“Natural” History of Operated Type A Aortic Dissection
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Abstract

We describe the case of a 66-year-old gentleman with a previous replacement of the ascending aorta for an acute Type A aortic dissection who did not attend any scheduled follow-up visit. Seventeen years later, he presented to our institution with severe aortic regurgitation and with a giant aneurysmal dilation of the abdominal aorta.
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A 66-year-old hypertensive gentleman presented to our Institution with acute pulmonary edema. In 2005, he underwent replacement of the ascending aorta with a Dacron graft for acute Type A aortic dissection. Subsequently, the patient remained asymptomatic but did not attend the scheduled follow-up visits and was reluctant to receive any medical advice.

Pulmonary edema was triggered by an episode of acute hypertension in the setting of severe aortic regurgitation due to aortic root dilatation (64 mm at sinuses of Valsalva). Computed tomography angiography of the aorta (► Fig. 1) showed a correct proximal insertion of the graft and persistence of a large false lumen extending from the distal graft anastomosis to the right iliac artery, with a giant aneurysmal dilation at the abdominal site (12 cm in diameter). The patient was treated with vasodilators, diuretics, and noninvasive ventilation with rapid improvement of his clinical condition. After 6 days of hospitalization, he refused any proposed therapeutic approach and self-discharged.

Aortic dissection is the most common catastrophic event affecting the aorta. Surgery has completely changed the natural history of Type A aortic dissection, with a contemporary in-hospital mortality less than 25% and with a long-term survival around 75% at 10 years.1,2 Complications during follow-up can be related to failure proximal or distal to the resected zone. Proximal failure is generally due to an insufficient or unsatisfactory aortic repair. Complications in the distal aorta are often related to the persistence of false lumen flow that induces an inappropriate dilatation of the distal vessel. Blood pressure control and systematic assessment of the aorta by means of imaging techniques can discourage late events, as most patients have residual dissection flaps in the arch or in the distal aorta.
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Fig. 1 Computed tomography angiography of the aorta. (A) Aortic root dilatation at sinuses of Valsalva (64 mm). (B, C) Correct proximal insertion of the graft and a persistence of a false lumen extending from the distal graft anastomosis to the right iliac artery with aneurysmal dilation at the abdominal site of 12 cm in diameter. (D) Concentric thrombotic apposition of the false lumen.