


Methylene Blue Use in Pediatrics

Rachel Moss¹  Kim R. Derespina¹ Jessica Frye² Shubhi Kaushik¹

¹ Division of Critical Care Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Mount Sinai Kravis Children's Hospital, New York, New York, United States

² Department of Pharmacy, Mount Sinai Hospital, New York, New York, United States

Address for correspondence Rachel Moss, MD, Division of Critical Care Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Mount Sinai Kravis Children's Hospital, 1184 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY, 10029, United States (e-mail: Rachel.moss@mountsinai.org).

J Pediatr Intensive Care

Abstract

Catecholamine-resistant shock, also known as vasoplegia, is a challenging entity with a significant risk of mortality. We seek to provide further data on the safety and effectiveness of methylene blue (MB) for vasoplegic shock in the pediatric population. We conducted a retrospective observational study of pediatric patients admitted to the pediatric intensive care unit or pediatric cardiac intensive care unit at Mount Sinai Kravis Children's Hospital from 2011 to 2021 who received MB for refractory shock. A list of patients was obtained by performing a pharmaceutical query from 2011 to 2021 for "MB." Chart review was performed to determine indication for use and to collect demographic and clinical data. There were 33 MB administrations: 18 administrations (16 unique patients) for vasoplegic shock, 11 for surgical dye, and 4 for methemoglobinemia. The median age was 5 years (interquartile range [IQR]: 0.08, 13). Ten patients required MB following congenital cardiac repair (62.5%); one administration for myocarditis, septic shock, postcardiac arrest, high output chylothorax, scoliosis repair, and one multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children. No patients experienced hemolytic anemia or serotonin syndrome following administration. The median dose of MB was 1 mg/kg. Vasoactive-inotrope score (VIS) improved in 4 out of 18 administrations at 1 hour. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) improved in 10 out of 18 administrations at 1 hour. Systolic blood pressure (SBP) improved in 8 out of 18 administrations at 1 hour. VIS, MAP, and SBP improved in 8 out of 18 administrations at 6 hours. MB may be safely considered as rescue therapy in catecholamine-resistant shock in pediatrics.

Keywords

- ▶ vasoplegic shock
- ▶ methylene blue
- ▶ vasoplegia
- ▶ pediatrics

Introduction

Catecholamine-resistant shock, also known as vasoplegia, is a challenging entity with a significant risk of mortality. In pediatrics, there is a lack of evidence-based effective therapies, such as methylene blue (MB), for catecholamine-resistant shock. MB acts by inhibiting guanylate cyclase, thus inhibiting nitric oxide release.¹ In adult patients, the use of MB has been associated with improved mean arterial blood pressure (MAP)^{2,3} as well as systemic vascular resistance.² The use of MB in pediatrics has been described in a recent

systematic review with a total of only 102 patients under 25 years of age, illustrating data regarding the safety and efficacy of MB use in pediatrics is scant.⁴ The aim is to observe the safety and effectiveness of MB use in pediatric patients with catecholamine-resistant shock.

Material and Methods

We conducted a retrospective observational study of pediatric and adolescent patients admitted to the pediatric intensive care unit or pediatric cardiac intensive care unit at Mount Sinai Kravis

received

September 16, 2022

accepted after revision

November 23, 2022

© 2023, Thieme. All rights reserved.
Georg Thieme Verlag KG,
Rüdigerstraße 14,
70469 Stuttgart, Germany

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0042-1760297>
ISSN 2146-4618.

Children’s Hospital from 2011 to 2021 who received MB for refractory shock. Shock refractory to fluid resuscitation and vasopressor therapy is termed catecholamine-resistant shock.⁵

A list of patients was obtained by performing a pharmaceutical query from 2011 to 2021 for “MB.” This population included one 34-year-old congenital heart disease patient who had been followed by pediatric cardiology. A chart review was performed to determine indication for use. Patients who received MB as surgical dye or for methemoglobinemia treatment were excluded.

Demographic data, including race, ethnicity, sex, and age, were obtained through the electronic medical record Face Sheet. Clinical data including laboratory and radiologic results were obtained and analyzed. Clinical data points included primary diagnosis, prior congenital cardiac diagnosis, MB dose, vitals pre- and postadministration, vasoactive-inotrope score (VIS) pre- and postadministration, adverse effects, intensive care unit length of stay (LOS), need for extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) support, and mortality. VIS calculation may be visualized in ►Fig. 1.

This study was reviewed and approved by the Mount Sinai Institutional Review Board (HS #: STUDY- 21-01534). Demographic and clinical characteristics were summarized as frequencies and percentages for categorical variables and as medians and interquartile ranges for continuous variables. All analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel.

Results

Thirty-three patient encounters of MB administration were identified. Of these, there were 18 administrations for

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VIS} = & \text{Dopamine dose } (\mu\text{g/kg/min}) + \\ & \text{Dobutamine dose } (\mu\text{g/kg/min}) + \\ & 100 * \text{Epinephrine dose } (\mu\text{g/kg/min}) + \\ & 10 * \text{Milrinone dose } (\mu\text{g/kg/min}) + \\ & 10,000 * \text{Vasopressin dose } (\text{U/kg/min}) + \\ & 100 * \text{Norepinephrine dose } (\mu\text{g/kg/min}) \end{aligned}$$

Fig. 1 Vasotrope inotrope score calculation.²⁶

vasoplegic shock, 11 for surgical dye, and 4 for methemoglobinemia. Of the 18 administrations for vasoplegic shock, 16 were unique patients. The median age was 5 years (interquartile range [IQR]: 0.08, 13). The median weight was 18.8 kg (IQR: 3.9–40.1). Of the 16 patients, three (18.8%) identified as African American, three (18.8%) as white, one (6.3%) as Pacific Islander, one (6.3%) as Asian Indian, one (6.3%) as West Indian, and seven (44%) as unknown/other race (►Table 1).

Ten patients required MB following congenital cardiac repair (62.5%), and two additional patients with congenital cardiac defects received MB outside of repair (one for shock state with high-output chylothorax and one propofol infusion syndrome following scoliosis repair). One administration was given in the setting of myocarditis, one septic shock, one refractory shock—cardiac arrest, and one multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C; ►Table 1). Overall, 75% of our cohort had a congenital heart defect. The MIS-C patient was a 6 year old who presented with fever and

Table 1 Patient characteristics and demographics

Patient	Age	Sex	Race	Diagnosis
1	9	M	Other/Unknown	Complete AV canal defect; mitral valve replacement
2	18	M	African American	Refractory shock
3	2 wk	M	West Indian	HLHS
4	11	F	Other/Unknown	Chylothorax (AV canal defect)
5	2 mo	F	Other/Unknown	Interrupted aortic arch
6	34	M	White	AV canal defect
7	16	F	Other/Unknown	Liver failure secondary to septic shock
8	12	F	Pacific Islander	Myocarditis
9	16	M	Other/Unknown	Tetralogy of Fallot; pulmonary valve replacement
10	8 d	F	White	HLHS with critical aortic stenosis, aortic dissection; septic shock
11	5 mo	F	African American	Tetralogy of Fallot, tracheal rings
12	2 mo	M	Asian Indian	Tetralogy of Fallot with severe pulmonary stenosis
13	5	M	Other/Unknown	MIS-C
14	3 wk	M	African American	Tricuspid atresia
15	13	F	White	Scoliosis repair
16	9 d; 30 d	M	Other/Unknown	Total anomalous pulmonary venous return

Abbreviations: AV, atrioventricular; F, female; HLHS, hypoplastic left heart syndrome; M, male; MIS-C, multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children.

Note: Age is listed in years unless otherwise specified.

Table 2 Cardiac function before methylene blue administration

Patient	Normal function	LV dysfunction	RV dysfunction	Methylene blue dose	Time after bypass
1	X			1.5 mg/kg	4 d
2		X	X	1 mg/kg	N/A
3			X	1 mg/kg	8 d
4	X			1 mg/kg	N/A
5			X	1 mg/kg	16 d
6	X			2 mg/kg	Within 24 h
7			X	2 mg/kg	N/A
8 ^a				2 mg/kg	N/A
9		X		1.5 mg/kg	Within 24 h
10	X			1.5 mg/kg	Within 24 h
11	X			1 mg/kg	Within 24 h
12		X		1 mg/kg	9 days
13 ^b	X			1 mg/kg	N/A
14		X	X	1 mg/kg	Intraoperatively
15	X			1.37 mg/kg	N/A
16 ^c	X			1 mg/kg	8 d, 23 d

Abbreviations: LV, left ventricular; N/A, not applicable; RV, right ventricular.

^aEchocardiogram results not available.

^bReceived second dose 12 hours after initial administration.

^cReceived doses at separate intervals 3 weeks apart.

abdominal pain and was admitted to the ICU for hypotension. He required three vasoactive medications and received inotropic support for 4 days, with a total hospital stay of 8 days.

The median dose of MB was 1 mg/kg (range 1–2 mg/kg), and two patients required a second dose (one within 24 hours and one 3 weeks later). Fifteen patients had an echocardiogram done before administration (►Table 2). Eight of fifteen patients (53%) had documented normal function prior to administration, while seven (47%) had decreased function. Of the patients who underwent cardiac bypass, four out of ten administrations were within 24 hours following bypass.

No patients experienced hemolytic anemia or serotonin syndrome following MB administration. Three patients experienced increased bilirubin, but they were concurrently being treated for liver failure, systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), or shock. Six (37.5%) patients did not survive to hospital discharge. Seven (43.8%) patients required ECMO during their hospital stays, with two patients receiving MB before ECMO cannulation. The average ICU LOS was 66.5 days (IQR 8.0, 80.8; ►Table 3).

Four administrations of 18 resulted in a decrease in VIS at 1 hour, while eight had no change, and two patients were deceased within 1 hour. Eight administrations resulted in a decrease in VIS at 6 hours (►Table 4). Ten of eighteen administrations resulted in an MAP increase at 1 hour, and eight increased at 6 hours with median MAP change of 11 (IQR: 5.5–20.5) and 3.5 (IQR: 2.75–13.75) at 1 and 6 hours,

respectively. Of those with increased MAP, the median MAP increase at 1 hour was 17.5 mm Hg (IQR 11.75–21.75) and 13.5 mm Hg at 6 hours (IQR: 6.75–21.25). Five patients out of fourteen who survived had a decrease in MAP at 1 hour postadministration. Of these, one patient had an increase in VIS score at 1 hour, with the remaining having unchanged VIS score at 1 hour. Four of these five patients were postoperative cardiac patients, and one was a patient with MIS-C. At 6 hours, 6 out of 14 had a decrease in MAP with 3 patients having a corresponding increased VIS score. Eleven out of sixteen patients were postoperative cardiac patients, one was myocarditis and one was MIS-C. The remaining three patients were noncardiac: two with septic shock and one scoliosis repair with likely propofol infusion syndrome.

Gaies et al (2014) reported that a maximum vasoactive-inotropic score more than or equal to 20 predicts an increased likelihood of a poor composite clinical outcome.⁶ Of the 11 postoperative cardiac patients, seven had an initial VIS score \geq 20, three less than 20, and one not available prior to MB administration. Of our cohort, we had 10 cardiac patients who survived to 6 hours after administration. Of this cohort, of those with VIS \geq 20, we found a trend toward an increase in MAP with four of six increasing (66.7%), while the cohort with VIS < 20, had equivocal results.

Eight patients experienced an increase in systolic blood pressure (SBP) at both 1 and 6 hours. The median SBP change was 5 mm Hg at 1 hour (IQR: 8– 24.5) and 10.5 mm Hg at 6 hours (IQR: 5–19.5). Of those with increased SBP, the median SBP increase was 24 mm Hg (IQR: 11.75–37) and 16.5 mm Hg (IQR: 12.25–26.5) at 1 and 6 hours, respectively.

Table 3 Complications of methylene blue administration and associated mortality

Patient	Complications: Hyperbilirubinemia	Complications: Hemolytic anemia	Complications: Serotonin Syndrome	ICU LOS (days)	Need for ECMO	Mortality (Y/N)
1	Y ^a	N	N	53	N	N
2	N	N	N	4	Y	N
3	N	N	N	30	Y	Y
4	N	N	N	72	N	N
5	N	N	N	166	N	N
6	N	N	N	30	N	N
7	N	N	N	4	N	Y
8	Y ^b	N	N	26	Y ^c	N
9	N	N	N	20	N	N
10	Y ^d	N	N	9	Y ^e	Y
11	N	N	N	193	N	N
12	N	N	N	317	Y ^c	Y
13	N	N	N	4	N	N
14	N	N	N	24	Y ^e	Y
15	N	N	N	5	N	N
16 ^f	N	N	N	107	Y ^c	Y

Abbreviations: ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; ICU, intensive care unit; LOS, length of stay.

^aBilirubin increased from 2.7 to 3.31 mg/dL in setting of shock.

^bHyperbilirubinemia in setting of septic shock and liver failure.

^cHistory of ECMO, received MB after decannulation.

^dHyperbilirubinemia in setting of systemic inflammatory response syndrome and acute respiratory distress syndrome.

^ePatient received methylene blue following ECMO cannulation.

^fReceived doses at separate intervals 3 weeks apart.

Table 4 Vasoactive-inotrope score (VIS) before and after methylene blue administration

Patient	VIS pre administration	VIS 1 h postadministration	VIS% change at 1 h	VIS 6 h postadministration	VIS% change at 6 h
1	29.7	29.7	0	37.9	+27.6
2	53	62	+17.0	59	+11.3
3	9.5	7	-26.3	3	-68.4
4	26	26	0	26	0
5	12	12	0	5	-58.3
6	28.3	27	-4.6	26	-8.1
7	33	Deceased	N/A	Deceased	N/A
8	38.3	38.3	0	38.3	0
9	31.3	11.6	-62.9	21.6	-31.0
10	40	40	0	40	0
11	23	25	+8.7	21	-8.7
12	20	18	-10.0	8	-60
13 (first admin)	5	5	0	9	+80.0
13 (second admin)	10	10	0	13	+30.0
14	X ^a	10	N/A	Deceased	
15	5	7	+40.0	3	-40.0
16 (first admin)	8	8	0	4	-50.0
16 (second admin)	25	26	+4.0	37	+48.0

Abbreviations: admin, administration; N/A, not applicable.

^aX = Value not available.

Table 5 Mean arterial pressure (MAP) pre- and postmethylene blue administration

Patient	MAP preadministration	MAP 1 h postadministration	MAP % change at 1 h	MAP 6 h postadministration	MAP % change at 6 h
1	54	45	-16.7	50	-7.4
2	50	120	+140.0	97	+94.0
3	47	48	+2.1	45	-4.2
4	108	96	-11.1	81	-25.0
5	37	48	+29.7	71	+91.9
6	69	89	+29.0	82	+18.8
7	61	Deceased	N/A	Deceased	N/A
8	X ^a	X ^a	N/A	X ^a	N/A
9	44	65	+47.7	61	+38.6
10	33	96	+190.9	40	+21.2
11	52	50	-3.8	66	+26.9
12	50	65	+30.0	56	+12.0
13 (first admin)	90	79	-12.2	56	-37.8
13 (second admin)	57	79	+38.6	55	-3.5
14	X ^a	47	N/A	Deceased	N/A
15	81	95	+17.2	82	+1.2
16 (second admin)	41	32	-22.0	38	-7.3
16 (second admin)	53	57	+7.6	X ^a	N/A

Abbreviations: admin, administration; N/A, not applicable.

^aX = Value not available.

After 1 hour, six patients had a decrease in the heart rate (33.3%), and after 6 hours, 10 patients had a decrease in the heart rate (55.6%).

When stratifying by infant <1 year of age and children >1 year of age, the infants had a median MAP change of +4 mm Hg (IQR: 0.5–13) at 1 hour and +6.5 mm Hg (IQR: 0–12.25) at 6 hours. Those above 1 year had a median MAP change of +17 mm Hg (IQR: 9.5–21.25) at 6 hours, and a median change in MAP of -0.5 mm Hg (IQR: 9.75–1) at 6 hours (► **Table 5**).

Of those with decreased function on echocardiogram prior to administration, five of five had an increase in MAP at 1 hour, with a median change of 15 mm Hg (IQR: 11–21). Four of five had an increase in MAP at 6 hours, with a median change of +17 mm Hg (IQR: 6–34). Of those with normal function, five out of eight and four out of eight had increased MAP at 1 and 6 hours, respectively. The median change in MAP in those with preserved function on echocardiogram was 1 mm Hg (IQR: 9–18.5) at 1 hour and -2 mm Hg at 6 hours (IQR: 4–7).

Discussion

MB has been reported for the management of catecholamine-resistant shock in adults. Studies regarding its use for this indication in pediatrics are lacking with only case reports and small case series published in the literature. It is crucial to further explore its use to understand its efficacy, safety, and utility in pediatrics.

We describe a cohort which includes 16 pediatric patients, including four neonates, in the United States with refractory shock who received MB without any major side effects or complications. MB has been used in children with vasoplegia following cardiopulmonary bypass,^{7–9} and Mehaffey et al have reported the use of MB in adult patients with vasoplegia after cardiopulmonary bypass with decreased operative mortality with early use.¹⁰ In our cohort, 12 patients had a prior history of congenital cardiac disease (75%), 11 received MB following cardiectomy (69%), and 4 received it within 24 hours postoperatively (22%). The 12th patient was admitted for postoperative management following scoliosis repair and had an incidental history of Tetralogy of Fallot. MB has also been used in septic shock in a couple of pediatric patients in Chile and the United States.^{9,11} In our cohort, two patients received MB for septic shock. Retrospective studies in the adult population have reported mixed results on efficacy.^{10,12,13}

In our cohort, the VIS score improved in 4 out of 18 administrations at 1 hour and 8 out of 18 administrations at 6 hours. Abdelazim et al reported a similar decrease in norepinephrine infusion need for children who received MB for vasoplegia following cardiopulmonary bypass although the time of measurement after administration was not specified.⁸

After 6 hours, 10 patients had a decrease in the heart rate (55.6%), similar to Hassan et al, who reported a significant decrease in the heart rate after MB infusion. Eight patients

experienced an increase in SBP at both 1 and 6 hours. To our knowledge, no other pediatric MB studies with multiple patients have reported effects on SBP.

Of those who experienced an increase in MAP, the median MAP increase was 17.5 mm Hg at 1 hour (IQR: 11.75–21.75) and 13.5 mm Hg at 6 hours (IQR: 6.75–21.25). Hassan et al have reported similar results with a mean increase of 16 mm Hg in MAP at 1-hour post-administration in children with vasoplegia following bypass.⁷ While 5 out of 16 had a decrease in MAP after 1 hour and 6 out of 16 at 6 hours, two had improved VIS at 6 hours.

When Scheffer et al restricted subjects to less than 1 year, however, a larger increase in MAP was suggested, although without statistical significance.⁹ Our cohort of patients had a larger increase in MAP 1 hour postadministration for patients who were older than 1 year of age but had a decrease in median MAP at 6 hours. Infants, on the contrary, had an increase in MAP with a median increase of 6.5 mm Hg. Driscoll et al had similar results with an increase in average blood pressure 5 hours following MB administration when used in refractory neonatal hypotension in septic shock.¹⁴

The overall mortality of our cohort was 6 out of 16 (37.5%). Of the eight patients with an improved VIS score at 6 hours, the mortality rate was 3 out of 8 (37.5%). Of the eight patients with an improved MAP at 6 hours, the mortality rate was 2 out of 8 (25%). There were six patients who had both an improved MAP and VIS score at 6 hours, of whom five survived to discharge (83.3%). Conclusions regarding the effect of MB on mortality are limited given the small sample size.

As MB is not routinely used in pediatrics, dosing has not been standardized. The five pediatric studies that have described MB dosing report ranges from 1 to 2 mg/kg/dose.^{7,8,11,13,14} In the adult population, the literature has shown a range of 0.5 to 4 mg/kg/dose with continuous dosing of 0.25 to 2 mg/kg/h.^{15–17} Our cohort of patients received bolus dosing with a range of 1 to 2 mg/kg/dose and median of 1 mg/kg/dose. No patients in our cohort received a continuous infusion of MB.

Commonly reported side effects of MB use include hyperbilirubinemia,¹⁸ serotonin syndrome,¹⁹ bluish discoloration of the skin and urine,^{16,20,21} and hemolytic anemia in neonates.^{22,23} Additionally, there is a risk of hemolysis and paradoxical methemoglobinemia in those with glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency.²⁴ A case report described an ex-35-week patient exposed to MB in utero for amniocentesis who subsequently developed hyperbilirubinemia requiring phototherapy and double-volume exchange transfusion.¹⁸ In case reports of neonates with hemolytic anemia following MB, a need for multiple blood transfusions has been noted, with Howell–Jolly bodies found on peripheral smear.^{22,23} No patients in our cohort experienced serotonin syndrome, and no neonates suffered from hemolytic anemia. However, it is difficult to comment on serotonin syndrome in our cohort. Out of the 18 administrations in our cohort, patients were pharmaceutically paralyzed in 10 of these administrations. In those who were paralyzed, there was no hyperthermia or diaphoresis. There was no inducible

clonus or hypertonia, but this is difficult to assess in the setting of neuromuscular blockade. Only three patients had elevated bilirubin levels, all in the setting of liver failure, sepsis, and SIRS. Our experience demonstrates the use of MB without any major adverse effects in critically ill pediatric patients, adding to the safety profile of this rescue therapy. Both septic shock patients in our study, however, did not survive to discharge, prohibiting conclusions regarding the safety or efficacy of MB in septic shock.

Vasoplegia after cardiopulmonary bypass has 30 to 50% mortality,²⁵ while refractory septic shock in children has greater than 50% mortality.⁵ Similarly, in our cohort of high-acuity patients, seven patients (46.7%) required ECMO support during their hospital stay. Of these, six did not survive to hospital discharge (85.7%). Two patients received MB before cannulation; two patients received it following cannulation, and three required MB following decannulation. Patient 16 received MB while cannulated on ECMO, and then several weeks later once decannulated for a separate episode of decompensation with hypotension. Insufficient data are available to determine whether MB affected the level of ECMO support.

Given our study's small sample size, heterogeneous population, and retrospective study design, it is difficult to draw strong conclusions regarding the effectiveness of MB. Nevertheless, this experience adds to the growing literature illustrating that MB could be safely considered as rescue therapy in critically ill pediatric patients without major side effects. Further prospective studies are needed to further elucidate the indications, optimal dosing, and efficacy of MB, and effect on ECMO use.

Conclusion

MB could be safely considered as a rescue therapy in catecholamine-resistant shock in pediatrics.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

- Ginimuge PR, Jyothi SD. Methylene blue: revisited. *J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol* 2010;26(04):517–520
- Pasin L, Umbrello M, Greco T, et al. Methylene blue as a vasopressor: a meta-analysis of randomised trials. *Crit Care Resusc* 2013; 15(01):42–48
- Zhang X, Gao Y, Pan P, Wang Y, Li W, Yu X. [Methylene blue in the treatment of vasodilatory shock: a meta-analysis]. *Zhonghua Wei Zhong Bing Ji Jiu Yi Xue* 2017;29(11):982–987
- Otero Luna AV, Johnson R, Funaro M, Canarie MF, Pierce RW. Methylene blue for refractory shock in children: a systematic review and survey practice analysis. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2020; 21(06):e378–e386
- Morin L, Ray S, Wilson C, et al; ESPNIC Refractory Septic Shock Definition Taskforce the Infection Systemic Inflammation Sepsis section of ESPNIC. Refractory septic shock in children: a European Society of Paediatric and Neonatal Intensive Care definition. *Intensive Care Med* 2016;42(12):1948–1957
- Gaies MG, Jeffries HE, Niebler RA, et al. Vasoactive-inotropic score is associated with outcome after infant cardiac surgery: an

- analysis from the Pediatric Cardiac Critical Care Consortium and Virtual PICU System Registries. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2014;15(06):529–537
- 7 Hassan G, Salem Y, Labib H, Elmidany A. Methylene blue for the management of pediatric patients with vasoplegic syndrome. Original Article. *Egypt J Cardiothorac Anesth* 2014;8(02):66–74
 - 8 Abdelazim R, Salah D, Labib HA, El Midany AA. Methylene blue compared to norepinephrine in the management of vasoplegic syndrome in pediatric patients after cardiopulmonary bypass: a randomized controlled study. *Egypt J Anaesth* 2016;32(03):269–275
 - 9 Scheffer AL, Willyerd FA, Murk AL, et al. Methylene blue treatment of pediatric patients in the cardiovascular intensive care unit. *Southwest J Pulm Crit Care* 2021;23(01):8–17
 - 10 Mehaffey JH, Johnston LE, Hawkins RB, et al. Methylene blue for vasoplegic syndrome after cardiac operation: early administration improves survival. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2017;104(01):36–41
 - 11 Oberpaur B, Donoso A, Claveria C, et al. Azul de metileno en niños con hipotensión refractaria por choque séptico. *Rev Chil Pediatr* 1997;68(05):205–209
 - 12 Weiner MM, Lin HM, Danforth D, Rao S, Hosseinian L, Fischer GW. Methylene blue is associated with poor outcomes in vasoplegic shock. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2013;27(06):1233–1238
 - 13 Evora PR, Roselino CH, Schiaveto PM. Methylene blue in anaphylactic shock. *Ann Emerg Med* 1997;30(02):240
 - 14 Driscoll W, Thurin S, Carrion V, Steinhorn RH, Morin FC III. Effect of methylene blue on refractory neonatal hypotension. *J Pediatr* 1996;129(06):904–908
 - 15 Puntillo F, Giglio M, Pasqualucci A, Brienza N, Paladini A, Varrassi G. Vasopressor-sparing action of methylene blue in severe sepsis and shock: a narrative review. *Adv Ther* 2020;37(09):3692–3706
 - 16 Memis D, Karamanlioglu B, Yuksel M, Gemlik I, Pamukcu Z. The influence of methylene blue infusion on cytokine levels during severe sepsis. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2002;30(06):755–762
 - 17 Weingartner R, Oliveira E, Oliveira ES, et al. Blockade of the action of nitric oxide in human septic shock increases systemic vascular resistance and has detrimental effects on pulmonary function after a short infusion of methylene blue. *Braz J Med Biol Res* 1999;32(12):1505–1513
 - 18 George M. Methylene-blue-induced hyperbilirubinemia and phototoxicity in a neonate. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)* 2000;39(11):659–661
 - 19 Chan BS, Becker T, Chiew AL, et al. Vasoplegic shock treated with methylene blue complicated by severe serotonin syndrome. *J Med Toxicol* 2018;14(01):100–103
 - 20 Kirov MY, Evgenov OV, Evgenov NV, et al. Infusion of methylene blue in human septic shock: a pilot, randomized, controlled study. *Crit Care Med* 2001;29(10):1860–1867
 - 21 Brown G, Frankl D, Phang T. Continuous infusion of methylene blue for septic shock. *Postgrad Med J* 1996;72(852):612–614
 - 22 Sills MR, Zinkham WH. Methylene blue-induced Heinz body hemolytic anemia. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 1994;148(03):306–310
 - 23 Vanhinsbergh L, Uthaya S, Bain BJ. Methylene blue-induced Heinz body hemolytic anemia in a premature neonate. *Am J Hematol* 2018;93(05):716–717
 - 24 Sikka P, Bindra VK, Kapoor S, Jain V, Saxena KK. Blue cures blue but be cautious. *J Pharm Bioallied Sci* 2011;3(04):543–545
 - 25 Datt V, Wadhwani R, Sharma V, Virmani S, Minhas HS, Malik S. Vasoplegic syndrome after cardiovascular surgery: a review of pathophysiology and outcome-oriented therapeutic management. *J Card Surg* 2021;36(10):3749–3760
 - 26 McIntosh AM, Tong S, Deakynne SJ, Davidson JA, Scott HF. Validation of the vasoactive-inotropic score in pediatric sepsis. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2017;18(08):750–757