Development and Validation of a Questionnaire for the Assessment of Pelvic Floor Disorders and Their Risk Factors During Pregnancy and Post Partum
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Results Study participants had a median age of 31 (19–46) years. 63% had spontaneous vaginal deliveries, 15% operative vaginal deliveries and 22% were delivered by caesarean section. Content validity: Missing answers never exceeded 4%. Construct validity: The questionnaire distinguished significantly between women who reported bothersome symptoms and those who did not. Reliability: Cronbach’s alpha values exceeded 0.7 for bladder, bowel and support function, and 0.65 for sexual function. The test-retest analysis showed moderate to almost complete concordance. The intraclass coefficients for domain scores (between 0.732 and 0.818) were in acceptable to optimal range. Reactivity: The questionnaire was able to track changes significantly with good effect size for each domain. Risk factors for pelvic floor symptoms included familial predisposition, maternal age over 35 years, BMI above 25, nicotine abuse, subjective inability to voluntarily contract the pelvic floor musculature and postpartum wound pain.

Conclusion This pelvic floor questionnaire proved to be valid, reliable and reactive for the assessment of pelvic floor disorders, their risk factors, incidence and impact on quality of life during pregnancy and post partum. The questionnaire can be utilised to assess the course of symptoms and treatment effects using a scoring system.
Introduction

Pelvic floor disorders such as urinary incontinence and genital prolapse often initially have little impact on quality of life and are temporarily tolerated, being regarded as normal for a particular phase of life e.g. during pregnancy and the immediate postpartum period. If they persist or progress, however, they can affect women’s hygiene and social well-being significantly [1–3]. Despite the generally high prevalence of urinary incontinence and genital prolapse [4, 5] counselling on pelvic floor function is mostly not part of routine practice in the German health system. Pregnancy, childbirth and the immediate postpartum period, where the demands on the pelvic floor and the incidence of pelvic floor trauma are particularly high, would offer an opportunity for such counselling.

In Germany no systematic analysis of pelvic floor symptoms and possible predisposing factors in pregnancy and postpartum has been published to date. An analysis of this kind would require a validated assessment tool that is able to measure what it was intended to measure in a reliable and valid fashion and that is able to differentiate between different patient or control groups [6]. According to the recommendations of the International Consultation on Incontinence (ICI) [6] psychometric testing of a questionnaire includes testing its reliability, validity and reactivity.

Validated pelvic floor questionnaires allow the assessment of pelvic floor symptoms, their frequency and severity, their course over time and how they impact on quality of life [3, 4]. The aim of this study was to design and validate a novel pelvic floor questionnaire specifically for pregnancy and the postpartum period. A further aim was to develop a risk domain for the assessment of risk factors for pelvic floor disorders in pregnancy and peri-/postpartum. Since emotional appraisal of the birth experience and postnatal depression may influence patient answers [7, 8] the questionnaire also covered these aspects.

Methods

Questionnaire design

The questionnaire was based on the validated German language version of the Australian Pelvic Floor Questionnaire [9–11] with four domains of pelvic floor function: bladder, bowel, support and sexual function. Additional domains for risk factors and the course of childbirth were entirely newly developed. The childbirth domain, which was completed by study participants as an extra module 6 weeks post partum, included questions on the course of the delivery, emotional appraisal of the birth and associated postpartum pain.

In order to adapt the questionnaire to younger women questions on manual reduction of prolapse for micturition and defecation were removed. Questions on soiling, as an addition to anal incontinence questions, as well as questions on awareness of bladder and rectum filling were newly included as were questions on the impact on quality of life, degree of incontinence (amount) and the duration of symptoms. The layout was optimised to improve general clarity and interpretability.

Validity

In order to test content validity explorative interviews were conducted with midwives, urologynaecologists and physiotherapists to assess questionnaire design and question formulation. Women from the fields of communication science and psychology without urologynaecological training were also interviewed. During a pre-test the questionnaire was repeatedly adapted to meet the language and cognitive requirements of interviewed women. The upper limit for missing answers was set at 4% per question since higher percentages imply incomprehensible questions [12].

Construct validity was tested to ensure that the questionnaire can significantly discriminate between women with and without pelvic floor symptoms [12]. Here the domain scores for women with and without significant subjective suffering were compared and tested for the differentiating change of 1 score point (“minimal important difference”), a value previously confirmed in validation studies [11]. Bothersomeness was analysed with respect to bladder, bowel, prolapse and sexual symptoms using the question: “How much do your bladder/bowel/prolapse/sexual symptoms bother you?” and the following choice of answers: “Not applicable – I do not have symptoms”, “not at all”, “a little”, “quite a lot” and “very much”. The answer “a little” was already regarded as bothersomeness and the variable dichotomised accordingly.

Reliability

The reliability of results on the basis of symptom severity rather than measurement error was tested using internal consistency and a test-retest analysis. The internal consistency – the strength of association of individual factors in each domain to one another – was tested using Cronbach’s alpha [12, 13].

The test-retest reliability to determine the questionnaire’s reproducibility was conducted in the 3rd trimester as part of the pretesting. In view of the rapid physical changes that occur during pregnancy a much shorter interval to repeat questioning was applied in contrast to the recommended interval of two weeks [12, 14]. The degree of concordance/agreement was measured with Cohen’s kappa [15]. In addition, the absolute agreement between the test-retest results of different individuals was tested with the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) [16, 17].
Reactivity “sensitivity to change”

“Distribution-based” methods were used to test the questionnaire’s sensitivity to changes following the birth and one year postpartum. For this, effect size (mean raw score change/standard deviation of baseline score) and standardized response mean (mean raw score change/standard deviation of change) were calculated [13, 18].

Study participants and questionnaire implementation

Recruitment occurred from antenatal clinics and antenatal classes in Berlin. Included were nulliparous pregnant women aged 18 years and over, with as yet uncomplicated pregnancy. Insufficient German language proficiency, diabetes mellitus, neurological disorders, fetal anomalies and other diseases with the potential to adversely affect questionnaire validation compliance were exclusion criteria. The calculation of power and sample size were based on the effect size estimated at validation of the “German pelvic floor questionnaire”. With a power of 80% and α = 0.05 a score change of 1 in a domain (minimal important clinical difference) can be significantly detected from a sample size of n = 50 [11].

▶ Fig. 1 provides a graphic representation of the study flow including questionnaire development, pretesting, included women and missing data. Study participants completed the questionnaire initially in the third trimester of pregnancy, then at 6 weeks and one year post partum; for the latter, participants received the questionnaire by e mail. Questionnaire distribution and all interviews were undertaken by the lead author (MM).

Risk factors and comorbidity

The clinical course of pelvic floor symptoms, comorbidity and the influences of risk factors were analysed with the Mann-Whitney U test, cross-tables, a binary logistic regression and a multivariate regression for independence.

Results

233 women in the 3rd trimester of pregnancy were initially recruited. Median age was 31 (19–46) years. 148/233 (64%) were available for interview at 6 weeks post partum. Of the 148, 63% (94/148) had a spontaneous vaginal delivery, 15% (22/148) an operative vaginal delivery and 22% (32/148) a caesarean section. 120/148 (62%) women completed the questionnaire at one year postpartum online or by mail. Overall 109 women completed the questionnaire at all three scheduled time points. ▶ Fig. 1 shows the study course. Most women who dropped out could either not be contacted or did not complete the questionnaire. There was no significant difference in reported pelvic floor symptoms (first questionnaire) between women who continued to participate and those who dropped out (prevalence of urinary incontinence 46 vs. 51%, anal incontinence 24 and 24%, prolapse symptoms 30 vs. 21%, sexual dysfunction 69 vs. 64%), nor was there a difference in median age (31 years) or BMI (26 vs. 27 kg/m² in the third trimester).

▶ Fig. 2 summarises the prevalence of selected typical pelvic floor symptoms in the four domains over the course of the study. 49% of women reported urinary incontinence in the third trimester, mainly stress incontinence or mixed incontinence (45%). Urinary incontinence in general declined to 36% in the immediate postpartum period due to resolution of stress incontinence. More than half of women had no urinary incontinence at one year postpartum.

▶ Fig. 1 Study process and recruitment.
Internal consistency reliability

Cronbach’s alpha values for the domains of the pelvic floor questionnaire were in a good to very good range (Table 1). Since values for Cronbach’s alpha can be low when there are fewer than 10 items in a domain, averages for inter-item correlation were calculated in addition to assess homogeneity in the sexual function domain. At 0.24 and 0.20 for the interview time points during pregnancy and post partum, the values are considered “very good” (from 0.2 to 0.4) [6]. Cronbach’s alpha for the childbirth domain was 0.64 with an inter-item correlation average for fewer than 10 items of 0.29.

Test-retest reliability

Testing of the questionnaire’s measurement stability was undertaken in the pretest phase with 47 women who had completed the questionnaire in the third trimester of pregnancy. Table 2 shows Cohen’s kappa values as an agreement coefficient and the ICC with 95% confidence intervals indicating the lowest and highest values of the respective items, as absolute agreement and as an overall value for each functional domain. Values were between 0.43 (moderate agreement) and 0.96 (almost complete agreement). In the bladder domain the ICC was between 0.709 and 0.951, in the bowel domain between 0.797 and 0.982, in the pelvic support domain between 0.658 and 0.883 and in the sexual domain from 0.644 to 0.793, all in an acceptable range.

Construct validity

The questionnaire discriminated significantly (p < 0.05) between women with and without bothersome symptoms, both pre- and postpartum (Table 3). For women who reported little to great bother in the four pelvic floor domains, the symptom scores for bladder, bowel and prolapse domains were one score point significantly higher than women without bothersomeness, which corresponds to the previously established minimal important difference [11].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Internal consistency reliability. Cronbach’s alpha values of the different pelvic floor domains for pregnancy and postpartum questionnaires.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Domain</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowel function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual function</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Test-retest reliability. Cohen’s kappa values and intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) with 95% confidence intervals (CI).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Domain/question</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Individual questions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowel function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial predisposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic floor contraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perineal pain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prevalence of pelvic floor disorders

Fig. 2 Prevalence (%) of pelvic floor disorders at the different interview time points.
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Content validity

The rate of missing answers did not exceed 4% for any of the questions in the final questionnaire.

Reactivity and scoring system

Table 4 shows the means of the domain scores and score changes, the effect size and standardised response mean. The questionnaire was found to be reactive to changes during pregnancy and the postpartum period, especially for bladder function, with statistically significant score changes (p < 0.01).

Risk factors

In the univariate analysis familial predisposition, age over 35 years, BMI over 25, nicotine abuse and subjective inability to voluntarily contract the pelvic floor muscles at various interview time points were identified as risk factors. During pregnancy, participating in sports activities more than three times a week was found to be a protective factor against anal incontinence. Backache, lifting heavy objects, sitting for long periods and chronic cough were not confirmed as risk factors.

Obstetric parameters such as mode of delivery, birth-related trauma, episiotomy, perineal sutures, wound pain, birth weight, breastfeeding and participation in postnatal classes were analysed for association with pelvic floor disorders. The risk of developing urinary incontinence 6 weeks after a vaginal birth was threefold higher than after caesarean section (OR 2.7 [1.0–7.1]); at one year post partum however the risk was no longer increased. The risk of prolapse symptoms after vaginal birth increased from OR 5.1 (1.1–23.2) at six weeks postpartum to OR 8.2 (1.9–36.2) at
one year. Results of the multivariate logistic regression are summarised in Table 5.

Discussion

We have demonstrated that the self-administered pelvic floor questionnaire, which was modified especially for women during pregnancy and the postpartum period, is reliable, valid and reactive. The questionnaire developed here is an adapted version of the German pelvic floor questionnaire, which has previously been validated in urogynaecological patients [9, 11]. The German pelvic floor questionnaire was chosen since it is self-administered and, using 42 questions with separate scales, covers all domains of pelvic floor dysfunction including bladder, bowel, pelvic support and sexual function and also assesses symptom severity, incidence and the impact on quality of life. There are no other validated questionnaires in the German language covering all areas of pelvic floor dysfunction or that have been psychometrically tested for pregnancy and childbirth [12, 13]. The risk factor domains were not analysed since an association between the very different factors (voluntary pelvic floor contraction, familial predisposition, nicotine abuse) was not expected. Also, reliability testing was not performed for the objective risk factors BMI and age.

When a questionnaire is used in a longitudinal study the stability of the survey tool is a significant factor. Insufficient stability can result in misinterpretation of symptom fluctuation due to progress and remission, symptom severity and frequency. Due to a strong expected influence of pregnancy and childbirth the short-term test-retest reliability was tested at an interview interval of one day rather than 14 days. Agreement coefficients for the test-retest survey were between 0.43−0.96, indicating moderate to almost complete concordance. Intraclass correlation coefficients for the domain scores were over 0.7, in the range “acceptable to excellent”.

Construct validity was confirmed by significant differences between domain symptom scores for women with and without bothersome symptoms: Among women who reported bothersomeness, the symptom score for bladder function was 1.0−1.1 points (pregnancy and postpartum) significantly higher than for women who did not report bothersomeness, for bowel function 3.3 and for sexual function 0.7 to 1.4 points higher. These score differences correspond to the minimal important differences for the individual domains [11]. The assessment of bothersomeness appears to be a good approach to detect women in need of treatment rather than achieving a certain symptom score.

Construct validity and internal consistency of the questionnaire was 0.69, which is in the range of recommendable survey tools [12, 13]. The risk factor domains were not analysed since an association between the very different factors (voluntary pelvic floor contraction, familial predisposition, nicotine abuse) was not expected. Also, reliability testing was not performed for the objective risk factors BMI and age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interview time point</th>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Regression coefficient B</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>OR (CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urinary incontinence</td>
<td>3rd trimester</td>
<td>PFC inability</td>
<td>1.554</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fam. predisposition</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BMI 25+</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 weeks post partum</td>
<td>BMI 25+</td>
<td>1.111</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 year post partum</td>
<td>Fam. predisposition</td>
<td>1.207</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age 35+</td>
<td>1.323</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anal incontinence</td>
<td>3rd trimester</td>
<td>PFC inability</td>
<td>1.554</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fam. predisposition</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sport &gt; 3×/week</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 year post partum</td>
<td>Age 35+</td>
<td>1.029</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolapse</td>
<td>6 weeks post partum</td>
<td>Nicotine abuse</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 year post partum</td>
<td>Fam. predisposition</td>
<td>1.181</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual dysfunction</td>
<td>3rd trimester</td>
<td>PFC inability</td>
<td>1.125</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nicotine abuse</td>
<td>0.920</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 Significant relevant risk factors for pelvic floor disorders: Odds ratios in the multivariate analysis (OR = odds ratio, 95% CI; PFC = pelvic floor contraction).
three or twelve months post partum. Which questionnaire should be used thereafter, the questionnaire validated for community women or the one for urogynaecological patients, remains open for discussion.

We did not test convergent validity as there is no German questionnaire available for comparison. Convergent validity was demonstrated for the German pelvic floor questionnaire for urogynaecological patients showing that pelvic floor symptoms were associated with the clinical evaluation of prolapse according to IUGA/ICS standards, as well as with stress test and urodynamic results [9]. A response rate of over 96% for the questions confirms content validity. In addition there was prior symptom identification, testing of the questionnaire as a construct and sound item formulation by means of expert interviews.

The questionnaire’s reactivity (sensitivity to changes) was shown in all domains from the 3rd trimester interview compared to all further interview time points (6 weeks and 1 year post partum). The prospectively recorded longitudinal data on pelvic floor symptoms show a clinical course resembling the course of symptoms in international studies [19–21]. Score changes were most marked for bladder function due to both a high incidence in pregnancy and symptom resolution post partum. The non-participation rate was relatively high (25% six weeks and 38% one year post partum), though such rates are not uncommon for questionnaire surveys [22, 23]. An analysis of demographic data and pelvic floor symptoms at the initial interview during pregnancy revealed no significant differences between women who continued to participate and those who did not. This could be seen as a weakness of the study, although the questionnaire’s actual validity is not dependent on this factor.

Risk factors that have been established for postmenopausal women also showed significant association with the occurrence of pelvic floor disorders in pregnancy and post partum. These include familial predisposition, age over 35 years at childbirth, BMI of 25 and over and nicotine abuse. In addition, the subjective inability to voluntarily contract the pelvic floor muscles was also associated with pelvic floor problems. Participation in sport more than three times per week was found to be a protective factor. These factors are partly modifiable, and herein lies the importance of the risk module: Women can receive targeted counselling concerning their increased risk, and should they be overweight, smokers, or be unable to voluntarily tighten their pelvic floor muscles, appropriate measures can be taken. Although women are responsible for their own lifestyles, gynaecologists should prescribe specific pelvic floor rehabilitation when appropriate. Interestingly, vaginal birth only increased the risk of urinary incontinence at the 6 weeks postpartum interview and not at one year. No birth-related parameters were identified as risk factors in our study collective apart from postpartum wound pain, whose meaning in our setting is unclear. It would seem that one year after childbirth it is rather the emotional appraisal of the perinatal pain experienced that is reflected.

The relatively homogenous study population is a strength of this study, however it can also be regarded as a weakness, since only primiparous women completed the questionnaire. Primiparae were chosen in order to document symptom changes and possible risk factors. The postpartum module of the final questionnaire does however address previous births, making it applicable to all women. A further strength of the study is that the whole study collective was interviewed by one person, meaning that differences in questioning technique e.g. during initial questionnaire development did not play a role.

Although only 50 women were required for reliability testing significantly more women were recruited since a further aim of the study was the identification of risk factors, and a high dropout rate was taken into account.

Conclusion

A validated questionnaire is now available for documenting the risk factors and symptoms of pelvic floor disorders, their incidence, severity and impact on quality of life during pregnancy and in the postpartum period. The scoring system allows progress evaluation. The influence of risk factors is analysed and summarised in a newly developed risk domain.
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