Evaluation of root morphology and root canal configuration of premolars in the Turkish individuals using cone beam computed tomography
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of the present study is to assess the root and root canal morphology of maxillary and mandibular premolars in a Turkish population by using cone beam computed tomography (CBCT). Materials and Methods: In this study, CBCT images of 2134 premolars (987 maxillary, 1147 mandibular) were obtained from 404 patients. Details of gender, age, number of roots and canals, and canal configuration in each root were recorded. The canal configuration was classified and evaluated according to Vertucci’s criteria. Results: The majority of maxillary premolars had two separate roots; although, three roots were identified in 1% of maxillary first premolars. However, most of the mandibular premolars had a single root. The two canals (69.9%) and type I (62.6%) and type II (34.1%) configuration for upper first premolar, one canal (82.1%) and type I (77.6%) canal configuration for second premolar was the most prevalent root canal frequency. The most prevalent root canal frequency was the one canal (96.2%) and type I (94.2%) and type V (3.2%) configuration for mandibular first premolar, one canal (98.9%) and type I (98.9%) canal configuration for second premolar. There was no difference in the root canal configurations and the numbers of canals between the left and the right side of both females and males (P > 0.05). Conclusions: Recognition of morphology and anatomy of the root canal system is one of the most important factors for successful endodontic treatment. Preoperative CBCT examination allows determination of root canal configuration of premolar teeth and helps clinicians in root canal treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

Successful endodontic treatment depends on the clear understanding of configurations and shapes of root canals and the dimensions of the canal walls. Nevertheless, the variation of the root canal morphology presents clinical difficulties that often might lead to unfavorable endodontic outcomes. Radiographic investigation is important in diagnosis and root canal therapy planning in endodontics. The information obtained from digital periapical radiographs and conventional radiographs is limited due to three-dimensional (3-D) anatomy of the region being radiographed is compacted into a two-dimensional (2-D) image and this caused superimposition on buccolingual plane. Therefore, variableness of root and root canal morphology cannot be determined without 3-D images.

Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) is a noninvasive method, potentially provides the clinician...
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an ability to evaluate the maxillofacial anatomy in axial, sagittal, and coronal sections and produces high quality 3-D diagnostic images without structure overlapping. Root and canal morphology, the number of canals, and their divergence or convergence from each other can be visualized in 3-D. For these reasons, CBCT has been recommended for the accurate evaluation of root canal systems.[4]

In the literature, root canal morphology of upper premolar teeth has not been reported much more. Only it has specified that the canal morphologies are complex and changeable in maxillary upper premolar and the only tooth that shows all eight possible configurations of Vertucci’s classification is maxillary second premolar.[1,5] The morphology of mandibular premolars is very similar, and the root canal systems of these single-rooted premolars generally have a single root canal. However, reports have exhibited that the root canal morphology of premolars is not as easy as it may appear to be on plain radiographs.[2] Many roots have additional canals and a variety of canal configurations.[5]

The aim of the present study was to analyze the accurate determination of root anatomy and morphology and root canal configuration of premolars in a Turkish population by using CBCT.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Ethical Committee of the University of Erciyes, Faculty of Dentistry, approved the study protocol that has, therefore, been performed according to the ethical standards laid down in the declaration of Helsinki in 1964.

We designed a retrospective study using CBCT images (NewTom 5G with a voxel size of 0.125 mm) of maxillary and mandibular permanent premolars from patients who visited the Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology Department at the Erciyes University, Faculty of Dentistry, between June 2012 and March 2014. CBCT images investigated were taken because of dentomaxillofacial problems of patients.

We searched a database of 925 CBCT scans and evaluated 404 patients who met the following inclusion criteria:
• Permanent premolars with no periapical lesions
• Absence of root canal therapy
• No root canals with open apices
• Premolar teeth with complete root formation
• Absence of coronal or postcoronal restorations
• Absence of root resorption or calcification
• Presence of high-quality CBCT images.

The final group included records from 404 patients (199 females [49.3%] and 205 males [50.7%]). A total of 511 maxillary first premolar teeth, 476 second premolar teeth, 598 mandibular first premolar teeth, and 549 second premolar teeth were selected.

The CBCT images were examined in the NNT viewer which is a simple version of the NNT software of the CBCT (Newtom5G, QR, Verona, Italy) machine in a Dell Precision T5400 workstation (Dell, Round Rock, TX, USA), 0.25 mm isotropic voxel size, and a 32 inches Dell LCD screen with a resolution of 1,280 × 1,024 pixels in a darkroom. The brightness and contrast of the images were attuned using the image processing device in the software to ensure optimal image.

All the images were assessed concurrently by two dentomaxillofacial radiologists to reach an agreement in the interpretation of the radiographic findings. Types of canal configurations and the existence of a canal were investigated using the NNT toolbar. We rolled downward throughout the images from the bottom of the pulp chamber to the root tip to notice the number of canals and roots and the canal configuration at the axial slices in tomography. Tomography slices of 0.25 mm in the coronal, axial, and sagittal view were produced. Cross-sectional and axial views were sent out to a computer in the digital imaging and communications in medicine format. These images were used to inspect the root and root canal system.

Using CBCT, the teeth related were inspected for the following observations that estimated:
• The number and morphology of roots
• The number of canals in each root
• The canal morphology for each root according to Vertucci’s classification[6] [Figure 1].

For checking the diagnostic repeatability of the interreliability of the investigators, 10% of CBCT images chosen randomly by them were investigated each day for two consecutive weeks. Results were examined by the Wilcoxon matched pairs signed-rank test and it did not show any significant differences between the two observers.

The data examinations were performed by using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The total numbers of roots, the root canal configuration, and unilateral or bilateral occurrences were analyzed. The incidence and the correlations among right and left side and between females and males were determined. The Chi-square test was used to evaluate the statistically
significant differences between both genders. Statistical significance was identified at the level of \( P < 0.05 \).

**RESULTS**

Among the patients registered, 199 of them were female and 205 were male, with a mean age of 39.4 years (standard deviation: 16.67), varying from 15 to 77 years. In maxillary first premolar teeth, 41.4% of the patients had bilateral, 38.1% had unilateral premolar teeth, and 20.5% had no first premolar teeth, in second premolar; 38.8% of the patients had bilateral, 37.4% had unilateral premolar teeth, and 23.8% had no first premolar teeth. For the mandibular first premolar, 44.9% of the patients had bilateral, 42.4% had unilateral premolars, and 12.6% had no first premolar teeth. For the mandibular first premolar, 44.9% of the patients had bilateral, 42.4% had unilateral premolars, and 12.6% had no first premolar, in second premolar; 43.5% of the patients had bilateral, 39.3% had unilateral premolar teeth, and 17.2% had no first premolar.

**Maxillary premolars**

The data for the frequency distribution of root morphology and root canal configuration are presented in Table 1. Variants in root canal morphology of the upper first and second premolars and the canal classifications were shown in Figure 2.

The majority (70.8%) of maxillary first premolar teeth had two roots and one root canal in each root.

![Figure 1: Classification of root canal system according to Vertucci (1984)](image)

**Table 1: The frequency distribution and percentage of the number of root canals and the configuration of maxillary and mandibular premolar teeth**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of roots</th>
<th>Maxillary (%)</th>
<th>Mandibular (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First premolar</td>
<td>Second premolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One root</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two separate roots</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two fused roots</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two apically separate roots</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three roots</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of canal configuration (%)</td>
<td>Maxillary (%)</td>
<td>Mandibular (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>784 (62.6)</td>
<td>439 (77.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>427 (34.1)</td>
<td>71 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>10 (0.8)</td>
<td>6 (1.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 4</td>
<td>24 (1.9)</td>
<td>37 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 5</td>
<td>7 (0.6)</td>
<td>11 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Otherwise, 28.2% of upper first premolars had one root and canal and 1% had three roots. All three-rooted first premolars were determined in male patients. While maxillary second premolars had usually one root and canal at a rate of 82.1%, 17.8% of them had two roots and canals [Table 1].
Among two-rooted maxillary first premolars, the number of teeth with fused roots and apically separate roots were 104 (28%) and 5 (1.4%), respectively. Likewise, among two-rooted maxillary second premolars, the number of teeth with fused roots and apically separate roots were 39 (45%) and 3 (3.5%), respectively [Figure 3].

The numbers of roots were not revealed the difference in females and males except right maxillary second premolar [Table 2]. All three-rooted premolars were seen in males. When the maxillary first and second premolars were evaluated unilaterally, the incidence of canals was not diverse between the males and females. The frequency distribution of the different canal configurations of the maxillary premolars is shown in Table 1. In maxillary premolars, the type I canal configuration was the most prevalent in first premolars in proportion to 62.6%, 77.6% of second premolars, irrespective of the gender. The type VII and VIII canal configuration was not found in all teeth. For the maxillary premolars, when the teeth were evaluated unilaterally, there were no variations in the root canal configurations and the numbers of canals between the left and right side of both females and males.

Mandibular premolars

Table 1 shows the frequency distribution of the different canal configurations of the lower premolar teeth. Overall, in lower first premolar teeth type I, which indicated one root canal, was the most prevalent with the rate of 94.2% (n = 585) and 0.6% (n = 4) of the teeth had type II canal configuration. The type III canal configuration was observed in 1% (n = 7) of cases followed by type IV in 0.8% (n = 5) and type IV in 3.2% (n = 20). Type VII and VIII canal configurations were not found in all teeth. For the incidences of varying root canal configurations, there was no difference between the left and the right side of both males and females.

In the mandibular second premolar teeth, type I canal configuration was the most prevalent (n = 549, 98.9%) and only one teeth had type II canal configuration. The type V canal configuration was observed in three cases. Type IV, VII, and VIII canal configurations were not found in all teeth. Regardless of sex, for the incidences of varying root canal configurations, there was no difference between the left and the right side (P > 0.05). The numbers of roots were not revealed the difference in females and males except
Table 2: Distribution of teeth due to the root morphology in females and males

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of roots</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One root</td>
<td>Two roots</td>
<td>Three roots</td>
<td>One root</td>
<td>Two roots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right first premolar</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right second premolar</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.024*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left first premolar</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left second premolar</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right first premolar</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right second premolar</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left first premolar</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left second premolar</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level

left mandibular second premolar and right maxillary second premolar ($P = 0.004$, $P = 0.024$ respectively). All two-rooted premolars were seen in males [Table 2].

**DISCUSSION**

This study provides a report on the root and canal morphology of maxillary and mandibular premolars in a Turkish population by using CBCT. It is important to have a thorough knowledge of the root anatomy and canal structure for a successful root canal therapy. Numerous methods have been used for understanding the internal morphology of roots and canals. Canal configuration has been assessed by tooth clearing and canal staining, in vitro macroscopic examination, in vivo root canal treatment with magnification, plastic resin injection, sectioning, scanning electron microscopy evaluation, contrast medium-enhanced radiographic techniques, conventional radiographs, computed tomography (CT), micro-CT, and CBCT. Differences in study design and the various origins of the inspected teeth could account for highly variable outcomes.

Today, CBCT imaging system produces higher resolution volumetric records, high lower scan time, and geometric accuracy with a low radiation dose. Innovations in imaging systems and increased usage of CBCT in dentistry have allowed us to have a more accurate and closer look at anatomical structures. This technique has the potential to replace CT scans for correct diagnosis and evaluation of the internal and external morphology of the root and canal system. The use of CBCT after the 2000s to provide 3-D imaging, due to lower radiation dose and lower costs compared to CTs, has been accepted as a valuable imaging technique by many dentomaxillofacial authorities. In accordance with the present study, the morphology of roots and canals can be determined visibly in axial sections.

The anatomy of root canals was categorized into eight types according to Vertucci classification, and we used this classification in the present study. Many studies have reported that variations in root canal anatomy are very common. In some studies, the numbers of root canals of maxillary first premolars were reported the percentage; one as 3.92–26.2%, two as 73.3–97%, and three as 0–5%, [14,20–22]. In the study by Ok et al., the percentage of maxillary first premolars which had one root and one canal was 12.5%. In the present study, 28.2% of upper first premolar had one root and one canal which is higher than previous studies and the majority (70.8%) of upper first premolar had two roots and two canals that is slightly lower than previous studies. The percentage of three-canalled (1%) maxillary first premolars was higher than Caliskan et al., same with Ok et al. (1%) and lower than Kartal et al. (1.6%).

Several studies and textbooks represent Vertucci’s type I classification as the most common finding among all the types, [22–26]. In the previous studies of the Turkish population, it was reported that the type IV canal configuration was the most common with a percentage of 60–78% in the maxillary first premolar teeth. However, in the present study, type I was the most common canal configuration with a ratio of 62, 6%; same as the results of many studies and textbooks.

In other previous studies, related of maxillary second premolars, were reported that the 44–55% of teeth was one-canalled, 45–56% was two-canalled, and 0–1% was three-canalled. In the present study, maxillary second premolars had usually one root and canal (82.1%) that was higher than found in several studies of the Turkish population. Differences in study design and the various origins of the inspected teeth could account for highly variable outcomes.

In the literature, the incidence of one-canalled maxillary first premolars and second premolars were reported as 74–89.5% and 81.5–98.8%, respectively, similar to the results of present study. Also in the current study, when the mandibular first and
second premolars were evaluated, sex predilection was not observed. For the incidences of varying root canal configurations, there was no difference between the left and the right side.

In the present study, the type I canal configuration was the most common in mandibular second premolar with a quite high ratio at 98.9%. In previous in vitro studies of the Turkish population, the prevalence of type I configuration for mandibular premolars were found less than our results but in the study of E. Ok et al. was same as ours, approximately. The discrepancy in premolars in the current study compared to these studies may be related to most factors, with ethnic origins and ethnic differences, the major reasons for the diversity in the canal configurations. The previous studies of a Turkish population were performed in the North-west and Western regions of Turkey. In contrast, the CBCT data in the present in vivo study were obtained from the patients living in the Cappadocia region of the country. We could say that ethnic discrepancy between populations may influence the morphology of the root canals. These results emphasize the need for a careful radiographic examination in the success of root canal therapy.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study has some different results compared with the other study of the Turkish population, especially for Vertucci type of maxillary first premolars. This study provides comprehensive information for dentists on the root canal morphology of maxillary and mandibular premolar teeth in a Turkish population. CBCT was a clinically useful tool which leads to favorable endodontic treatment.
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