%) had an obvious family history of FAP. The median follow-up period was 6.5 years (range, 0–14). Surveillance EGD was generally administered at intervals of 12 months at the National Cancer Center Hospital, and 45 patients (56%) underwent EGD at this interval.

Patient characteristics, endoscopic findings, and clinical course

FAP was observed in 51 patients (64%) and gastric neoplasms in 22 (28%) (Table 1), including noninvasive neoplasms in 20 patients and invasive neoplasms in 2.

In total, 26 gastric neoplasms in 22 patients were detected during the follow-up period, excluding MGNs (Table 2). The mean age at diagnosis with gastric neoplasms was 46 ± 12 years (mean ± SD), and 7 patients (32%) had a family history of FAP. The median period from colectomy to the development of gastric neoplasms was 18 years (range, 2–35). Regarding the background gastric mucosa in the cases in which gastric neoplasms were detected, 11 cases (50%) occurred in patients with no FGP with atrophic gastritis, and 8 cases (36%) in those with FGP without atrophic gastritis. Surveillance EGD was conducted at 18-month intervals. By age 35, lesion size had increased (Fig. 1). The number of primary gastric neoplasms was 1 in 15 patients (68%), 2 in 4 patients (18%), 3 in 1 patient (5%), and 4 or more in 2 patients (9%). The location was the upper two-thirds of the stomach for 19 lesions (73%). The macroscopic type was elevated for 17 lesions (65%), depressed for 7 lesions (27%), and advanced (Type 2 in JGCA) for 1 lesion (4%). The median neoplasm size was 10 mm (range, 3–70), and the histopathologic findings revealed adenoma in 12 lesions (46%) and differentiated-type adenocarcinoma in 14 lesions (54%). The depth of gastric neoplasm invasion was intramucosal in 24 lesions (92%) and the submucosa or deeper in 2 lesions (8%).

We illustrated 2 cases of gastric neoplasms, the first case had a fundic gland polyp developing gastric neoplasm (Fig. 2); and the second case had an intramucosal gastric neoplasm in the background gastric mucosa of FGP (Fig. 3). The first case was a male patient who was diagnosed with FAP at age 31 and underwent subtotal colectomy for rectal cancer. His mother also had FAP. EGD revealed a fundic gland polyp on the lesser curvature of the middle gastric body (Fig. 2a). Surveillance EGD was conducted at 18-month intervals. By age 35, lesion size had increased (Fig. 2b). By age 38, the lesion had grown further, turned red, and developed an erosive change in its center (Fig. 2c). Biopsy revealed a well-differentiated adenocarcinoma (tub1) with low-grade atypia, and ESD was performed for the elevated lesion and resulted in successful en bloc resection. Pathological result revealed Type 0-Ila, 12 mm, well-differentiated adenocarcinoma, pT1a (M), ly(-), v(-), pHM0, pVM0 (Fig. 2d). The second case was a female patient who was di-
agnosed with FAP and underwent prophylactic colectomy at age 20. Her father and grandfather also had FAP. She received annual EGD beginning at age 20. At age 26, a whitish elevated lesion was identified on the anterior wall of the middle gastric body (Fig. 3a and Fig. 3b). The biopsy revealed a tubular adenoma, but malignancy was suspected on the basis of the macroscopic appearance of the coalescent tendency of several polyps and its whitish color. The pathologic result of EMR was as follows: Type 0-IIa, 10 mm, well-differentiated adenocarcinoma, pT1a (M), ly(-), v(-), pHM0, pVM0 (Fig. 3c). At age 32, a tiny depressed signet-ring cell carcinoma (sig) without atrophic gastritis was detected in the posterior wall of the gastric antrum, and ESD was performed (Fig. 3d). Pathologic result at that time was as follows: Type 0-IIc, 3 mm, sig, pT1a (M), ly(-), v(-), pHM0, pVM0 (Fig. 3e).

**Treatment outcomes of gastric neoplasms**

Of the 26 neoplasms, 14 primary gastric neoplasms (54%) were treated (Table 2). ER was performed for 11 lesions (42%), and surgery was conducted for 4 lesions (15%) although they were

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients who underwent EGD 80</th>
<th>FGP 51</th>
<th>Non-FGP 29</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atrophic gastritis 10</td>
<td>Non-invasive neoplasm 2</td>
<td>Death 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-atrophic gastritis 41</td>
<td>Death 2</td>
<td>Invasive neoplasm surgery 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-invasive neoplasm 7</td>
<td>ER 4</td>
<td>MGN invasive neoplasm ER 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery 1</td>
<td>ER 5</td>
<td>MGN observation 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(33)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Surviving 74**

---

**Fig. 1** Flow diagram of the clinical courses of 80 patients with FAP who underwent EGD. The clinical courses were classified according to the background gastric mucosa. Invasive neoplasms were defined as gastric cancers invading deeper than the submucosal layer and poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma, and noninvasive neoplasms were defined as gastric adenomas and intramucosal carcinomas. FAP, familial adenomatous polyposis; EGD, esophagogastroduodenoscopy; FGP, fundic gland polyposis; ER, endoscopic resection; MGN, metachronous gastric neoplasm; CI, cerebral infarction.
Long-term course of gastric neoplasms

Fifteen MGNs were detected in 7 patients, including 2 cases (29%) in FGP without atrophic gastritis and 5 (71%) in non-FGP with atrophic gastritis (▶Table 3). The macroscopic types of MGNs were elevated for 7 lesions (47%) and depressed for 7 lesions (47%). The median period from primary gastric neoplasm to MGN was 4 years (range, 2–12) and the median size of MGNs was 10 mm (range, 2–82). The site of MGNs was the upper two-thirds of the stomach in 7 lesions (47%), and the lower third in 8 lesions (53%). Ten of 15 MGNs were detected as noninvasive neoplasms (one adenoma and nine differentiated-type adenocarcinomas) and treated by ER. One MGN was detected as an invasive neoplasm because of histologic findings of an undifferentiated carcinoma 3 mm in size, but was successfully treated by ER. In contrast, 4 MGNs were observed because of histologic findings of adenoma for 3 lesions and at the patient’s request for 1 differentiated-type adenocarcinoma.

In the long-term follow-up period, 3 patients died of colon cancer, 1 because of a desmoid neoplasm and 2 because of other diseases. Three patients who underwent surgical colectomy in the past died of metachronous colon cancer or liver metastasis of colon cancer: 1 patient in the remnant rectum 10 years later, 1 in the liver 6 months later, and 1 in the remnant rectum and the liver 23 years later. No deaths caused by gastric cancer occurred during the study period.

Discussion

The current study included the largest number of gastric lesions in patients with FAP and the longest follow-up period, considering all studies of upper gastrointestinal diseases in patients with FAP to date. The main finding was that no deaths attributable to gastric neoplasms occurred, suggesting that early detection based on an appropriate examination interval results in excellent prognoses.

In this study, gastric neoplasms occurred in 28% of patients (22/80) with FAP, with a total of 41 lesions including 15 MGNs, indicating that it is a complication with a high incidence rate. The features of primary gastric neoplasms associated with FAP included the macroscopic type of elevated lesions (65%), location in the upper two-thirds of the stomach (73%), the presence of 1 or 2 lesions (86%), and histologic findings of differentiated-type adenocarcinoma (54%) or adenoma (46%). As for the location of gastric neoplasms, most of the general gastric cancers occur in the lower two-thirds of the stomach related to *Helicobacter pylori* infection. However, poorly differentiated adenocarcinomas develop more in the upper gastric body than the lower two-thirds of the stomach. They also occur in the border between the normal mucosa and atrophic mucosa associated with *H. pylori* infection. In general, the incidence of gastric cancers in the upper stomach was approximately 20%; therefore, it is possible to explain the higher incidence of neoplastic lesion (73%) in the proximal stomach in our study. Furthermore, eleven of 22 patients with FAP (50%) had complicated gastric neoplasms in non-FGP with atrophic gastritis. However, eight of 22 patients (36%) had gastric neoplasms in FGP without atrophic gastritis. Therefore, not only *H. pylori* but also FGP may be related to the occurrence of gastric neoplasms in patients with FAP. And high rate of well differentiated adenocarcinoma in gastric cancers of patients with FAP was consistent with previous reports [25, 26].

FGP was observed in 64% (51/80) of FAP cases and was seen in the gastric body and fundus. FGP has traditionally been recognized as hamartomatous lesions with no malignant potential that arise from the fundic gland region. However, invasive neoplasms occurred in two patients (5%) and noninvasive neoplasms in 8 patients (20%) in FGP without atrophic gastritis, suggesting that FGP has malignant potential. Several reports...
have described dysplasia in the foveolar epithelium of FAP-associated FGP [27, 28]. Furthermore, some case reports have described high-grade dysplasia or adenocarcinoma in association with FAP-associated FGP, suggesting that FAP-associated FGP is a potential premalignant lesion [29–31]. As for genetic analysis, previous studies revealed the presence of somatic APC mutations in FAP-associated FGP, indicating neoplastic lesions caused by the inactivation of both alleles, similar to the mechanism of other FAP-associated neoplasms [28, 32]. Consistent with this, 1 patient with 2 noninvasive neoplasms in FGP who underwent proximal gastrectomy in our study had inactivation of the APC gene as previously reported [31]. The macroscopic characteristics of FGP that developed into gastric neoplasms include a tendency for the coalescence of individual polyps, discoloration, and flattening. In addition, 9 of 10 patients with FAP (90%) having gastric neoplasms in the background gastric mucosa with FGP had more than 100 fundic gland polyps. For the treatment, it was impractical to resect all fundic gland polyps because the number was numerous; 49% (39/51) patients with FGP had more than 100 fundic gland polyps. Therefore, we detected the fundic gland polyps that showed a tendency for coalescence of individual polyp, discoloration, and flattening, suggesting the development of neoplastic lesions, and performed endoscopic resection for the lesions diagnosed as adenocarcinoma by biopsy. In addition, we treated the gastric neoplasms in the background of polyposis in association with the Japanese Gastric Cancer Treatment Guidelines. Similar to general gastric cancers, intramucosal gastric neoplasms were resected by endoscopic resection (EMR/ESD) and invasive gastric neoplasms were resected by surgery. However, we performed surgical resection of the large noninvasive gastric neoplasms in the fornix or greater curvature of the upper gastric body after consulting a surgeon because of the technical difficulty.

Mean age at the time of diagnosis of gastric neoplasms in patients with FAP in this study was 46 ± 12 years, which was younger than that in the general population [33]. We recommend EGD screening to be initiated at approximately age 25, because patients with FAP had gastric adenoma starting as early as age 24 and gastric cancer as early as age 25 in our study. EGD screening should be continued even after surgical colectomy in patients with FAP, as the median period from surgical colectomy to the occurrence of gastric neoplasms was 18 years (range, 2–35). According to the previous report, one of the reasons for the high incidence of gastric neoplasms in our study is related to the long-term follow-up [25]. As for the interval of surveillance EGD, most patients with FAP (73%, 58/80), including those with gastric neoplasms, underwent a procedure every 12 or 18 months in this study. We consider this interval to be
Table 3  Characteristics and clinical outcomes of metachronous gastric neoplasms (seven patients with 15 lesions).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient, no.</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present of family history of FAP, no. (%)</td>
<td>2 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period from primary gastric neoplasm to occurrence of metachronous neoplasms, years, median (range)</td>
<td>4 (2 – 12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Findings of background gastric mucosa, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGP with atrophic gastritis</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGP without atrophic gastritis</td>
<td>2 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-FGP with atrophic gastritis</td>
<td>5 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-FGP without atrophic gastritis</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of metachronous gastric neoplasms, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – 5</td>
<td>1 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper two-thirds</td>
<td>7 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower one-third</td>
<td>8 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroscopic type, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>7 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressed</td>
<td>7 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoplasm size(^1), mm, median (range)</td>
<td>10 (2 – 82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscopic resection</td>
<td>11 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMR</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESD</td>
<td>9 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation(^2)</td>
<td>4 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histologic type, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>4 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiated-type adenocarcinoma (tub1/tub2)</td>
<td>10 (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undifferentiated adenocarcinoma (por/sig)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoplasm depth, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intramucosal</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submucosa or deeper</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FAP, familial adenomatous polyposis; FGP, fundic gland polyposis; EMR, endoscopic mucosal resection; ESD, endoscopic submucosal dissection; tub1, well-differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma; tub2, moderately differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma; por, poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma; sig, signet-ring cell carcinoma.

\(^1\) The largest size in multiple lesions
\(^2\) 4 metachronous gastric neoplasms were observed because of the histology of adenoma for 3 lesions and the patients request for one differentiated-type adenocarcinoma.

appropriate because none of the patients with FAP died of gastric neoplasms, and 38 of 41 (93 %) gastric neoplasms including MGNs were detected at the non-invasive stage. In terms of the background gastric mucosa, we carefully observe patients with FAP in the background mucosa of FGP and/or atrophic gastritis, in which incidence of gastric neoplasms was 95 % (21/22 patients). On the other hand, it is difficult to decide the appropriate interval for EGD screening for patients without FGP and atrophic gastritis in the background gastric mucosa because there was only 1 patient who had gastric neoplasms in background gastric mucosa without FGP and atrophic gastritis in our study. However, they should follow-up duodenal lesions by EGD screening annually because of the high risk of duodenal neoplasia [34]. Therefore, even gastric lesions can be followed up in the same session. In addition, the major duodenal papilla is also at risk for adenomas in patients with FAP. We reported the risk of duodenal neoplasia (61 %, 47/77) including ampullary neoplasms (22 %, 17/77), and we recommended a short-term EGD surveillance for duodenal neoplasms including ampullary neoplasms [34].

Patients with FAP who have primary gastric neoplasms tend to have MGNs (32%, 7/22 patients); the rate of MGNs is higher than that in previous reports [35, 36]. With respect to the background gastric mucosa, MGNs were correlated with atrophic gastritis more than FGP, demonstrated by the finding that 5 patients (71%) had MGNs in non-FGP with atrophic gastritis, whereas only 2 patients (29%) had MGNs in FGP without atrophic gastritis. The features of MGNs were as follows: located in the whole stomach, of the depressed macroscopic type, and elevated. As for the clinical course of MGNs, it was possible to control MGNs if regular surveillance EGD was performed after treatment for primary gastric neoplasms because most of them (93%, 14/15) were detected at the noninvasive stage, excluding 1 undifferentiated adenocarcinoma.

Unfortunately, 6 of 80 patients with FAP died: colorectal cancer in 3 instances, a desmoid neoplasm in 1, cerebral infarction in 1, and an unknown cause in 1. However, the remaining patients are still alive, and their life expectancy is longer than it would have been without surgical colectomy.

In the future, the risk of neoplasms other than colorectal cancer may increase. Therefore, all neoplasms associated with FAP including gastric neoplasms should be carefully observed. However, in this study, 24 of 26 gastric neoplasms (92%) occurring in patients with FAP were intramucosal cancers or adenomas, except two cases that invaded deeper than the submucosal layer and one case of undifferentiated adenocarcinoma. Therefore, it is obvious that the prognoses of patients with FAP with gastric neoplasms were excellent. In addition, there remains disparity between Western and Japanese histopathologists in the conceptual approach to histopathologic evaluation of neoplastic lesions in the upper gastrointestinal tract because of a difference in the classification of gastric intramucosal neoplasms between JCGC and the World Health Organization classification [18, 37]. Therefore, most of the gastric cancers in patients with FAP in this study were high-grade dysplasia except for 2 invasive gastric cancers in western countries. Hence, there may be discrepancies in the interpretation of the outcome of
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this study between Japan and western countries. Furthermore, the high proportion of atrophic gastritis in Japan may result in a higher incidence of gastric neoplasms than that in western countries. However, we believe that early detection and treatment for gastric neoplasms leads to better prognoses for patients with FAP. This study had a number of limitations, as follows: this was a retrospective study conducted in a single center, using a non-integrated follow-up method, and providing insufficient data of *H. pylori* infection.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, FGP and atrophic gastritis in background gastric mucosa are significant risk factors for gastric neoplasms in patients with FAP. However, the prognoses of patients with FAP who have FGP and gastric neoplasms were satisfactory because of appropriate intervention using EGD. We recommend annual surveillance EGD for patients with FAP having FGP and gastric neoplasms and careful observation of these patients while taking into consideration the macroscopic characteristics of FGP, a condition that can develop into gastric neoplasms.
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