Radiation Exposure in Multi-Slice CT of the Heart

Summary. Purpose: To assess radiation exposure of patients undergoing Multi-Row Detector CT (Multi-Slice CT, MSCT) of the heart. Material and Methods: Four different cardiac MSCT protocols with changing slice collimation (4 × 1, and 4 × 2.5 mm), and pitch-factor (1.5, 1.8, and 4) were examined. An anthropomorphic Alderson-Rando phantom was equipped with LIF-Thermoluminescent dosimeters at several organ sites, and effective doses were calculated using ICRP-weighting factors. These data were compared to data from standard MSCT of chest and abdomen. Results: Effective dose in different protocols for cardiac MSCT varies from 2.8 to 10.3 mSv (male), and from 3.6 to 12.7 mSv (female). In protocols with thin collimation and low pitch or a combination of several heart examinations, radiation exposure may be comparable to the effective dose of standard MSCT of the chest (male: 11.9 mSv, female: 12.9 mSv) or the abdomen (male: 16.1 mSv, female: 15.7 mSv). Highest organ doses were found for the female breast (up to 46.6 mGy), and the lungs (up to 36.4 mGy) with surface doses as high as 54.3 mGy. Conclusions: Cardiac MSCT adds significantly to the radiation exposure of patients and can reach the effective dose applied by standard MSCT of chest or abdomen.
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Introduction

Imaging of the heart is routinely done using invasive cardioangiography, noninvasive echocardiography, or nuclear studies. In the past decade, MR-imaging proved to be reliable in terms of functional assessment of cardiac function [1]. Magnetic resonance angiography of coronary arteries with limited spatial resolution yet has still restricted diagnostic value [2, 3]. Electron beam tomography as non-invasive technique with high temporal and spatial resolution requires a dedicated specialized hardware and may lead to relatively high radiation doses [4, 5].

The introduction of Multi-Row Detector CT (Multi-Slice CT, MSCT) into clinical routine has opened a new field to radiological diagnostics [6]. Coronary arteries can be depicted with high spatial resolution [7, 8]. MSCT with thin slice collimation allows a full scan of the heart within one breath-hold to evaluate cardiac calcification [9]. However, first data hint at a relatively high radiation exposure during MSCT of the heart [10, 11]. Therefore, this study was performed to investigate the radiation dose applied during cardiac MSCT in comparison to routine CT-scanning of the chest or the abdomen.

Materials and Methods

Dose measurements were performed at a Multi-Row detector CT scanner (MSCT) “Somatom Plus 4 Volume Zoom” (Siemens, Erlangen). Technical parameters of the different CT-examinations may be taken in detail from Table 1.
The MSCT used in this study allows a slice collimation varying from 0.5 to 5 mm. For chest CT, a slice collimation of 2.5 mm and a pitch factor of 6 were chosen with 15 mm table feed per rotation according to the routine scanning procedure. For abdomen CT, a 5 mm collimation was set with a pitch of 5.

For the cardiac examinations, the software package “Heart View” (software version “Somaris/5 VA 20 A”, Siemens, Erlangen) was available. Different settings were applied in cardiac imaging: prospectively ECG-triggered sequential scans are obtained using 2.5 mm slice width, and a table feed of 10 mm (protocol “Heart 1”). Calcium scoring is performed using a 2.5 mm collimation with a pitch of 1.52, corresponding to a table feed of 3.8 mm ("Heart 2"). Coronary arteries or bypasses can be depicted with a 1 mm slice collimation. In order to scan the entire heart in a fast mode a table feed of 1.8 mm (Pitch 1.8) is applied ("Heart 3"). For high resolution, a table feed of 1.5 (Pitch 1.5) is chosen ("Heart 4"). As all the latter protocols ("Heart 2 – 4") are usually performed by use of a retrospective ECG-gating and the software does not allow a start of spiral scanning without detection of an ECG-signal, a healthy volunteer was connected to the electrodes and placed outside the CT-scanning suite. Image acquisition was achieved with a regular heart rate of 70 – 80 beats per minute.

The implemented standard CT software displays the effective weighted CTDI (CTDI_{eff}) computed and calculated from the examination settings. Using this CTDI_{eff} we calculated the effective dose according to the following equation:

\[ E_{[mSv]} = CTDI_{eff} \times (n \times TV) \times 1/P_b \times f_{mean} \times k_{CT} \]

CTDI_{eff}: effective weighted CTDI [mGy]; (n x TV): scan length [cm]; P_b: conversion of CTDI_{eff} to CTDI_{air} (0.49); f_{mean}: mean of organ conversion factors [mSv/[mGy x cm]]; k_{CT}: scanner specific correction factor dependent on kV [12].

An Alderson-Rando anthropomorphic phantom was used to assess radiation exposure. It was equipped with at least 30 Lif-Thermoluminescent dosimeters, which were analyzed using a Glowcurve analyzer Harshaw filtrol 2000D (Harshaw, Cleveland). TLD were read out within 12 hours after exposure so that fading was nearly avoided. In order to transfer the measured electrical charge [nC] into dose values [mGy], data had to be multiplied with a correction factor of 0.82 mGy/nC as the CT scanner uses a beam filter of 1.4 mm aluminium and 1.2 mm Titanium. In order to obtain reliable results, every examination was repeated four times and the resulting data were divided by four. Positions of TLD were chosen such that data from all organs within the primary beam were gained as well as from very radiation sensitive organs like the ovaries or testes. One TLD was positioned per location with at least two TLD forming the basis for organ doses. Data from TLD directly positioned within an organ (thyroid, gonads) were directly used to define the organ dose after addition of the measured values and division by the number of TLD. In larger organs (lungs, liver, esophagus), an organ dose was estimated as mean of at least three TLD positioned in the region of this organ. Dose calculation of the bone marrow was achieved by adding data from TLD in several locations (clavicle, scapula, sternum, two ribs, thoracic and lumbar vertebrae, and pelvis) and multiplying with the relative red marrow distribution in the different bones. The surface dose was calculated from three TLD positioned on the surface of the phantom (two on the front, one on the back) within the area of the primary beam and divided by the skin dose. Although skin dose should be measured 0.7 mm under the surface, a detectable difference to surface dose is not observed. As it is difficult to define and to reproduce the correct percentage of how much surface or skin might have been exposed, we calculated the effective dose from the data measured in the primary beam taking into account that the effective dose might be slightly lower. However, as the weighting factor for skin is 0.01 the influence will only be marginal. Effective dose results from addition of the single organ doses after multiplication with the weighting factor (International Committee on Radiation Protection, ICRP 60). Separate calculations for male and female patients were done as different organs and therefore organ doses are relevant.

### Table 1: Technical parameters of CT examinations (“Heart 1”: prospectively ECG-triggered sequential; “Heart 2”: Calcium Scoring Spiral; “Heart 3”: Coronary Spiral Fast; “Heart 4”: Coronary Spiral Standard; “Heart 2 – 4” with retrospective ECG-gating)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Abdomen CT</th>
<th>Chest CT</th>
<th>Heart 1</th>
<th>Heart 2</th>
<th>Heart 3</th>
<th>Heart 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>effective mAs</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KV</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slice [mm]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed [mm]</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration [sec]</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>0.36 x 13</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length [mm]</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>127.5</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTDI_{eff} [mGy]</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For the MSCT-examination of the heart, different protocols were tested. The least effective dose was seen in the sequential technique with prospective ECG-triggering (“Heart 1”). Highest exposure was noted at the site of the female breast with a local organ dose of 14.9 mGy. After multiplication with the weighting factor, an effective dose of 3.6 mSv (female), and 2.8 mSv (male) was calculated. The calcium scoring protocol (“Heart 2”) with a spiral scan using 2.5 mm collimation revealed a radiation exposure nearly twice as high: 6.2 mSv (female), and 5.1 mSv (male). Radiation dose for the female breast was 20.9 mGy, and for the lungs 18.6 mGy. Surface dose of the skin in both modalities was 15 and 22 mGy, respectively.

In high resolution MSCT of the heart a 1 mm collimation is used to delineate the coronary arteries. Both in the fast (“Heart 3”) and the standard mode (“Heart 4”) an effective dose of up to 12.7 mSv for women, and 10.3 mSv for men is reached. Highest organ doses were found again for the female breast (up to 46.6 mGy), and the lungs (up to 36.4 mGy), with a typical skin dose exceeding 50 mGy. Gonadal doses for all the MSCT of thoracic regions were not higher than 0.7 mGy. Detailed results both for all organ doses as well as the effective dose are displayed in Tables 2 and 3 as well as. These protocols show a relatively high dose within the primary beam with a rapid decline to organs and regions in the direct vicinity.

The calculation of the effective dose by use of the CTDI_{eff} showed results mainly close to the actual measured data. However, in some cases an overestimation of up to 9% (protocol “Heart 1”, female dose) was seen (Table 3). Significant underestimation of radiation dose occurred in routine abdomen and chest CT (up to 39%).

### Table 2: Organ doses [mGy] measured by Lif-TLD for different CT examinations (“Heart 1”: prospectively ECG-triggered sequential; “Heart 2”: Calcium Scoring Spiral; “Heart 3”: Coronary Spiral Fast; “Heart 4”: Coronary Spiral Standard, “Heart 2 – 4” with retrospective ECG-gating)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ</th>
<th>Abdomen CT</th>
<th>Chest CT</th>
<th>Heart 1</th>
<th>Heart 2</th>
<th>Heart 3</th>
<th>Heart 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid Gland</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophagus</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Breast</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>46.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lungs</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonads (Ovary)</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonads (Testes)</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>50.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone Marrow</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Effective doses both measured by Lif-TLD (“meas.”) as well as calculated by use of CTDI_{eff} (“calc.”; see text). (“Heart 1”: prospectively ECG-triggered sequential; “Heart 2”: Calcium Scoring Spiral; “Heart 3”: Coronary Spiral Fast; “Heart 4”: Coronary Spiral Standard, “Heart 2 – 4” with retrospective ECG-gating). Effective doses are calculated using conversion factors (ICRP 60).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Abdomen CT</th>
<th>Chest CT</th>
<th>Heart 1</th>
<th>Heart 2</th>
<th>Heart 3</th>
<th>Heart 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effective dose</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(female, meas.)</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective dose</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(male, calc.)</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

Multi-slice CT of the heart is a new and promising imaging modality with the potential to allow risk stratification patients for coronary events by prospective calcium scoring, to delineate coronary arteries and bypasses with high spatial resolution as well as to analyze functional parameters like ejection fraction [7–9,13]. However, a disadvantage in comparison to magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the use of ionizing radiation. First data hint at a significant radiation exposure in MSCT with dose values known from electron beam tomography [10,11].

The data presented in this study show that with a collimation of 2.5 mm effective doses of around 3 mSv for multi-slice sequential scanning, and 5 mSv for multi-slice spiral scanning with retrospective ECG-gating are realistic. In MSCT of the heart in order to delineate coronary arteries or bypasses non-invasively, a thinner collimation is mandatory. In consequence, a higher mAs-product is needed to maintain image quality as there is a direct relationship between image noise and photon flux [14]. Thus, protocols “Heart 3” and “Heart 4” are performed with 330 mAs, and 400 mAs, respectively. In these protocols, an effective dose of 9.2 to 12.7 mSv was found. Another reason for this relatively high effective dose is the significant slice overlap of 55% and 62.5%, respectively, resulting from a pitch of 1.8 or 1.5 in a 4-detector-row scanning system (“volume pitch”; Table 1). This accounts for the equation table feed per slice collimation. In view of four detector rows, this converts to a pitch of 0.45, and 0.375 (table feed per slice collimation times four). Main contributions to the effective dose result from the organ doses of the lungs, the female breast and the stomach, all situated within the primary beam. Other organs including the gonads add only marginally. In case of a combined study with
calcium scoring and CT coronary angiography performed in the
same patient, effective doses need to be added so that a total
dose of up to 13 mSv (male) or 16 mSv (female) may result.

The mAs-product is set automatically and can be varied within
limits. Keeping to the same kVp, however, different scan
protocols can easily be transferred by multiplying or dividing
data from this study after normalization to 100 mAs. Protocol
“Heart 4”, for example, being performed with 300 mAs instead
of 400 mAs leads then to an effective dose of 7.7 to 9.5 mSv. How
far the mAs-product may be reduced in order to allow low dose
examinations without negative results in terms of image
quality needs still to be established. First efforts in terms of
dose reduction strategies have shown the perspective to halve
the effective dose of MSCT of the heart [11].

Previous data showed that effective doses of up to 8 mSv may
be expected when detailed cardiac examinations are performed
by electron beam tomography (EBT) with an estimation of
2.5 mSv for calcium Scoring in combination with EBT-coronary
angiography [10]. These values were found in protocols with
3 mm slice collimation and a table feed of 3 mm, and 2 mm,
respectively, as well as in dynamic 7 mm thick slices without
table feed [10]. Therefore, spatial resolution may not have been
as high as in the settings chosen in the present work. Although
first data indicate that MSCT of the heart may be more accurate
than EBT, the impact of slice thickness on diagnostic accuracy
needs to be defined by further studies.

Routine coronary angiography leads to an effective dose of 3 to
4 mSv [10,15]. This is true for standard diagnostic procedures
performed by experienced personnel. In case of an intervention,
higher doses may be expected. In the setting of non-invasive CT
 coronary angiography, radiation exposure may be nearly
double as high.

In comparison, standard MSCT of the chest and the abdomen
were shown to apply an effective dose of around 12 to 16 mSv
using the “Somatom Plus 4 Volume Zoom”. This tends to be
higher than effective doses have been reported in previous
studies with single slice CT although we used lower mAs-
product as preset [10,16,17]. Main reason may be the thinner
slice collimation with 2.5 mm for the chest CT, and 5 mm for the
abdomen CT as compared to 8 or 10 mm as generally used in
single slice scanners. Although it has been termed “standard”
chest CT, a routine scan procedure may be performed with a
5 mm collimation with a potentially lower effective dose as
tube current may be lowered further with image noise
remaining constant. In addition, MSCT may have a reduced
dose efficiency compared to single-slice CT, as a dead space
exists between the detector rows due to mechanical dividers
which absorb x-rays without adding to signal output. Further-
more, a relatively large area before and after the actual scan
volume needs to be covered to gain sufficient data to calculate
and reconstruct the first and last slices. This area might be
larger in MSCT compared to single-slice CT, so that for example
the male gonads are exposed within the primary beam when
scanning the abdomen. This contribution to effective dose may
be reduced effectively by applying gonadal shields.

When performing cardiac MSCT scan coverage is limited to a
small region of the thorax. By reason of a high mAs-product and
low pitch factors a relatively high localized radiation dose is
applied with abrupt decrease outside the area of the primary
beam. On the contrary, MSCT of the chest or abdomen lead to a
more uniform dose distribution. However, effective dose of
cardiac MSCT may be in the same range as routine CT protocols
when organs with high weighting factors are exposed.

It is well known that mathematical estimations of effective
doses for CT protocols may underestimate the radiation
exposure up to 30% [10]. The present study underlines that a
calculation of the effective dose based on the CTDI_{eff}
computed by the implemented software of the scanner does
not improve these results. In the present paper, a slight
overestimation of up to 5% as well as an underestimation of
effective doses of up to 40% was noted. Significant underes-
stimulation occurred in the routine chest and abdomen CT
protocols perhaps resulting from an under-representation of the
gonadal dose in the calculation. As overestimation was
observed in particular for the sequential cardiac protocol, the
inhomogeneous dose distribution in cardiac MSCT may
probably not be reflected in the static mathematical formula.

It should be acknowledged, however, that accuracy ranges of
LIF-TLD lie between 5 and 10%. In order to improve the
reliability of measurements we used at least two TLD per
location and performed all CT-protocols four times.

In conclusion, MSCT of the heart may lead to effective doses
comparable to those reached by standard examinations of the
chest or abdomen. However, radiation exposure for calcium
scoring protocols are within the range of standard coronary
angiography. Therefore, these protocols seem feasible in view of
non-invasive screening examinations. Further studies need to
be done on the combined aspect of dose reduction and image
quality. In cases of visualization of high contrast structures a
significant reduction in radiation dose may be achieved.
However, CT-coronary angiography or cardiac function analysis
may require higher scan parameters and therefore effective
doses within the range of standard CT of the chest or the
abdomen may be applied. In how far this radiation exposure
may be justified by the diagnostic impact of cardiac MSCT
remains to be clarified in future studies. The data presented
here may serve as basis for further discussion and research.
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