Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)-guided interventions are as feasible in pediatric populations as they are in adult patients [1]. However, some special features must be considered: the ducts are smaller and thinner, and require special care by the endoscopists [1–3]. Adult endoscopes and devices can be used safely in children >3 years of age or >15 kg in weight, according to the literature [1, 4]. However, lumen-apposing metal stents (LAMS) have rarely been used in pediatric patients without adverse events [5].

A 2-year-old boy, 10 kg in weight, presented with choledocholithiasis and severe gastroesophageal reflux disease. He was also affected by multicystic encephalopathy. He underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy, Nissen fundoplication, and surgical gastrostomy during the same operation.

On the eighth postoperative day, a bile fistula appeared from the surgical drain, and an abdominal ultrasound scan was performed, which showed a large fluid collection in the right hypochondrium. Surgical revision was decided, and a suspect accessory cystic duct was clipped during surgery.

On the 14th postoperative day, blood tests showed an increase in cholestatic enzymes, and a second abdominal ultrasound scan showed dilation of the intrahepatic biliary tree. The patient was referred to our unit because of onset of jaundice.

We decided to perform an endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography, which showed complete post-surgical disconnection and closure of common bile duct at the level of the main hepatic confluence (Type III stricture according to Bismuth classification). The parents of the patient refused any surgery (a hepaticojejunal anastomosis was proposed) and, between percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage and EUS-guided hepaticoduodenostomy, they chose the latter and signed the informed consent for the procedure.

Using a linear echoendoscope (EG-3870 UTK; Pentax, Tokyo, Japan), which was introduced directly through the site of the gastrostomy (enlarged during the endoscopic procedure), we confirmed the dilation of the biliary tree at the confluence of the hepatic ducts. We performed the hepaticoduodenostomy using the Hot Axios Stent and Electrocautery Enhanced Delivery System (Boston Scientific, Marlborough, Massachusetts, USA). The stent was 8 mm in diameter and 8 mm in length. However, the distal edge of the stent, which had been placed correctly at the beginning of the procedure, was misplaced proximally during opening into the peritoneal cavity. The stent was removed and, using a duodenoscope (EC38-i10M; Pentax), we were able to cannulate the left hepatic duct under fluoroscopy with a curved hydrophilic guidewire (NaviPro; Boston Scientific) – passing through the perforation in the duodenal wall that was created by the LAMS – and place a second LAMS (8 mm diameter, 8 mm length; Boston Scientific). At cholangiography, the stent obstructed the right hepatic duct, so we adapted the distal bumper of the stent using an 8 mm Fogarty balloon (Cook Medical, Bloomington, Indiana, USA) until we obtained correct opacification of the whole biliary tree (▶ Video 1).

The post-procedural course was uneventful, and the blood tests returned to normal in 5 days. The patient was doing well at 6-month follow-up.

Video 1 Endoscopic hepaticoduodenostomy in a 2-year-old patient for complete postsurgical stenosis of the common bile duct. The procedure was complicated by misplacement of the stent during opening. We treated the complication endoscopically, and finally placed a second lumen-apposing metal stent.
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