Insufficient Angiogenesis: Cause of Abnormally Thin Endometrium in Subfertile Patients?
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ABSTRACT

Introduction This study investigated subfertile patients with abnormally thin endometrium after infertility treatment. As they had adequate serum concentrations of hormones, an endometrial factor for subfertility was suspected.

Methods To elucidate the cause of subfertility, endometrial biopsies were taken in each patient in the late proliferative and mid-secretory phases of one menstrual cycle. Endometrial biopsies from women with normal menstrual cycles and confirmed fertility who were undergoing hysterectomy for benign uterine disease were used as positive controls. The tissue samples were investigated for steroid hormone receptor expression and for the proliferation marker Ki-67. Immunohistochemistry was performed with antibodies against the marker molecules for endometrial receptivity – β3 integrin, VEGF, LIF, and CD56 (large granular lymphocytes, LGLs).

Results The steroid hormone receptors for estrogen (E2) and progesterone (P) were expressed normally (at the first biopsy) and were down-regulated (at the second biopsy) within the cycle. Strikingly, all of the marker molecules investigated showed negative or weak and inadequate expression in the mid-secretory phase. Numbers of LGLs remained as low as in the proliferative phase. In contrast, fertile patients were found to express these marker molecules distinctly in the mid-secretory phase.

Conclusions It may be hypothesized that a severe deficiency of these angiogenesis-related marker molecules leads to defective development of the endometrium, which remains thin. Deficient angiogenetic development may thus provide an explanation for the endometrial factor that causes infertility. Further investigations will need to focus on identifying the regulating factors that act between steroid receptor activation and the expression of these marker molecules.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Einleitung Diese Studie untersuchte subfertile Patientinnen mit einem abnorm dünnen Endometrium nach einer Infertilitätsbehandlung. Da ausreichende Hormonkonzentrationen im Blut dieser Frauen gemessen wurden, lag die Vermutung nahe, dass ein endometrialer Faktor für die verminderte Fertilität eine Rolle spielen könnte.

Methoden Um möglichen Ursachen für die verminderte Fertilität auf den Grund zu gehen, wurden bei jeder Patientin Biopsien des Endometriums in der späten Proliferationsphase sowie in der mittleren Sekretionsphase eines Menstruationszyklus durchgeführt. Endometriale Biopsien von fertilen Frau-

**Ergebnisse**

**Schlussfolgerungen**
Es wurde die Hypothese aufgestellt, dass die starke Defizienz dieser Markermoleküle, die in Bezug auf die Angiogenese stehen, zu einer ungenügenden Ausbildung des Endometriums führt, das dann dünn bleibt. Eine unzureichende angiogenetische Entwicklung mag daher der Grund für eine endometriale Insuffizienz sein, die zur Infertilität führt. Weitergehende Studien sind notwendig, um die Faktoren zu identifizieren, die zwischen der Steroidrezeptor-Aktivierung und der Expression dieser Markermolekül-Aktivierung und der Expression dieser Markermoleküle regulierend wirken.

---

**Introduction**

The human endometrium is an important and specialized structure in the reproductive system. The main purpose of this type of tissue is to allow the embryo to implant, through a complex process of embryomaternal cross-talk. Over the last few decades, investigations of endometrial tissue during the implantation window have been carried out in order to identify the key receptors, cytokines, immunocompetent cells, and protein patterns involved in the implantation process. Marker molecules for endometrial receptivity are thought to be involved in endometrial function and particularly in the regulation of implantation. These markers include receptors such as αvβ3 integrin [1,2] and the leptin receptor (OB-Rb) [3,4], and cytokines such as leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) [2,5] and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) [6–8].

The relevance of these molecules for the implantation process has been investigated in animal models. In mice, it has been shown that LIF and OB-Rb are key molecules for fertility. An absence of LIF or OB-Rb leads to sterility in female mice [9,10].

αvβ3 integrin is expressed in murine endometrium and blastocysts at the time of implantation. Carrying out a functional blockade of this integrin using specific neutralizing monoclonal antibodies against αv or β3 integrin subunits, Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD)-containing peptides, or the disintegrin echistatin was found to reduce the number of implantation sites in mice in comparison with controls receiving bovine serum albumin (BSA) [11]. An experimental mouse model of polycystic ovarian syndrome was found to have endometrium with an altered expression form of treatment, as the thickness of the endometrium independently affect pregnancy outcomes. The combined endometrial thickness and pattern of subfertile and infertile patients. A lack of endometrial αvβ3 integrin expression is associated with a poor prognosis for in vitro fertilization (IVF) [15]. It has been shown that women with stronger LIF and weak claudin-4 immunoreactivity during the window of implantation are more likely to become pregnant in comparison with women with lower levels of the protein – suggesting the importance of LIF in IVF [16].

LIF and gp130 influence the endometrial surface and regulate implantation at the human fetal-maternal interface [17]. DNA sequence changes have been found in the LIF genes of women with negative IVF outcome. LIF gene mutation may thus contribute to IVF failure [18].

Clinical observations have revealed an association with abnormally thin endometrium, detected on ultrasound, in a subgroup of subfertile patients. This thin endometrium is the only clinically apparent defect, and it may possibly suggest there is an endometrial factor that leads to infertility. An endometrial thickness of less than 7 mm is associated with a reduced pregnancy rate [19–21]. Several groups have reported a significantly higher average value for endometrial thickness measurements in conception cycles in comparison with nonconception cycles [22,23]. Other investigators have compared endometrial volumes with implantation and pregnancy rates. Patients with an endometrial volume < 2 mL were found to have significantly lower (p < 0.05) pregnancy and implantation rates in comparison with patients who had endometrial volumes of more than 2 mL. No pregnancies were achieved with an endometrial volume < 1 mL [24]. It has been shown that abnormal endometrial biopsies are obtained more frequently in infertile (43%) than in fertile women (9%), in spite of adequate progesterone levels [25–28]. The thickness and pattern (e.g., trilinear) of the endometrium independently affect pregnancy outcomes. The combined endometrial thickness and pattern was not predictive for the outcome of IVF when the endometrial thickness was < 7 mm or > 14 mm, while a triple-line pattern with a moderate endometrial thickness appeared to be associated with a good clinical outcome [29]. Priming of thin endometria with 150 IU human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) during the proliferative phase in estrogen-substituted cycles is a highly promising form of treatment, as the thickness of the endometrium im-
proves and receptivity also becomes normalized [30]. There is a higher incidence of pregnancy in IVF patients who have a thick endometrium ≥10 mm. According to Rinaldi et al., a thin endometrium is a prognostic indicator for female infertility in IVF patients [31]. Other research groups have not found that the endometrial thickness measured on ultrasound has any influence on pregnancy rates [32, 33].

Taken together, these literature findings suggest that a thin and less developed endometrium may be associated with a reduced pregnancy rate in women. In the present study, marker molecules for endometrial receptivity that are involved in angiogenesis were therefore investigated in this specific subgroup of subfertile patients.

Materials and Methods

Endometrial biopsies were obtained from 11 subfertile women (mean age 32.90 ± 3.03 years) in the late proliferative and mid-secretory phase of one cycle, without any hormonal treatment. These patients, who had not achieved pregnancy within 1 year of clinical investigation in an infertility center, were defined as subfertile. Their clinical examinations had not revealed any pathological parameters, with the exception of an endometrial thickness of less than 7 mm during several stimulated cycles. The estradiol and progesterone values in peripheral blood in all of these patients had been within the normal physiological range throughout the ovulatory cycles. These patients represent a subgroup of individuals with idiopathic infertility, in whom disturbed endometrial development is the only detectable abnormality. It was hypothesized that they might have an endometrial factor leading to infertility.

36 endometrial biopsies of the late proliferative to the mid-secretory phase from women with normal menstrual cycles and confirmed fertility (mean age 40.4 ± 4.7 years) who were undergoing hysterectomy for benign uterine diseases were investigated and used as positive controls. None of the women with confirmed fertility had received any hormonal treatments during the previous 3 months.

The following parameters were taken into account for analyzing the menstrual phase in endometrial biopsies from fertile patients (controls) and subfertile patients:
1. clinical reports;
2. histological phase [34]; and
3. assessment of serum hormone concentrations (progesterone, estradiol, luteinizing hormone, and follicle-stimulating hormone) on the day of the biopsy procedure.

All of the biopsies from fertile and subfertile patients were investigated immunohistochemically to detect progesterone receptors and estrogen receptors, as well as the proliferation marker Ki-67. This combination of clinical, serological, and immunohistological parameters provided optimal assessment of the phase of the endometrial cycle.

Approval for the study was obtained from the ethics committee in the Medical Faculty of the University of Aachen.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemical analysis was carried out on paraffin sections (4 µm) using a streptavidin-biotin peroxidase method, as described previously [3]. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by incubation in 0.3% hydrogen peroxide for 30 min. For the negative control, phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; Dulbecco) without Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺/1.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) replaced the primary antibody. In addition, rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG; Dako, Hamburg, Germany), goat IgG (Dianova, Hamburg, Germany), normal mouse IgG (Dianova, Hamburg, Germany), and mouse IgG 1 kappa (Dako, Hamburg, Germany) were used as controls at the same concentration as the primary antibody. All primary antibodies (Table 1) were applied overnight at 4°C. A Histostain-SP Kit (Zymed Laboratories Inc.) was used for monoclonal antibodies. Sections for polyclonal antibodies were incubated for 10 min at room temperature with normal swine serum (Dako; dilution 1:20 in PBS/1.5% BSA) prior to incubation with the first antibody. Subsequently, the sections were incubated with a biotinylated second antibody (multi-link, swine, anti-goat, rabbit, mouse; Dako) for 30 min at room temperature. The dilution for the second antibody was 1:150 in PBS/1.5% BSA. Incubation with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BTC41 (mouse)</td>
<td>β₁ integrin</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>TaKaRa/British Biotechnology; Biermann Diagnostica, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR-10A9</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>Immunotech, Hamburg, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER-1D5</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>Immunotech, Hamburg, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIB-1</td>
<td>Ki-67</td>
<td>1:60</td>
<td>Immunotech, Hamburg, Germany</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polyclonal antibodies (goat)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-human LIF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF (A20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ER, estrogen receptor; LIF, leukemia inhibitory factor; PR, progesterone receptor; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.
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streptavidin peroxidase conjugate (Dako) at a dilution of 1:333 in PBS/1.5% BSA followed for 10 min at room temperature. After each incubation step, the tissues were washed three times with PBS. Visualization of the specific antigen was achieved with peroxidase catalyzing the substrate and converting the chromogen aminoethyl carbazole (AEC; Zymed Laboratories Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA) into a red deposit.

Pretreatment for antigen retrieval was used for antibodies against Ki-67, estrogen receptors, and progesterone receptors. The slides were heated in a sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.4) by microwave (4 × 5 min, 600 W) after rehydration. The antibodies against VEGF and β3 integrin were pretreated with trypsin (Dako) for 15 min at room temperature. The antibody against LIF was used without any pretreatment of the tissue. The antibodies used are listed in ▶ Table 1.

Results

Histology

Histomorphological analysis of tissue from the subfertile patients showed endometrial transformation from the proliferative phase to the secretory phase. Development of the endometrial glands into the secretory phase was evident from comparison of the first and second biopsies. The glandular epithelium lost signs of pseudostatification and in three cases included a few glycogen vacuoles, indicating the influence of progesterone. The second biopsies corresponded to the end of the early to mid-secretory phase (days 18–21).

Detection of steroid hormone receptors and proliferation markers

The pattern of hormone receptor expression and its down-regulation was similar to the expression pattern in fertile patients. The proliferation marker Ki-67 was adequately expressed in the glandular epithelium and the stromal fibroblasts in the first biopsies. In the second biopsies, localized Ki-67 was only expressed in some stromal fibroblasts, similar to the expression seen in the secretory endometrial tissues of fertile patients (Fig. 1).

Detection of marker molecules

The glands in the first biopsies (from the late proliferative phase) were negative for β3 integrin and LIF. The stromal fibroblasts showed only weakly positive localizations for these two marker molecules. The glands in all of the second biopsies (from the mid-secretory phase) were negative for β3 integrin, and two biopsies showed weakly atypical staining for LIF in some glands.

The immunohistochemical results for VEGF in the first and second biopsies from the subfertile patients showed reduced or inadequate expression. In the second biopsies from the mid-secretory phase, VEGF was not expressed in seven cases, but weak staining in some glands was detected in four biopsies. Interestingly, typical staining for VEGF was not observed in the glands from the first biopsies. VEGF detection would have been expected in tissue from the proliferative phase, as reported previously by our group in endometrium from fertile women with normal menstrual cycles [8]. The stromal cells were weakly positive for VEGF (Fig. 2).

Discussion

In subfertile patients who have a thin endometrium (< 7 mm) throughout the menstrual cycle, in the presence of normal steroid hormone receptor expression and regulation, endometrial biopsies were found to lack several marker molecules that are considered characteristic for endometrial receptivity. Histomorphological analysis showed that the endometrial tissue in the samples was in the mid-secretory phase or approaching the end of the

►Fig. 1 Endometrial biopsies from a subfertile patient taken during one menstrual cycle on days 13 and 22. Immunohistochemical stains on paraffin-embedded tissue sections. The scale bars in b (only for b) and h (for all other images) represent 100 µm. a Hematoxylin-stained biopsy from day 13, showing proliferating glandular epithelium and stromal edema. b Hematoxylin-stained biopsy from day 22, showing transformed secretory glands. c Progesterone receptor (PR) on day 13, showing strongly positive-stained nuclei in epithelial glands and stromal cells. d PR on day 22, showing negative glandular epithelium and scattered positive stromal cells. e Estrogen receptor (ER) on day 13, showing strongly positive-stained nuclei in epithelial glands and stromal cells. f ER on day 22, showing a few weakly positive nuclei in glandular epithelial cells and stromal cells. g Ki-67 on day 13, showing positive-stained nuclei in glandular and stromal cells. h Ki-67 on day 22, showing scattered positive-stained nuclei in stromal cells and negative nuclei in glandular cells.
early secretory phase. Surprisingly, it lacked or showed inadequate and weak expression of the relevant markers.

As a possible limitation of our study no quantification of the results has been performed. However, the lack of marker molecules in the subfertile patients was very obvious and the pattern of hormone receptor expression and its down-regulation was completely similar between fertile and subfertile women.

An earlier investigation carried out by our group on the expression of the leptin receptor in endometrial tissue showed similar findings [3]. Biopsies taken during the proliferative phase were deficient for vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and leptin receptor, although these markers are expressed in normal proliferative endometrium in fertile women. The endometrial maturation defect apparently already starts in the proliferative phase and becomes clearly evident during the secretory phase. There is evidence that a certain network of interactions exists among the different marker molecules. The leptin receptor is able to influence the expression of VEGF and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGF-R) [35–40], β3 integrin [41], and leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) [42]. LIF has a positive influence on VEGF [13, 43] and integrin expression [17, 44, 45]. VEGF in turn is able to influence integrin expression [46]. The integrin αβ3 again is involved in the activation of VEGF-R2 after binding of the L1 cell adhesion molecule (L1Ig6), a ligand of this integrin receptor [47] (Fig. 3) [13, 17, 36–48].

VEGF

The thin endometrium correlates with a lack of the molecules that are involved in angiogenesis. VEGF was only detectable in a few endometrial glands during the secretory phase in subfertile patients. However, the endometrium in patients with proven fertility (the control group) showed strong regular staining of VEGF during this phase. The lack of VEGF may possibly lead to inadequate blood vessel growth in patients with thin endometrium. VEGF is able to up-regulate the expression of von Willebrand factor (vWF) mRNA and protein in cultured endothelial cells [49, 50]. The number of vessels was not reduced, as revealed by detection of vessels with vWF (data not shown), but their growth may be retarded, in turn inhibiting the transformation process in the endometrium.

LIF

LIF plays a functional role in angiogenesis. In cardiac myocytes, LIF is able to activate VEGF expression via signals through gp130/STAT3. Intravenous administration of LIF has been shown to augment the expression of VEGF mRNA in murine heart tissue. Myocardial tissue from transgenic mice overexpressing dominant-negative STAT3 showed reduced expression of VEGF mRNA, which was not induced after LIF stimulation. Stimulation of rat cardiac myocytes in a cell culture system with LIF resulted in a rapid increase in VEGF mRNA and protein expression [43, 51]. LIF may also play a critical role in controlling angiogenesis in the placental villi, since human fetal endothelial cells express leukemia inhibitory factor receptor (LIF-R), and mice that lack a functional LIF receptor gene show altered vascular development in the placenta [52]. Inhibition of LIF during mid-gestation impairs trophoblast invasion and spiral artery remodeling during pregnancy in mice [53].

Blocking endogenous LIF during placental development in mice leads to abnormal placentation and pregnancy loss [54]. There is thus abundant evidence that LIF may be involved in angiogenesis in the endometrium and placenta. LIF may possibly also affect VEGF expression in the human endometrium.

Evidence from animal studies [9] has shown that LIF is essential for blastocyst implantation. The absence of LIF observed in glandular epithelial cells in endometrial biopsies obtained from subfertile patients may also contribute to subfertility. Hambartsumian [55] reported differences between fertile and infertile women in relation to LIF secretion in endometrial explant cultures. In fertile women, endometrial LIF secretion was 2.2 times higher in the secretory phase than in the proliferative phase. Infertile women were not found to have this elevation of LIF production during the secretory phase [56]. Heterozygous LIF gene mutations have also been detected in infertile women (in three of 74 patients) [57]. The authors considered that these mutations might be responsible for the reduced LIF protein production described by...
Laird et al. [58] and Hambartsoumian [55]. A study including 25 women with unexplained infertility found that they had a significantly reduced level of LIF mRNA. LIF was not detectable in 88% of the infertile women while it was fairly detectable in 12% of them. Significantly fewer LIF and gp130 molecules were found in uterine flushing in infertile women in comparison with normally fertile women. Tawfeek et al. suggested that expression of LIF mRNA in the endometrium could be used as a molecular marker for unexplained infertility [59].

Treatment with recombinant human LIF (rhLIF) has been investigated in preclinical and clinical trials as a method of improving endometrial receptivity in patients with recurrent implantation failure (RIF). It was suggested that compensating for low levels of endometrial LIF expression might have a positive effect on the outcome of IVF in women with recurrent implantation failure. Unfortunately, rhLIF administration during the secretory phase after embryo transfer failed to improve implantation rates in women with recurrent implantation failure [60]. It is possible that the endometrium had not shifted into the secretory phase sufficiently; rhLIF administration alone is thus not able to provide the wide range of factors present in a receptive endometrium.

\( \alpha_v \beta_3 \) integrin

Several studies have reported that \( \alpha_v \beta_3 \) integrin is related to angiogenesis and VEGF. Expression of \( \alpha_v \beta_3 \) integrin in endothelial cells is induced by VEGF in vitro [46]. Both \( \alpha_v \beta_3 \) integrin and VEGF up-regulation have also been shown to prevent endothelial cell apoptosis in vitro [48,61]. The lack of \( \alpha_v \beta_3 \) seen in the endometrium of the subfertile patients included in the present study might therefore be due to a VEGF deficiency. \( \alpha_v \beta_3 \) integrin is able to promote endothelial cell migration in a calcium-dependent manner [62]. It has been demonstrated that this receptor is involved in vascular and lumen formation in human umbilical vein endothelial cells in a fibrin matrix [63]. The receptor is expressed in blood vessels in human wound granulation tissue, but not in normal skin [64]. Integrin \( \alpha_v \beta_3 \) antagonists have been reported to promote tumor regression by inducing apoptosis of angiogenic blood vessels in a chick chorioallantoic membrane [64]. Exposure of human endothelial cells to tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and interferon gamma (IFN-\( \gamma \)) results in reduced activation of \( \alpha_v \beta_3 \) integrin and leads to decreased \( \alpha_v \beta_3 \)-dependent endothelial cell adhesion and survival. Preclinical studies have shown that RGD peptidomimetics and a monoclonal antibody to \( \alpha_v \beta_3 \) integrin can inhibit tumor growth by inducing apoptosis of angiogenic blood vessels in a chick chorioallantoic membrane [64].

Coughlan et al. did not detect any significant differences in \( \alpha_v \beta_3 \) integrin expression in any compartment of the endometrium between women with recurrent implantation failure (RIF) and control women. It is possible that only a few patients in the study group had the endometrial defect lacking \( \alpha_v \beta_3 \) integrin that leads to infertility. Coughlan et al. did not focus on patients with suspected endometrial defects, and the endometrial tissue studied may therefore not have had any significant differences in comparison with the control group [67].

Data from in vivo and in vitro investigations show that \( \alpha_v \beta_3 \) integrin is able to regulate angiogenesis in human and animal tissues. Its expression may therefore also play an important angiogenic role in the human endometrium.
Leptin receptor

The leptin receptor is involved in angiogenesis [68, 69]. Immuno-reactivity for OB-R, VEGF, and matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) is up-regulated in atherosclerotic plaque, predominantly in the endothelial lining of the intimal neovessel [70]. Leptin induces endothelial cell proliferation and expression of matrix metalloproteinases in vivo and in vitro. Immunohistological analysis of leptin-treated rat cornea showed a definite increase in the expression of OB-R, MMPs, and TIMPs, and also of VEGF receptor-1 (VEGF-R1). Leptin-induced proliferation of the human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) and upregulation of MMP-2, MMP-9, TIMP-1 and TIMP-2 expression in a dose-dependent manner [37]. These results once again demonstrate the involvement of leptin in angiogenesis and further support the theory that a lack of leptin receptor may lead to inadequate angiogenesis in the endometrium as well [36].

Leptin induces proliferation and angiogenic differentiation of endothelial cells, up-regulates VEGF/VEGF-R2, and transactivates VEGF-R2 independently of VEGF. Leptin induces angiogenic factors such as IL-1 and Notch, which can increase VEGF. Its pro-angiogenic actions have been summarized in review articles by Gonzalez-Perez et al. [38], Krikun [39], and Adya et al. [40]. Reduced expression of anti-inflammatory and angiogenic cytokines has been observed in women with idiopathic recurrent spontaneous miscarriage (IRSM). Markers of endometrial receptivity were poorly expressed in women with IRSM [71].

As we have shown previously [3] the leptin receptor (long splice variant) is absent or inadequately expressed in the endometrium in subfertile patients who have an abnormally thin endometrium.

Conclusions

Leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and β3 integrin, which are marker molecules for endometrial receptivity, were found to be inadequately expressed or completely absent in the endometrial tissue samples in this specific group of subfertile patients with suspected endometrial deficiency. Although these markers were initially identified and investigated independently of each other, they have a positive influence on one other and are involved in angiogenesis (Fig. 3). The endometrial deficiency appears already to commence during the proliferative phase, as shown by a lack of VEGF and leptin receptor [8]. Angiogenic defects may thus lead to insufficient secretory transformation, resulting in a thin endometrium that is consequently incapable of providing a mature environment for the implanting embryo. The endometrial deficiency observed is not merely due to a phase delay with late maturation. In these patients, the endometrium is unable to reach a fully receptive implantation phase in most cycles, although the patients ovulate and have normal serum hormone levels, followed by normal expression and down-regulation of steroid hormone receptors. The fact that the patients become pregnant in a few cases, but never deliver, suggested that the endometrial problem is a functional one, with different developmental and maturation grades in each endometrial cycle.

The deficiency of the marker molecules concerned strongly supports the hypothesis that there is disturbed angiogenesis, leading to insufficient secretory transformation and resulting in a thin endometrium that causes a reduced implantation rate in this group of subfertile patients. It may be suspected that there must be a regulatory defect after the activation of steroid hormone receptors that precedes the translation of these marker molecules. Further research is needed to identify the underlying regulatory mechanisms involved in this endometrial maturation deficiency.
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