Endovascular Treatment of Ruptured Broad-Necked Intracranial Aneurysms with Double Microcatheter Technique: Case Series with Brief Review of Literature
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Abstract

Objective Treatment of ruptured broad-necked intracranial aneurysms by endovascular therapy is technically burdensome. It is commonly treated with stent- and balloon-assisted coils embolization. The aim of this study was to evaluate clinical and radiological outcomes following double micro-catheter (MC) technique.

Materials and Methods A retrospective study was done on 16 broad-necked (neck diameter ≥ 4 mm and dome-to-neck ratio < 2) ruptured intracranial aneurysms in 16 patients treated with double MC technique at our center between December 2021 and December 2023. Clinical outcome was evaluated by modified Rankin Score, postcoiling radiological outcome was evaluated by Raymond–Roy occlusion grade, and treatment-related complications were assessed.

Results There were 16 patients, 9 females and 7 males; with a mean age of 51.3 years (35–70 years). All the patients underwent dual MC coils embolization for all aneurysms. Raymond–Roy occlusion class I was achieved in 81.3% (13) cases and Raymond–Roy class II was achieved in 18.7% (3) cases immediately after the procedure. There were no serious postprocedure-related complications or recanalization of the aneurysm at the mean follow-up of 4.8 months (range 2–10 months).

Conclusion Our study presents the safety and effectiveness of double MC system for treating ruptured broad-necked intracranial aneurysm. Large numbers of studies with longer follow-up period are required to secure validity of double MC technique.
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Introduction

The treatment of aneurysms by the endovascular method was developed in 1990 with the arrival of the Guglielmi detachable coil system and established a new era in the neurointervention field with multiple randomized clinical trials reporting the safety and effectiveness of coiling.1 Small-necked intracranial aneurysm has presented a higher frequency of obtaining complete aneurysmal sac occlusion.2 Treatment of broad-necked intracranial aneurysm by endovascular therapy (defined as neck diameter ≥4 mm and
dome-to-neck ratio <2) still prevails technically troublesome because of the increased risk of coils migration or entrenchment into parent vessels.^{3–5} Numerous new endovascular tools and techniques have been evolved to overwhelm these constraints such as three-dimensional (3D) coils,^{6} balloon remodeling method,^{7} and stent-assisted coils embolization.^{8} The treatment of ruptured or unruptured aneurysm with intracranial stent has a higher complication rate because of stent predisposing to thrombosis and requires antiplatelet therapy which may increase the possibility of bleeding in postoperative period.^{9,10} Therefore, double microcatheter (MC) is another technique for ruptured or nonruptured broad-necked intracranial aneurysm treatment. In our study, it tried to assess the safety and efficacy of this technique.

Materials and Methods

Patient Sample

This study included 16 patients with 16 broad-necked ruptured intracranial aneurysms who underwent double MC coil embolization between December 2021 and December 2023 at our center. There were seven males and nine females with a male-to-female ratio of 1:1.3. The mean age of patients was 51.3 years (range 35–70 years). Broad-necked aneurysm was defined as a neck diameter ≥4 mm and dome-to-neck diameter less than 2 mm. The mean neck diameter was 4.5 (range 4–5.3) mm. Demographic information including the patient’s age and sex, clinical manifestations (Hunt and Hess grade), and aneurysm morphology (neck, dome, and height) were carefully reviewed (Table 1). Modified Rankin scale (mRS) score at the time of discharge and follow-up was used to evaluate the clinical outcome. The angiographic results were classified according to Raymond–Roy occlusion classification system^{11} as follows: class 1: no filling of aneurysm neck or dome; class 2: residual filling of neck but not dome; and class 3: residual filling of neck and dome. Large aneurysm with wide neck can be treated by single MC but chances of recurrence are more in such cases, so we excluded this aneurysm. And double MCs are also dangerous to place in very small aneurysm having dome diameter less than 4 mm with wide neck. So, we included moderate-sized aneurysms with neck diameter >4 mm having dome to neck ratio <2. Initial and angiographic follow-up also were reviewed. Overall, follow-up ranged from 2 to 10 months, with a mean of 4.8 months.

Techniques

All procedures were performed on a monoplane angiography unit using a common femoral approach under general anesthesia. A 3,000 IU heparin bolus was administered before placement of the guiding catheter followed by a continuous infusion of 10 IU/kg/h. The morphologic characteristics of the aneurysm were carefully evaluated including the size of the neck, width and height; the diameter and tortuosity of the parent artery; major branches originating from aneurysmal sac with digital subtraction angiography along with 3D angiographic reconstruction technique. A hemostatic valve with three ports was connected with a 6F long sheath (6 × 90; Cook). A long sheath was placed in the common carotid artery/subclavian artery with the help of a 5F diagnostic catheter (Vert, Cook) and 0.035-inch glide wire (Radio Focus, Terumo). We selected a catheter, 1.7F (distal) 2.4F (proximal) of excelsior SL-10 where two such MCs can be easily accommodated and manipulated without any difficulties through a 6F guiding catheter. Envoy 6F guiding catheter (Envoy, Codman) through which two MCs can be advanced was positioned in the C3 segment of the internal carotid artery/V3 segment of the vertebral artery with the help of a guiding wire. Then MC (Excelsior SL 10, Stryker) was placed in the sac of an aneurysm with the support of 0.014-inch microwire (Synchro 2, Stryker). Another Excelsior SL 10 was placed in the sac of an aneurysm below the first MC (Fig. 1). Soft helical (Target 360 Ultra, Stryker Optima, Balt) or 3D Table 1 Patient and aneurysm characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Frequency (n = 16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (y)</strong></td>
<td>51.3 (35–70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>7 (43.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>9 (56.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean admission GCS</strong></td>
<td>10.9 (8–15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean admission Fisher’s scale</strong></td>
<td>1.8 (1–IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean admission Hunt and Hess grade</strong></td>
<td>1.7 (1–4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aneurysm location</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior circulation</td>
<td>12 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcomA</td>
<td>5 (41.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PcomA</td>
<td>1 (8.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DACA</td>
<td>1 (8.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCA</td>
<td>5 (41.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basilar artery</td>
<td>4 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aneurysm size (mm)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean neck diameter</td>
<td>4.5 (4–5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean fundus diameter</td>
<td>4.7 (4–6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean height diameter</td>
<td>5.3 (4.5–6.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medical history</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN</td>
<td>5 (31.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>1 (6.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN + DM</td>
<td>3 (18.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No history</td>
<td>7 (43.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean hospital stay (d)</td>
<td>8 (5–14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean follow-up (mo)</td>
<td>4.8 (2–10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AcomA, anterior communicating artery; DACA, distal anterior cerebral artery; DM, diabetes mellitus; GCS, Glasgow coma scale; HTN, hypertension; MCA, middle cerebral artery; PcomA, posterior communicating artery.
coil (Axium 3D, eV3) was first attempted into the aneurysm to form a relatively stable frame. Actually, we used to deploy both coils simultaneously or one or two loops of first coil followed by second coil loops so that both coils interlock with each other preventing prolapse of coils loop into the parent vessel. So, depending on this method rather than choosing the first long coils, we choose two coils of similar dimensions approximately half of the coils’ dimension chosen in the case of a single coil to be used for simple coiling. After a stable frame was obtained, one of the two coils was detached, and the next smaller coil was advanced to fill the frame. This process was continued alternatively until the aneurysms were as densely packed as possible.

Results

A total of 16 patients were treated with double MC technique between December 2021 and December 2023; 43.7% were male and 56.3% were female with a mean age of 51.3 years. Seven patients (43.7%) had no medical history; three patients (18.8%) had both hypertension (HTN) and diabetes mellitus (DM) history; five patients (31.2%) and one patient (6.3%) had only a history of HTN and DM, respectively. We reported that eight patients (50%) had Hunt and Hess grade 1, five patients (31.2%) had Hunt and Hess grade 2, two patients (12.5%) had Hunt and Hess grade 3, and one patient (6.3%) had Hunt and Hess grade 4 at the time of admission.

The majority of aneurysms (75%) were located in anterior location, including anterior communicating artery (n = 5, 41.7%), distal anterior cerebral artery (n = 1, 8.3%), posterior communicating artery (n = 1, 8.3%), and middle cerebral artery (n = 5, 41.7%). Four aneurysms (25%) were located in posterior circulation of basilar artery. The mean neck diameter, mean dome diameter, and mean height diameter were 4.5 (4–5.3) mm, 4.7 (4–6) mm, and 5.3 (4.5–6.6) mm.
respectively. Immediately postprocedure, Raymond–Roy occlusion class I in 13 patients (81.2%) and occlusion Raymond–Roy occlusion class II in 3 patients (18.8%) were noted. There were eight patients who had mRS score 0, five patients had mRS score 1, two patients had mRS score 2, and one patient had mRS score 3 during discharge period (Table 2). One patient developed aphasia in next day of coils embolization. We performed angiography, but no procedural-related complication was found. Patient improved in aphasia after 4 days. No any procedure-related complications and recanalization of aneurysms were found at the follow-up period.

Discussion

Before the evolution of endovascular technique, surgical management was the most common method to treat aneurysm by the use of clip across the neck of aneurysm. An Italian neurosurgeon, Guido Guglielmi in 1990 first introduced detachable platinum coils for the management of intracranial aneurysm by endovascular technique. Aneurysm treatment by the endovascular method has comparatively lower morbidity and mortality than surgical clipping. However, permanent morbidities and mortality, incomplete aneurysmal occlusion or coils breach into parent vessels, or recanalization leading to required retreatment for management of broad-necked intracranial aneurysm by endovascular technique have been described. Treatment for broad-necked intracranial aneurysm has been reported with various techniques such as balloon remodeling techniques and intracranial stent-assisted techniques. Multiple MC technique have been described in the literature.

Neck remodeling technique with balloon or stent has previously been performed to treat broad-necked aneurysm that helps the formation of coils compactness by preventing coils migration into parent vessels; however, there are some limitations and technical troubles in carrying out them. Some studies reported raised risk of aneurysm rupture because of compressive pressure created by balloon inflation; raised the risk of distal ischemic complication by temporary vessel occlusion, dissection of vessels, and thromboembolic complication by balloon remodeling technique. Overall incidences of thromboembolic events with balloon- and stent-assisted techniques ranging from 4 to 14% and from 0 to 21% respectively, have been mentioned. A study done for wide-necked aneurysm treatment with dual MC technique described that thromboembolic complication and intraoperative aneurysmal rupture were found in six (10.7%) patients and one (1.8%) patient, respectively. Similarly, Lee et al reported that thromboembolic complication in 11 (14.6%) patients, permanent neurological deficit in 1 (1.3%) patient, and coils migration in 2 (2.7%) patients were noted.

Double MC technique is new concept that helps to make stable coils frame under support of a MC such as balloon- and stent-assisted techniques for wide-necked intracranial aneurysm. However, this technique has also minimal likelihood in increased risk of thromboembolic complication with the use of double MC as compared with multiple balloon- and stent-assisted techniques. Continuous catheter infusion with systemic heparinization (10IU/kg/h) should be used to prevent from thromboembolic complication. We did not find any thromboembolic event in our patients. One of the drawbacks of ruptured or nonruptured aneurysm with stent-assisted coiling is that dual antiplatelet therapy is inevitably needed pre- and postoperatively because stent has property of generation of thrombus, lead to tendency of bleeding complication at postoperative period. But, double MC technique does not require long-term antiplatelet therapy. Therefore, we can believe that the treatment of ruptured broad-necked intracranial aneurysm is safe and effective by double MC.

A good clinical outcome (mRS score, 0–2) was observed in 93.7% patients at the time of discharge in our case series, whereas 64.3% patient had good outcome (mRS score, 0–2) at the time of discharge. Durst et al explained that an excellent clinical outcome (mRS score, 0–2) was noted in 93% of patients at long-term follow-up period, whereas 100%...
patients had excellent clinical outcome (mRS score, 0–2) at the follow-up period (range, 2–10 month) in our study. Xu et al described total occlusion (Raymond–Roy occlusion class I) in 46 (79%) aneurysm, a neck remnant (Raymond–Roy occlusion class II) in 7 (13%), and body filling (Raymond–Roy occlusion class III) in 5 (8%); and complication rate associated with technique was 5% (3/58); procedure-related mortality was 0%, and recanalization developed in 3 (9%) at the mean follow-up of 1.25 months. Similarly, Yoon et al described that total occlusion (Raymond–Roy occlusion class I) in 27 (48.2%) aneurysms, contrast filling neck of aneurysm (Raymond–Roy occlusion class II) in 15 (26%), and contrast filling the sac of aneurysm (Raymond–Roy occlusion class III) in 14 (25%), and technique-related complication rate was 5% (3/58), procedure-related mortality was 0%, and recanalization developed in 21 (56.8%) at the mean follow-up of 20.6 months. In our case, there was no re-canalization reported at the mean follow-up of 4.8 months. However, our case report has some limitations such as number of cases are too small; angiographic follow-up period is too short, and it is retrospective nature of the study. Therefore, larger numbers of case series with longer angiographic follow-up information are required to evaluate safety and validity of double MC technique for management of ruptured broad-necked intracranial aneurysms.

Conclusion

In this case study, it presents that the double MC technique is safe and effective for management of ruptured broad-necked intracranial aneurysm with dual MC technique when there is a probability of failure of coil compactness due to coils migration or impingement. And it also replaces stent- and balloon-assisted coils embolization. Therefore, double MC technique can be considered as an alternative option in broad-necked intracranial aneurysm.
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