

**Re: Becker RHA, Frick AD, Burger F, Scholtz H, Potgelter JH: A Comparison of the Steady-State Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics of a Novel Rapid-Acting Insulin Analog, Insulin Glulisine and Regular Human Insulin in Healthy Volunteers Using the Euglycemic Clamp Technique**  
Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes 2005; 113: 292 – 297

**Page 1, Abstract, column two**

We would like to make a correction to the Abstract, column two, as follows:

**Previous incorrect paragraph:** At steady state (90–120 min), insulin glulisine and RHI had equivalent glucose utilization ( $\text{GIR-AUC}_{\text{ss}}$ , 214  $\text{mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  for glulisine, 209  $\text{mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  for RHI) and infusion rates ( $\text{GIR}_{\text{ss}}$ , 1050 and 995  $\text{mg}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ). Both insulins also presented equal total glucose disposal ( $\text{GIR-AUC}_{0-\text{clamp end}}$ , 1050 and 995  $\text{mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ) and onset of activity within 20 min.

**Correct paragraph:** At steady state (90–120 min), insulin glulisine and RHI had equivalent glucose utilization ( $\text{GIR-AUC}_{\text{ss}}$ , 209  $\text{mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  for glulisine, 214  $\text{mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  for RHI) and infusion rates ( $\text{GIR}_{\text{ss}}$ , 7.0 and 7.2  $\text{mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ). Both insulins also presented equal total glucose disposal ( $\text{GIR-AUC}_{0-\text{clamp end}}$ , 995 and 1050  $\text{mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ) and onset of activity within 20 min.

**Discussion, column one, paragraph 4**

Bott et al., 2004 should actually refer to **Rave et al., 2005**.

A. Horwarth  
(for the authors)