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1. Introduction

Common use cases for a CDW are to query frequencies of patients with certain inclusion and exclusion criteria, e.g. for assessing whether there are enough patients for a clinical trial. If a major part of the required data is not available as structured data but only included in textual reports, such assessments are quite time-consuming by manually checking many text documents. The standard method would be to preprocess the textual data within the ETL1 process transferring data from the EHR into the CDW with information extraction methods. Various approaches to extract structured information from unstructured texts exist (e.g. for German texts [3, 4, 5]), but they require computational heavy preprocessing in the integration step and cannot be applied at query time dynamically. Furthermore much time has to be spend for engineering and building ontologies.
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Summary
Background: Clinical Data Warehouses (CDW) reuse Electronic health records (EHR) to make their data retrievable for research purposes or patient recruitment for clinical trials. However, much information are hidden in unstructured data like discharge letters. They can be preprocessed and converted to structured data via information extraction (IE), which is unfortunately a laborious task and therefore usually not available for most of the text data in CDW.

Objectives: The goal of our work is to provide an ad hoc IE service that allows users to query text data ad hoc in a manner similar to querying structured data in a CDW. While search engines just return text snippets, our systems also returns frequencies (e.g. how many patients exist with “heart failure” including textual synonyms or how many patients have an LVEF < 45) based on the content of discharge letters or textual reports for special investigations like heart echo. Three subtasks are addressed: (1) To recognize and to exclude negations and their scopes, (2) to extract concepts, i.e. Boolean values and (3) to extract numerical values.

Methods: We implemented an extended version of the NegEx-algorithm for German texts that detects negations and determines their scope. Furthermore, our document oriented CDW PaDaWaN was extended with query functions, e.g. context sensitive queries and regex queries, and an extraction mode for computing the frequencies for Boolean and numerical values.

Results: Evaluations in chest X-ray reports and in discharge letters showed high F1-scores for the three subtasks: Detection of negated concepts in chest X-ray reports with an F1-score of 0.99 and in discharge letters with 0.97; of Boolean values in chest X-ray reports about 0.99, and of numerical values in chest X-ray reports and discharge letters also around 0.99 with the exception of the concept age.

Discussion: The advantages of an ad hoc IE over a standard IE are the low development effort (just entering the concept with its variants), the promptness of the results and the adaptability by the user to his or her particular question. Disadvantage are usually lower accuracy and confidence.

This ad hoc information extraction approach is novel and exceeds existing systems: Roogle [1] extracts predefined concepts from texts at preprocessing and makes them retrievable at runtime. Dr. Warehouse [2] applies negation detection and indexes the produced subtexts which include affirmed findings. Our approach combines negation detection and the extraction of concepts. But the extraction does not take place during preprocessing, but at runtime. That provides an ad hoc, dynamic, interactive and adjustable information extraction of random concepts and even their values on the fly at runtime.

Conclusions: We developed an ad hoc information extraction query feature for Boolean and numerical values within a CDW with high recall and precision based on a pipeline that detects and removes negations and their scope in clinical texts.
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1.1 CDWs and Their Extraction Features

Another approach is to retrieve the information dynamically at runtime. However, most CDWs do not support textual queries very well. That revealed a research in literature and websites of CDWs, their extensions, patient recruitment and clinical systems and research data bases: ArchiMed [6], BigQ [7], DW4TR [8], EHR4CR [9], Harvest [10], i2b2 [11], OpenMRS [12], REDCap [13], STRIDE [14], tranSMART [15], Vanderbilt [16], x4T [17], Roogel [1], Dr. Warehouse [2].

Most of them do not describe how textual data can be queried. A few systems provide information on how the data is stored. This allows conclusions on the possible text related query features. The CDW Harvest uses the data abstraction layer Avocado, which indexes text data for "subsequent search" [8]. In STRIDE all clinical documents and reports are full-text indexed and searchable using Oracle Text [14]. OpenMRS uses Apache Lucene with Hibernate Search to perform a full text indexing on all registered entities. In tranSMART all files and table records are indexed and can be queried via Apache Solr [13].

The wide-spread open source CDW i2b2 [11] just offers the SQL like-operator, that can be used to perform wildcard queries.

There are also document oriented CDWs like Roogel, which stores clinical texts and their metadata [1]. The system extracts clinical concepts from these texts, but they are predefined, like e.g. the MeSH thesaurus. IE in clinical documents is complicated by the fact, that many phrases are negated [18]. Therefore it is important to identify these negations and exclude them from the queried results. The full-text search-engine based CDW Dr. Warehouse improves its functionality by identifying negations and family history context. Texts are divided into subtexts, which are indexed, so it is possible to query the text parts, which contain affirmed findings of a patient. They used ConText (see below) to find these subtexts. That system is built for French texts [2].

However, ad hoc information extraction with the option to count medical concepts and their numeric values has not yet been described. Ad-hoc IE means the technical concept of extracting the existence of any concept (e.g. chronic kidney disease) or any number (e.g. the LVEF value) from a source in real-time thus allowing the application of the usual query operations (e.g. counting the number of patient cases with LVEF < 45) on the extracted concepts.

1.2 Negation Detection

A prerequisite for useful counts of search results in clinical texts is the reliable detection of negations. Chapman et al. introduced in 2001 the NegEx algorithm for identifying negated findings in discharge summaries [19]. Chapman et al. extended it to ConText, which analyses whether the clinical condition is negated, hypothetical, historical or concerns another person than the patient himself. Moreover the scope determination was changed from a fixed size of six tokens to the next trigger token in the sentence [20]. The trigger sets have been translated in multiple languages: Swedish [21], French [22], Spanish [23, 24], Dutch [25], Swedish, French and German [26]. The German triggers have been extended and the algorithm has been adapted. It showed good results in a small evaluation with eight discharge letters (F1-score 0.91) and 175 clinical notes (F1-score 0.96) [27]. One evaluation on negation detection in German clinical text was made by Gros and Stede with their Netopus system [28]. It achieved good results on finding the negation triggers, but could only determine the exact scope in 54% in German medical texts.

Other approaches as the popular token-based algorithm NegEx exist in particular for English texts. Rule-based systems use ontologies [29] or syntactic parsing [30]. Dependency parsing was used as well to enrich the negation detection and scope determination [31]. Even some machine learning approaches were made e.g. by trying to classify a negation with a support vector machine [32]. A good overview is given by Mehrabi [33]. Although many papers show good results, Wue et al. show that the negation detection problem is not solved yet. If no in-domain development or training-data is available the algorithms perform poor [32].

2. Objectives

The goal is to develop a pipeline for ad hoc IE being able to reliably count Boolean and constrained numerical values in clinical textual documents. Because many findings are negated in clinical texts, this includes three subtasks: (a) recognizing and excluding negations and their scopes in text documents, (b) extracting Boolean and (c) numeric values fulfilling constraints (e.g. LVEF < 45) with context sensitive search queries.

This ad hoc IE shall not be considered as a replacement for conventional IE, but rather a supplement allowing quick shallow data aggregation to potentially answer any question in the first approximation without the complex pre-defined specifications required for standard IE.

3. Methods

3.1 PaDaWaN

In the document oriented CDW system PaDaWaN [34] every text, like e.g. a discharge letter, is analyzed within an analysis pipeline and stored in the index of Apache Solr server, a popular full-text search engine built on top of the index library Apache Lucene. Afterwards the text can be queried from physicians in the PaDaWaN-Web GUI [34].

PaDaWaN is implemented at the University Hospital of Würzburg, including various data types integrated from various medical domains and a privacy protection concept approved by the institution's data protection officer. It uses state of the art techniques such as de-identification of text contents and pseudonymization.

3.2 System Design of Text Search Extension

During data integration, the texts are pre-processed in an analysis pipeline. In addi-
tion to standard NLP tasks, such as tokenizing, stemming and stop-word removal, this pipeline also includes the special function negation detection. All negated parts with their scopes are identified and removed. The remaining text with only affirmed and no negated findings and the original text are both passed in the pipeline. At the end, like all other information, they are stored in the index so that they can be queried separately afterwards.

During run time, the index can be requested through the interface. That’s where the ad hoc IE takes place. To make that possible we developed query features and output features to extract information to make them available for further processing [35].

### 3.3 Extended NegEx-Algorithm

We used an extended version of the NegEx-algorithm to identify the negations. Some adaptations were made, because the input of the root algorithm has two arguments: a sentence and a concept token. The output is whether the concept is negated or not. In our system, negation detection is part of the preprocessing, but the concepts to be classified are only queried later at runtime. Therefore, we determined the negations and their scope within a sentence. All concepts, that are located in a negated scope, are considered as negated. Like ConText, we extended the NegEx algorithm by changing the negation scope from fixed length of six tokens to a variable length to adapt the input of NegEx. Some ex-

**Table 1** Example for pre and post negating triggers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PREN</th>
<th>Keine Anhaltspunkte für pulmonale Metastasierung.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POST</td>
<td>Für eine pulmonale Metastasierung ergaben sich keine Anhaltspunkte.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

choose the label with the longest match sequence. E.g. the tokens "keine Anhaltspunkte für" (no evidence for) are assigned to the pre-negating label (PREN) while the tokens of the subsequence "keine Anhaltspunkte" (no evidence) are assigned to the post-negating label (POST). See Table 1.

#### 3.3.2 Algorithm Description

**Input:** sentence, trigger list.
**Output:** negated scopes in the sentence. 
1. All trigger tokens in a given sentence are annotated with their corresponding label.
2. The algorithm iterates over the trigger tokens of the sentence. At every post-negating trigger token a negation scope is added from the last trigger token (or begin of the sentence) to the current one. At every pre-negating trigger token a negation scope is added from the current trigger token to the next trigger token (or the end of the sentence).

#### 3.3.3 Sentence Splitting

As mentioned above, the input of NegEx is one sentence. Because we had to process an entire text, sentence splitting had to be applied. The text is split up at the usual punctuations excluding punctuations in abbreviations, dates and blocks in parentheses. The comma sign was added to the split-token-list, since in many clinical abbreviated texts it serves as a regular period, e.g. “Zungenmotilität normal, keine Zungenfibrillationen, Zungenkraft normal” (Tongue motility normal, no tongue fibrillation, tongue force normal). Some exceptions were made to capture Hearst-patterns, i.e. enumerations like "Keine Stauungszeichen, Infiltrate oder Ergüsse." (No cramps, infiltrates or effusions.). That step is performed in the Apache UIMA5 pipeline.

#### 3.4 CDW Integration

The negation detection identifies all negated parts in a text and removes them from the text. The remaining text with no negated findings and the original text are both added to the PaDaWaN, an index based CDW. The texts and all other information in the DW, like core data, ICD10 diagnosis, laboratory findings, procedures and other report findings, can be queried through a web-GUI by physicians.

#### 3.5 Query Features

Because PaDaWaN is a search-engine-based CDW, it offers many query features for texts [35]. We developed and extended these features so that users can create queries at run-time that recognize any concepts in texts and extract their values on the fly. There are well known functions like Boolean retrieval, wildcards and phrase queries, and more advanced features like a context specific query, a regular expression query with filter options and output definition.
This paper evaluated the functionality of these query features that we developed and extended. The usability in a user interface of a CDW by e.g. by physicians was not part of this work (see conclusion and further work).

3.6 Evaluation

All evaluations were made by randomly selecting texts out of the PaDaWaN CDW. To protect privacy, these texts are de-identified and in addition must not leave the clinical network.

3.6.1 Negation Detection

For the evaluation of the negation detection experiments we took two different domains. The first domain was chest X-ray reports. Their text structure is a telegraphic style with short sentences, mostly containing noun phrases.

We created a manually annotated gold standard of 100 reports. First, the texts were automatically pre-annotated to save time, using an information-extraction terminology created by physicians [36]. Afterwards these texts were manually corrected in the ATHEN environment6 to achieve the gold standard.

---

6 http://www.is.informatik.uni-wuerzburg.de/research_tools_download/athen/
In contrast to chest X-ray reports, we used a second domain with a more complex sentence structure and a larger vocabulary, i.e. discharge letters. We created a gold standard as well for 50 letters. That procedure was similar to the chest X-ray gold standard, but because we had no terminology for an entire discharge letter, we tried to identify findings and medical concepts in the texts. Therefore we took the German list of Alpha-ID\(^7\), a list with more than 80.000 diagnoses, and the German version of MeSH (Medical Subject Headings)\(^8\), a list with more than 60.000 medical concepts. Additionally we used a part-of-speech tagger [37] to label all named entities and nouns with no lemma. That are nouns, which are unknown to the tagger; these are technical terms of a specific domain, in this case: mostly medical concepts. Next, we used our extended NegEx-algorithm to label negation trigger and their span. This pre-annotated data was again corrected manually to create a gold standard.

### 3.6.2 Ad Hoc Information Extraction

The ad hoc information extraction was evaluated in two domains as well: echocardiogram reports and discharge letters. We randomly picked 1000 texts from each domain. The ad hoc IE queries were run in the PaDaWaN-system and the results were manually evaluated.

The medical concepts and their synonyms in query syntax like (10) are the input for the Boolean ad hoc information extraction (Engl. mild mitral insufficiency):

\[ \text{leicht* Mitral*insuffizienz} \] (10)

Similar, regular expressions describing the medical concept and the value to be extracted are the input for the numeric ad hoc information extraction:

\[ (\text{Cholesterin|Chol})(\text{|(\cdot|\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot)\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot|}) (\text{[0–9]+}) \text{ mg/} S 4 \] (11)

The PaDaWaN-system used an Apache Solr 7.0 server out-of-the-box and it was run with one instance, two nodes and two shards. Caching was disabled during the tests.

### 4. Results

#### 4.1 Negation Detection

The F1-score for the negation detection was 0.99 in the telegraphic-style chest X-ray reports and 0.96 in the more complex discharge letters. Table 4 shows the detailed results of the evaluation of the negation detection of medical concepts. (TP = true positives, FP = false positives, FN = false negatives).

While the precision with just one false positive in each domain is high, the recall contained some false negatives, which refer to different error sources. In 67% of all errors the negation triggers were not contained in the trigger set. This was especially the main problem for discharge letters (77% of its errors). Due to the natural flow of speech the variety of the negation trigger was much greater than in the chest X-ray reports. This explains the difference in the overall performance between the two domains: F1-scores: chest X-ray 0.99, discharge letter 0.96. Table 5 summarizes a categorization of the error sources.

The ten most common missing negation triggers are: kein Anhalt für (no clue for), nicht erforderlich (not mandatory), nicht angegeben (not specified), keine Notwendigkeit (no need), nicht anzuraten (not recommended), nicht bekannt (not known), nicht mehr nachweisbar (no longer detectable), nicht tastbar (not palpable), traten nicht mehr auf (did not occur anymore), keine Indikation (no indication).

The negated scopes were detected with a F1-score of 0.97. The exact length was determined in 91%. Table 6 shows the detailed results in the retrieval of the negated scopes and the determination of their length in the discharge letter domain.
Table 8 Performance of Boolean ad hoc information extraction using the context sensitive query feature for medical concepts: (1) mild mitral insufficiency, (2) high mitral insufficiency and (3) mild aortic stenosis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>FP</th>
<th>FN</th>
<th>TP</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>F1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leichtgradige Mitralinsuffizienz</td>
<td>echocardiography</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hochgradige Mitralinsuffizienz</td>
<td>echocardiography</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leichtgradige Aortenstenose</td>
<td>echocardiography</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9 Performance of numeric ad hoc information extraction using the regex query feature for the medical concepts: (1) Cholesterol, (2) Glucose, (3) BMI, (4) LVEF, and (5) age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>FP</th>
<th>FN</th>
<th>TP</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>F1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>discharge letter</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>discharge letter</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>discharge letter</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF</td>
<td>echocardiography report</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>discharge letter</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Many errors in the chest X-ray report were made by splitting the sentence at wrong positions. The reasons are: Some abbreviations were unknown, the corresponding period was misinterpreted. Furthermore enumerations were not recognized and also some filler words like and, too or commas were mistakenly included at the end of negations scope. Table 7 summarizes a categorization of the error sources.

4.2 Boolean Ad Hoc Information Extraction

The evaluation of the Boolean ad hoc information extraction in the heart echo documents showed good results with an F1-score between 0.98 and 1 (see Table 8). Three concepts with modifiers were queried in 1000 chest echocardiography reports. Every query contained synonyms and wildcards to match the concepts in the texts. The context sensitive query feature was used to ensure that the queried tokens relate to each other.

4.3 Numeric Ad Hoc Information Extraction

Table 9 shows the result of numeric ad hoc information using the regex query feature with examples from two datasets. Some regex-queries (cholesterol, glucose, age) contained synonyms of the concepts and all queries accepted multiple notations. All F1-scores except “age” are above 0.99.

The last concept “age” is a difficult task, because it not only refers to the current age of the patient but also to his or her history or to other persons than the patient. We achieved a high recall, but a low precision (see Table 9, error analysis see Table 10). However, extracting the age of a person is not necessary in practice, because it is accessible as structured data.

The error analysis revealed that the eight false negatives for the first four concepts in Table 9 are caused by an incorrect sentence splitting in the preprocessing, while the six false positives result from incorrect recognition of intervals instead of single numbers.

For extracting the concept age in the discharge letter, 97% of the errors refer to the wrong context, as it can be seen in Table 10. The errors are subdivided in four parts: age in the patient history (“First occurrence at the age of 30 years.”), age in family history (“The grandmother died with 87 years.”), relative years in the patient history (“5 years ago”), relative years to future events (“next examination in 2 years”).

They can be grouping errors in the patient history (73%), in the family history (11%) and future events (13%).

The average time was 1075 ms to query the number hits and 1536 ms to export all extracted information.

5. Discussion

5.1 Negation Detection

The negation detection performed very well in both domains and slightly better as Cotik et al. They achieved a F1-score of 0.96 score on clinical notes and 0.91 on discharge letters (see Table 11) [27].

In 91% of all detected negations scopes, the length of the scope was determined correctly. That score is lower, but keep in mind, that the F1-score of negated concepts was quite good. So some of these miscalculated scopes did not contain relevant information or clinical concepts. In fact, the determination is a difficult task, Gros and Stede could only compute in 54% the
exact scope in medical texts (see ▶Table 12) [28].

### 5.2 Boolean Ad Hoc Information Extraction

The extraction for Boolean values using the context sensitive query worked well. The text is split up into sentences and logical parts and negations are removed at preprocessing time. Afterwards queries can be run against the index. The tokens of a query must match the tokens in one sentence. Wildcards in the query tokens match many variants of word spellings. That simple mechanism is a very powerful tool. Even the span-limitation-feature between the words is often not necessary. The F1-scores between 0.99 and 1.0 confirm that approach.

### 5.3 Numeric Ad Hoc Information Extraction

The regular expression queries for the numeric ad hoc information extraction provided very good results as well. But they showed the limitation of that approach, too. The extraction of the desired values works fine, but the context must be clear. If the concept always refers to the patient, the regex query is a powerful feature as well, which extracted values with a F1-score bigger than 0.99. Currently we work towards recognizing different contexts of concepts (e.g. age of relatives).

### 5.4 Ad Hoc IE Versus Standard IE

Ad hoc IE has several advantages in comparison to standard IE, but also some shortcomings. Its advantages are the low development effort, the promptness of the results and the adaptability by the user to his or her particular question. The main disadvantage is that the accuracy of the results is usually lower and there are no evaluation results available resulting in a lower confidence. The biggest difference however is the development effort, which is very low for Ad hoc IE (just entering the concept with its variants) and high for standard IE requiring the definition of a terminology and learning or engineering the extraction patterns. ▶Table 13 summarizes the comparison. It would be attractive to integrate concepts from the ad hoc IE into the permanent part of the CDW by enriching its catalog of concepts.

### 5.5 PaDaWaN Versus Other CDWs

This approach is novel and exceeds existing systems: Roogle [1] extracts predefined concepts from texts at preprocessing and makes them retrievable at runtime. Dr. Warehouse [2] applies negation detection and indexes the produced subtexts which include affirmed findings.

The introduced approach combines negation detection and the extraction of concepts, but not in an unmodifiable way that has only a fixed set of concepts and that takes place at the time of preprocessing. We provide ad hoc, dynamic, interactive and adjustable information extraction of random concepts and even their values on the fly at runtime.

### 6. Conclusion and Further Work

A pipeline for a negation sensitive ad hoc information extraction of Boolean and numeric concepts was developed and evaluated allowing context sensitive and regular expression queries for texts within a CDW.

We have shown that an ad hoc IE can deliver good results. Since it can be used interactively and customized by users at
runtime, it is a good complement to existing IE approaches.

It is intended, that physicians can make direct use of the ad hoc information extraction in the user interface of a CDW. So the next step is the development and evaluation of a smart user interface including the above mentioned extension of the catalog of concepts.
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