



Family support in adults with epilepsy

Percepção do suporte familiar em adultos com epilepsia

Gloria M. A. S. Tedrus¹ Vania Aparecida Leandro-Merhi¹ Augusto Etchegaray Junior¹
Yara Maria Randi¹

¹ Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Campinas, Escola de Ciências da Vida, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências da Saúde, Campinas SP, Brazil.

Address for correspondence Gloria Tedrus
(email: gmtedrus@uol.com.br)

Arq. Neuropsiquiatr. 2023;81(11):956–960.

Abstract

Background The perception of family support in chronic disease can be relevant.
Objective To assess the perception of family support in adult patients with epilepsy (PWEs) and relate it to quality of life (QoL) and clinical aspects.
Methods Data from the Perceived Family Support Inventory (IPFS) of 130 PWEs were related to the clinical variables, QOLIE-31 scores, and the Neurological Disorders Depression Inventory for Epilepsy (NDDI-E) with statistical tests, with $p < 0.05$.
Results The mean age was 49.9 ± 17.2 years, and the duration of epilepsy was 20.8 ± 15.4 years. The presence of depression (scores ≥ 15) was associated with lower family support. Being married and non-occurrence of depression were the variables associated with a higher IPFS score ($R = 0.2112$), in the multiple linear regression.
Conclusion The perception of greater family support was associated with demographic aspects, the absence of depression, and better QoL. Family relationships may play an essential role in health adjustment behaviors and QoL in epilepsy.

Keywords

- Epilepsy
- Family Support
- Quality of Life

Resumo

Antecedentes A percepção do suporte familiar nas doenças crônicas pode ser relevante.
Objetivo Avaliar em pacientes adultos com epilepsia (PCE) a percepção do suporte familiar e relacioná-la com os aspectos clínicos e com a qualidade de vida (QV).
Métodos Os dados do Inventário de Percepção de Suporte Familiar (IPSF) de 130 PCE foram relacionados com as variáveis clínicas, os escores do QOLIE-31 e com o Neurological Disorders Depression Inventory for Epilepsy (NDDI-E), com testes estatísticos, com $p < 0.05$.
Resultados A idade média foi 49.9 ± 17.2 anos e o tempo de epilepsia foi de 20.8 ± 15.4 anos. Escores ≥ 15 no NDDI-E (presença de depressão) associaram-se a menor suporte familiar. Ser casado e não ter depressão são as variáveis associadas a maiores escores no IPSF ($R = 0.2112$), na regressão linear múltipla.
Conclusão A percepção de maior suporte familiar associou-se à aspectos demográficos, a ausência de depressão e a melhor QV. As relações familiares podem ter papel essencial nos comportamentos de ajustamento na saúde e na QV na epilepsia.

Palavras-chave

- Epilepsia
- Apoio Familiar
- Qualidade de Vida

received
July 5, 2023
received in its final form
August 29, 2023
accepted
October 1, 2023

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0043-1777004>.
ISSN 0004-282X.

© 2023. The Author(s).
This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).
Thieme Revinter Publicações Ltda., Rua do Matoso 170, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, CEP 20270-135, Brazil

INTRODUCTION

Epilepsy is a chronic neurological disorder and adult patients with epilepsy may have psychiatric and cognitive comorbidities, with frequent social repercussions and impaired quality of life (QoL).¹ It is known that patients with epilepsy have a worse perception of QoL when compared to the general population and people who suffer from other chronic illnesses.^{2,3} In epilepsy, several clinical and psychosocial factors and the perception of social support are related to QoL.⁴⁻⁷ The perception of family support in childhood epilepsy is well described in the literature. However, there is little literature that discusses the effects of family support in adult patients. Studies describe that individuals with chronic illnesses are more dissatisfied and perceive lower family support.⁸ A better understanding of the perception of family support in epilepsy can increase knowledge of health-related QoL in epilepsy, and thus contribute to better guidance as a strategy to mitigate the stigma and social restrictions that adults with epilepsy may be subject to. The aim of this study was to assess the perception of family support in adult patients with epilepsy and relate these data to the perception of QoL and clinical variables.

METHODS

This observational study consecutively included patients aged 18 years or older, treated at the clinical neurology outpatient clinic of the Hospital PUC-Campinas (Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Campinas), with a diagnosis of epilepsy according to the criteria of the International Classification of Epilepsy and the Epileptic Syndromes of the International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE).⁹

Patients undergoing epilepsy surgery, with disabling chronic illnesses, with neurodegenerative illnesses, and with severe cognitive deficits were excluded from the study. All participants signed the informed consent form. The project was approved by the PUC-Campinas Human Research Ethics Committee, CAAE reference number: 13195619300005481; approval number: 3608734. All patients were interviewed individually in a hospital room, before their regular outpatient medical appointment.

Procedures

The patients underwent the following assessments:

- Questionnaire for collecting demographic data (age, gender, educational level, and marital status) and clinical variables of epilepsy (age of onset, type, and frequency of epileptic seizures, and the number of antiepileptic medications (ASMs) taken. Inventory of Perceived Family Support (IPFS), a questionnaire with 42 statements about understanding the perception of family support received by the patient. The inventory is evaluated by the total score and three dimensions: affectivity, adaptability, and autonomy. The higher the score, the better the individual's perception of the family support received.¹⁰
- The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) for cognitive tracking^{11,12}; the Quality of Life in Epilepsy Inventory

(QOLIE-31) to assess the perception of QoL^{13,14}; and the Neurological Disorders Depression Inventory for Epilepsy (NDDI-E) to assess the presence of depressive symptoms (no = < 15; yes = ≥ 15).^{15,16}

Statistical analysis

The IPFS scores (dimensions and total score) were related to clinical variables and MMSE, NDDI-E, and QOLIE-31 scores. To describe the characteristics of the sample, frequency tables were created for categorical variables with values of absolute frequency (n) and percentage (%), and for quantitative variables, descriptive measures were obtained (mean, standard deviation). To compare continuous measurements between the two groups, the Mann-Whitney test was applied. To assess the linear relationship between the variables, Spearman's correlation coefficient was used.

To assess the factors demographic (age, gender, marital status) and clinical variables (age of onset, length of epilepsy, type, and frequency of seizures, and number of ASMs taken) and scores on the QOLIE-31 and on the NDDI-E (presence of depression yes/no) related to the total score on the IPFS, multiple linear regression analysis was used, with a stepwise criterion for selecting variables. Variables were transformed into ranks due to the absence of normal distribution. The significance level adopted for the statistical tests was 5%.

RESULTS

This study included 130 patients with epilepsy. The type of epileptic syndrome was genetic (generalized idiopathic) in nine (6.9%) cases, unknown etiology in 38 (29.2%) cases, and structural focal in 83 (63.8%) cases. The etiology of structural epilepsy was temporal lobe epilepsy with hippocampal sclerosis in 41 cases, vascular in 20 cases, traumatic brain injury in four cases, surgery for an intracranial tumor or vascular malformation in 10 cases, and other etiologies in eight cases. Clinical aspects and scores of the IPFS and of the QOLIE-31 are shown in **Table 1**.

IPFS: clinical aspects and QoL

There was no correlation between IPFS scores and age, educational level, age of onset, length of epilepsy, and MMSE scores. Patients with higher IPFS scores (total score and on the affectivity and adaptability dimensions) had lower scores on the NDDI-E. There was a positive and significant correlation between the scores on the QOLIE-31 and the total score and IPFS scores (**Table 2**).

When evaluating the perception of family support according to demographic and clinical aspects, it was observed that there was no significant difference in the IPFS according to gender, current frequency and type of epileptic seizure, number of ASMs taken, and type of epileptic syndrome. Married patients perceived more affection and greater family support (total score) when compared to those with other marital situations (single/widowed/separated). Patients with depression perceived less family support (**Table 3**).

Multiple linear regression analysis was applied in order to assess which demographic and clinical variables are related

Table 1 Demographic aspects and clinical data of adult patients with epilepsy

| Variables | (±or %) | |
|--|--------------|-------------|
| Age (years) | 49.9 ± 17.0 | |
| Educational level (years) | 6.1 ± 4.0 | |
| Female sex | 72 (55.4%) | |
| Marital status – married | 77 (59.2%) | |
| Occupation – employed | 59 (78.7%) | |
| Inventory of perceived family support | Affectivity | 32.1 ± 8.9 |
| | Adaptability | 20.9 ± 4.7 |
| | Autonomy | 13.2 ± 3.6 |
| | Total score | 66.1 ± 14.0 |
| Age of onset (years) | 29.0 ± 22.1 | |
| Length of epilepsy (years) | 20.8 ± 15.4 | |
| Type of seizures: focal/generalized | 108/22 | |
| Frequency of seizures: < once/year/≥once/year | 67/63 | |
| Antiseizure medications: 1/≥2 | 85/45 | |
| Neurological disorders depression inventory for epilepsy | < 15 | 112 |
| | ≥ 15 | 17 |
| QOLIE-31 (total score) | 55.9 ± 28.4 | |

Abbreviations: QOLIE-31, Quality of life in epilepsy inventory.

to the total IPFS score. It was observed that marital status and the presence of depression were the variables that are jointly associated with the total score on the IPFS. Married patients (R^2 0.1756; p -value 0.0197) and those not classified as depressed (NDDI-E <15) (R^2 0.0355; p -value <0.0001) had higher values for the total score on the IPFS (better perception of family support).

DISCUSSION

This study assessed the perception of family support and its relationship with clinical variables in individuals with chronic epilepsy. Despite the benefits of family involvement in chronic illnesses being well documented in the literature, there are still reports of difficulties in this relationship.

Table 2 Correlation values between IPFS scores and age, age of onset, and NDDI-E, MMSE, and QOLIE-31 scores

| Variables | Total score | | Family affectivity | | Family adaptability | | Family autonomy | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|---------|--------------------|----------|---------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|---------|
| | r | P | r | p | R | p | r | p | |
| Age | -0.04708 | 0.5992 | -0.01025 | 0.9086 | -0.03682 | 0.6799 | 0.02131 | 0.8113 | |
| Age of onset | -0.10027 | 0.2620 | -0.05731 | 0.5205 | -0.07101 | 0.4257 | -0.05003 | 0.5750 | |
| NDDI-E (total score) | -0.21774 | 0.0139* | -0.18784 | 0.0345* | -0.22023 | 0.0125* | -0.08725 | 0.3274 | |
| MMSE | -0.05746 | 0.5211 | -0.08025 | 0.3679 | -0.04924 | 0.5810 | 0.01632 | 0.8550 | |
| QOLIE-31 | Seizure worry | 0.06193 | 0.4892 | 0.01638 | 0.8544 | 0.10258 | 0.2492 | 0.17377 | 0.0498* |
| | Overall QoL | 0.11295 | 0.2061 | 0.08320 | 0.3505 | 0.19187 | 0.0300* | 0.00191 | 0.9829 |
| | Emotional well-being | 0.15294 | 0.0861 | 0.17680 | 0.0459* | 0.13926 | 0.1169 | 0.05167 | 0.5624 |
| | Energy/ fatigue | 0.21699 | 0.0143* | 0.18523 | 0.0363* | 0.27932 | 0.0014* | 0.02987 | 0.7378 |
| | Cognitive function | 0.07302 | 0.4146 | -0.01446 | 0.8713 | 0.17666 | 0.0461* | 0.12970 | 0.1445 |
| | Medication effects | 0.07574 | 0.3974 | 0.03836 | 0.6672 | 0.23253 | 0.0083* | 0.07361 | 0.4089 |
| | Social function | 0.08512 | 0.3414 | -0.00795 | 0.9290 | 0.18885 | 0.0328* | 0.13637 | 0.1248 |
| | Total score | 0.10408 | 0.2442 | 0.03995 | 0.6543 | 0.22987 | 0.0090* | 0.12752 | 0.1515 |

Abbreviations: IPFS, Inventory of Perceived Family Support; MMSE, Mini-mental state examination; QOLIE-31, Quality of life in epilepsy inventory; NDDI-E, Neurological Disorders Depression Inventory for Epilepsy.

Notes: Spearman correlation; * p < 0.05.

Table 3 Inventory of perceived family support according to demographic data and NDDI-E scores

| Variables | | Total score | Affectivity | Adaptability | Autonomy |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| Sex | Female (n = 72) | 65.44 ± 14.57 | 31.28 ± 9.52 | 20.67 ± 4.73 | 13.56 ± 3.76 |
| | Male (n = 58) | 67.14 ± 13.51 | 33.21 ± 8.22 | 21.38 ± 4.82 | 12.82 ± 3.45 |
| | p-value | 0.4397 | 0.1796 | 0.2364 | 0.2057 |
| Marital status | Married (n = 77) | 68.29 ± 14.60 | 33.50 ± 9.19 | 21.43 ± 4.71 | 13.56 ± 3.58 |
| | Others (53) | 63.15 ± 12.82 | 30.15 ± 8.38 | 20.34 ± 4.82 | 12.77 ± 3.68 |
| | p-value | 0.0079* | 0.0087* | 0.1699 | 0.2710 |
| Occupation | Employed (n = 59) | 67.69 ± 12.25 | 32.90 ± 7.46 | 21.17 ± 4.20 | 13.63 ± 3.96 |
| | Unemployed (n = 16) | 62.53 ± 20.52 | 32.88 ± 13.19 | 19.07 ± 6.37 | 11.60 ± 4.12 |
| | p-value | 0.3966 | 0.7756 | 0.3795 | 0.1003 |
| NDDI-E (total score) | < 15 (n = 112) | 68.68 ± 12.37 | 33.37 ± 8.16 | 21.74 ± 4.02 | 13.61 ± 3.50 |
| | ≥ 15 (n = 17) | 48.88 ± 13.29 | 22.63 ± 8.44 | 15.63 ± 6.14 | 10.63 ± 3.52 |
| | p-value | <0.0001* | <0.0001* | <0.0001* | 0.0006* |

Abbreviation: NDDI-E, Neurological Disorders Depression Inventory for Epilepsy.

Notes: Mann-Whitney test; * $p < 0.05$.

The lack of social support and the presence of family dysfunction are the main problems observed in families of patients with severe chronic illnesses, particularly in those with mental disorders.¹⁷⁻¹⁹

In different cultures, the psychosocial repercussions of epilepsy such as stigma, low self-esteem, social restrictions, and the negative impact on psychosocial well-being affect patients and families.^{20,21} Family involvement in epilepsy, particularly in cases with epileptic seizures refractory to treatment, can mean challenges, conflicts, and sometimes overload, or even emotional distancing and denial, which can reflect on the bond between patients with epilepsy and family members.

Married patients with epilepsy perceive better family support than single/widowed/separated ones. A growing number of studies have demonstrated the importance of marital quality in dealing with the stress associated with the presence of chronic illnesses. Marital satisfaction is perceived as strong family and emotional support with positive repercussions in coping with illnesses.²²

Patients with epilepsy without depressive symptoms perceive greater family support, which may be related to the positive effects of greater engagement and family cohesion and emotional bonding with consequent reduction of stress and negative emotions.^{22,23} It is known that families that are more cohesive and concerned about those with chronic illnesses tend to have early detection of warning signs of depressive symptoms, thus contributing to helping to reduce the severity of symptoms.²⁴

Better QoL was related to a greater perception of family support. It is known that greater family involvement is intrinsically associated with physical, emotional, and social health and with better QoL.¹⁹ Better QoL perception in the well-being and energy/fatigue dimensions was associated with greater family affection, family cohesion, and emotional bonding.

This study has several limitations. Firstly, it is a cross-sectional study, and therefore, the causality of the relation-

ships cannot be inferred. Another limitation is that the data were obtained from a single university hospital, with a small sample, so these findings should be interpreted with caution. However, the data obtained are relevant and unpublished, and, therefore, the use of data from this study is plausible.

In conclusion, family support was associated with demographic aspects, the absence of depression, and better QoL perception. Family relationships can play an essential role as providers of self-care and adjustment behaviors in the context of health and to maintain QoL in epilepsy.

Authors' Contributions

GMAST: conceptualization, investigation, methodology, project administration, supervision, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing; VALM: writing – review & editing; AEJ: methodology, resources, writing – review & editing; YMR: writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interest

There is no conflict of interest to declare.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Professor Makilim Nunes Baptista for the opportunity to use the Inventory of Perceived Family Support.

References

- Karakis I, Boualam N, Moura LM, Howard DH. Quality of life and functional limitations in persons with epilepsy. *Epilepsy Res* 2023;190:107084
- Baker GA, Jacoby A, Buck D, Stalgis C, Monnet D. Quality of life of people with epilepsy: a European study. *Epilepsia* 1997;38(03): 353-362
- Stavem K, Loge JH, Kaasa S. Health status of people with epilepsy compared with a general reference population. *Epilepsia* 2000;41 (01):85-90
- Suurmeijer TP, Reuvekamp MF, Aldenkamp BP. Social functioning, psychological functioning, and quality of life in epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2001;42(09):1160-1168

- 5 Djibuti M, Shakarishvili R. Influence of clinical, demographic, and socioeconomic variables on quality of life in patients with epilepsy: findings from Georgian study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2003;74(05):570–573
- 6 Johnson EK, Jones JE, Seidenberg M, Hermann BP. The relative impact of anxiety, depression, and clinical seizure features on health-related quality of life in epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2004;45(05):544–550
- 7 Tedrus GMAS, Fonseca LC, Carvalho RM. Epilepsy and quality of life: socio-demographic and clinical aspects, and psychiatric comorbidity. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr* 2013;71(06):385–391
- 8 Cavatorti S, Pezzoli G, Righetti P, et al. [What are the needs of caregivers caring for people living in chronic conditions? Qualitative research]. *Prof Inferm* 2021;74(02):81–88
- 9 Scheffer IE, Berkovic S, Capovilla G, et al. ILAE classification of the epilepsies: Position paper of the ILAE Commission for Classification and Terminology. *Epilepsia* 2017;58(04):512–521
- 10 Baptista MN. Desenvolvimento do Inventário de Percepção de Suporte Familiar (IPSF): estudos psicométricos preliminares. *Psico-USF* 2005;10(01):11–19
- 11 Brucki SM, Nitrini R, Caramelli P, Bertolucci PH, Okamoto IH. [Suggestions for utilization of the mini-mental state examination in Brazil]. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr* 2003;61(3B):777–781
- 12 Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. “Mini-mental state”. A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975;12(03):189–198
- 13 Cramer JA, Perrine K, Devinsky O, Bryant-Comstock L, Meador K, Hermann B. Development and cross-cultural translations of a 31-item quality of life in epilepsy inventory. *Epilepsia* 1998;39(01):81–88
- 14 da Silva TI, Ciconelli RM, Alonso NB, et al. Validity and reliability of the Portuguese version of the quality of life in epilepsy inventory (QOLIE-31) for Brazil. *Epilepsy Behav* 2007;10(02):234–241
- 15 Gilliam FG, Barry JJ, Hermann BP, Meador KJ, Vahle V, Kanner AM. Rapid detection of major depression in epilepsy: a multicentre study. *Lancet Neurol* 2006;5(05):399–405
- 16 de Oliveira GN, Kummer A, Salgado JV, et al. Brazilian version of the neurological disorders depression inventory for epilepsy (NDDI-E). *Epilepsy Behav* 2010;19(03):328–331
- 17 Onyango JT, Namatovu JF, Besigye IK, Kaddumukasa M, Mbalinda SN. The relationship between perceived social support from family and diabetes self-management among patients in Uganda. *Pan Afr Med J* 2022;41:279
- 18 Amini S, Jalali A, Jalali R. Perceived social support and family members of patients with mental disorders: A mixed method study. *Front Public Health* 2023;11:1093282
- 19 Ong HS, Fernandez PA, Lim HK. Family engagement as part of managing patients with mental illness in primary care. *Singapore Med J* 2021;62(05):213–219
- 20 Chong J, Drake K, Atkinson PB, Ouellette E, Labiner DM. Social and family characteristics of Hispanics with epilepsy. *Seizure* 2012;21(01):12–16
- 21 Saada F, Wang ZS, Bautista RE. In focus: The everyday lives of families of adult individuals with epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav* 2015;50:10–13
- 22 Wang YH, Haslam M, Yu M, Ding J, Lu Q, Pan F. Family functioning, marital quality and social support in Chinese patients with epilepsy. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2015;13:10
- 23 Siarava E, Hyphantis T, Katsanos AH, Pelidou SH, Kyritsis AP, Markoula S. Depression and quality of life in patients with epilepsy in Northwest Greece. *Seizure* 2019;66:93–98
- 24 Bouchard V, Robitaille A, Perreault S, et al. Psychological distress, social support, and use of outpatient care among adult men and women with coronary artery disease or other non-cardiovascular chronic disease. *J Psychosom Res* 2023;165:111131