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Introduction

Cystic lesions of the pancreas consist of a spectrum of benign, pre-malignant, and malignant malignancies. In the past, cystic neoplasms of the pancreas were thought to be relatively rare, but the widespread use of cross sectional imaging has dramatically increased ability to detect these lesions. Although the vast majority of pancreatic cysts are discovered incidentally, large or invasive lesions may produce sufficient symptoms to cause the patient to seek medical attention.

Cystic neoplasms are often confused or misdiagnosed as pseudocysts or peripancreatic collections of inflammatory fluid that may morphologically mimic cystic neoplasms. Furthermore, the presenting symptoms of pseudocysts may be identical to the symptoms associated with cystic neoplasms.

Cystic neoplasms of the pancreas are traditionally organized by the type of lining epithelium since this feature dominates the risk of malignancy and management [1] (Table 1). There are three types of mucinous lesions, benign mucinous cystadenomas, malignant mucinous cystic lesions, and intra-ductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs). The non-mucinous lesions include serous cystadenomas, cystic endocrine tumors and other rare lesions.

Prevalence

The prevalence of pancreatic cysts has been examined with autopsy examinations of the pancreas in adults without known pancreatic disease. The prevalence of pancreatic cysts found at autopsies in Japan was approximately 73 of 300 autopsies (24.3%) cases [2]. The prevalence of cysts increased with increasing age of the patient. The cysts were located throughout the pancreatic parenchyma and were not related to chronic pancreatitis. The epithelium of the cysts displayed a spectrum of neoplastic change, including atypical hyperplasia (16.4%); carcinoma in situ (3.4%).
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The prevalence of pancreatic cysts in the United States has been estimated in patients undergoing MRI for a number of various medical problems [3]. This review of MRI images revealed about 15–20% of 1,444 patients had at least one pancreatic cyst. Older patients are more likely to have a cyst than younger patients. Screening abdominal ultrasound in a younger population revealed that (0.21%) of the 130,951 adults had a pancreatic cystic lesion [4].

Clinical epidemiology

Mucinous cystic neoplasms account for approximately 2% to 5% of all exocrine pancreatic tumors and are the more common type of cystic tumor. Women are affected far more commonly than men (9:1 ratio), with a mean age at diagnosis in the fifth decade.

Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms are similar to mucinous cystic neoplasms. Their true incidence is uncertain, but estimates range from 1% to 8%. IPMNs affect men far more commonly than women, depending on the reported series, and they tend to occur in an older age group than MCNs.

Serous cystadenomas have been estimated to account for about 25% of all cystic neoplasms of the pancreas [5]. Estimates of the incidence and prevalence vary. Using surgical pathology studies, it has been estimated that serous cystadenomas account for about 1% to 2% of all exocrine pancreatic neoplasms.

Serous cystadenomas occur only in adults with a median age in the sixth or seventh decade. The vast majority of patients with serous cystadenomas are female [6]. Traditionally about 1/2 of tumors are discovered as incidental findings during abdominal imaging or surgery or at autopsy.

Risk factors for cystic lesions

In the vast majority of patients with a cystic lesion, no risk factor is apparent. Von Hippel Lindau (VHL) syndrome is the best-described inherited disorder associated with cystic lesions [7]. In the largest series to date, pancreatic involvement was observed in 122/158 patients (77.2%) and included true cysts (91.1%), serous cystadenomas (12.3%), neuroendocrine tumors (12.3%), or combined lesions (11.5%).

Pathogenesis

The pathogenesis of cystic neoplasms of the pancreas is poorly understood. Serous cystadenomas are strongly associated with mutations of the VHL gene, located on chromosome 3p25 [8]. The mutations in the VHL gene probably affect most commonly the centro-acinar cell and result in hamartomatous proliferation of these small cuboidal cells. In one study, 70% of the sporadic serous cystadenomas studied demonstrated loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at 3p25 with a VHL gene mutation in the remaining allele [9].

Mucinous cystic neoplasms and intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMN) probably have a similar pathogenesis. K-ras mutations are present only in mucinous cystic neoplasms and not in serous microcystic adenomas. In addition, LOH at 3p25, the chromosomal location of VHL gene, was present in 57% (8/14) of serous microcystic adenomas compared with only 17% (2/12) of mucinous cystic neoplasms [10]. Mucinous cystic neoplasms frequently contain mutations of the K-ras oncogene and p53 tumor suppressor gene, and the frequency of these mutations increases with increasing degrees of dysplasia in the neoplasm [11]. The frequency of K-ras mutation in mucinous cystic neoplasms is linearly related to the grades of atypia [12]. However, the degree of atypia in IPMT does not seem to correlate with the presence of k-ras mutations. LOH of the p16 gene was observed with increasing degrees of histological atypia in IPMN, whereas LOH of the p53 gene was seen only in invasive carcinomas.

Pathology

Serous cystadenomas (Fig. 1)

Serous cystadenomas are benign, solitary, cystic tumors that lined by small, bland, centro-acinar cells. Although the majority of serous cystadenomas have a microcystic morphology, there are two other variants based on growth pattern: macrocystic and solid. Microcystic serous cystadenomas are composed of multiple small thin-walled cysts with a honeycomb-like appearance on cross section. Macrocytic serous cystadenomas may grow to a large diameter over the long-term and the large lesions often have a fibrotic or calcified central scar. Macrocytic serous cystadenomas are composed of far fewer cysts, and the diameter of each cyst varies from microscopic to large cavities [13]. The pres-
Cystic endocrine neoplasms
Cystic neoplasms are composed of neuroendocrine tissue. The cystic lesions are not true cysts since they are composed of centralised tumor necrosis. The lesions are rare and comprise 0.5–4% of all primary pancreatic neoplasms. The classic neuroendocrine cystic tumor is populated with a characteristic small, granular population of cells that are stainable for immunoreactive hormones, chromogranin and synaptophysin [16]. It is rare for the cystic endocrine tumors to produce sufficient hormones to be clinically active. Cystic endocrine tumors are seen in association with Von Hippel-Lindau syndrome [17]. A related cystic lesion, the solid pseudopapillary tumor contains cells with neuroendocrine characteristics [18]. The tumor often contains areas of hemorrhage and necrosis as well as cystic components. These neoplasms exhibit low grade malignant behavior and have an excellent prognosis when resected, but a small percent metastasize.

Clinical presentation
Most patients with a pancreatic cystic lesion have non-specific symptoms [19]. The cystic lesion is usually found with CT or US imaging performed for the evaluation of another condition. When symptoms are present, the most common presentation is recurrent abdominal pain, nausea, and vomiting as result of mild pancreatitis [19]. Cystic lesions that cause duct compression or involvement of the main pancreatic duct are prone to cause pancreatitis. Chronic abdominal pain and jaundice are a rare presentation of a cystic lesion and suggests a malignancy or a pseudocyst. Patients with a cystic malignancy will present with symptoms and signs similar to pancreatic cancer, i.e. pain, weight loss, and jaundice [20]. Pseudocysts may arise after an episode of acute pancreatitis or insidiously in the setting of chronic pancreatitis and are associated with chronic abdominal pain. It is common for cystic lesions associated with pancreatitis to be diagnosed as pseudocysts and be confused with cystic neoplasms that also cause pancreatitis [21].

Differential diagnosis (Table 2)
The differential diagnosis of a cystic lesion of pancreas is very wide and often causes confusion. Since the treatment of a pseudocyst and cystic neoplasm are so different, it is incumbent on the clinician to first differentiate between these major categories of lesions. Although it is unusual for a patient with a pseudocyst to present without preceding symptoms, it may occur in mild...
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Lesion</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Cytology</th>
<th>CEA (ng/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serous</td>
<td>Microcystic</td>
<td>Evenly distributed</td>
<td>Bland PAS +</td>
<td>&lt; 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucinous</td>
<td>Macrocystic</td>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>Mucinous</td>
<td>&gt; 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPMT</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>Mucinous</td>
<td>&gt; 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudocyst</td>
<td>Unilocular</td>
<td>Evenly distributed</td>
<td>Pigmented histiocytes</td>
<td>&lt; 200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

chronic pancreatitis. Evidence of inflammatory changes or calcifications in the pancreas is suggestive of a pancreatic pseudocyst. However, in the initial setting of mild pancreatitis it may be difficult to differentiate between a cystic neoplasm that has caused pancreatitis and a small pseudocyst that has formed as a result of pancreatitis. If a cystic lesion has been present for many years, it is highly likely that the lesion represents a cystic neoplasm. Congenital cysts of the pancreas are rare [22].

Once a pancreatic pseudocyst has been excluded, attention should be focused on the differential between the types of cystic neoplasms. The principal differentiation is between mucinous and serous lesions because the fundamental difference in management is based on the neoplastic potential of mucinous lesions. The non-neoplastic serous cystadenomas may diagnosed on the initial imaging test because their typical microcystic morphology. Once a serous lesion has been confidently diagnosed, the lesion may be followed by serial imaging, looking for evidence of growth of organ impingement. In contrast, the approach to mucinous lesions is quite different. The underlying risk of malignancy or the development of malignancy often results in resection of the lesion. Under some clinical circumstances, such as patients who are at high risk for complications of pancreatocytoma, differentiation between benign and grossly malignant mucinous lesions is important. The risk of surgery must be weighed against the risk of malignancy in the decision-making process. The risk of surgery must also take into account the variation in risk that is inherent in the location of the lesion.

Diagnostic methods

CT is an excellent test for cystic lesions of the pancreas because of its widespread availability and ability to detect cysts [23] (Fig. 3). MR imaging is used increasingly because of its ability to determine if there is involvement of the main pancreatic duct [24]. Ultrasonography whether performed transabdominally or intraoperatively is generally not helpful [25]. Recently PET scanning has been shown to be positive in a high percentage of malignant cystic lesions [26].

Although seen in less than 20 percent of lesions, demonstration of a central scar by CT or MR is a highly diagnostic feature of a serous cystadenoma [27]. The honey-combed or microcystic appearance of the lesion is commonly used to provide a diagnosis. However, macrocystic serous cystadenomas are difficult to diagnose with cross-sectional imaging because of the morphologic similarities with mucinous lesions [13,28]. The presence of multiple small thin-walled cysts is suggestive of Von Hippel Lindau syndrome [29]. Mucinous cystic neoplasms, in contrast, are commonly diagnosed with CT based on the unilocular or macrocystic characteristics [30]. Although not frequently seen, the finding of peripheral calcification by CT is specific for a mucinous cystic neoplasm. Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMN) may involve the main pancreatic duct exclusively, a side-branch or both. MRCP can demonstrate the diagnostic findings of pancreatic duct dilation, mural nodules, and ductal connection better than ERCP [31]. However, ERCP will can demonstrate the intraductal filling defects, mucin extrusion, and cystic side branches that are associated with IPMN in 70–90% of patients [32,33].

Despite these imaging features, the ability to accurately diagnose a specific cystic lesion and to determine whether malignancy is present by CT and MR remains uncertain (Fig. 4). The diagnosis of a pancreatic pseudocyst is more dependent upon the clinical history and the associated findings of chronic pancreatitis. Pancreatic pseudocysts appear as unilocular fluid-filled cavities associated with parenchymal changes such as calcifications and atrophy.

Recently endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) has been used to diagnose cystic lesions of the pancreas and guide fine needle aspiration (FNA) [34]. The detailed imaging features of cystic neoplasms by EUS do not appear to be sufficiently accurate to differentiate between benign and malignant cystadenomas unless there is evidence of a solid mass or invasive tumor [35] (Fig. 5). EUS is also very sensitive for detecting IPMN lesions, but imaging alone may not be sufficient for differentiating between benign and ma-

![Fig. 3 CT scan of a mucinous cystic neoplasm in the tail of the pancreas.](image-url)
lignant lesions [36,37]. The strength of EUS is its ability to detect and aspirate small cystic lesions with a high level of safety [38]. EUS is more accurate in the evaluation of lesions less than 3 cm in diameter [39]. The macrocystic variant of serous cystadenomas can be diagnosed with EUS using a combination of a thick cyst wall, the presence of microcysts, and a low cyst fluid CEA [40].

The fluid contents of cystadenomas are often analyzed for cytology [41]. However, the low cellular content of cyst fluid has hampered the use of the cytologic analysis of cyst fluid. Small, cuboidal cells in cytologic specimens are diagnostic of serous cystadenomas. In contrast, mucinous cystadenoma may have large secretory epithelial cells with evidence of mucin secretion or atypia [42]. Only inflammatory cells should be present in the fluid aspirated from pseudocysts.

A variety of cyst fluid tumor markers have been studied to help differentiate between the major types of cystic neoplasms. Serum 19–9 is elevated in frank cystic malignancies [43]. A number of glycoproteins are present in the epithelium of mucinous neoplasms and are secreted into the cyst fluid [44]. The presence of extracellular mucin in aspirated cyst fluid is moderately predictive of a mucinous neoplasm [45]. Several studies suggest that carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) or CA 72-4 are useful for identifying mucinous lesions [46,47]. These carbohydrate antigens are secreted by the epithelium lining mucinous lesions and may be present in high concentrations. Cyst fluid concentrations of CEA and CA 72-4 are very low in serous cystadenomas [48]. Despite considerable overlap between mucinous and non-mucinous cysts, cyst fluid CEA is the most accurate marker [47,49]. Cyst fluid CEA of less than 5 ng/ml is highly diagnostic of serous cystadenomas and values greater than 800 are predictive of mucinous lesions [49]. Recently molecular studies of cyst fluid DNA have revealed kRAS, tumor suppressor gene mutations, telomerase activity in mucinous cystic lesions [11,50].

Intra-ductal papillary mucinous neoplasms can be evaluated with endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography (ERCP) or EUS [51]. Prior to ERCP or EUS, the endoscopic finding of a patulous ampulla filled with mucin is diagnostic of an intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm. Contrast retrograde pancreatography will demonstrate the characteristic findings of mucinous filling defects within the duct, diffuse ductal dilation, and cystic dilatation of side branches [52]. MRCP may be more sensitive for detecting the sidebranch lesions of IPMN [53]. EUS may assist in the detection of malignancy arising from intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms by demonstrating focal nodules, invasive lesions and guiding fine needle aspiration of suspicious lesions.
[54]. EUS can also be used to monitor IPMN lesions, looking for increases in the size of cysts and the diameter of the main pancreatic duct [55].

### Diagnostic evaluation

Patients suspected of having a cystic neoplasm of the pancreas should undergo a CT scan with contrast as the initial test. If no lesion is seen in the pancreas, it is very unlikely that a clinically significant neoplasm is present. MR imaging, particularly MRCP, may be substituted for CT scanning. If the CT scan demonstrate a diagnostic finding, such as a classic microcystic serous cystadenoma, a malignant cystic mass, or pancreatitis with a fluid collection, no further evaluation is necessary. If the patient is a young woman and there is a solitary, unilocular cystic lesion in the tail of the pancreas, the patient should undergo surgical resection. Indeterminate lesions should undergo EUS with FNA. Aspirated cyst fluid should be analyzed for CEA, cytology, and amylase (Table 3). Preference should be given to sending the fluid for CEA, over cytology in small mucinous lesions. Cytology should be used preferentially in malignant appearing cystic lesions. IPMN lesions should have FNA of cystic lesions, an enlarged pancreatic duct, and focal mass lesions. Cytology should be used preferentially.

### Treatment

Surgical resection is the treatment of choice for pre-malignant cystic neoplasms. The decision to resect a lesion, however, is based on the presence or absence of symptoms, the risk of malignancy, and the surgical risk of the patient. High risk patients with low grade cystic neoplasms may be monitored with periodic CT/MRI scanning or EUS-FNA [56]. Experimentally, high risk patients have been treated with EUS-guided ethanol lavage which safely produces ablation of the cyst epithelium [57]. Small cystic lesions in the elderly can be safely monitored [58].

The increasing safety of surgical resection has prompted the use of surgery for a wider range of lesions [59]. However, serous cystadenomas do not require resection except for relief of symptoms [60]. Since most mucinous cystic neoplasms are located in the tail of the pancreas, a distal pancreatectomy is sufficient for these pre-malignant lesions. Since intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms invade the pancreas along ductal structures, it is important that frozen section histology be used during surgery to assure negative margins [61,62].

### Prognosis

Cystic neoplasms are slow growing and 19% will demonstrate an increase in diameter at 16 months [63]. Surgical resection is associated with a morbidity of 27.9%, with a reoperation rate of 7.3% and a very low mortality rate [6]. The overall 5-year survival for patients having IPMNs without invasive cancer was 77%, compared with 43% in those patients with an invasive component [64]. The overall post-operative recurrence rate varies from 7% to 43% [65]. Approximately 50% of patients will have evidence of malignancy in the resected specimen [66]. Similar survival rates are seen in patients with mucinous cystic neoplasms [67]. Side branch lesions arising from IPMN have a better prognosis than main duct IPMN [68]. The worst prognosis is for advanced, transmural adenocarcinomas arising from mucinous lesions; the 5-year survival is only 30% for resected lesions.
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