Implantation of a New Access Device for Hemodialysis (Dialock): Initial Experience in 5 Patients

**Implantation of a New Access Device for Hemodialysis (Dialock): Initial Experience in 5 Patients. Purpose:** A new hemodialysis access port system was implanted. **Methods:** The Dialock™ consists of a port-like double-valve, implanted subcutaneously below the clavicle, which is attached to two catheters, placed in the right atrium via the jugular vein. The device has been implanted in 5 patients (4 female, 1 male). **Results:** In all 5 patients the implantation of the catheters and the port was technically successful. Total average duration of dialysis was 3.6 months. Two patients developed a port pocket hematoma 10–14 days post implantation, one of them required surgical revision. One port was explanted due to sepsis, whereas a port infection was not confirmed. One patient showed a thrombosis occlusion of both catheter tips 8 days after implantation, fixed by catheter exchange. Another patient presented with slight migration of the port catheters, which was managed by repositioning the port within the pocket. Besides these complications, the devices were working well. **Conclusion:** The Dialock system offers an interesting alternative to external catheters for hemodialysis. With respect to the complications it deserves further studies to determine its future role in the field of vascular access.
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**Introduction**

Ten to 20% of hemodialysis patients are dependent on external catheters as a permanent access [1]. These include patients who have no other access sites available due to prior fistulas or poor vascular anatomy, and those awaiting the maturation of a newly created arteriovenous fistula or healing of a freshly implanted polytetrafluoroethylene graft. External catheters have a high failure rate due to thrombosis and infection [2,3]. They usually do not offer a favorable prognosis for more than 12 months. We describe our first experiences with a new fully implantable hemodialysis access port system, connected to two catheters, which may serve as an alternative to external catheters in this group of patients.

**Materials and Methods**

**Hemodialysis access device**

The system (Dialock™, Biolink Corp., Middleboro, MA, USA) consists of two 11F silicone catheters connected to a titanium port with the dimensions $5 \times 2.5 \times 1.25$ cm. The port has a double needle passage to be punctured percutaneously by two specially designed needle-cannulas. A valve assembly within the port prevents leakage and automatically closes when the needles are withdrawn. After the dialysis session an antithrombotic lock with heparin is instilled to prevent clotting of the catheters. The CE-marked and FDA-approved system is available as a set (A. Schulz-Lauterbach, Iserlohn, Germany).

**Implantation procedure**

All devices were implanted in an angiographic suite by an interventional radiologist, in cooperation with a surgeon (who prepared the port pocket). First, the jugular vein was localized
implantation was considered as an ultimate option of treatment.

The indication was hemodialysis access in 4 patients with chronic renal failure and plasmapheresis in one patient with myasthenia. All implants were performed under local anesthesia. All patients received prophylactic systemic antibiotics (2 g Spizef i.v., Cefotiam, Takeda, Aachen, Germany) two hours before implantation.

**Results**

In all 5 patients the implantation of the catheters and the port was technically successful. Healing of the implant was uneventful. There was no implant or puncture site infection.

The total average duration of dialysis with the port system was 3.6 months at the time of report writing.

One patient has been undergoing weekly plasmapheresis for now more than half a year without complication. The four hemodialysis patients underwent dialysis three times a week.

One of the dialysis patients developed a hematoma at the port site 14 days after implantation, which required surgical revision. After this reintervention the system has been working now for more than half a year without complications.

Another dialysis patient developed a moderate hematoma 10 days after implantation, without the need for treatment. After three months she was readmitted with signs of septicemia, which gave reason to explant the port. However, a port infection was not confirmed.

The third dialysis patient showed a thrombotic occlusion of both catheter tips 8 days after implantation. Catheter cleaning via access port using different guide wires and a stripping maneuver of the fibrin sheaths covering both outer catheter tips by a transfemoral snare did not prevent reocclusion. The port pocket was reopened to exchange the catheters. This revealed a tear in one of the catheters close to the port connector. After catheter exchange and a coumarin therapy the system is working well up to date.

The fourth dialysis patient showed migration of the catheter tips from the right atrium to the orifice of the inferior vena cava within one week after implantation, due to cranial migration of the port within the pocket. Obviously, this was provoked by needle puncturing the port from below for dialysis access without fixing it by palpation. Surgical revision of the port pocket with thorough reflexion of the port more caudally solved this problem.

**Discussion**

The Dialock system represents a new approach in vascular access for hemodialysis and plasmapheresis in patients who have no viable vascular site for creation of a fistula. It is a modification of the vascular access port used for chemotherapy [4].

Due to the novelty of the system there are only limited data available in the literature [5–7], comprising a US and French
pilot study including 23 patients. According to this study the device was well accepted by patients and staff. Dialysis adequacy was achieved in all cases, with an effective blood flow rate > 300 ml/min. The complications reported are very similar to the ones encountered in our small group of patients.

Hematoma surrounding the Dialock device was observed in 7.2% of the cases [7]. All hematomas occurred in the initial phase of dialysis with the system. In one case a surgical revision was necessary. The cause of hematomas of the port pocket was explained as spilling out of the heparin lock from the distal holes during the interdialytic period and was managed by reduction of the heparin dose [7].

The study reports 11 infections in 23 patients [5 – 8]. All were revealed as bacteremia, not as an infection of the device. Related to the number of implant days this was equal to 2.5 bacteremias per 1000 patient days, comparing favorably with that of standard permanent catheters (up to 5.1) [8]. According to this and another study [9], the successful therapy consisted of a catheter lock of heparin and antibiotics between the dialysis sessions, combined with systemic antibiotic therapy. In none of the cases was there a need to remove the device. According to these data the explantation of one system in our group of patients could probably have been avoided. However, Megeurman et al. [8] did not describe strategy and outcome of one pocket infection.

Two devices were explanted because of thrombotic material around catheter tips, in order to rule out infection [6]. In two further patients stripping of fibrin sheaths was performed to recanalize the catheters [10]. In one of the cases the second repetition of this procedure, together with a urokinase lock of the catheters and a systemical urokinase therapy led to a correction of the problem. We managed this problem in our patient by exchange of the catheters. A leakage of one of the exchanged catheters might be due to manipulation in the previous stripping maneuver.

**Conclusion**

The Dialock system offers a new concept and an interesting alternative to external catheters in the vascular access strategy for hemodialysis and plasmapheresis. With regard to the number of problems and complications encountered in our small group of patients as well as in the US/French pilot study, it requires further studies to determine the place of this device in the field of vascular access.
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