Competition for EUS (a) EBUS-TBNA (b) video assisted thoracoscopy
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Introduction

Mediastinal lymph node enlargement occurs in up to 38% of NSCLC at diagnosis [1]. The identification of cancer spread to the mediastinum, either as direct tumour invasion or as lymph node metastases, is critical as this not only determines prognosis but also treatment options. Stage I and II disease, where there is no evidence of spread outside the lung, is treated by surgical resection, while patients with low volume ipsilateral mediastinal lymph node metastases (stage IIIA) may be offered induction chemotherapy followed by resection. Chemotherapy/radiotherapy alone is reserved for patients with contralateral mediastinal or distant metastases (stage IIIB or IV).

Non-invasive imaging

Computed tomography (CT) allows assessment of the primary lesion and can also identify mediastinal lymph nodes and distant metastases in the liver or adrenals. The sensitivity of CT for detecting mediastinal lymph node involvement is very much dependent on the size of the lymph nodes with an overall sensitivity of 60% and specificity of 81% [2]. Studies have also shown that 'normal sized' nodes (i.e. short axis diameter less than 1cm) on CT harbour malignancy in 22% to 44% of cases [3,4].

Positron emission tomography (PET) with 18-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose (FDG) has been reported to be more sensitive than CT at detecting both local and distant metastases with a sensitivity and specificity of 84% and 89% respectively reported in a recent meta analysis [2]. Data from individual trials, however, have been conflicting. One study concluded that addition of PET to a conventional staging work-up prevented unnecessary surgery in one-fifth of patients with potentially resectable NSCLC [5], while two larger studies failed to report an overall decrease in the thoracotomy rate [6,7]. Further large scale, prospective studies are required to clarify the role of PET scanning in NSCLC staging.

Minimally invasive techniques

Given the problems with non-invasive imaging, tissue sampling of suspicious lymph nodes has become increasingly important. Bronchoscopy with transbronchial lymph node aspiration (TBNA) can be performed in the outpatient setting using conscious sedation. This is a 'blind' procedure and is usually restricted to large
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subcarinal lymph nodes, with reported sensitivities varying from 38% to 89% reflecting the operator dependency of this approach [8,9].

**Cervical mediastinoscopy** provides access to the left and right paratracheal lymph nodes (levels 2R, 4R, 2L, 4L) and sometimes the upper anterior subcarinal lymph nodes (level 7). Access to the aortopulmonary (AP) window (level 5) is limited by the aorta and the left main stem bronchus. Anterior or posterior mediastinoscopy also allows access to the AP window, pre-aortic lymph nodes (level 6) and subaortic lymph nodes. Mediastinoscopy requires a general anaesthetic, and has a complication rate of 1 to 3% [10] and up to 10% of patients with a negative mediastinoscopy, ultimately still undergo an exploratory thoracotomy without resection of the tumour [11].

**Endoscopic ultrasound with FNA (EUS-FNA)** offers a minimally invasive method of examining the posterior and inferior mediastinal lymph node stations and is safe, with reported complication rates of < 0.5% [12–14]. It is highly accurate for detecting nodal metastases, a recent study by Caddy et al demonstrating 92% sensitivity and 100% specificity in diagnosing mediastinal malignancy in 52 consecutive patients, findings corroborated in other studies [15,16]. The accuracy of EUS-FNA has been compared with other modalities including CT and PET. EUS has been shown to be more accurate than CT in identifying malignant mediastinal lymph nodes, including those with ‘normal sized’ nodes on CT [17–19]. EUS-FNA has also been compared with PET, again with superior sensitivity [20] and specificity [4].

One comparative study of transbronchial needle aspiration (TBNA) with EUS-FNA has shown that EUS-FNA has a higher diagnostic accuracy in mediastinal staging of lung cancer [21], while another has shown that by combining TBNA and rapid onsite cytopathologic evaluation with same day EUS-FNA if TBNA is negative [22] provides a diagnostic yield of 90%. In patients in whom TBNA are negative samples are negative, subsequent EUS-FNA is often useful, with a reported sensitivity of 96% [12].

To date, in many centres the gold standard for identifying malignant mediastinal lymph nodes has been mediastinoscopy. Recent prospective studies randomly assigned patients to either conventional workup (i.e. mediastinoscopy and subsequent thoracotomy) or EUS-FNA [23]. 9% of the patients in the EUS-FNA underwent a futile thoracotomy compared with 25% in the conventional workup group. When Eloubeid et al compared EUS-FNA with mediastinoscopy, they found that 37.1% of patients who had a negative mediastinoscopy had malignant lymph nodes by EUS-FNA [24]. Another study found that EUS-FNA identified more patients with tumour invasion or lymph node metastases compared with mediastinoscopy (28% versus 20%), with a combination of both procedures identifying malignancy in 36%. 16% of thoracotomies could have been avoided by using EUS-FNA in addition to mediastinoscopy [25]. The cost-effectiveness of EUS-FNA was examined by Kramer et al. [26] who demonstrated that the addition of EUS-FNA to conventional lung cancer staging reduces the staging costs by 40% per patient, mainly as a result of fewer surgical staging procedures. Eloubeidi et al. have also shown that EUS-FNA is cost effective, with an average cost saving of $11,033 per patient if EUS-FNA is used initially rather than mediastinoscopy [24]. The main limitation of EUS-FNA is its inability to visualise stations anterior and superior to the trachea or main bronchi [27]. This can be overcome by combining it with alternative approaches such as mediastinoscopy or endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS).

**Endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS)**

Endobronchial ultrasound probes were first developed to evaluate the depth of invasion of malignant tumours in the central and peripheral bronchi as well as for evaluation of lymph nodes located in the mediastinum in patients with lung cancer. Initially these were radial scanning catheter probes passed through the instrument channel of a bronchoscope and provided images of the wall layers of major airways and tumour invasion but had limited depth of penetration and nodes could not be sampled. Recently, a convex array probe has been introduced (Olympus BF-UC260F-OL8, Olympus Medical Company, Tokyo, Japan) which has a fine-needle aspiration biopsy facility (Fig. 1). The instrument is similar to a standard videobronchoscope, with an outer diameter of 6.9 mm, a 2.0 mm instrument channel and 30° oblique forward viewing optics. An electronic convex array ultrasound transducer is mounted at the distal tip and covered by a water inflatable balloon sheath. Scanning is performed at 7.5 MHz and allows a penetration of up to 50 mm. Image processing is performed by an Olympus ultrasound processor (EU-C2000).

**EBUS procedure**

EBUS is minimally invasive and can either be performed under conscious sedation [28] or under a general anaesthetic [29], often at the same time as standard bronchoscopic evaluation. The probe is passed through the mouth and vocal cords to the main carina, the balloon is partially inflated with water (0.1–0.2mls) and the regional lymph node stations of the middle mediastinum and hilum (stations 2, 3, 4, 7 and 10 and sometimes 11) are systematically imaged and measured (short axis diameter) during slow withdrawal and rotation of the transducer.

**Fig. 1 (a)** Convex array EBUS-FNA instrument (Olympus BF-UC260F-OL8) with 22 gauge needle. **(b)** with standard curved linear array instrument for EUS-FNA (Olympus GIF-UC 240P) for comparison.
Fine needle aspiration is performed using a dedicated 22-gauge needle passed through the airway wall and into lymph nodes under real-time ultrasound control. On occasion, cartilage rings prevent needle puncture, in which case the bronroscope is moved a few millimetres cranially or caudally and repeat puncture performed. Aspirated material is processed in an identical manner to EUS-FNA. The optimum number of passes required in the absence of on-site cytology remains to be determined but in our experience 3 to 4 passes is associated with 90% sensitivity (unpublished data). With experience the procedure can be completed in approximately 30 minutes, is generally well tolerated and safe with minimal or no complications.

**EBUS results**

EBUS-TBNA has been used to stage nearly 200 patients in published papers [30–34]. None of the case series reported any complications, with a sensitivity for malignancy of 85% to 100% and specificity of 100% reported. Vilmann et al. have shown that EUS-FNA with EBUS-TBNA are complementary, with a combination of the two giving 100% accuracy in their series of 31 patients [34]. Thus the combination of EUS and EBUS may offer more comprehensive access to the mediastinal and hilar lymph nodes than is currently available with mediastinoscopy, which is confined to the middle mediastinum. To date there are no comparisons of EUS/EBUS versus mediastinoscopy or EUS/EBUS with VATS. A combination of EUS-FNA and EBUS could potentially minimise the need for surgical staging procedures and studies of this ‘medical mediastinoscopy’ approach compared to more invasive techniques are eagerly awaited. The two techniques visualise different mediastinal stations, are therefore truly complimentary and should not be regarded as competitors. EBUS-TBNA, however, is still in its infancy and questions remain to be answered. Larger case series are needed and data published to date are from expert centres and may not be reproduced as the technique rolls out into wider practice.

**Video assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS)**

While there is a significant literature regarding VATS and oesophageal cancer staging, there is a paucity of data relating to lung cancer, despite its recent resurgence in popularity due to improved video optics and thoracoscopic instrumentation. VATS is performed through one or more access ports in the intercostal spaces, thus limiting surgical trauma and postoperative pain associated with thoracotomy [35]. It provides a view of the ipsilateral hemithorax, hilum and paratracheal lymph nodes. In the right hemithorax the paratracheal lymph nodes (level 4R and 2R) can be visualised and biopsied. In the left hemithorax, the pre-aortic (level 6) and subaortic (level 5) lymph nodes can be seen. Several studies have shown the possibility of performing a final staging and surgical evaluation of lung cancer resectability [36,37] as well as endoscopic lobectomy [38]. VATS presents some advantages over open surgery with less pain, smaller incisions, fewer and less serious postoperative complications and a shorter hospital stay [39]. It is, however, an invasive procedure, requiring a general anaesthetic, 1–3 days in hospital and has rarely been associated with tumour seeding in the extraction port [40,41]. As mentioned above, there are no published data comparing VATS with either EUS or EBUS.

**Conclusions**

The techniques available for staging NSCLC have increased hugely in recent years with a greater role for minimally invasive procedures such as EUS-FNA, EBUS-TBNA and VATS. These appear to be safe and accurate in studies to date but much work remains to be done in order to define the optimum staging algorithm for patients with NSCLC. Such studies are essential if we are to provide accurate staging that informs prognosis, selection of therapies and, hopefully, translates into better patient outcomes.
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