



Telemedicine Training in Ophthalmology Residency Programs

Ryan S. Meshkin, MD¹ Kanza Aziz, MD² Marguerite C. Weinert, MD¹ Alice C. Lorch, MD, MPH^{1,*}
Grayson W. Armstrong, MD, MPH^{1,*}

¹ Department of Ophthalmology, Massachusetts Eye and Ear, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts

² Department of Ophthalmology, Wilmer Eye Institute, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland

J Acad Ophthalmol 2023;15:e172–e174.

Prior to the coronavirus pandemic, surgical specialties utilized telemedicine sparingly. One study cites prepandemic rates of surgical telehealth use to be less than 1% of new patient encounters,¹ while another study found fewer than 2% of clinicians provided any outpatient care via telemedicine.² Within the field of ophthalmology, telemedicine models were largely limited to screening and referral for diabetic retinopathy,³ age-related macular degeneration,⁴ and glaucoma.⁵ With the onset of the coronavirus pandemic, however, telemedicine utilization for triage, diagnosis, and management of disease increased considerably. An astonishing 34% of new patient encounters across surgical specialties were conducted via telehealth at the height of the pandemic.¹

Two significant questions have arisen in the wake of the early adoption of telemedicine: what is the staying power of telemedicine among surgical subspecialties, and are physicians appropriately trained to utilize telemedicine?

Telemedicine utilization declined in late 2020 with the resumption of in-person care, though the proportion of patient visits conducted via virtual means remained markedly higher than it had been prepandemic.^{1,6} Notably, the proportion of care conducted via telemedicine appears to have stabilized in recent years.⁶ Trends such as these suggest that telemedicine will likely continue to play a role in care delivery into the future.

Within the field of ophthalmology, similar initial increases and subsequent persistent utilization of telemedicine in the wake of the pandemic signal that telemedicine will likely continue to serve an important role in the years ahead.^{7,8} Several specific advantages to the use of ophthalmic telemedicine have been identified including the provi-

sion of care to patients with limited access to tertiary eye care centers across state lines⁹ and the screening of urgent ophthalmic conditions.^{10–12} Continued innovation signals that ophthalmic telemedicine will likely play a role in future patient care.⁴

However, the preparedness of physicians to perform telemedicine is still an open question.^{13–15} Telemedicine requires specific training due to the requisite array of skills needed that are distinct from in-person clinical care—identifying appropriate use cases for telemedicine, guiding patients through physical exams, establishing meaningful rapport in the virtual context, and performing clinical assessments reliably.^{14,16–18} Until recently, studies describing telemedicine curricula targeted to the resident level are few and generally limited to nonsurgical fields such as family medicine, internal medicine, pediatrics, and neurology.^{18–23}

In ophthalmology, formal telemedicine curricula have been developed that show positive impacts on resident perceived confidence and skill completing telemedicine eye exams.²⁴ Curricular components included instruction on navigating logistics of virtual telemedical platforms, gathering virtual eye exam metrics, and tips on diagnosing, managing, and triaging various acute and chronic eye diseases. Notably, 80% of the participating ophthalmology residents surveyed believed teleophthalmology will be an important aspect of their future ophthalmic practice.

Previously unpublished survey data of ophthalmology residency program directors (PDs), presented here for the first time, show that 56.3% (9 of 16 respondents) of those surveyed believe that telemedicine would be utilized in the future day-to-day practice of ophthalmologists. The Association of University Professors of Ophthalmology distributed an institutional review board-approved survey to all

* Co-senior authors

Address for correspondence DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0043-1772789>
Grayson W. Armstrong, MD, MPH, 10.1055/s-0043-1772789.
Massachusetts Eye and Ear, 243 ISSN 2475-4757.
Charles St, Boston, Massachusetts 02114
(e-mail: grayson_armstrong@meei.harvard.edu).

© 2023. The Author(s).

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivative-NonCommercial-License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit. Contents may not be used for commercial purposes, or adapted, remixed, transformed or built upon. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Thieme Medical Publishers, Inc., 333 Seventh Avenue, 18th Floor, New York, NY 10001, USA

ophthalmology residency PDs in the United States, which was open to responses for a total of 2 weeks (March 29, 2021 to April 11, 2021). The majority of PDs had been in the role for 1 to 5 years ($n=9$, 56.3%). While only 18.8% (3 of 16 respondents) of the PDs had implemented a telemedicine curriculum at the time of the survey, 50% indicated that they are planning to implement or augment their telemedicine curriculum. Curricular components for established programs included history-taking ($n=3$), clinical examination ($n=3$), documentation ($n=3$), educational oversight ($n=2$), and setup/logistics ($n=2$). None taught ethics, professionalism, or health disparities as related to telemedicine. Four PDs (25%) had residents care for telemedical patients through direct patient care, while one of these programs additionally offered shadowing experiences. The majority of PDs felt that the best modalities to train residents in telemedicine were online seminars ($n=12$) and practical experience seeing telemedical patients ($n=12$). Perceived barriers to telemedical education included difficulty performing the ophthalmic clinical exam, technological limitations, limited curricular time and flexibility, and telemedical reimbursement concerns. While there was a low response rate (14.8%, 16 of 108 PDs), the results are telling of the perceived importance of telemedicine among those tasked with training future generations of ophthalmologists.

These findings may be reflective of similar views among PDs in other surgical fields, though data are lacking on current telemedical curricular offerings and perceptions of telemedicine's role in future day-to-day practice among surgical educators. Given the emerging centrality of telemedicine in various dimensions of clinical care, professional organizations, including the American Medical Association and the Association of American Medical Colleges, have begun to embed telehealth into core competencies for trainees.²⁵⁻²⁸

As the crisis response to the pandemic subsides and advances in telemedicine are projected to remain as central components of health systems, it becomes essential that educators reflect on ways to best prepare ophthalmology trainees to conduct telemedicine visits and foster effective surgeon-patient communication and connection in a telemedical age.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

- Chao GF, Li KY, Zhu Z, et al. Use of telehealth by surgical specialties during the COVID-19 pandemic. *JAMA Surg* 2021;156(07):620-626
- Patel SY, Mehrotra A, Huskamp HA, Uscher-Pines L, Ganguli I, Barnett ML. Variation in telemedicine use and outpatient care during the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2021;40(02):349-358
- Avidor D, Loewenstein A, Waisbourd M, Nutman A. Cost-effectiveness of diabetic retinopathy screening programs using telemedicine: a systematic review. *Cost Eff Resour Alloc* 2020;18:16
- Armstrong GW, Miller JB. Telemedicine for the diagnosis and management of age-related macular degeneration: a review. *J Clin Med* 2022;11(03):835
- Gan K, Liu Y, Stagg B, Rathi S, Pasquale LR, Damji K. Telemedicine for glaucoma: guidelines and recommendations. *Telemed J E Health* 2020;26(04):551-555
- Friedman AB, Gervasi S, Song H, et al. Telemedicine catches on: changes in the utilization of telemedicine services during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Am J Manag Care* 2022;28(01):e1-e6
- Kalavar M, Hua HU, Sridhar J. Teleophthalmology: an essential tool in the era of the novel coronavirus 2019. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol* 2020;31(05):366-373
- Sommer AC, Blumenthal EZ. Telemedicine in ophthalmology in view of the emerging COVID-19 outbreak. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2020;258(11):2341-2352
- Aziz K, Moon JY, Devgan A, et al. In-state versus out-of-state ophthalmic telemedicine utilization during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2022;63(07):1407-A0103
- Murchison A, Bilyk JR. Feasibility of Ophthalmic telemedicine Consultation in Urgent Settings (FOCUS). *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2021;62(08):2673
- Meshkin RS, Armstrong GW, Hall NE, Rossin EJ, Hymowitz MB, Lorch AC. Effectiveness of a telemedicine program for triage and diagnosis of emergent ophthalmic conditions. *Eye (Lond)* 2023;37(02):325-331
- Colon M, Henderer JD, Weiss S. Patient satisfaction with an ocular telehealth platform: a local experience after 1 year. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2022;63(07):1395-A0091
- Almathami HKY, Win KT, Vlahu-Gjorgievska E. Barriers and facilitators that influence telemedicine-based, real-time, online consultation at patients' homes: systematic literature review. *J Med Internet Res* 2020;22(02):e16407
- van Galen LS, Wang CJ, Nanayakkara PWB, Paranjape K, Kramer MHH, Car J. Telehealth requires expansion of physicians' communication competencies training. *Med Teach* 2019;41(06):714-715
- Moore MA, Coffman M, Jetty A, Klink K, Petterson S, Bazemore A. Family physicians report considerable interest in, but limited use of, telehealth services. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2017;30(03):320-330
- Mulcare M, Naik N, Greenwald P, et al. Advanced communication and examination skills in telemedicine: a structured simulation-based course for medical students. *MedEdPORTAL* 2020;16:11047
- Tuckson RV, Edmunds M, Hodgkins ML. Telehealth. *N Engl J Med* 2017;377(16):1585-1592
- Ha E, Zwicky K, Yu G, Schechtman A. Developing a telemedicine curriculum for a family medicine residency. *PRIMER Peer-Rev Rep Med Educ Res* 2020;4:21
- Savage DJ, Gutierrez O, Montané BE, et al. Implementing a telemedicine curriculum for internal medicine residents during a pandemic: the Cleveland Clinic experience. *Postgrad Med J* 2022;98(1161):487-491
- Kirkland EB, DuBose-Morris R, Duckett A. Telehealth for the internal medicine resident: a 3-year longitudinal curriculum. *J Telemed Telecare* 2021;27(09):599-605
- Costich M, Robbins-Milne L, Bracho-Sanchez E, Lane M, Friedman S. Design and implementation of an interactive, competency-based pilot pediatric telemedicine curriculum. *Med Educ Online* 2021;26(01):1911019
- Afshari M, Witek NP, Galifianakis NB. Education research: an experiential outpatient teleneurology curriculum for residents. *Neurology* 2019;93(04):170-175
- Edirippulige S, Armfield NR. Education and training to support the use of clinical telehealth: a review of the literature. *J Telemed Telecare* 2017;23(02):273-282
- Aziz K, Sherif NA, Meshkin RS, Lorch AC, Armstrong GW. Telemedicine curriculum in an ophthalmology residency program. *J Acad Ophthalmol* 2022;14(01):e93-e102
- Telehealth Competencies. <https://www.aamc.org/data-reports/report/telehealth-competencies>

- 26 AMA encourages telemedicine training for medical students, residents. American Medical Association. <https://www.ama-assn.org/press-center/press-releases/ama-encourages-telemedicine-training-medical-students-residents>
- 27 American Medical Association. Telemedicine in Medical Education. Report of the Council on Medical Education. 2016. Available at: <https://www.ama-assn.org/system/files/2021-06/a16-cme-06.pdf>. Accessed August 2, 2023.
- 28 Noronha C, Lo MC, Nikiforova T, et al; Society of General Internal Medicine (SGIM) Education Committee. Telehealth competencies in medical education: new frontiers in faculty development and learner assessments. *J Gen Intern Med* 2022;37(12):3168–3173