Introduction

Surgical resection of the esophagus is usually indicated for cancer, Barrett’s esophagus or benign conditions including stricture, diseases such as achalasia, paraesophageal hernia, and other complex esophageal disorders after endoscopic failure. More than 85% of such procedures are dedicated to resection of esophageal tumors. Esophageal resection has justifiably earned a reputation for high mortality, morbidity (ranging from 30% – 60% and 8% – 23%, respectively), and poor quality of life [1]. Surgical approaches are based upon transabdominal and/or thoracic accesses, using either thoracotomy or thoracoscopy, with development of minimally invasive techniques such as Lewis Santy surgery [2]. That procedure has significant morbidity, often associated with the occurrence of anastomotic leakages (9% – 12% of cases) [3, 4].

Our team demonstrated previously that it was possible to perform safe and effective endoscopic gastrojejunal anastomosis using luminal apposition stent (LAS) [5]. Thus, we used this self-expandable fully-covered stent (Cold Axios, Boston Scienti-
fic, USA) specifically designed with both flanges diameter twice that of the “waist” section, in order to propose a new type of endoluminal anastomosis [6].

Our hypothesis was that a hybrid approach combining minimally invasive esophagectomy and endoluminal insertion of LAS would be less morbid and less cumbersome for creating a safe and functional esophagogastric anastomosis. That could add another a minimally invasive procedure to the surgeons’ and endoscopists’ armamentarium.

The aim of our study was to document the technical feasibility and safety of achieving an esophagogastric anastomosis using the hybrid approach (endoscopic and surgical) with LAS method. Feasibility and efficacy were assessed using technical parameters such as procedure time, technical difficulty, and the occurrence of intraoperative adverse events. Safety was assessed by monitoring the animals postoperatively for any clinical signs of intra-abdominal infection and sepsis. Anastomotic integrity and patency were evaluated grossly during necropsy as well as histologically.

Materials and methods

Study design

This was a prospective experimental survival animal study conducted at the Center for Surgical Education and Research (CERC) of the Faculty of Medicine, Aix-Marseille University. Institutional review board approval was achieved prior to conducting the study.

The experimental protocol consisted of performing a surgical and endoscopic procedure under general anesthesia on 8 consecutive healthy, young domestic female Yorkshire “minipigs,” aged of 3 to 4 months and weighing between 28 and 34 kg. The first 2 pigs allowed for setting up the different steps in the procedure and its technical feasibility, and the 6 other animals were included in the current study. Euthanasia and necropsy were performed following a 3-week survival period.

Preoperative animal management

All animals were kept fasting for 24 hours prior to intervention. Anesthesia was induced with intramuscular injection of 120 mg of azaperone (Stresnil) coupled with 70 mg of ketamine, followed by endotracheal intubation. Then, anesthesia was maintained by continuous intravenous infusion of propofol at a rate of 100 mg per hour (Diprivan 2%), and fentanyl was given at a dose of 100 mcg per hour for analgesia, with monitoring of heart rate and oxygen saturation via pulse oximetry. The animals also received intraoperatively 1 g of cefotaxime as antibiotic prophylaxis. All animals were placed in supine position for the procedure, and abdominal disinfection was carried out using betadine before initiating the laparotomy.

Endoscopic and surgical equipment

For the surgical part, we used standard sterilized surgical equipment including linear staplers and a bipolar coagulation device. Two gastrointestinal surgeons performed this step. The endoscopic part was performed by 3 interventional endoscopists using a double-channel video gastroscope (3.8- and 2.8-mm channel diameters; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) for creating the gastroesophageal anastomosis. The electrosurgical unit used was the Olympus ESG-100 (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The sterilized endoscopy equipment that helped completing the procedure (forceps, needle, knife, guide wire, catheter and stents) was disposable. The luminal apposition stent (Cold Axios, Boston Scientific, USA) is fully covered, 10 mm long between the flanges and 15 mm in diameter, braided nitinol, with bilateral anchor flanges of 24 mm in diameter. The stent was deployed through a 10.5 Fr catheter.

Procedural steps

The surgical step started with a midline laparotomy by carrying out a cold knife incision and an opening plane by plane, using electrocautery. Abdominal dissection was conducted allowing release of the stomach and mobilization of the gastroesophageal junction. Then, if the diaphragmatic hiatus was not large enough for ascent of the stomach, it was widened by sectioning of the diaphragm pillar. First the surgeon resected the lower esophagus 2 to 3 cm above the cardia using a linear stapler (▶Fig. 1). Resection of the upper pole of the stomach was then completed and tunneling of the stomach’s body was performed using a linear stapler.

After introduction of the scope and cleansing of the esophagus, the esophagus was opened just above the surgical suture line using endoscopy. That access was realized initially by making a plane by plane full-thickness esophageal incision with a Hook Knife (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). However, for safety reasons (pleural wounds with the knife), the knife was then replaced by a puncture with a 19 G needle (Cook medical
in Limerick, Ireland) (Fig. 2). That enabled insertion of a guide wire (Jagwire Stiff, Boston Scientific Corporation, Natick, USA) and hydraulic dilation up to 18mm (15 – 18mm balloon CRE, Boston Scientific Corporation, Natick, USA). As a result, passage of the endoscope through the esophageal wall and access to the mediastinum and abdominal cavity were enabled.

Once the stomach was observed, it was grasped using forceps introduced through one of the operating channels (Twin Grasper; OVESCO AG, Tuebingen, Germany) so as to stabilize through the end of the procedure. Access to the gastric lumen at the upper edge of the gastric suture line was achieved using a 10 Fr cystostome (Cook Medical, Limerick, Ireland) and applying a Pulse Cut Fast current (80W) (Fig. 3). Once the stomach cavity was reached, the tip of the cystostome was removed, a superstiff guidewire was advanced instead, and the cystostome was exchanged with the catheter of the Axios stent.

When the delivery catheter was in the gastric position, we then expanded the distal frange of the stent into the gastric cavity (Fig. 4). Then, using both forceps and stent catheter (with the stent half deployed), we pulled the stomach backwards the esophagus through the mediastinum by gently removing the scope. This phase was also facilitated by help from the surgeons in the abdominal cavity (Fig. 5). Once the correct position in the esophageal lumen was achieved, with the stomach in contact with the esophagus in the mediastinum, we released the Twin Grasper forceps and completed stent placement by deploying its proximal flange (Fig. 6). Correct positioning of the stent was confirmed by the presence of gastric juice in the stent (Fig. 7). Finally, the abdominal incision was manually sutured at the end of the procedure.
Follow-up and post-operative protocol

The first 2 animals were euthanized at the end of the procedure to assess the technical feasibility of the procedure and check for correct stent position. Six other animals were clinically observed for a period of 3 weeks.

After recovery from anesthesia, the animals were kept fasting with access to water until the third postoperative day. Daily antibiotic prophylaxis (cefotaxim 1 g) was administered intramuscularly for 7 days. Institution of nutrition was performed gradually: a quarter of the usual food ration was given for 48 hours, then half portions for 48 hours before normal feeding from postoperative Days 3 to 21. Clinical follow-up was performed twice daily (monitoring of overall behavior, pain, food intake, fever, and bowel and urinary function).

Euthanasia and histological assessment

The euthanasia was realized after 21 survival days by lethal injection of potassium chloride to animals under general anesthesia. In the case of death during the 3-week period, necropsies were performed to determine whether signs of anastomotic leakage or peritonitis were present. Before euthanasia, upper endoscopy was performed to check for correct position, permeability and crossing of the stent and to remove the stent in order to analyze the anastomosis. The peritoneal cavity and mediastinum were then inspected after laparotomy and sternotomy for signs of peritonitis, and all organs were macroscopically examined for signs of infection, scar formation, and necrosis. Finally the entire anastomosis was removed for histopathological examination.

The anastomotic healing pattern was assessed by histological analysis. To assess its integrity, the anastomotic site was also histologically observed for presence of scar formation, necrosis, inflammation and fistula.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). The small size of the study did not allow for comparative tests in univariate analysis by Fisher’s exact test or the use of a chi-squared distribution to search for predictive factors of death. Unpaired Student’s t tests were used to determine the significance of differences between means. A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Analyses were performed using the InStat 3.1a software (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA).

Results

Data related to technical and clinical outcomes from esophagogastric anastomosis are summarized in Table 1.

Primary endpoint: feasibility of the procedure

Esophagogastric anastomosis with LAS was successfully performed in 8 animals, with excellent reproducibility. Mean operative time for the entire procedure was 98 minutes (range 64 – 150), with mean endoscopic time of 46 minutes (range 24 – 70). Half the endoscopic times were 45 minutes or less. We also observed a learning curve effect with a gradual improvement in endoscopic and surgical times.

In 2 of 8 procedures, it was necessary to use two Axios stents, due to avulsion of the distal flange when pulling back the stomach into the mediastinum. This was subsequently avoided in the last procedures by tunneling the stomach, enlarging the diaphragmatic hiatus, facilitating (surgeons) the gastric ascension and not pulling back only with the catheter of the stent.

Intraoperative adverse events

During anesthesia, 1 animal developed a significant hypoxemia (SatO² < 90%). That animal died during the recovery phase immediately after surgery following major hypoxemia and bradycardia. That event was imputable to major pneumothorax due to a wound of the pleura, which was related to opening of the
esophagus with the Hook-Knife. After that event and for safety reasons, we decided to change our access technique and open the esophagus by performing a needle puncture followed by 18-mm hydraulic balloon dilation over a guide wire.

Postoperative outcome and follow-up

In total, 5 animals were completely followed. Two animals died prematurely without evidence of anastomotic dysfunction during postmortem endoscopy. For the first animal, the death occurred 48 hours after surgery. During its necropsy, no complications of the anastomosis or peritonitis were found. The death was attributed to pulmonary embolism, in a context of a long operating time (114 minutes). The second death occurred on the 10th postoperative day due to gastric ischemia. Necropsy revealed peritonitis with necrosis of the stomach. It was attributed to a possible volvulus of the stomach during the surgical phase leading to a gastric ischemia.

Regarding the animals that survived, no signs of peritonitis or sepsis were observed during the 3-week follow-up period. Refeeding was progressive from the third postoperative day. However, a normal daily intake ration could not be reached due to a reduction in gastric volume and probable section of the vagus nerve during the diaphragm hiatus enlargement. Weight loss over 3 weeks was evaluated at 5.7 kg on average (range 4.7 – 6.4 kg). In 2 animals, acid reflux (presence of foam in the mouth) likely was related to the vagus nerve lesion and to loss of the lower esophagus sphincter.

---

**Table 1** Summary of technical and clinical outcomes from esophagogastric anastomosis with luminal apposition stent (LAS) by hybrid approach in 8 pigs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal no.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anesthesia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen rate &lt; 90%</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tachycardia &gt; 120/min</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total time, minutes</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surgical procedure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total time, minutes</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size esophagus resection, cm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size stomach resection, cm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endoscopic procedure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total time, minutes</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height line esophagus staples, cm</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Follow-up</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight before procedure, kg</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight after procedure, kg</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusion</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis, postoperative day</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death, postoperative day</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes (2)</td>
<td>Yes (0)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes (10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Autopsy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peritonitis signs</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anastomotic leakage</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local inflammation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right position stent</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscopic stent removability</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results at 3 weeks

Endoscopic evaluation was realized for the 3 animals surviving at the end of follow-up. Correct positioning of the stent and its crossing with the double working channel endoscope (without dilatation) were confirmed in all those cases. There was no gastric or esophageal endoscopic lesion related to the stent. The removal rate for stents was 100%, using a rat tooth forceps, and all were removed without any difficulty. Endoscopic evaluation after stent withdrawal confirmed the excellent quality of the anastomoses. In only 1 animal, a fistula was identified, but it was covered by the proximal flange on the line of the esophageal surgical sutures (Fig. 8) and did not have any clinical consequences.

At necropsy, 1 animal presented with a small abscess on the abdominal suture. In 2 other animals, 2 small abscesses were found on the surgical gastric suture. No cases of fistula or abscess on the endoscopic anastomosis or peritonitis were identified.

Histological analysis

In all the animals that survived, esophagogastric anastomosis sites were available for histological examination. At the level of the anastomotic esophagogastric junction, we observed complete fusion of mucosal and muscular layers with mild to moderately acute and chronic inflammatory changes. These included highly polymorphic granulomatous tissue with infiltration of lymphocytes, rare plasma cells, macrophages, and neutrophils. The submucosa was infiltrated with collagen, fibroblasts and new blood vessels.

Discussion

Surgical treatment of the esophagus is indicated for benign or malignant diseases of the esophagus, and it remains the primary treatment for local regional esophageal cancer, although its role in superficial (T1a) cancers and squamous cell cancer is evolving. But this treatment has high rates of mortality and morbidity [1]. The main morbidity is related to anastomotic fistula or disunion due to the difficulty of intrathoracic anastomosis. The mortality rate in cases of fistula reaches 36% and the hospital stay up to 46 days [7, 8].

Several techniques are described and usually performed in expert centers. These resections and reconstructions remain technically challenging operations. Complications from these surgeries are demonstrated as directly linked to the number of resections performed by individual surgeons or individual hospital systems. A recent meta-analysis of the volume-outcomes relationship reviewing 27,843 esophageal operations in 9 separate clinical series published since 2000 demonstrated an overall in-hospital mortality rate between 2.8% and 8.5% [9]. Surgical teams have expended significant time and effort in trying to demonstrate that one surgical approach has significant advantages over another one. The Ivor Lewis esophagectomy was first described as a completely minimally invasive esophagectomy in 1999. That technique associates transabdominal and transthoracic approaches, in which the anastomosis is being performed within the thoracic cavity. Randomized controlled trials and meta-analysis suggested short-term benefits of minimally invasive esophagectomy comparing to open procedures [10–12]. Despite the dramatically increased application of minimally invasive and hybrid esophageal resection approaches, the procedures are still associated with a non-negligible rate of anastomotic leakages, or parietal and anesthetic complications [13–15]. The most common complication is the anastomotic leak or fistula, with a rate ranging from 8% to 12% [3, 4]. This outcome is comparable to the open procedure anastomotic leak rate, which is 9.1% [16]. Furthermore, the mortality rate in case of fistula reaches 36% and hospital stay up to 46 days [7, 8, 12]. Multiple risk factors have been identified for such complications, including heart failure, hypertension and renal insufficiency, which are common comorbidities in the targeted population [13, 14]. Despite the importance of surgical esophageal resection, there is no strong consensus in the literature on the best technique for performing esophagogastric anastomosis [17–21].

Thus, a promising alternative for the execution of minimally invasive anastomosis may be Natural Orifice Transluminal Endoscopic Surgery (NOTES), because it allows mediastinal or peritoneal access for performing surgical procedures without parietal incisions. In 2005 were performed the first NOTES procedures, currently performed on humans, techniques based on a transluminal access for transgastric access. The first endoscopic experimental approach for pure NOTES gastrojejunal anastomosis was recently developed and tested in porcine non-survival and survival experiments [22]. In that regard, we have recently explored the concept of creating an experimental “pure” NOTES gastrojejunal anastomosis in different pig models to achieve a NOTES transgastric bypass [23, 24]. These pro-
procedures using dedicated endoscopic techniques and devices were feasible but result in a huge time-consuming procedure and associated high complication rates. We recently decided to improve our technique of gastrojejunal anastomosis using the concept of the tissue-apposing stent [5]. This stent (Cold Axios, Boston Scientific, USA) is self-expandable and fully covered and designed with 2 collars at the ends, which allow good apposition tissue together and thus a new approach to endoluminal anastomosis [6]. It can be used across the desired anatomic site to hold tissue layers in apposition. Our results in pure NOTES gastrojejunal anastomosis using this device have shown a constant technical success with a significant reduced mean operative time [5]. No leakage of the anastomosis was observed during follow-up of the 6 specimens. A first human case to pure NOTES gastrojejunal anastomosis was performed successfully without complication [25].

In our series, we confirmed the technical feasibility of achieving esophagogastric anastomosis using a hybrid approach associating surgical and endoscopic techniques (NOTES) in order to improve the anastomotic suture and reduce postoperative complications. Indeed, we did not identify any suture dehiscence, and the only fistula was located on the suture line, but was covered by the stent and not clinically relevant. The endoscopic technique is safe in its realization, including a pretty quick learning curve. The only complication attributable to the endoscopic part was the opening of the esophagus with the Hook-knife because that gesture was blinded, and was responsible for a pleural wound in 1 case. However, the technique has been demonstrated safer by using a needle puncture and hydraulic dilatation over a wire. With this adaptation, no further endoscopic complications were described in our study.

Conclusion

In conclusion, endoscopic achievement of an esophagogastric anastomosis with LAS is feasible and reproducible, without anastomotic leakage of the endoscopic suture, as part of a hybrid approach (surgical and endoscopic). Of course these preliminary results need to be confirmed in another study with improvement in perioperative management. Furthermore, risk of anastomotic stricture still needs to assessed, thus we intend to lead a study to confirm our preliminary results and address this question.
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