







Knowledge of the Health Implications of Oral Sex among Registered Nurses in Nigeria: An **Online Pilot Study**

Kehinde Kazeem Kanmodi^{1,2,3} Jacob Njideka Nwafor¹ Babatunde Abiodun Amoo^{1,4} Lawrence Achilles Nnyanzi³ Mike Eghosa Ogbeide¹ Abdullahi Adamu Hundeji²

| Health Allied Sci^{NU} 2023;13:46-52.

Address for correspondence Kehinde Kazeem Kanmodi, BDS, MPH(C), DFM, PGDE, PGDPSCR, PGDPM, ACIPM, CPMP, Cert (Mqt), School of Health and Life Sciences, Teesside University, Middlesbrough TS1 3BX, United Kingdom (e-mail: kanmodikehinde@yahoo.com).

Abstract

Introduction Oral sex is a pleasurable act that has its health implications, particularly sexually transmitted oral infections. In Nigeria, nurses constitute one of the at-risk population groups due to reports of risky sexual behavior among them. This study seeks to investigate the knowledge of nurses in Nigeria on the health implications of oral sex.

Methods This study was a survey of a pilot volunteer sample (n = 129) of registered nurses in Nigeria. The study tool was an anonymous questionnaire that was circulated electronically to nurses via social media platforms. Data collected was analyzed using the SPSS Version 20 software (IBM Corp, New York, New York, United States).

Results The majority (90.7%) of the 129 respondents had received training on oral health, 49.6% had engaged in oral sex before, and 58.1% were willing to engage in oral sex in future. History of previous oral health training was the only background characteristic found to be statistically significantly associated with the knowledge of oral sex-related health implications among nurses (p-value = 0.004); other characteristics (such as gender, age, and marital status) were not statistically significant (p-values >0.05). From multivariate analysis, history of oral health training was also found to be a statistically significant predictor of such knowledge (p-value = 0.015).

Conclusion Oral health knowledge is a significant determinant of the knowledge of oral sex-related health implications. This study also provides the preliminary evidence that forms the base on which further research should be conducted in this area.

Keywords

- oral sex
- knowledge
- nurses
- ► Nigeria

article published online June 20, 2022

DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0042-1748805. ISSN 2582-4287.

© 2022. Nitte (Deemed to be University). All rights reserved. This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivative-NonCommercial-License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit. Contents may not be used for commercial purposes, or adapted, remixed, transformed or built upon. (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers Pvt. Ltd., A-12, 2nd Floor, Sector 2, Noida-201301 UP, India

¹Campaign for Head and Neck Cancer Education (CHANCE) Programme, Cephas Health Research Initiative Inc, Ibadan, Nigeria

²Department of Community Health, Aminu Musa Habib College of Health Science and Technology, Yauri, Nigeria

³School of Health and Life Sciences, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, United Kingdom

⁴African Field Epidemiology Network, Abuja, Nigeria

Sex is a pleasurable act common among adults. It commonly occurs in three different forms: anal penetrative sex, oral sex, and vaginal penetrative sex. Anal penetrative sex occurs when a male penetrates his partner's anus with his penis; oral sex occurs when a person sucks or licks his/her partner's penis, vagina, or anus; and vaginal penetrative sex occurs when a penis enters a vagina. Sexual activity, regardless of its form, when had unprotected, is risky and can be associated with over 35 sexually transmitted infections (STIs). Common examples of such infections are chancroid, chlamydiasis, syphilis, lymphogranuloma venereum, gonorrhea, hepatitis, human papillomavirus (HPV) infection, and human immunodeficiency (HIV) viral infection. 2-6

The global economic and health burden of STIs is enormous.³ In 2008 alone, ~498.9 million new cases of sexually transmitted chlamydiasis, syphilis, gonorrhea, and trichomoniasis were reported among individuals aged between 15 and 49 years.³ In 2016 alone, over 490 million people were estimated to have genital herpes, while 300 million women had HPV infection, a major etiological factor of anal and orogenital cancers.⁶⁻⁹ In 2020, ~374 million new cases of sexually transmitted chlamydiasis, syphilis, gonorrhea, and trichomoniasis were reported among individuals. Overall, more than one million individuals contract STIs on daily basis.⁶ This clearly depicts that STI is a problem of significant global public health concern.

Comparing the global age-standardized incidence rate of STIs in 1990 (9.32%) with that in 2019 (9.54%), it can be concluded that the global annual cases of STIs have increased in magnitude.⁷ Furthermore, a recent study on STI burden revealed that, in 2019, the global distribution of STI incidence was higher in males than females (male-female ratio—1.22:1), highest in Southern Africa (19.97%), and lowest in Western Europe (3.73%).⁷ However, in Nigeria, there is no known nationally representative data on the incidence of STIs within the past 2 years. Nonetheless, recent studies had reported a significant prevalence of STIs among samples of commercial sex workers (36.5%) and pregnant women (18.2%) in Nigeria.^{8,9}

The impact of STIs can be for long term with serious consequences.⁶ STIs, particularly gonorrhea, herpes, and syphilis, can increase one's risk of contracting HIV.⁶ Also, some STIs are one of the primary causes of chronic diseases like anal and orogenital cancers, infertility, hepatitis, and chronic kidney diseases.^{4,6,10–13} Furthermore, when STI is congenitally transmitted, it can cause congenital malformations, chest infections, sepsis, conjunctivitis, prematurity and low birth weight, and stillbirth of neonates.⁶

However, STIs are preventable and many of them are curable.^{2,5,6} STIs can be prevented through vaccination, condom use, and abstinence.⁶ After abstinence, condom use is probably the cheapest way of preventing STIs. However, condoms are only commonly used in vaginal and anal penetrative sex while it is rarely used in oral sex.^{14–16} By implication, this suggests that individuals who engage in oral sex are at higher risk of contracting STIs.

The average age of first oral sexual encounter is 17 years. ¹⁴ This indicates that oral sexual practices usually begin at adolescence and early adulthood. Pertinently, the prevalence of oral sexual practices has been on the rise within the last decade. ^{17,18}

Based on current data, at least five out of every ten adolescents and young adults in the United States of America are engaged in oral sex.^{15,16} However, in Nigeria, a pooled prevalence of oral sex practices in 1.7 to 47.2% of adolescents and adults has been found.¹⁹

In Nigeria, nurses constitute one of the STI risk population groups due to reports of risky sexual behavior among them. However, there exists a dearth of published scientific data on the knowledge of oral sex-related health implications among nurses in Nigeria.

Aim

This pilot study aims to assess the knowledge of oral sexrelated health implications among registered nurses in Nigeria.

Methods

This pilot study was an online cross-sectional survey conducted among registered nurses in Nigeria. This study also forms a part of the Campaign of Head and Neck Cancer Education (CHANCE) program. This study was conducted in compliance with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration on research involving human subjects. Institutional approval to conduct this study was obtained from the Research Committee, Department of Community Health, Aminu Musa Habib College of Health Science and Technology, Yauri, Nigeria.

The study tool was an anonymous electronic questionnaire (Google Form) that was developed from literature review and Delphi technique.^{2,20,21,28–42} The questionnaire has three sections: Informed Consent Section, Section A, and Section B. The Informed Consent Section digitally obtained informed consent from the participants. Section A obtained information on the background characteristics. Section B obtained information on knowledge of the health implications associated with oral sex using a set of 14 questions (**►Table 1**).

The sample size for the main study (from which the pilot sample size was obtained) was calculated using the Leslie Eq⁴³:

$$\mathbf{n} = \frac{(Z_{\propto/2})^2 pq}{e^2}$$

In the formula, n represents the sample size; $Z_{\alpha/2}$ which is equal to 1.96, represents the Z score value obtained from the confidence level, "p" represents the prevalence rate of oral sex, "e" is the margin of error which was 0.05, and "q" represents the compliment of p (i.e., 1- p).

The *p*-value was obtained from the lifetime oral sex prevalence of 75.6% reported in a recent study conducted

	Oral sex knowledge questions	Correct response
1	Oral sex is when you stimulate your partner's genitals and/or anus with your mouth, lips, or tongue	True ¹
2	Oral sex involves giving or receiving oral stimulation to the penis, the vagina, and/or the anus	True ¹
3	Oral sex is safe sex	False ^{2,6,7}
4	Unprotected oral sex is risky	True ^{2,6,7}
5	Which is a barrier used during oral sex?	Dental dam ³²
6	Bad oral health practices increase the chances of transmission of sexual pathogens during oral sex	True ^{33,34}
7	Oral lesions/ulcers increase the chances of transmission of sexual pathogens during oral sex	True ^{33,35}
8	Being exposed to the pre-ejaculate or ejaculate of an infected partner can increase the risk of getting a sexual pathogen	True ^{36,37}
9	Sexually transmitted infections can be transmitted via oral sex	True ³⁸
10	Syphilis has a high chance of being transmitted via oral sex	True ³⁸
11	Chlamydia has a high chance of being transmitted via oral sex	True ³⁸
12	Gonorrhea has a high chance of being transmitted via oral sex	True ³⁸
13	Human papillomavirus has a high chance of being transmitted via oral sex	True ³⁸
14	Hepatitis has a high chance of being transmitted via oral sex	True ³⁹

Table 1 Correct answers to questions used to test respondents' knowledge of oral sex

in United States among men and women (age: 15–44 years). 16

From the Leslie formula:

$$\mathbf{n} = \frac{(1.96)^2 (0.754 \times 0.246)}{(0.05)^2}$$

a minimum sample size of 285 was obtained for the main study. However, to have a more representative sample size, the authors increased the sample size of the main study to a convenient size of 600 registered nurses. However, before the main survey (of 600 registered nurses) will be conducted, the authors found it worthy to conduct this pilot study using a minimum sample size of 120 registered nurses (which is 20% of the minimum sample size for the proposed main study).

The hyperlink to the electronic questionnaire was circulated on three medical discussion forums on WhatsApp social media platforms of registered nurses in Nigeria. Multiple reminders were sent to these media platforms to remind the potential participants about the study. All participation was strictly online, completely voluntary, and anonymous. No participant was coerced to take part in the study. All participants were given the subject information sheet that explained the study in detail and they had the chance to ask questions before deciding to take part in the study. Prior to participation, all participants gave their informed consent electronically.

A total of 129 registered nurses completed the electronic questionnaire (Google Form). Data collected was exported to the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) Version 20 software (IBM Corp, New York, New York, United States) for analysis. The frequency distributions of all variables were

determined. The respondents' knowledge of the health implications associated with oral sex was graded over a 14-point score. Respondents scoring below 7 points were graded as "having below average scores," while those scoring 7 points and above were graded as "having average and above average scores." Bivariate analysis and multivariate analysis of variables of interest were also conducted, and a *p*-value <0.05 was used to determine the level of statistical significance.

Results

The response rate to the study was 41.7% (129/311). The majority (62.0%) of the respondents were women, 43.4% were within the age bracket of 25 to 29 years, 64.3% were married, 77.5% were Christians, 51.9% were working in a government setting, 90.7% had received training on oral health, 49.6% had engaged in oral sex before, and 58.1% were willing to engage in oral sex in future (**>Table 2**).

The mean (standard deviation) knowledge score of the respondents concerning their knowledge of the health implications associated with oral sex was 10.8 (2.2). Furthermore, **-Table 3** depicts the bivariate associations between the respondents' background characteristics and their knowledge of the health implications associated with oral sex. Only the respondents' history of oral health training was found to be statistically significantly associated with their knowledge of the health implications associated with oral sex (p-value = 0.004); other characteristics (such as gender, age, and marital status) were not statistically significant (p-value >0.05).

Table 2 Background characteristics of the respondents

Variables (n = 129)	Frequency	Percentage (%)					
Age (y)							
20-24	17	13.2					
25-29	56	43.4					
30-34	36	27.9					
35-39	13	10.1					
40 and above	7	5.4					
Mean (SD) = 29.2 (4.9)							
Gender							
Male	49	48.0					
Female	80	62.0					
Marital status							
Single	83	64.3					
Married	45	34.9					
Divorced	1	0.8					
Religion							
Christianity	100	77.5					
Islam	27	20.9					
Atheist/others	2	1.6					
Years of practice							
1–9 y	116	89.9					
≥ 10 y	13	10.1					
Mean (SD) = 5.0 (4.2)							
Place of practice							
Public service	67	51.9					
Private practice	47	36.4					
Not currently practicing	15	11.6					
Received oral health train	ing						
Yes	117	90.7					
No	12	9.3					
History of engagement in	oral sex						
Yes	64	49.6					
No	65	50.4					
Willingness to engage in oral sex							
Yes	75	58.1					
No	54	41.9					

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.

From multivariate analysis, history of oral health training was found to be a statistically significant predictor of the respondents' knowledge of the health implications associated with oral sex (p-value = 0.015) (\sim Table 4).

Discussion

This study yielded noteworthy findings. The majority of the respondents had received oral health training. This finding

contradicts existing reports about lack of oral health training among nurses and nursing students in Nigeria. ^{20,44,45} Although oral health training is not an integral part of nursing education curriculum in Nigeria, ⁴⁴ the majority of the respondents in this survey reported to have received such training. This suggests that they might have sought training on oral health through workshops, seminars, and other continuing professional development courses.

Almost half of the respondents had engaged in oral sex. The lifetime prevalence of oral sex recorded in this present study is higher than that reported in a similar study conducted among a sample of nursing students in Nigeria. ²⁰ The suggested reasons why a higher oral sex prevalence was recorded in this present study may be because this study adopted the use of an anonymous online questionnaire that gave the respondents a deeper sense of privacy; second, a higher proportion of the respondents was married, unlike the other study. ²⁰

Majority of the respondents were willing to engage in oral sex in future. However, the reasons why they were willing to engage in such act were not explored, as it was not a part of the scope of this study. This is an interesting research question that requires further investigation.

Based on the knowledge test conducted in this study, the majority of the respondents had average/above-average knowledge about the health implications associated with oral sex. This is an interesting finding. In Nigeria's adolescent and adult population, the prevalence of oral sex ranges from 1.7 to 47.2%. ^{19,24} Worrisomely, awareness about the health implications associated with oral sex is low in Nigeria. ⁴⁶ Nurses can play a significant role in public health education regarding oral sex. Nurses are highly influential frontline health workers in Nigeria ⁴⁵; hence, this critical position they occupy can be utilized to educate the public on oral sex and its associated risks.

However, this study has its limitations. First, the findings of this study were based on self-report; hence, there is a possibility of recall bias among the respondents. Second, this study was based on a small sample of nurses; hence, the findings obtained in this study should be generalized with caution.

Nonetheless, this study has its strength. To the best of the authors' knowledge, this was the first Nigerian study to explore nurses' knowledge on the health implications of oral sex. Hence, this study provides preliminary evidence that forms the base on which further research should be conducted in this area.

Conclusion

The prevalence of oral sex in this study is generally higher than that recorded among nursing students, adolescents, and general adult population groups in Nigeria. It was also observed that the majority of the surveyed nurses were willing to engage in oral sex in future, despite being knowledgeable about the health implications of oral sex; this foresees the need to investigate the reasons why they wished to have oral sex in future. Finally, oral health knowledge is a

Table 3 Respondents' background characteristics and knowledge of health implications associated with oral sex

Male 2 (4.1) 47 (95.9) Female 2 (2.5) 78 (97.5) Marital status 0.22 (0.896) Single 3 (3.6) 80 (96.4) Married 1 (2.2) 44 (97.8) Divorced 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Religion 0.07 (0.967) Christianity 3 (3.0) 97 (97.0) Islam 1 (3.7) 26 (96.3) Atheist/others 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Years of practice 0.46 (0.496) 1-9 y 4 (3.4) 112 (96.6) ≥ 10 y 0 (0.0) 13 (100.0) Place of practice 4 (6.0) 63 (94.0) Private practice 0 (0.0) 47 (100.0) Not currently practicing 0 (0.0) 15 (100.0)	Variables ($n = 129$)	<average (%)<="" score="" th=""><th>≥Average score (%)</th><th>X² (p-Value)</th></average>	≥Average score (%)	X ² (p-Value)
20-24		n=4 (3.1)	n = 125 (96.9)	
25-29 1 (1.8) 55 (98.2) 30-34 1 (2.8) 35 (97.2) 35-39 1 (7.7) 12 (93.7) 40 and above 0 (0.0) 7 (100.0) Cender — <0.25 (0.615	Age (y)			1.91 (0.753)
30-34	20–24	1 (5.9)	16 (94.1)	
35-39	25–29	1 (1.8)	55 (98.2)	
40 and above 0 (0.0) 7 (100.0) Gender < 0.25 (0.615 Male 2 (4.1) 47 (95.9) Female 2 (2.5) 78 (97.5) Marital status 0.22 (0.896) Single 3 (3.6) 80 (96.4) Married 1 (2.2) 44 (97.8) Divorced 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Religion 0.07 (0.967) Christianity 3 (3.0) 97 (97.0) Islam 1 (3.7) 26 (96.3) Atheist/others 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Years of practice 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Year of practice 0 (0.0) 13 (100.0) Place of practice 4 (6.0) 63 (94.0) Private practice 4 (6.0) 63 (94.0) Private practice 0 (0.0) 47 (100.0) Not currently practicing 0 (0.0) 15 (100.0) Received oral health training 8.10 (0.004)²	30–34	1 (2.8)	35 (97.2)	
Gender < <0.25 (0.615 Male 2 (4.1) 47 (95.9) Female 2 (2.5) 78 (97.5) Marital status 0.22 (0.896) Single 3 (3.6) 80 (96.4) Married 1 (2.2) 44 (97.8) Divorced 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Religion 0.07 (0.967) Christianity 3 (3.0) 97 (97.0) Islam 1 (3.7) 26 (96.3) Atheist/others 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Years of practice 1 0.46 (0.496) 1-9 y 4 (3.4) 112 (96.6) ≥ 10 y 0 (0.0) 13 (100.0) Place of practice 4 (6.0) 63 (94.0) Private practice 4 (6.0) 63 (94.0) Not currently practicing 0 (0.0) 15 (100.0) 8.10 (0.004) ^a	35–39	1 (7.7)	12 (93.7)	
Male 2 (4.1) 47 (95.9) Female 2 (2.5) 78 (97.5) Marital status 0.22 (0.896) Single 3 (3.6) 80 (96.4) Married 1 (2.2) 44 (97.8) Divorced 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Religion	40 and above	0 (0.0)	7 (100.0)	
Female 2 (2.5) 78 (97.5) Marital status 0.22 (0.896) Single 3 (3.6) 80 (96.4) Married 1 (2.2) 44 (97.8) Divorced 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Religion 0.07 (0.967) Christianity 3 (3.0) 97 (97.0) Islam 1 (3.7) 26 (96.3) Atheist/others 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Years of practice 0.46 (0.496) 1-9 y 4 (3.4) 112 (96.6) ≥ 10 y 0 (0.0) 13 (100.0) Place of practice 3.82 (0.148) Public service 4 (6.0) 63 (94.0) Private practice 4 (6.0) 63 (94.0) Private practice 0 (0.0) 47 (100.0) Not currently practicing 0 (0.0) 15 (100.0) Received oral health training 8.10 (0.004)³ Yes 2 (1.7) 115 (98.3) No 2 (16.7) 10 (83.3) No 1 (10.5) 64 (98.5) Willingness to engage in	Gender			<0.25 (0.615)
Marital status	Male	2 (4.1)	47 (95.9)	
Single 3 (3.6) 80 (96.4) Married 1 (2.2) 44 (97.8) Divorced 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Religion 0.07 (0.967) Christianity 3 (3.0) 97 (97.0) Islam 1 (3.7) 26 (96.3) Atheist/others 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Years of practice 0.46 (0.496) 1-9 y 4 (3.4) 112 (96.6) ≥ 10 y 0 (0.0) 13 (100.0) Place of practice 3.82 (0.148) Public service 4 (6.0) 63 (94.0) Private practice 0 (0.0) 47 (100.0) Not currently practicing 0 (0.0) 15 (100.0) Yes 2 (1.7) 115 (98.3) No 2 (16.7) 115 (98.3) History of engagement in oral sex 1 (1.5) 64 (98.5) Willingness to engage in oral sex 0 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	Female	2 (2.5)	78 (97.5)	
Married 1 (2.2) 44 (97.8) Divorced 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Religion Contristianity 3 (3.0) 97 (97.0) Islam 1 (3.7) 26 (96.3) ————————————————————————————————————	Marital status			0.22 (0.896)
Divorced 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Religion 0.07 (0.967) Christianity 3 (3.0) 97 (97.0) Islam 1 (3.7) 26 (96.3) Atheist/others 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Years of practice 0.00 1 (100.0) 1-9 y 4 (3.4) 112 (96.6)	Single	3 (3.6)	80 (96.4)	
Religion 0.07 (0.967) Christianity 3 (3.0) 97 (97.0) Islam 1 (3.7) 26 (96.3) Atheist/others 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Years of practice 0.46 (0.496) 1-9 y 4 (3.4) 112 (96.6) ≥ 10 y 0 (0.0) 13 (100.0) Place of practice 4 (6.0) 63 (94.0) Public service 4 (6.0) 63 (94.0) Private practice 0 (0.0) 47 (100.0) Not currently practicing 0 (0.0) 15 (100.0) Received oral health training 8.10 (0.004)³ Yes 2 (1.7) 115 (98.3) No 2 (16.7) 10 (83.3) History of engagement in oral sex 1.06 (0.302) Yes 3 (4.7) 61 (95.3) No 1 (1.5) 64 (98.5) Willingness to engage in oral sex 0.48 (0.487) Yes 3 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	Married	1 (2.2)	44 (97.8)	
Christianity 3 (3.0) 97 (97.0) Islam 1 (3.7) 26 (96.3) Atheist/others 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Years of practice 0.46 (0.496) 1-9 y 4 (3.4) 112 (96.6) ≥ 10 y 0 (0.0) 13 (100.0) Place of practice 8.82 (0.148) Public service 4 (6.0) 63 (94.0) Private practice 0 (0.0) 47 (100.0) Not currently practicing 0 (0.0) 15 (100.0) Received oral health training 8.10 (0.004)³ Yes 2 (1.7) 115 (98.3) No 2 (16.7) 10 (83.3) History of engagement in oral sex 1.06 (0.302) Yes 3 (4.7) 61 (95.3) No 1 (1.5) 64 (98.5) Willingness to engage in oral sex 0.48 (0.487) Yes 3 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	Divorced	0 (0.0)	1 (100.0)	
Islam 1 (3.7) 26 (96.3) Atheist/others 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Years of practice 0.46 (0.496) 1-9 y 4 (3.4) 112 (96.6) ≥ 10 y 0 (0.0) 13 (100.0) Place of practice 63 (94.0) 70 (0.0) Private practice 4 (6.0) 63 (94.0) 63 (94.0) Not currently practicing 0 (0.0) 47 (100.0) 75 (100.0) Received oral health training 8.10 (0.004)³ 8.10 (0.004)³ Yes 2 (1.7) 115 (98.3) 1.06 (0.302) History of engagement in oral sex 1.06 (0.302) 1.06 (0.302) Yes 3 (4.7) 61 (95.3) 1.06 (0.302) Willingness to engage in oral sex 0.48 (0.487) Yes 3 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	Religion			0.07 (0.967)
Atheist/others 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Years of practice 0.46 (0.496) 1-9 y 4 (3.4) 112 (96.6) ≥ 10 y 0 (0.0) 13 (100.0) Place of practice 3.82 (0.148) Public service 4 (6.0) 63 (94.0) Private practice 0 (0.0) 47 (100.0) Not currently practicing 0 (0.0) 15 (100.0) Received oral health training 8.10 (0.004)³ Yes 2 (1.7) 115 (98.3) No 2 (16.7) 10 (83.3) History of engagement in oral sex 1.06 (0.302) Yes 3 (4.7) 61 (95.3) No 1 (1.5) 64 (98.5) Willingness to engage in oral sex 0.48 (0.487) Yes 3 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	Christianity	3 (3.0)	97 (97.0)	
Years of practice 0.46 (0.496) 1-9 y 4 (3.4) 112 (96.6) ≥ 10 y 0 (0.0) 13 (100.0) Place of practice 3.82 (0.148) Public service 4 (6.0) 63 (94.0) Private practice 0 (0.0) 47 (100.0) Not currently practicing 0 (0.0) 15 (100.0) Received oral health training 8.10 (0.004)³ Yes 2 (1.7) 115 (98.3) No 2 (16.7) 10 (83.3) History of engagement in oral sex 1.06 (0.302) Yes 3 (4.7) 61 (95.3) No 1 (1.5) 64 (98.5) Willingness to engage in oral sex 0.48 (0.487) Yes 3 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	Islam	1 (3.7)	26 (96.3)	
1-9 y 4 (3.4) 112 (96.6) ≥ 10 y 0 (0.0) 13 (100.0) Place of practice Public service 4 (6.0) 63 (94.0) Private practice 0 (0.0) 47 (100.0) Not currently practicing 0 (0.0) 15 (100.0) Received oral health training 8.10 (0.004) ^a Yes 2 (1.7) 115 (98.3) No 2 (16.7) 10 (83.3) History of engagement in oral sex 1.06 (0.302) Yes 3 (4.7) 61 (95.3) No 1 (1.5) 64 (98.5) Willingness to engage in oral sex 0.48 (0.487) Yes 3 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	Atheist/others	0 (0.0)	1 (100.0)	
≥ 10 y 0 (0.0) 13 (100.0) Place of practice 3.82 (0.148) Public service 4 (6.0) 63 (94.0) Private practice 0 (0.0) 47 (100.0) Not currently practicing 0 (0.0) 15 (100.0) Received oral health training 8.10 (0.004) ^a Yes 2 (1.7) 115 (98.3) No 2 (16.7) 10 (83.3) History of engagement in oral sex 1.06 (0.302) Yes 3 (4.7) 61 (95.3) No 1 (1.5) 64 (98.5) Willingness to engage in oral sex 0.48 (0.487) Yes 3 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	Years of practice			0.46 (0.496)
Place of practice 3.82 (0.148) Public service 4 (6.0) 63 (94.0) Private practice 0 (0.0) 47 (100.0) Not currently practicing 0 (0.0) 15 (100.0) Received oral health training 8.10 (0.004) ^a Yes 2 (1.7) 115 (98.3) No 2 (16.7) 10 (83.3) History of engagement in oral sex 1.06 (0.302) Yes 3 (4.7) 61 (95.3) No 1 (1.5) 64 (98.5) Willingness to engage in oral sex 0.48 (0.487) Yes 3 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	1–9 y	4 (3.4)	112 (96.6)	
Public service 4 (6.0) 63 (94.0) Private practice 0 (0.0) 47 (100.0) Not currently practicing 0 (0.0) 15 (100.0) Received oral health training 8.10 (0.004) ^a Yes 2 (1.7) 115 (98.3) No 2 (16.7) 10 (83.3) History of engagement in oral sex 1.06 (0.302) Yes 3 (4.7) 61 (95.3) No 1 (1.5) 64 (98.5) Willingness to engage in oral sex 0.48 (0.487) Yes 3 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	≥ 10 y	0 (0.0)	13 (100.0)	
Private practice 0 (0.0) 47 (100.0) Not currently practicing 0 (0.0) 15 (100.0) Received oral health training 8.10 (0.004) ^a Yes 2 (1.7) 115 (98.3) No 2 (16.7) 10 (83.3) History of engagement in oral sex 1.06 (0.302) Yes 3 (4.7) 61 (95.3) No 1 (1.5) 64 (98.5) Willingness to engage in oral sex 0.48 (0.487) Yes 3 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	Place of practice			3.82 (0.148)
Not currently practicing 0 (0.0) 15 (100.0) Received oral health training 8.10 (0.004) ^a Yes 2 (1.7) 115 (98.3) No 2 (16.7) 10 (83.3) History of engagement in oral sex 1.06 (0.302) Yes 3 (4.7) 61 (95.3) No 1 (1.5) 64 (98.5) Willingness to engage in oral sex 0.48 (0.487) Yes 3 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	Public service	4 (6.0)	63 (94.0)	
Received oral health training 8.10 (0.004) ^a Yes 2 (1.7) 115 (98.3) No 2 (16.7) 10 (83.3) History of engagement in oral sex 1.06 (0.302) Yes 3 (4.7) 61 (95.3) No 1 (1.5) 64 (98.5) Willingness to engage in oral sex 0.48 (0.487) Yes 3 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	Private practice	0 (0.0)	47 (100.0)	
Yes 2 (1.7) 115 (98.3) No 2 (16.7) 10 (83.3) History of engagement in oral sex 1.06 (0.302) Yes 3 (4.7) 61 (95.3) No 1 (1.5) 64 (98.5) Willingness to engage in oral sex 0.48 (0.487) Yes 3 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	Not currently practicing	0 (0.0)	15 (100.0)	
No 2 (16.7) 10 (83.3) History of engagement in oral sex 1.06 (0.302) Yes 3 (4.7) 61 (95.3) No 1 (1.5) 64 (98.5) Willingness to engage in oral sex 0.48 (0.487) Yes 3 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	Received oral health training			8.10 (0.004) ^a
History of engagement in oral sex 1.06 (0.302) Yes 3 (4.7) 61 (95.3) No 1 (1.5) 64 (98.5) Willingness to engage in oral sex 0.48 (0.487) Yes 3 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	Yes	2 (1.7)	115 (98.3)	
Yes 3 (4.7) 61 (95.3) No 1 (1.5) 64 (98.5) Willingness to engage in oral sex 0.48 (0.487) Yes 3 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	No	2 (16.7)	10 (83.3)	
No 1 (1.5) 64 (98.5) Willingness to engage in oral sex 0.48 (0.487) Yes 3 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	History of engagement in oral sex			1.06 (0.302)
Willingness to engage in oral sex 0.48 (0.487) Yes 3 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	Yes	3 (4.7)	61 (95.3)	
Yes 3 (4.0) 72 (96.0)	No	1 (1.5)	64 (98.5)	
	Willingness to engage in oral sex			0.48 (0.487)
No 1 (1.9) 53 (98.1)	Yes	3 (4.0)	72 (96.0)	
	No	1 (1.9)	53 (98.1)	

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.

Table 4 Linear regression of oral sex knowledge predictors

Models	В	SE	Т	Sig.
Constant	10.663	1.219	8.747	< 0.001
Number of years in practice	0.705	0.663	1.063	0.290
Place of practice	0.287	0.285	1.004	0.317
Received oral health training	-1.665	0.671	-2.479	0.015 ^a
History of oral sex engagement	0.792	0.533	1.486	0.140
Willingness to engage in oral sex	-0.337	0.554	-0.609	0.544

Abbreviations: B, standardized coefficient; SE, standard error; Sig, level of significance.

^aSignificant *p*-value.

^aF (5,123) =1.936, Sig = 0.093, R^2 =0.073.

significant determinant of the knowledge of oral sex-related health implications.

Conflict of Interest None declared.

References

- 1 National Health Service. Sex activities and risk. Accessed April 14, 2022 from: https://www.kingedwardst.nhs.uk/syndication/livewell/sexual-health/sex-activities-and-risk
- 2 Fuchs W, Brockmeyer NH. Sexually transmitted infections. J Dtsch Dermatol Ges 2014;12(06):451-463
- 3 Chesson HW, Mayaud P, Aral SO. Sexually transmitted infections: impact and cost-effectiveness of prevention. In: Holmes KK, Bertozzi S, Bloom BR, Jha P, eds. Major Infectious Diseases. 3rd edition Washington (DC): The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank; 2017 Nov 3. Chapter 10
- 4 Nguyen NP, Nguyen LM, Thomas S, et al; International Geriatric Radiation Oncology Group. Oral sex and oropharyngeal cancer: the role of the primary care physicians. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016;95(28):e4228. Doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000004228
- 5 Nolte S, Sohn MA, Koons B. Prevention of HIV infection in women. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 1993;22(02):128-134
- 6 World Health Organization. Sexually transmitted infections Accessed April 14, 2022 from: https://www.who. int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/sexually-transmitted-infectors and the state of the state oftions-(stis)
- 7 Zheng Y, Yu Q, Lin Y, et al. Global burden and trends of sexually transmitted infections from 1990 to 2019; an observational trend study. Lancet Infect Dis 2021;x:S1473
- 8 Sekoni AO, Odukoya OO, Onajole AT, Odeyemi KA. Sexually transmitted infections: prevalence, knowledge and treatment practices among female sex workers in a cosmopolitan city in Nigeria. Afr J Reprod Health 2013;17(01):94-102
- 9 Oyeyemi OT, Fadipe O, Oyeyemi IT. Trichomonas vaginalis infection in Nigerian pregnant women and risk factors associated with sexually transmitted infections. Int J STD AIDS 2016;27(13): 1187-1193
- 10 Burd EM. Human papillomavirus and cervical cancer. Clin Microbiol Rev 2003;16(01):1-17
- 11 Wang CJ, Palefsky JM. HPV-associated anal cancer in the HIV/AIDS patient. Cancer Treat Res 2019;177:183-209
- 12 Naicker S. HIV/AIDS and chronic kidney disease. Clin Nephrol 2020;93(01):87-93
- 13 Ochsendorf FR. Sexually transmitted infections: impact on male fertility. Andrologia 2008;40(02):72-75
- 14 Blanc Molina A, Rojas Tejada AJ. Uso del preservativo, número de parejas y debut sexual en jóvenes en coito vaginal, sexo oral y sexo anal. [Condom use, number of partners and sexual debut in young people in penile-vaginal intercourse, oral sex and anal sex]Rev Int Androl 2018;16(01):8-14
- 15 Holway GV, Hernandez SM. Oral sex and condom use in a U.S. National Sample of Adolescents and Young Adults. J Adolesc Health 2018;62(04):402-410
- 16 Habel MA, Leichliter JS, Dittus PJ, Spicknall IH, Aral SO. Heterosexual anal and oral sex in adolescents and adults in the United States, 2011-2015. Sex Transm Dis 2018;45(12):775-782
- 17 Chaturvedi AK, Anderson WF, Lortet-Tieulent J, et al. Worldwide trends in incidence rates for oral cavity and oropharyngeal cancers. J Clin Oncol 2013;31(36):4550-4559
- 18 Ellington TD, Henley SJ, Senkomago V, et al. Trends in incidence of cancers of the oral cavity and pharynx - United States 2007-2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69 (15):433-438
- 19 Morhason-Bello IO, Kabakama S, Baisley K, Francis SC, Watson-Jones D. Reported oral and anal sex among adolescents and adults

- reporting heterosexual sex in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. Reprod Health 2019;16(01):48. Doi: 10.1186/s12978-019-0722-9
- 20 Kanmodi KK, Amoo BA, Sopeju AE, Adeniyi OR. Oral cancer and oral sex: awareness and practice among nursing students in Ibadan metropolis, Nigeria. Asian J Med Health 2017;2(04):
- 21 Kanmodi KK, Nnebedum N, Bello M, Adesina M, Fagbule OF, Adesoye O. Head and neck cancer awareness: a survey of young people in international communities. Int J Adolesc Med Health 2019;33(04):20180231
- 22 Kanmodi K, Kanmodi P, Ogbeide M, Nwafor J. Head and neck cancer literacy in Nigeria: a systematic review of the literature. Ann Public Health Issues 2021;1:25-49
- 23 Nwafor NJ, Kanmodi KK, Amoo BA. How enlightening and reliable are cancer-related posts on social media platforms? Opinions of a sample of Nigerians. J Health Allied Sci 2021; 11:141-146
- 24 Kanmodi K, Fagbule O, Ogunniyi K, et al. Determinants of sexual practices among secondary school students in Nigeria: focusing on socio-cultural and school-related factors. Rwanda Med J 2020; 77(04):32-37
- 25 Kanmodi KK, Kanmodi PA. Rising prevalence of head and neck cancer risk factors among Nigerian adolescents: a call for schoolbased intervention programmes. Popul Med 2020;2:13
- 26 Kanmodi KK, Fagbule OF. Towards head and neck cancer prevention in Nigeria: insights from the CHANCE programme. Popul Med 2020;2(June):16
- 27 Kanmodi KK, Osunro KS, Nwafor NJ, Kanmodi PA. Impact of head and neck cancer (HNC) education on HNC knowledge and attitudes toward HNC peer and non-peer education: a school-based pilot study. Yen Med J 2020;2(02):47-55
- 28 Kanmodi KK, Chidiebere O, Nwafor NJ, Amoo BA. Knowledge of HPV, HPV-induced cancers, and HPV vaccine among university students in medical laboratory science disciplines: Nigerian study. J Obstet Gynecol Investig 2020;3:e1-e7
- Kanmodi KK, Ogbeide ME, Fagbule OF, et al. Knowledge of HPV, HPV-induced cancers, and HPV vaccine among a sample of freshmen in a northwestern Nigeria monotechnic. Int J Med Res Health Sci 2019:8(12):110-115
- 30 Kanmodi KK, Mohammed AF, Omoleke SA, et al. Head and neck cancer risk factors among a pilot sample of Nigerian shisha smokers: Focus on oral sex, tobacco, alcohol, and knowledge of head and neck cancer. J Dent Orofac Res 2019;15(02):49-57
- Kanmodi KK, Ogbeide ME, Fagbule OF, Omoleke SA, Isola TO, Ogundipe PA. Do college freshmen know about head and neck cancer and its risk factors? Experience from Gwadabawa, Nigeria. Int J Med Res Health Sci 2019;8(09):111-116
- 32 Kanmodi KK, Fagbule FO. Does head and neck cancer (HNC) education have impact on adolescents' knowledge and attitude towards HNC and HNC peer education? An example from Nigeria. Intl J Child Adolesc Health 2018;11(03):343-347
- 33 Kanmodi KK, Fagbule OF, Aladelusi TO. Prevalence of shisha (waterpipe) smoking and awareness of head and neck cancer among Nigerian secondary school students: a preliminary survey. Int Public Health J 2018;10(02):210-214
- 34 Centres for Disease Control and Prevention. STD risk and oral sex CDC Fact Sheet. Accessed April 14, 2022 from: https://www. cdc.gov/std/healthcomm/stdfact-stdriskandoralsex.htm
- 35 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Dental dam use. Accessed April 14, 2022 from: https://www.cdc.gov/condomeffectiveness/Dental-dam-use.html
- 36 Lissoni A, Agliardi E, Peri A, Marchioni R, Abati S. Oral microbiome and mucosal trauma as risk factors for oral cancer: beyond alcohol and tobacco. A literature review. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents 2020;34(06, Suppl 3):11-18
- 37 Bui TC, Tran LT, Markham CM, et al. Self-reported oral health, oral hygiene, and oral HPV infection in at-risk women in Ho Chi Minh

- City, Vietnam. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 2015; 120(01):34-42
- 38 Ljubojević S, Skerlev M, Alajbeg I. Manifestacije spolno prenosivih bolesti na oralnoj sluznici. [Manifestations of sexually transmitted diseases on oral mucous membranes] Acta Med Croatica 2013; 67(05):439-446
- 39 Chakhtoura N, Hazra R, Spong CY. Zika virus: a public health perspective. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol 2018;30(02):116–122
- 40 Capra G, Schillaci R, Bosco L, Roccheri MC, Perino A, Ragusa MA. HPV infection in semen: results from a new molecular approach. Epidemiol Infect 2019;147:e177. Doi: 10.1017/S0950268819000621
- 41 Queirós C, Costa JBD. Oral transmission of sexually transmissable infections: a narrative review. Acta Med Port 2019;32(12): 776-781
- 42 Edwards S, Carne C. Oral sex and the transmission of viral STIs. Sex Transm Infect 1998;74(01):6-10

- 43 Leslie K. Survey Sampling. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc;
- 44 Kanmodi KK, Kanmodi PA. A call for the inclusion of a course on basic oral healthcare practice into the Nigerian nursing and midwifery education curriculum. Polish Annals of Medicine. 2021;28(02):256-258
- 45 Sulaiman AO, Kanmodi KK. Awareness of restorative dental treatment as shown by nursing students in Ibadan. J Stoma. 2016;69(06):667-673
- 46 Fagbule OF, Kanmodi KK, Aliemeke EO, et al. Knowledge of HPV and HPV vaccine among senior secondary school students in Nigeria: implications on cancer prevention strategies, the CHANCE Study. Population Medicine. 2020;2(October):31. Doi: 10.18332/popmed/127237