Development of Report Turnaround Times in a University Department of Radiology during Implementation of a Reformed Curriculum for Undergraduate Medical Education
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ZUSAMMENFASSUNG


Schlussfolgerung Insgesamt kommt es zu einer Zunahme der Befundungszeiten im betrachteten Zeitraum während der Implementation des neuen Modellstudienganges. Da dies aber sowohl in der Vorlesung- als auch in der vorlesungsfreien Zeit beobachtet werden kann, scheint die vermehrte Lehrtätigkeit nicht der einzige Grund zu sein.
Introduction

The duties of a university hospital go beyond general patient care and always include academic teaching and research. While in the past the teaching of medical students mostly relied on lectures, much of medical teaching today is done in small groups and requires more staff resources. Radiologists play a crucial role in this new type of medical education as their specialty represents a cross-section of many clinical specialties and state-of-the-art imaging findings can serve to illustrate many pathologies. This changing attitude is reflected in the amended German Ordinance for the Licensing of Physicians which became effective in 2002 and provides for more practical training in medical studies. This shift has been implemented in a catalog of learning objectives developed by the German Society for Medical Education (Gesellschaft für medizinische Ausbildung – GMA) [1] and the National Competence-Based Catalogues of Learning Objectives for Undergraduate Medical Education [2].
Studies have shown that case-based learning in small groups is much more effective than traditional approaches. It imparts more knowledge and also promotes teamwork, the development of leadership competence, and better conflict resolution skills [3]. Moreover, students learn to see the whole patient and are better prepared for their later professional life [4]. Practical exercises improve learning outcome and are preferred by students [5]. Role play – another form of practical education – allows students to train and improve communication and clinical examination skills in a protected environment [6].

Finally, there is bedside teaching, which actively involves patients in teaching. Patients show a positive attitude toward students when bedside teaching is done in small groups. Therefore, bedside teaching classes should ideally involve only a very small number of students. Patients prefer the presence of not more than 8 students with only 2 of them examining [7]. Articles on the art of bedside teaching emphasize as one of its advantages that students learn to use a language that is understood by patients. Although bedside teaching requires more time for preparation, it is beneficial for patient management and teaching [8–10]. Students having attended bedside teaching courses fare better in practical tests [11]. In the field of radiology it has been shown that students learning ultrasound at the bedside have both better theoretical knowledge and practical skills [12].

In the winter term 2010/11, a reformed curriculum for undergraduate medical education (Modellstudiengang Medizin) was introduced at Charité – University Medicine Berlin, placing a greater focus on the teaching of practical skills in addition to theoretical knowledge. This was primarily done by introducing new teaching formats such as POL groups (POL = problem-oriented learning) and CIT courses (CIT = communication, interaction, and teamwork) [13].

This study investigates whether the increased teaching load associated with the implementation of this reformed program has an effect on how physicians handle their clinical work.

Materials and Methods

A retrospective comparison of the reporting times for all radiological examinations conducted at Campus Charité Mitte was performed in the period from Oct. 17, 2011 through Oct. 11, 2013 during the teaching periods of the summer and winter terms (term time) and the periods where no classes take place (term break). Campus Charité Mitte is one of the three sites of Charité – University Medicine Berlin. In total, there are 3000 hospital beds at the three sites, with 870 of those beds being at the teaching-centric Campus Charité Mitte. The total number of enrolled medical students is 7000, with 700 of those being new undergraduate medical students per year [14].

During this two-year period, the teaching load increased due to the implementation of a reformed medical curriculum for undergraduate medical education, and there was a general increase in the number of patients requiring care. The parameters measured to determine whether routine patient care suffered because of the increased teaching duties or whether the radiologists’ workload increased appreciably or measurably were reporting times and unplanned absence (due to e.g. illness or other unexpected events). Reporting time was defined as the time from the end of the examination to the first finalized written report (note: the time until final release by a supervisor was not chosen as it may induce a bias due to different reporting time release speeds of different supervisors). Unplanned absence, especially due to illness, was selected as a measuring tool, to evaluate whether a greater workload may increase this variable (e.g. due to more stress). These parameters were compared between term times and term breaks (lecture-free periods) over the two-year study period.

Reporting times were analyzed using data retrieved from the digital database (Centricity RIS-i 4.2 Plus, GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA). The database provided information on the following pseudonymized parameters:

- Pseudonymized information (random ID code; age; ZIP code; city; mode of hospital admission)
- Times (scheduled examination time; patient arrival; beginning and end of examination; report; release of report [each with date and time in the following formats: DD.MM.YYYY and HH:MM:SS])
- Reporting physician (person dictating or writing), releasing physician
- Type of examination
- Requesting physician; accounting center; unit; workplace

The database was analyzed using Excel2013 for Windows (Microsoft, Redmond, CA, USA). Reporting time was defined as the interval from the end of the examination until the first written report was available, calculated as the difference of the columns [Report] − [End of examination]. The Excel INT function was used to remove the date from the result. The result was converted into minutes. The calculated reporting times were assigned to one of three time intervals: (1) weekdays during term; (2) weekdays during term break; and (3) weekends and public holidays. Term time included the 14 weeks during which classes take place plus the examination week; while the term break included the remaining weekdays. The hours spent on academic teaching were retrieved from the work schedules of the radiologists taking into account absences (unplanned absences like illness, vacation, education and training).

Patients

All examinations/procedures for which reports were written and which were performed on weekdays during the period under investigation were included. This also included procedures not constituting routine patient examinations (demonstrations, research examinations, and procedures for equipment testing) in order to compute the clinical workload of radiologists as accurately as possible.

All examinations/procedures that took place on weekends and public holidays or procedures for which no reports were created (e.g., datasets from external examinations imported into the department’s database) were excluded. This resulted in a total number of examinations/procedures performed at the department during the two-year study period of 192,984 (Table 1).
The new reformed curriculum consists of large formats such as lectures and new small group formats. Every time a patient is included, the number of students is limited to 4. Classes for practical training without contact to patients are limited to 8 students (POL – problem orientated learning). Special classes to learn communication, interaction and teamwork are limited to 16 students. Teaching is performed by every radiologist. Every lecture, seminar or course requires the full time attendance of the teachers [15]. In the beginning of the period under investigation, the third class started with the reformed curriculum. The teaching duties in the term breaks are classes for elective students and student apprentices.

Analysis and Statistical Tests
Statistical and graphic analysis was performed using OriginPro 9.1G for Windows (OriginLab Corporation, MA, Northhampton, USA). Median values were used for analysis as otherwise non-normal distribution of data would distort the results. All tests were performed with a significance level of 0.05 and a 95% confidence interval. Normal distribution was ruled out using the Shapiro-Wilk test (up to 5000 variables) or the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (over 5000 variables). Variations in reporting times between the four terms under investigation were tested using the Mann-Whitney U-test (nonparametric test for independent samples) and the Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA (nonparametric test for several independent samples).

Results
Overall, the reporting time during the 2-year study period was on average 46.45 min during term time and 54.80 min during term breaks (Table 1). Fewer examinations took place during term time versus term breaks (370.6/day versus 406.2/day, see Table 1).

Analysis of reporting time for ICU patients revealed no significant difference: 40.80 min for term time and 41.13 min during term breaks. There was no significant difference in the number of examinations per day, 29.6/day in the term time and 28.8/day in the break time.

The results also show that, over the two-year study period, reporting time increased both during term and term breaks. This observation applies to all examinations as well as examinations of ICU patients (Fig. 1).

The average number of time spent teaching per day increased from 1.75 hours/day in the winter term 2011/12 to 6.49 hours/day in the summer term 2013 (Table 2). However, there was also an increase in teaching hours during term breaks from 0.10 hours/day at the beginning of the study period to 0.71 hours/day at the end of the study period (Fig. 2).

The total number of unplanned days absent from work during the 2-year study period was consistently higher during term breaks than during term times, and there was no increase over the study period (Fig. 3). The difference was significant for the winter terms only.

Vacation times were highest during summer term and most vacation was spent during term break (as required in the work contracts for academic staff). During winter terms, vacation was more common during term times (Fig. 3). The difference is significant only for summer term.

Days off from work for advanced training and research coincide with the large radiological congresses (Radiological Society of North America, RSNA, and German Congress of Radiology, DRK, during term times, and European Congress of Radiology, ECR, during term breaks). As a result, more days tend to be taken off during term time rather than during term breaks (Fig. 3). This difference was significant (p < 0.05) for all term breaks versus all term times as well as for the comparison of each term time with the respective term break.

Discussion
Overall, the results of the retrospective analysis presented here indicate that reporting times increased during the study period both during term times and during term breaks. Therefore, it is
questionable whether this development can be attributed to an increase in teaching duties, particularly since less than one hour per day was spent teaching during term breaks in the study period. In an earlier study at our department, it was shown that reporting times decreased without any apparent reason in the interval before the two-year period investigated here, suggesting that general and other variations in reporting times (such as changes in workflow, staff quantity and quality/stage of specialist training, and technical advances) must be taken into account [16].

The nominal amount of staff was constant throughout the investigation period, but the quality, efficacy and efficiency (due to change in experience, especially during residency rotation and accumulation knowledge and radiological skills) remain variable as new colleagues join the team and elder colleagues leave.

During the study period unplanned absences varied but there was no general increase. Moreover, loss of workdays was greater during term breaks compared with term times, suggesting that the increase in teaching duties is compensated for in ways not reflected in the parameters investigated here. However, it must also be kept in mind that there are multiple reasons for unplanned absence from work. Besides the workload and an increase in teaching duties as investigated here, other reasons may include the severity of seasonal cold epidemics and other factors. Also, conversely, it may be possible to regard teaching duty as a strong factor for going to work (e.g. even with a light illness) as it may be difficult to find prepared teaching colleagues to substitute on short notice.

Our observations suggest that the higher teaching load is compensated for by a lower number of examinations performed per day during term times, suggesting that more elective procedures may be planned in greater quantities during term breaks. Moreover, staff is encouraged to take vacation during term

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>teaching</th>
<th>unplanned absence</th>
<th>vacation</th>
<th>advanced training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(hours/day)</td>
<td>(staff/day)</td>
<td>(staff/day)</td>
<td>(staff/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>term</td>
<td>break</td>
<td>term</td>
<td>break</td>
<td>term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT 11/12</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST 12</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT 12/13</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST 13</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overall</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Fig. 1
Development of reporting times from the winter term 2011/12 to the summer term 2013 (n = 192,984).

#### Fig. 2
Development of hours spent teaching per day from the winter term 2011/12 to the summer term 2013.

#### Abb. 1
Entwicklung der Befundungszeiten vom Wintersemester 2011/12 bis zum Sommersemester 2013 (n=192,984).

#### Abb. 2
Entwicklung der für die Lehre aufgewendeten Stunden pro Tag vom Wintersemester 2011/12 bis zum Sommersemester 2013.
breaks. However, our results show that the number of examinations of ICU patients is similar during term times and term breaks, indicating that management of emergency patients is not impaired by an increased teaching load.

A limitation of this analysis is that the time it takes to prepare for academic teaching is not included as this time does not appear in work schedules. Investigators emphasize that the success of bedside teaching classes relies on good preparation, structuring, and adequate selection of cases [8, 17]. This implies that the actual time required for teaching is likely much greater than reflected in our analysis. But we saw an increase in education and training over the two-year period. This may reflect the higher teaching requirements demanded by the National Competence-Based Catalogues of Learning Objectives for Undergraduate Medical Education.

During the period investigated in the present study, the architectural reconstruction of the Charité main building at Campus Mitte required a few rooms of the Department of Radiology to be moved which may have impaired workflow to a minor extent. Full implementation of the reformed curriculum for undergraduate medical education at the Charité is still underway and it is expected that the teaching load will increase further. Nevertheless, all departments in university hospitals will have to deal with increased teaching duties to comply with the National Competence-Based Catalogues of Learning Objectives for Undergraduate Medical Education. It is certain that this will interfere with clinical duties and it is very important to validly detect the time when it becomes a necessity to adjust the amount of staff of the department.
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