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ABSTRACT

Background MRI is attractive for the guiding and monitoring of interventional procedures due to its high intrinsic soft tissue contrast and the possibility to measure physiologic parameters like flow and cardiac function.

Method The current status of interventional MRI for the clinical routine was analyzed.

Results The effort needed for the development of MR-safe monitoring systems and instruments initially resulted in the application of interventional MRI only for procedures that could not be performed by other means. Accordingly, biopsy of lesions in the breast, which are not detectable by other modalities, has been performed under MRI guidance for decades. Currently, biopsies of the prostate under MRI guidance are established in a similar fashion. At many sites blind biopsy has already been replaced by MR-guided biopsy or at least by the fusion of MR images with ultrasound. Cardiovascular...
Interventions are performed at several centers for ablation as a treatment for atrial fibrillation.

**Conclusion** Intervventional MRI has been established in the clinical routine for a variety of indications. Broader application can be expected in the clinical routine in the future owing to the multiple advantages compared to other techniques.

**Key points**
- Due to the significant technical effort, MR-guided interventions are only recommended in the long term for regions in which MRI either facilitates or greatly improves the intervention.
- Breast biopsy of otherwise undetectable target lesions has long been established in the clinical routine. Prostate biopsy is currently being introduced in the clinical routine for similar reasons. Other methods such as MR-guided focused ultrasound for the treatment of uterine fibroids or tumor ablation of metastases represent alternative methods and are offered in many places.
- Endovascular MR-guided interventions offer advantages for a number of indications and have already been clinically established for the treatment of children with congenital heart defects and for atrial ablation at individual centers. Greater application can be expected in the future.

**Citation format**

---

**Introduction**

MRI has an intrinsically high soft-tissue contrast and can be used to measure physiological parameters, such as blood flow (flow rate and flow volume), diffusion, temperature, and movement. Since its introduction into the clinical routine in the 1980s, it has also been used for guiding and monitoring interventions. However, in contrast to CT and ultrasound, the use of MRI for guiding interventions requires significant technical effort. Due to the disruptive effect of the strong main magnetic field and the alternating electromagnetic fields, the monitoring of patients during an intervention by measuring ECG signals, oxygen saturation, or blood pressure requires special equipment. These monitoring systems must not interfere with the MRI scan and must be MR-safe (according to DIN EN 62 570:2010–05). The list of requirements regarding monitoring equipment provides an indication of the development effort, with suitable monitoring equipment representing only one of the components necessary for MR-guided interventions.

In addition to MRI safety, all catheters and instruments must be adapted to this modality. To ensure MRI safety, metal must be largely avoided since, depending on the length and orientation to the main magnetic field, it can heat up and consequently even result in burns. Achieving rigidity and torsion stability of catheters or guide wires without the use of metal for reinforcement represents a technical challenge. In the case of needles, breaking resistance is one of the more difficult properties to achieve for MR-safe designs. Moreover, instruments must be able to be effectively visualized without adjacent structures being superimposed by artifacts. The tip must be able to be identified and definitively differentiated from the shaft since MRI as a cross-sectional imaging method typically uses individual slices even for guiding interventions and does not use projections as in fluoroscopy and angiography. As a result, it is necessary to be able to clearly identify whether the instrument tip is located in the slice or the shaft is simply passing through the slice. Flexible instruments must have labeling on the shaft to show whether a distal or proximal shaft segment is visualized in a particular slice. To obtain CE certification for interventional instruments, they must be MR-safe as well as visible under fluoroscopy. This is required to ensure that it is possible to switch to fluoroscopy in the event of a necessary termination of MRI guidance so that catheters or instruments can at least be removed from the patient. As a result of these requirements, the development and production of catheters, guide wires and other instruments are challenging. In addition to image guidance via MRI, interventions can also be guided via other modalities such as ultrasound, fluoroscopy, and CT. In the case of these other modalities, interventionists can select from a range of available
instruments and the intervention environment is uncomplicated with respect to patient monitoring.

For this reason, the transfer of interventional MRI from applications in animal experiments to the clinical routine was slow to happen. Yet, MRI allows target visualization and intervention guidance that cannot be achieved with any other method. Good examples of this include biopsy of breast lesions that cannot be visualized via ultrasound or mammography and laser ablation of liver tumors with MRI monitoring of the region with a successful increase in temperature. Interventional MRI has long been included in the clinical routine for these indications. Similar advantages for many other procedures that can only be carried out via interventional MRI justify the significant development effort. For the inclusion of the method in the clinical routine, it must be determined whether cost coverage can be ensured. All aspects of DRG reimbursement must be included in such an analysis. This overview describes interventional MRI methods and current fields of indication. Finally, new development prospects are identified and new fields of application are presented.

**Interstitial free-hand interventions on open scanners**

An open MRI scanner design improves access to the patient, thus allowing the use of real-time sequences for fluoroscopy techniques. [2, 3]. As a result, dedicated stereotactic and robotic systems are not necessary and the intervention can be conducted using the freehand technique (Fig. 1).

Interactive programs for the quick setting and planning of different planes are available. A display screen on the scanner allows monitoring of the intervention. The intervention sequence is set up so that it visualizes the target lesion as a single slice with optimum contrast and acquires a complete image every second. Performing the intervention in two spatial directions allows safe and easy alignment of the needle since deviations from one plane to the other can be detected and immediately corrected [4].

The diagnostic and therapeutic spectrum is broad and includes a wide range of interstitial percutaneous interventions: The method is currently used for periradicular therapy (PRT), intraabdominal drain placement including nephrostomy, diagnostic biopsy of unclear lesions primarily of the breast and liver and for local ablation of malignant hepatic and renal masses [5–9]. For this type of intervention via MRI, there is also a method analogous to CT in which the movement of the instruments is not monitored interactively but rather planned movements of the instruments are monitored in a targeted manner via a few individual slices [10–12]. This method can be used for interstitial interventions involving targets that are difficult to reach, but the effort to reach these is higher than when using direct control of instrument movements. The lack of radiation exposure is a decisive advantage compared to CT-guided puncture, particularly for younger patients. MR-guided intervention must be compared to ultrasound regarding effort and benefits. Even the smallest lesions can be clearly identified due to the high soft-tissue contrast of MR imaging. For punctures of the breast it has long been established to use MR-guided biopsy in lesions that cannot be visualized with other modalities [12]. This advantage resulted in the extensive clinical application of this method. Multiplanar slice orientation also allows puncture of target regions in a subdiaphragmatic location that are difficult to access. This is a major advantage, but is also possible with CT or ultrasound in skilled hands.

The limited number of open MRI units and the insufficient support from the industry are current disadvantages. MR-compatible intervention instruments are not broadly available. The technical principles of MR-guided intervention are not self-explanatory and must be communicated accordingly. Therefore, the further development and broader application of the method require close cooperation with the industry and the further development of suitable MRI equipment. To achieve this, clear indications are needed.
as the breast biopsy example shows. Most MR-guided interventions are currently not performed on open scanners as described in the section "Navigation".

Prostate biopsy

The standard method for diagnosing prostate cancer is transrectal ultrasound-guided (TRUS) multi-core biopsy of the prostate. In this case the term "ultrasound-guided" relates to the goal of biopsying certain areas of the prostate as an organ. There is usually not a concrete target that is visible on ultrasound. In the case of repeatedly negative TRUS results, saturation biopsy, in which the organ is systematically punctured in all regions to detect prostate cancer, is being increasingly performed. The detection rate for prostate cancer for TRUS is between 22% and 56% depending on patient selection with up to 40% of cancers diagnosed in this way having a Gleason score of ≤ 6, i.e., being less biologically/prognostically relevant according to current interpretation. Prostate cancer in the anterior stroma, the anterior transition zone, and the lateral peripheral zone, as well as apical and basal prostate cancer cannot be diagnosed with TRUS [13–15]. In contrast, multiparametric MRI of the prostate provides a number of decisive advantages: It allows the detection of biologically significant prostate cancer (Gleason > 6) with significantly higher reliability than TRUS with published sensitivity rates of up to 94%. With increased understanding of the overdiagnosis and overtreatment of prostate cancers with minimal biological relevance, a further significant advantage of prostate MRI is that it has significantly lower sensitivity for biologically (prognostically) irrelevant cancers compared to the conventional approach. Finally, it should at least be mentioned that MRI is noninvasive [16–22].

The use of MRI to diagnose increasing PSA values after a negative TRUS result has since been included in the guidelines. Due to the above described sensitivity for biologically relevant prostate cancers, the inclusion of MRI in the guidelines for active surveillance is currently being discussed. Following some recently published larger studies, a fundamental shift in paradigm regarding the diagnosis of prostate cancer can be expected and MRI will be used instead of TRUS for primary diagnosis in patients with a rising PSA to increase the diagnosis of biologically relevant prostate cancers as well as to reduce the number of biologically irrelevant prostate cancers detected per blind biopsy [23].

If suspicious lesions are to be detected on mpMRI (multiparametric MRI), histological confirmation is necessary for further treatment planning. This confirmation can and should be performed via MR-guided prostate biopsy. Due to the low availability and high costs, "MRI/US fusion biopsy" is promoted instead of direct MR-guided prostate biopsy. In this method, the image information provided by MRI is electronically superimposed on a corresponding ultrasound image so that the biopsy can be performed with ultrasound guidance. Corresponding systems are becoming increasingly available. Urology specialists have also developed a method called "cognitive fusion" in which MRI findings are simply committed to memory for subsequent biopsy under ultrasound guidance. According to the literature, this approach does yield higher accuracy than conventional TRUS. The extent to which the accuracy of direct MR-guided biopsy is actually reached currently cannot be determined because of the lack of comparison studies.

In MR-guided biopsy of the prostate, a previously defined individual target lesion is biopsied in a targeted manner. Therefore, the procedure is significantly less traumatic compared to conventional blind biopsy. Transrectal or transperineal MR-guided biopsy can be performed. In MR-guided prostate biopsy, detection rates (PPV: positive predictive value) of 70% were published [22]. This corresponds with our own unpublished study with a detection rate of 71% in 134 transrectal MR-guided biopsies.

With appropriate preparation and interventional experience, MR-guided core biopsies of the prostate can be performed in 30–40 minutes. Depending on the access, patients lie in a prone or lithotomy position in the magnets. Prophylactic antibiotic therapy and intestinal voiding on the day of the intervention are recommended as in multi-core biopsy. Planning is usually based on T2-weighted sequences on at least two planes or in 3D. Biopsy coordinates can be determined interactively or using planning software. In the case of a transrectal approach, 2–4 samples are extracted via an 18G core biopsy needle following insertion of a guide along the path of puncture. Multiple suspicious areas can be biopsied in one session. In contrast to ultrasound-guided “fusion biopsy”, it is possible to visualize the needle position in relation to the location of the prostate cancer and to verify correct positioning in the lesion (Fig. 2). The targeted approach in MR-guided biopsy considerably reduces the number of biopsies needed to diagnose prostate cancer. Side effects (particularly prostatitis) are significantly rarer. In addition, all locations in the prostate are accessible. Oncologically speaking, the approach is significantly more productive since it ensures the diagnosis of biologically relevant prostate cancer requiring treatment and avoids the diagnosis of biologically irrelevant prostate cancer not requiring treatment [23, 24].

The combination of multiparametric MRI and MR-guided biopsy of the prostate currently represents the gold standard in the detection and confirmation of prostate cancer. In comparison to the diagnostic standard, i.e., multi-core biopsy, mpMRI has a number of advantages. Only findings that are visible on MRI should be biopsied under MRI guidance. This should be viewed as the standard. Other methods should only be used if the accuracy of the biopsy will not be negatively affected. Given the acceptable intervention times in MR-guided prostate biopsy, the extent to which such “indirect MR-guided” biopsies are actually more cost-effective is unclear.

MR-guided breast interventions

Since their introduction in the clinical routine at the end of the 1990s, MR-guided breast interventions have been increasingly performed in Western industrialized nations as part of treatment [25, 26]. This was facilitated by equipment development and is also the result of the broad use of MR mammography as a sensitive imaging method in the detection of breast cancer. When using modern high-end equipment, the sensitivity of MR mammography for detecting malignancy is 71–100% and the speci-
city is 81 – 99% [26]. The fact that an increasing number of BI-RADS 4/5 findings in MR mammography do not have a radiomorphological or sonographic correlate requires a histological workup via percutaneous biopsy or excisional biopsy [27, 28]. In principle, two different types of MR-guided breast intervention are used: preoperative marking of a lesion and percutaneous, usually vacuum-assisted biopsy.

The indication for performing MR-guided breast intervention should be determined on an interdisciplinary basis in a senology conference. MR-guided breast biopsy is indicated in BI-RADS 4/5 findings suspicious for malignancy without a sonographic or radiomammographic correlate [27, 28, 39]. Common indications are the preoperative workup of ipsilateral or contralateral MR-mammographic findings in cases of cancer already confirmed by histology, diagnostic confirmation of lesions suspicious for malignancy as part of the early detection program for hereditary breast cancer, expanded preventive care and follow-up of incidental breast cancer and the differentiation between post-therapeutic scars and tumor recurrence.

MR-guided wire marking is typically indicated in the case of lesions on MR-mammography that are not accessible for MR-guided biopsy due to their position near the nipple, skin or thoracic wall so that excisional biopsy must be performed.

The average intervention time is 30 to 40 minutes. The complication rate is up to 7% in vacuum-assisted biopsy and up to 5% in MR-guided wire marking with hematomas not requiring treatment, bleeding from the puncture channel, and pain at the puncture site being the most common complications [29, 30].

Finally the correlation between the histological results and the MR-mammographic findings must be determined in an interdisciplinary senology conference.

MR-guided interventions should be performed on high-field MRI systems at 1.5 T or 3 T. The biopsy unit includes a dedicated breast coil, a compression system, and a localization system. Dedicated MR-compatible biopsy systems from different manufacturers are available for MR-guided vacuum-assisted biopsy. The materials needed for MR-guided wire marking and clip marking are also available from various manufacturers.

The success rates are 96 – 100% for MR-guided vacuum-assisted biopsy and 91 – 100% for MR-guided wire marking [30 – 49]. The average rate of false-negative biopsies is 2% (between 0% and 13%) [36 – 38].

In summary, MR-guided breast interventions have been firmly established in the senological routine for approximately a decade and will be applied more broadly in the future following an increase in the use of MR mammography. MR-guided breast inter-

---

Fig. 2 MR-guided Biopsie in a 66-years old patient with increasing PSA (last 6.5 ng/ml) following multiple negative TRUS. A–C Diagnostic multiparametric MRI; Axial T2-TSE A, DWI (b = 1400 mm²/sec) B and ADC-MAP C demonstrate a highly suspicious region in the anterior stroma. MR-guided biopsy of this area D, E. Histology: prostate cancer, Gleason 4 + 4 = 8.
Interventions are medically indicated in all BI-RADS 4/5 findings on MR mammography that are relevant for treatment decisions and have no sonographic or radiomammographic correlate. Due to the significant requirements regarding equipment and experience, MR-guided breast interventions should be performed at designated centers.

**Instruments and new operating concepts for vascular interventions**

The possibility of performing vascular interventions under MR guidance is one of the oldest promises in MR imaging. After the initial introduction of promising approaches for interventions on the kidney [50], liver [51], and heart [52, 53], it took almost 8 years for a new generation of materials and MRI techniques to bring new possibilities. MR-guided vascular intervention is dependent on the availability of suitable catheters and guide wires. A further important component in the success of MR-guided vascular intervention is the MRI user interface for interventionalists.

At least two manufacturers are currently in the end phase of CE certification for MR-compatible guide wires at 1.5 T and 3 T. Other manufacturers are working on approval for diagnostic catheters primarily for 1.5 T environments. The issues regarding electrical and mechanical safety in the case of MRI and possible solutions are understood by the small companies presently in the market. The current development phase of this new field is characterized by fundamentally different approaches regarding materials selection. The selected materials result in different levels of guide wire stiffness and are currently still inferior to the materials typically used in DSA (digital subtraction angiography). However, the materials selection indicates dynamic development promising rapid progress. Larger arterial and venous vessels can be safely and gently probed with the currently available wires [54].

There are a number of approaches to the visualization of catheters and guides. The spectrum ranges from active tracking with individual active transmitter coils integrated in the catheter and discrete iron markers for passive visualization to wires and catheters doped over the entire length with iron particles.

Active tracking requires a small coil to be actively supplied with voltage over the length or at the tip of the catheter. The active coil generates a signal that can be locally resolved by the MRI unit. The information can be used to visualize the coil on an image or to center the scan plane at the position of the active catheter coil. The coil as well as the leads require space and change the mechanical properties of the catheter. Active tracking requires special software for the scanner. The coils make the catheter very expensive. Moreover, safety aspects must be taken into consideration. Continuous conductive connections cannot be used since they can result in uncontrollable heating with the risk of burns depending on their alignment with respect to the magnetic fields. There are various solutions to this problem such as the use of short segments connected by suitable elements (capacitors).

Passive tracking uses susceptibility artifacts caused by iron and other ferromagnetic and paramagnetic materials to mark the position of guide wires or catheters. The size and shape of susceptibility artifacts depend on the distribution and size of the particles used. The type and parameters of the imaging sequences also affect the size and shape of the generated artifacts. Passive tracking is simple from a technical standpoint. The major advantage is, for example, the ability to visualize the entire course of a guide wire due to materials introduced over a long stretch, thus providing an overview of the catheter-wire combination in MRI as in DSA.

The debate regarding the advantages of active vs. passive tracking currently being conducted in publications will eventually be settled. It will become increasingly clear that both tracking methods are needed for the clinical routine [55]. Passive techniques tend to be used for guide wires and very fine catheters while a combination of active and passive techniques are used for diagnostic and treatment catheters.

Active tracking requires cooperation between catheter manufacturers and MRI suppliers, which significantly limits the speed of development in this area. With respect to MRI, European manufacturers have become the leaders in innovation.

The user interface for interactive work on the scanner is still in need of significant development. The currently available solutions are based on the conventional operating philosophies of MRI and CT units. There is a lack of control via characteristic buttons, levers, and dedicated navigation knobs. Therefore, the interventionalist must rely on a second person to navigate the imaging plane. This slows the process and critical maneuvers are avoided for safety reasons since fast reactions are not possible. The limited spatial and temporal resolution of available real-time imaging contributes to this. However, there are positive developments: The development teams of MRI manufacturers are working on the concept of a control panel on the MRI examination table with controls borrowed from DSA. The fact that MRI cannot be cost-effectively used exclusively for interventions has also been recognized. Therefore, there is increased willingness to design the additional controls for interventions so that they can be easily removed from the MRI unit for diagnostic operation. New approaches in the sequencing technique allow high spatial and temporal resolutions [56] that can be combined with the total range of tissue contrasts in some cases [57].

This is the closest we’ve ever been to being able to use MR-guided vascular intervention in the clinical routine.

**Endovascular and cardiac interventions**

MR-guided endovascular and cardiac interventions were identified as a worthwhile goal soon after the introduction of MRI in the clinical routine due to the good soft-tissue contrast, the lack of radiation exposure, the ability to directly visualize target regions, and the functional parameters measurable with MRI such as blood flow and cardiac function. The development effort needed to manufacture MR-safe wires and catheters for MR-guided endovascular interventions and to adapt the operation of the unit accordingly is quite significant. The introduction of the method at a hospital is also associated with high costs. Moreover, angiography-guided endovascular interventions are well established and are comparatively cost-efficient. These impediments can only be overcome in the case of interventions that are not possible...
via angiography or for which MRI would have such significant advantages that the high expenditure would be justified. Interventions in children with congenital heart defects were initially included in this category [58–60] since these young patients require repeated interventions while they are growing so that it is important to use an imaging method without radiation exposure. Functional parameters such as ventricular ejection fraction and flow rates that can be measured by MRI can be taken into consideration for treatment decisions. Today, children with congenital heart defects typically enjoy a long life due to an optimized therapeutic strategy. While the child is growing, repeated, albeit simple, interventions are necessary in most cases (Fig. 3). This increases the necessity to reduce the radiation exposure due to diagnosis and interventions to a minimum. However, after initial studies first on animal models and later on patients that were able to show feasibility and advantages [1, 61, 62], the use of endovascular MRI for this indication has barely been pursued in recent years due to a lack of availability of instruments and the effort and cost of adapting currently available units to endovascular interventions. To still be able to profit from the advantages of MRI, MRI datasets are increasingly being superimposed on angiography images used for interventions [63, 64].

Further interesting application areas for endovascular interventions via interventional MRI include the local application of substances or cells such as chemoembolization or intramyocardial injection with the goal of tissue regeneration [65]. This is conditional upon the ability to directly visualize the target area. Feasibility studies in animal models have been performed for these indications (Fig. 4). However, a transfer to the clinical routine has not occurred again due to the lack of availability of suitable interventional catheters and guide wires.

The use of hybrid systems in the form of MRI scanners that are connected to angiography units so that the patient is examined on the same examination table for both units allows the use of MRI datasets acquired immediately prior to the intervention for planning and performing angiography-guided interventions as well as the continuation of MR-guided interventions under fluoroscopy guidance as a backup method if necessary [1, 58, 59]. Such systems allow interventional MRI to be further included in the clinical routine. In this context it is particularly advantageous that

Fig. 3 Dilatation of the pulmonary valve. A Swan Ganz balloon catheter, filled with CO₂ (arrows A–D) is advanced through the IVC A into the right atrium B and the right ventricle C into the main pulmonary trunc D. An MR safe guide-wire ist advanced through this catheter E and the catheter replaced with the balloon catheter for dilatation. This catheter is placed in the valve and inflated (asterisk) using contrast medium F.
the dyna-CT function of angiography systems can be used to update the co-registration with an MRI dataset. As a result, MR guidance can be “exported” from the MRI unit in that the MRI dataset is co-registered with a second imaging method and the MRI dataset is used for interventions performed with the second imaging modality. The use of a hybrid unit would make it possible to position the patient table in the MRI gantry again in order to check the intervention success and to continue or end the intervention depending on the result of this check.

MR-guided cardiac ablation

The number of cardiac catheter ablation procedures and the pathophysiological understanding of complex arrhythmias are increasing steadily [66]. Visualization of the underlying substrate is decisive for the treatment of ventricular tachycardia and atrial fibrillation. Due to the 2D nature of X-ray fluoroscopy, various 3D mapping systems using previously acquired 3D datasets from CT or MRI have been developed. However, these systems still have problems primarily with regard to the registration and fusion of datasets and therefore continue to require additional fluoroscopy [67].

In comparison, real-time MR imaging offers promising possibilities with respect to electrophysiological examination and ablation because

1. no ionizing radiation is used,
2. the intervention can be guided with a range of imaging information e.g. regarding fibrosis/necrosis/scars with late enhancement, post-therapeutically with edema-sensitive se-

![Fig. 4 Intramyocardial injection for application of substances or cells. A The catheter (arrow) has been introduced via a sheath in the groin and advanced through the abdominal aorta A into the thoracic aorta B. For further advancing the catheter through the aortic arch, slice position is adapted to the aortic arch C. The tip of the catheter must be guidable for reaching all positions within the left ventricle D, E. This can be achieved by different cables, comparable to the mechanism employed in endoscopes. If the injectate is mixed with contrast medium, successful injection into the myocardium is visible by increase of signal intensity in T1-weighted images (asterisk, F).]
quences (Fig. 5) and perspective with thermosensitive sequences,
3. anatomical and functional information (in 3D or 4D) can be acquired,
4. both the catheter (active or passive) and the ablated substrate can be visualized.

To date, MR-guided electrophysiological interventions have been largely limited to examinations and treatments in animal experiments. In recent years, however, initial simple electrophysiological studies [68] as well as ablation procedures [69, 70] have been performed, e.g. isthmus ablation of patients with atrial flutter under passive catheter guide [71] (Fig. 5). Grothoff et al. [67] successfully performed this procedure in patients on a 1.5 T MRI unit. A real-time SSFP sequence was used for imaging.

Especially in patients with ventricular tachycardia [72], MRI is the gold standard for substrate imaging and is accordingly being increasingly used. The presence of an implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) is still a contraindication to MRI. However, in the future such patients could benefit from the development of MR-conditional implants under certain conditions [73].

Despite current limitations, MRI techniques will continue to develop both for risk stratification via preprocedural imaging and for MR-guided catheter ablation due to the clinical demand and the good results achieved to date. The next step in the treatment of simple arrhythmias, such as atrial flutter, is a combination of passive and active methods for catheter tracking. The position is determined almost in real time, allowing the catheter to be tracked within a previously acquired 3D dataset showing the entire heart in high resolution without having to perform imaging again.

Navigation

Guides and navigation aids find broad application in image-guided interventions. They range from simple components for holding and introducing needles and manipulators for setting a certain trajectory to full navigation systems with visual feedback and typically include special application software [74] (Fig. 6). In contrast to ultrasound- and CT-guided methods, the strong basic magnetic field and the alternating electromagnetic fields place special requirements on components used in the MRI room. Among other things, this requires the use of special materials or does not allow the use of electromagnetic localization of the instrument.
In particular, systems for breast [75] and prostate interventions [76] that allow precise puncture on the basis of MRI images acquired during the intervention are widely used. After suitable registration of the target unit, the needle trajectory is calculated directly from a graphically marked MRI position in some systems [77]. In addition, there are special solutions for other organ regions, such as the brain [78], the spine, or the musculoskeletal system [79]. In contrast, the navigation of instruments in moving organs, such as the liver, is significantly more challenging. This challenge is currently met by concepts for open MRI units allowing advance of the needle under real-time image guidance as described above [4].

In addition to a number of internal developments, there is currently increased commercial involvement including the production of specialized components and tools as well as the development of corresponding software applications. In addition, some equipment manufacturers offer special interfaces that allow the user to interactively control imaging for visualizing and adjusting the needle [80].

Although assistance systems are often not an indispensable part of MR-guided interventions, they are a useful addition. The main benefit is the improved workflow due to more targeted intervention planning, expanded visualization and additional control options. Beginners should profit from this in particular, and lower variability can be expected among experienced users.

The results regarding the accuracy and time expenditure of the method are fundamentally positive. However, irrefutable statements regarding improved clinical results are generally difficult to make. The relatively high development costs in connection with the relatively low number of installed systems and MR-guided interventions at specialized centers hinder large multi-center studies, required for such statements. Moreover, additional use is currently not compensated. However, due to the price trend of high-performance computers and display systems and the growing clinical experience with such systems, broader application can be anticipated in the medium term.

Intraoperative use of interventional MRI

Neuronavigation on the basis of MRI datasets has long been a standard technique for complete neurosurgical resection of intracerebral tumors [81]. “Brain shift”, i.e., the change in the position of the brain after trepanation, the subsequent loss of CSF and the deformation of the tissue due to the resection of tumor segments, decreases the accuracy of neuronavigation. Intraoperative MRI can be used to generate current data for navigation [82] so that the tumor tissue can be removed as completely as possible and eloquent areas of the brain can be protected to the greatest extent possible [83]. In connection with neurosurgical instruments or with the fixed endoscope that is used in neurosurgery in some cases, the benefit can be further increased [84]. In neurosurgical interventions, interventional MRI facilitates the locating of target regions and the removal of tumors that is as complete as possible with the fewest possible side effects.

Conclusion and outlook

Interventional MRI is already established in the clinical routine for interstitial applications. Technical solutions have been created for almost every requirement but are often not commercially available despite their development maturity. A commercially available range of instruments, software and interfaces is the next necessary step in the introduction of these methods into the clinical routine. Hybrid systems in the form of a combination of MRI units and angiography units (with the option for rotational CT) can facilitate the incremental shift to MR-guided interventions.
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