Presence of Resistant DEC Strains in a Tertiary Healthcare Center in North East India in Children under 18 Years
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Abstract

Introduction Diarrheal illness such as diarrheagenic Escherichia coli (DEC), apart from rotavirus, is a common etiological agent known to cause moderate-to-severe diarrhea in low-income countries where unregulated use of antibiotics is rampant, giving rise to multidrug resistant (MDR) strains. This study is an earnest effort in reflecting the resistance pattern in such isolates.

Materials and Methods It is a hospital-based cross-sectional study conducted over a period of 1 year (January to December, 2015). Children aged less than 18 years presenting with (n = 170) and without (n = 47) diarrhea were included as cases and controls, respectively. Fresh stool sample from eligible participants was collected and inoculated on MacConkey agar. Based on the colony morphology and biochemical identification followed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), different pathotypes of DEC were identified. All such isolates were subjected to antimicrobial susceptibility testing employing VITEK 2 identification system. The result of the tested antibiotics was evaluated as per Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute 2015 guidelines.

Results DEC with specific virulence genes were detected by multiplex real-time PCR in 39 and 3 children with or without diarrhea, respectively. Most common DEC pathotypes found were enteroaggregative E. coli (38%) followed by enteropathogenic E. coli (28.5%). MDR isolates comprised 35 of 42 DEC pathotypes (83.3%). Resistance among DEC pathotypes to ampicillin, amoxicillin–clavulanate, ciprofloxacin, cephalosporin, nalidixic acid, imipenem, and cotrimoxazole was found to be statistically significant in comparison to non-DEC isolates.

Conclusion This study has highlighted the increased prevalence of MDR strains among DEC pathotypes. Looking for these isolates will help detect dreadful DEC pathotypes like enterohemorrhagic E. coli where early administration of a sensitive antibiotic will go a long way in preventing complication like hemorrhagic colitis and hemolytic uremic syndrome.
Introduction

Diarrheal diseases are a leading cause of death in children under 5 years of age. Globally, there are nearly 1.7 billion cases of childhood diarrheal disease every year of which 525,000 succumb to it. Diarrhea accounts for 1 and 10% of deaths in neonates and children from 1 to 4 years, respectively. The integrated Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Pneumonia and Diarrhea proposes a cohesive approach to ending preventable pneumonia and diarrhea deaths. The goal is ambitious but achievable to end preventable childhood deaths due to pneumonia and diarrhea by 2025. They are both preventable and treatable. Rotavirus and Escherichia coli are the two most common etiological agents of moderate-to-severe diarrhea in low-income countries. E. coli are gram-negative bacteria that inhabit the gastrointestinal tract. Most strains do not cause illness. However, there are certain strains that may cause diarrhea and are categorized into various pathotypes on the basis of their virulence genes. Six pathotypes are associated with diarrhea (diarrheagenic): enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC), Shiga toxin–producing E. coli (STEC), enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC), enteroaggregative E. coli (EAEC), enteroinvasive E. coli (EIEC), and possibly diffusely adherent E. coli (DAEC).

Interventions to prevent diarrhea, including safe drinking-water, use of improved sanitation, and hand washing with soap, can reduce disease risk. Diarrhea should be treated with oral rehydration solution, a solution of clean water, sugar, and salt. In addition, a 10 to 14-day supplemental treatment course of dispersible 10 to 20 mg zinc tablets shortens diarrhea duration and improves outcomes. Antimicrobials are reliably helpful for children with bloody diarrhea (probable shigellosis), suspected cholera with severe dehydration, and serious nonintestinal infections such as pneumonia. Antiprotozoal drugs are rarely indicated. Antimicrobials should not be used routinely as it is not possible to distinguish clinical episodes that might respond, such as diarrhea caused by ETEC, from those caused by agents unresponsive to antimicrobials, such as rotavirus or Cryptosporidium. However, in developing countries like ours there is rampant unscrupulous usage of antimicrobials that has contributed immensely to the emergence and spread of multidrug resistant (MDR) strains of diarrheagenic E. coli (DEC). In view of this, there is considerable lack of understanding of the resistant pattern seen in the various strains of diarrheagenic E. coli. There are very few studies that have investigated the prevalence of diarrheagenic E. coli and their resistant pattern in the country generally and in the North East (NE) India in particular. Although there are sporadic studies, they are very few to formulate national guidelines on the management of acute diarrheal diseases reflecting the resistant pattern among diarrheagenic E. coli. This study was undertaken to investigate the prevalence and drug resistance pattern exhibited by the various pathotypes of diarrheagenic E. coli.

Materials and Methods

Study Design

This was a hospital-based cross-sectional study conducted in the department of microbiology of a tertiary care center over a period of 1 year (January to December, 2015). Here, the study participants were divided into two groups: one having diarrhea for any duration, while other not having diarrhea for the last 1 month. The prevalence of DEC strains in both groups was noted and antimicrobial susceptibility test was conducted for all of them.

Inclusion Criteria for Study Participants

All pediatric patients (< 18 years of age) with acute diarrhea that was defined as an increase in fluidity, volume, and number of stools passed relative to usual bowel habits of each individual within 24 hour and lasting not longer than 14 days were enrolled in the study. Fever was defined as a temperature of greater than or equal to 37.5°C. If the parents or legal guardians accepted participation in the study, patients with acute diarrhea attending the outpatient and inpatient department of pediatrics were enrolled in this study. The isolates that were resistant to 2 or more groups of drugs were labeled as MDR isolates.

Control

Children (< 18 years of age) with no history of diarrhea for at least 1 month were included as controls.

Exclusion Criteria

Children with diarrhea that was attributed to classic pathogens such as Salmonella spp./Shigella spp./Vibrio spp. or gross infestation with parasites were excluded from the study. In addition, either cases or controls treated with antibiotics 1 week before the collection of stool samples were excluded.

Ethical Approval

Ethical approval was obtained from the institution ethics committee.

Sample Collection

Stool samples were obtained from 170 children with diarrhea (cases) and 47 from children without diarrhea (controls) and further processed and analyzed for the detection of DEC pathotypes as follows.

Morphological and Biochemical Identification of Escherichia coli

Fresh stool sample from the participants was inoculated and streaked onto the surface of MacConkey agar (HiMedia Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, Mumbai, India) for isolated colonies. Characteristic discrete lactose fermenting colonies produced after 24 hours of incubation aerobically at 37°C were streaked onto fresh sterilized nutrient agar (HiMedia Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, Mumbai, India) and identified by conventional biochemical tests such as indole, methyl red, Voges–Proskauer, citrate, and urease tests. The procedure of inoculation of isolates and interpretation of biochemicals...
were done as per protocols described by American Society of Microbiologists.4–7 Isolates that were positive to indole and methyl red tests but negative to Voges–Proskauer, citrate, and urease tests were identified as *E. coli*.

**Maintenance of Isolates**

Biochemically confirmed *E. coli* isolated from the stool samples was maintained in trypticase soy broth supplemented with 20% glycerol (HiMedia Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, Mumbai, India) and nutrient agar slants (HiMedia Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, Mumbai, India) for the investigation of the genes encoding pathogenicity by molecular test.

**Molecular Analysis for Screening Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* Virulent Genes**

DNA was extracted from an overnight pure culture of *E. coli* using QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen India Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi, India). The extracted DNA was subjected to multiplex real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with high-resolution melting technology employing primer nucleotide sequences specific to target virulent genes of different DEC pathotypes that was designed by Sigma Aldrich, Bengaluru, based on the previously published sequences.8,9 Multiplex real-time PCR was performed using Rotor-Gene Q instrument (Qiagen) having high-resolution melt analyzer. Molecular analysis for screening diarrheagenic *E. coli* virulent genes formed the first part of this study that has been already been published in *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology* in its Oct–Dec 2018 issue.10

**Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing by Automated Method**

Antibiotic susceptibility testing to 18 antimicrobial agents including ampicillin, amoxicillin clavulenic acid, nalidixic acid, ciprofloxacin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, gentamicin, amikacin, ceftriaxone, cefuroxime, cefotaxime, cefuroxime axetil, imipenem, meropenem, piperacillin-tazobactam, tigecycline, colistin, eritapenem were determined for all isolated *E. coli* (DEC and non-DEC strains) by using antimicrobial susceptibility AST-N280 card (bio-MerieuxInc., France) in VITEK 2 identification System (VITEK 2 version 07.01, bioMerieux Inc., France). All the processing was done as per the antimicrobial susceptibility test (AST) card manual provided. The antibiotic susceptibility pattern and minimum inhibitory concentration (that is, lowest concentration of antimicrobial with no visible bacterial growth) of the *E. coli* isolates were evaluated and interpreted in accordance with Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute 2015 guideline. The isolates that were resistant to 2 or more groups of drug were labeled as MDR isolates.

**Statistical Interpretation**

The data were collected and recorded using MS-Excel for Windows v2013. Summary statistics and analysis of significance were done using MedCalc v12.5.0 for Windows (MedCalc Software, Ostend, Belgium). The comparison of single and two proportions was done using chi-squared test and Fisher’s exact test as applicable. The threshold for significance was considered at *p*-value less than 0.05.

**Results**

The first part of the study was conducted to investigate the prevalence of DEC among the pediatric age group (<18 years) presenting at the tertiary healthcare hospital with and without diarrhea, the findings of which has been published earlier in a reputed journal.10 During the study period of 1 year (January 2015 to December 2015), 170 children with diarrhea (cases) and 47 children without diarrhea (controls) were included in this study. A total of 217 nonduplicated biochemically confirmed *E. coli* isolates obtained from the stool samples of these children. Diarrheagenic *E. coli* with specific virulence genes were detected by multiplex real-time PCR in 39 of 170 children with diarrhea. However, only 3 of 47 children without diarrhea were found to harbor DEC-specific virulent genes. The break-up of the DEC isolates is depicted in Table 1. As evident, neither cases nor controls harbored

**Table 1** Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* with specific virulence genes detected by multiplex real-time PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diarrheagenic <em>E. coli</em> pathotypes</th>
<th>Virulent genes screened</th>
<th>Total no. of DEC isolates with specific virulence gene among children</th>
<th>Total DEC isolate (n = 42)</th>
<th>MDR Isolates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>With diarrhea n = 170 (%)</td>
<td>Without diarrhea/ control group n = 47 (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAEC</td>
<td>CVD 432</td>
<td>15 (8.82)</td>
<td>1 (2.13)</td>
<td>16 (38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIEC</td>
<td><em>ial</em></td>
<td>2 (1.18)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (4.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical EPEC</td>
<td><em>eae</em></td>
<td>10 (5.88)</td>
<td>2 (4.26)</td>
<td>12 (28.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical EPEC</td>
<td><em>eae &amp; bfp</em></td>
<td>7 (4.12)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7 (16.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETEC</td>
<td><em>stla</em></td>
<td>5 (2.94)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 (11.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>39 (22.94)</td>
<td>3 (6.39)</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: DEC, diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli*; EAEC, enteraggregative *E. coli*; EIEC, enteroinvasive *E. coli*; EPEC, enteropathogenic *E. coli*; ETEC, enterotoxigenic *E. coli*; MDR, multidrug resistant; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.
The incidence of diarrhea due to MDR E. coli has increased (50–70%) in developing countries and up to 75% has been reported from India. In our study, there was significant difference in the antimicrobial resistance pattern observed among the DEC isolates relative to non-DEC isolates for antibiotics like ampicillin, trimethoprim sulphamethoxazole, nalidixic acid, ciprofloxacin, ceftriaxone, cefuroxime, cefepime, amoxicillin–clavulanic acid, and imipenem. DEC isolates were more resistant to most of the antibiotics tested. Our findings were in concordance with a study performed by Sudershan et al in 2014 which concluded that most of the E. coli isolates from children with diarrhea were resistant to norfloxacin, amoxycillin, co-trimoxazole, ampicillin, ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, and metronidazole. A similar study done by Alikhani et al in Iran also supported the antibiotic resistance pattern observed in our study. In our study, 35 (83.3%) DEC isolates were found to be MDR that was much higher than findings published by Chellapandi et al (41.4%). This gross difference may be due to varying perception of MDR definition. In our case, resistance to 2 or more groups of antibiotics was considered as MDR as opposed to other study, where resistance to 3 to 5 groups of antibiotics may be considered MDR.

EPEC and ETEC isolates were found to show high level of resistance to most generic drugs used in the study namely penicillin, fluoroquinolones, cotrimoxazole, and second- and third-generation cephalosporins, while low level of resistance to aminoglycosides, fourth generation cephalosporin, penicillin and β-lactamase combination, and carbapenems. This was in concordance with studies conducted elsewhere in the country. A study by Ochoa et al found their DEC isolate to be more sensitive to cephalosporin as opposed to our study.

There were only two patients with diarrhea from whom EIEC was isolated. However, both were sensitive to all the panel of antibiotics put up. All DEC isolates were found to be sensitive to colistin and tigecycline (not reflected in the table).

**Discussion**

E. coli is identified as an important cause of pediatric diarrhea in developing countries. Although DEC pathotypes are well recognized, they are not routinely sought due to lack of infrastructures such as antisera and advanced molecular techniques. Thus, the exact burden of E. coli diarrhea among the hospitalized children across India especially Northeast India is still unclear. There are few studies from Mizoram, a state located in North East India in adjoining Myanmar and Bangladesh where limited study has been conducted on the prevalence of diarrhea associated with DEC. There is another in-depth study conducted by Chellapandi et al on the prevalence of MDR-DEC pathotypes isolated from children with or without diarrhea in North Indian population. In our study, there was significant association of DEC with diarrhea group in comparison to nondiarrhea group (p = 0.0195) as is seen in other studies. This finding is good evidence that DEC plays an important role in the development of diarrhea in children. However, the isolation of DEC pathotypes from nondiarrhea group suggests that healthy children may act as their carrier. However, a study on a larger sample size may be needed to further strengthen this finding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antimicrobial agent</th>
<th>DEC (n = 39) Res %</th>
<th>Non-DEC (n = 141) Res %</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>84.21</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>0.0260a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid</td>
<td>42.11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3231b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>68.42</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.0002a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftriaxone</td>
<td>52.63</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.0013a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefuroxime</td>
<td>63.16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.0005a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefuroxime axetil</td>
<td>63.16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.0005a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ertapenem</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.7465b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefepime</td>
<td>21.05</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.011a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>15.79</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.9113b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0283a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meropenem</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.6631b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nalidixic acid</td>
<td>94.74</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>0.0008b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefoperazone/sulbactam</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.855b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazole</td>
<td>94.74</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperacillin/tazobactam</td>
<td>15.79</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4245b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AST, aspartate aminotransferase; DEC, diarrheagenic Escherichia coli; Res, resistance.

*Significant difference exists between DEC and non-DEC.

No significant difference between DEC and non-DEC isolates.

**Table 2** AST profile of DEC and non-DEC isolates

**Table 3** Presence of Resistant DEC Strains in Children under 18 Years

**Conclusion**

This study has highlighted the presence of MDR-DEC isolates in children population of the North East region of country. It
has reiterated the importance of looking for DEC pathotypes in children presenting with diarrhea before starting any antibiotic as viral enteropathogens are common cause of diarrhea in children. This will help to reduce abuse of antibiotics that in turn will reduce emergence of MDR strains. DEC isolates whenever isolated should be subjected to antimicrobial susceptibility testing so that appropriate antibiotics guided by AST results may be administered if indicated. These indications may include dysentery, severe or prolonged disease, eradication of fecal shedding, and transmission and prevention of sequelae and death.

It is imperative to implement strategies to prevent and control the emergence and spread of resistant organisms by improving diagnosis by way of molecular testing or use of easy and rapid test such as *E. coli* O-specific antisera to screen for DEC strains and reducing the selective pressure caused by over-use and misuse of antibiotics in children.
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