UCTN

Endoscopy-Assisted Wireless Intragastric pH Measurement

922




Figure **1** The wireless pH capsule attached to the gastric mucosa.

Intragastric pH monitoring is an important tool for assessing the pharmacodynamic profiles of antisecretory agents [1].

Ambulatory 24-hour intragastric pH monitoring is usually done by means of an antimony or glass electrode that is placed transnasally. Limitations of catheter-based pH monitoring include discomfort, inconvenience, and interference with normal activity for patients. In addition, precise placement of the pH electrode is crucial for the method, with manometry being required to locate the position of the lower esophageal sphincter [2].

The Medtronic Bravo pH Monitoring System (Medtronic, Vadodara, Gujarat) is a new US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) class 1-approved catheter-free esophageal pH monitoring system that involves the attachment of a radio telemetry capsule to the mucosal wall [3]. There are no published data on the use of the new Bravo system for intragastric pH monitoring. We hereby report on the safety, performance, and tolerability of this wireless recording system for intragastric pH when the capsule is implanted under endoscopic guidance.

A 26-year-old asymptomatic healthy male volunteer was enrolled. The capsule delivery system was passed orally under



astric

60

A 24-hr baseline pH was recorded as the volunteer continued his regular activities. He fasted for the next 24 hours and intravenous rabeprazole given according to the study protocol. On completion of recording, the data were uploaded to a computer via datalink (Medtronic) and a summary of the pH data was generated (Figure **2**).

This endoscopy-assisted wireless ambulatory pH recording system appears to be a well-tolerated, reliable means of monitoring intragastric pH. The endoscopic visualization ensures uniform and accurate positioning and avoids local trauma during placement. The mucosal attachment of the electrode virtually eliminates the possibility of recording artifacts related to relative movement between the pH electrode and the mucosa during the monitoring period. This refinement in methodology will permit broader use of intragastric pH monitoring.

R. Banerjee, S. Lakhtakia, M. Tandon, G. V. Rao, D. N. Reddy

Department of Gastroenterology, Asian Institute of Gastroenterology, Hyderabad, India

References

¹ Katz PO. Ambulatory intragastric pH monitoring: clinical laboratory to clinical practice. Rev Gastroenterol Disord 2003; 3 Suppl 4: S3 – S9

Figure **2** Intragastric pH tracing over

48 hours.

- ² Armstrong D, Bair D, James Cet al. Oral esomeprazole vs. intravenous pantoprazole: a comparison of the effect on intragastric pH in healthy subjects. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2003; 18: 705 – 711
- ³ Pandolfino JE, Richter JE, Ours Tet al. Ambulatory esophageal pH monitoring using a wireless system. Am J Gastroenterol 2003; 98: 740 – 749

Corresponding Author

D. N. Reddy, M. D.

Department of Gastroenterology Asian Institute Of Gastroenterology 6-3-661 Somajiguda Hyderabad 500 082 India Fax: +91-40-23324255 E-mail: aigindia@yahoo.co.in