

Tigecycline activity against metallo- β -lactamase-producing bacteria

Simit Kumar, Maitreyi Bandyopadhyay, Soma Mondal, Nupur Pal, Tapashi Ghosh, Manas Bandyopadhyay, Parthajit Banerjee

Department of Microbiology, R. G. Kar Medical College, Kolkata, India

Access this article online

Website: www.avicennajmed.com

DOI: 10.4103/2231-0770.120500

Quick Response Code:



ABSTRACT

Background: Treatment of serious life-threatening multi-drug-resistant organisms poses a serious problem due to the limited therapeutic options. Tigecycline has been recently marketed as a broad-spectrum antibiotic with activity against both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. Even though many studies have demonstrated the activity of tigecycline against ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae, its activity is not well-defined against micro-organisms producing metallo- β -lactamases (MBLs), as there are only a few reports and the number of isolates tested is limited. **Aims:** The aim of the present study was to evaluate the activity of tigecycline against MBL-producing bacterial isolates. **Materials and Methods:** The isolates were tested for MBL production by (i) combined-disk test, (ii) double disc synergy test (DDST), (iii) susceptibility to aztreonam (30 μ g) disk. Minimum inhibitory concentration to tigecycline was determined according to agar dilution method as per Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines. Disc diffusion susceptibility testing was also performed for all these isolates using tigecycline (15 μ g) discs. **Results:** Among the total 308 isolates included in the study, 99 were found to be MBL producers. MBL production was observed mostly in isolates from pus samples (40.47%) followed by urine (27.4%) and blood (13.09%). MBL production was observed in *E. coli* (41.48%), *K. pneumoniae* (26.67%), *Proteus mirabilis* (27.78%), *Citrobacter spp.* (41.67%), *Enterobacter spp.* (25.08%), and *Acinetobacter spp.* (27.27%). The result showed that tigecycline activity was unaffected by MBL production and it was showed almost 100% activity against all MBL-producing isolates, with most of the isolates exhibiting an MIC ranging from 0.25-8 μ g/ml, except 2 MBL-producing *E. coli* isolates who had an MIC of 8 μ g/ml. **Conclusion:** To conclude, tigecycline was found to be highly effective against MBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae and acinetobacter isolates, but the presence of resistance among organisms, even before the mass usage of the drug, warrants the need of its usage as a reserve drug. The study also found that the interpretative criteria for the disc diffusion method, recommended by the FDA, correlates well with the MIC detection methods. So, the microbiology laboratories might use the relatively easier method of disc diffusion, as compared to the comparatively tedious method of MIC determination.

Key words: Gram-negative bacteria, metallo- β -lactamases, tigecycline

INTRODUCTION

In the present era of multidrug-resistant organisms, clinicians are facing an acute shortage of antibiotics with activity against these organisms. Resistance to carbapenems in *Enterobacteriaceae* can be caused by overproduction of Amp-C β -lactamases, associated with loss of outer membrane porins and/or overexpression of efflux pumps^[1] or by production of β -lactamases

with significant hydrolysis activity against carbapenem compounds. These carbapenemases can be divided into the metallo- β -lactamases (M β L; Ambler class B) and serine carbapenemases (class A or Bush class 2f) according to the functional requirements and the structure of their active site.^[2,3] The genes encoding most of these carbapenemases reside on plasmids or transposons carrying additional genes encoding resistance to other classes of antimicrobial agents.^[4] These transferable structures can readily be acquired by

Address for correspondence: Dr. Simit Kumar, R.G. Kar Medical College and Hospital, Kolkata - 700 037, India.

E-mail: simitkumar@yahoo.co.in

gram-negative pathogens, facilitating the dissemination of these potent resistance mechanisms and, in many cases, conferring on the isolate a multidrug resistance profile,^[5] significantly reducing the treatment options for infections caused by carbapenemase-producing isolates.

Tigecycline is a semi-synthetic glycylicycline derived from minocycline that has documented activity against tetracycline-resistant gram-negative pathogens that are refractory as a result of both efflux and ribosomal protection mechanisms.^[6] In addition, organisms that are resistant to other antimicrobial classes do not exhibit cross-resistance to tigecycline, supporting the potential therapeutic use of this antimicrobial agent for the treatment of infections caused by carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates.^[7]

In the present study, we tested the *in vitro* activity of tigecycline against MBL-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Acinetobacter* isolates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 308 gram-negative bacterial isolates were tested between December 2010 and September 2011 in a tertiary care hospital in Kolkata. The isolates were recovered from the following sources: Wound, urine, sputum, body fluid, and blood cultures. Isolates were identified upto species level by standard laboratory procedures.^[8] Antibiotic susceptibility was determined according to the interpretative criteria of the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute 2010 (CLSI).^[9] Antibiotic susceptibility was performed for tigecycline (15 µg), polymyxin B (300 units), imipenem (10 µg), piperacillin + tazobactam (100/10 µg), amikacin (30 µg), ceftriaxone (30 µg), cefepime (30 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), amoxicillin + clavulanic acid (20/10 µg), aztreonam (30 µg), and ceftazidime (30 µg). All the isolates were tested for MBL production by phenotypic detection method using a single agar plate and comprised three components. (i) In the combined-disk test, two imipenem (IMP) disks (10 µg), one containing 10 µl of 0.1 M (292 µg) anhydrous EDTA (Himedia India), were placed 25 mm apart (center to center). An increase in zone diameter of >4 mm around the IMP-EDTA disk compared to that of the IMP disk alone was considered positive for an MBL. (ii) In the DDST, an imipenem (10 µg) disk was placed 20 mm (center to center) from a blank disk containing 10 µl of 0.1 M (292 µg) EDTA. Enhancement of the zone of inhibition in the area between the two disks was considered positive for an MBL. (iii) The final component was an aztreonam (30 µg) disk. Given the unique sensitivity of MBLs to this antibiotic, we studied the inhibition zone sizes of all isolates to determine the utility of this component in phenotypic MBL detection.^[10]

The isolates were also tested for production of AmpC, in order to rule out the resistance to carbapenems due to AmpC production. The detection of AmpC β-lactamases was done based on screening tests and confirmatory tests. For screening, disc diffusion zones of ceftazidime <18 mm were taken as ceftazidime-resistant. All ceftazidime-resistant isolates were tested further by AmpC disk test and modified three dimensional tests. Plates were examined for either an indentation or flattening of the zone of inhibition in the disc test. In the modified three-dimensional tests, three different kinds of results were recorded. Isolates that showed clear distortion of zone of inhibition of ceftazidime were taken as AmpC producers. Isolates with no distortion were taken as AmpC non-producers, and isolates with minimal distortion were taken as intermediate producers.^[11] Disc diffusion susceptibility testing was performed for all these isolates using tigecycline discs (15 µg) purchased from Himedia (Mumbai India).^[12,13] MIC of tigecycline was determined according to agar dilution method as per CLSI. Tigecycline was supplied as powder of known potency by the Wyeth Pharmaceuticals. Interpretation of zone diameter of all Gram-negative bacteria (including *Acinetobacter spp*) was using US FDA tigecycline susceptibility breakpoint listed for *Enterobacteriaceae* (MIC ≤ 2 µg/ml and ≥ 19 mm zone size).^[6,12] Resistance was defined as MIC ≥ 8 µg/ml and zone size ≤14 mm).^[12] Quality control was carried out by *E. coli* (ATCC 25922) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853).

RESULTS

Among the total 308 clinical isolates, 99 were found to be MBL producers. MBL production was observed mostly in isolates derived from pus samples (40.47%) followed by urine (27.4%) and blood (13.09%). MBL production was observed in *E. coli* (41.48%), *K. pneumoniae* (26.67%), *Proteus mirabilis* (27.78%), *Citrobacter spp.* (41.67%), *Enterobacter spp.* (25.08%), and *Acinetobacter spp.* (27.27%). Approximately, 50% (50/99) of the studied strains had Imipenem susceptibility results within the CLSI susceptible range despite their production of MBLs as tested by phenotypic tests.^[10]

Tigecycline activity against these MBL producers is demonstrated in Table 1. All the isolates which did not show MBL production were susceptible to tigecycline activity (100%). Tigecycline was active against organisms producing MBLs, except for 2 (4.76%) *E. coli* isolates, showing MBL production.

The result showed that tigecycline activity was unaffected by MBL production, and it was the only compound,

Table 1: Tigecycline activity against Enterobacteriaceae and non-fermenters

Enterobacteriaceae (n=308)	Total number of isolates (n=308)		Susceptibility to tigecycline	
	MBL producers (n=99) (%)	Non-MBL producers (n=258)	MBL producers (%)	Non-MBL producers (%)
<i>E. coli</i> (n=102)	42 (41.18)	60	95.24	100
<i>K. pneumoniae</i> (n=120)	32 (26.67)	88	100	100
<i>P. mirabilis</i> (n=36)	10 (27.78)	26	100	100
<i>Citrobacter spp.</i> (n=12)	5 (41.67)	7	100	100
<i>Enterobacter spp.</i> (n=16)	4 (25.00)	12	100	100
Non-fermenters				
<i>Acinetobacter spp.</i> (n=22)	6 (27.27)	16	100	100

MBL: Metallo- β -lactamases

which showed more than 90% activity against MBL-producing isolates, followed by polymyxin B (87.55%), piperacillin + tazobactam (79.2%), amikacin (79.2%), and cefepime (70.8%).

The MIC values for tigecycline ranged from 0.25-8 μ g/ml, except for two *E. coli* isolates having an MIC of 8 μ g/ml. MIC values of tigecycline against the MBL producers is demonstrated in Table 2. All the isolates having MIC \leq 2 μ g/ml also had a zone diameter \geq 19 mm (cut-off for susceptibility), which corroborated well with the FDA guidelines of disc diffusion interpretation for tigecycline activity. The MIC values for 2 *E. coli* isolates were in the intermediate susceptibility range of 4 μ g/ml as per the CLSI guidelines. These isolates showed a zone of inhibition of 15 and 16 mm respectively by the disc diffusion technique.

DISCUSSION

The widespread dissemination of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae has profound implications for the clinical utility of the carbapenems.^[14] Furthermore, carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae strains were generally resistant to the vast majority of antimicrobial agents available for clinical use, making the therapeutic options very limited.^[15,16] MBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae have emerged in countries where MBL-producing *P. aeruginosa* strains have also become endemic, such as Greece, Turkey, Italy, Spain, and also in India.^[16-18] This suggests that Enterobacteriaceae isolates are likely to have acquired these enzyme-encoding genes either from the MBL-producing *P. aeruginosa* strains or from other non-fermentative species that could be the primary reservoir for MBL genetic elements.

This study, in addition to other recent surveillance initiatives,^[18] has determined that the antimicrobial activity of tigecycline is largely unaffected by metallo beta-lactamase in gram-negative organisms, confirming that this novel compound can be a valuable therapeutic option for the treatment of infections caused by these troublesome, resistant Enterobacteriaceae, as well as non-fermenters,

Table 2: MIC levels of tigecycline against MBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae and non-fermenters

Organisms (number of isolates)	Number of isolates with MIC (μ g/ml) of						
	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8
<i>E. coli</i> (42)	0	0	17	12	9	2	2
<i>K. pneumoniae</i> (32)	0	16	10	6	0	0	0
<i>P. mirabilis</i> (10)	0	8	2	0	0	0	0
<i>Citrobacter spp.</i> (5)	0	3	2	0	0	0	0
<i>Enterobacter spp.</i> (4)	0	0	2	2	0	0	0
<i>Acinetobacter spp.</i> (6)	0	0	1	4	1	0	0

MBL: Metallo- β -lactamases, MIC: Minimal inhibitory concentration

which was similar to finding by Castanheira *et al.*^[18] and Behera *et al.*^[19]

Approximately 50% of the studied strains had Imipenem susceptibility results within the CLSI susceptible range despite their production of MBLs as per phenotypic tests, which corroborates with the findings of Castanheira *et al.*^[18] Therefore, the study highlights the importance of routine testing of MBLs in order to prevent any disparity between *in vivo* and *in vitro* results.

The results of the present study suggests that tigecycline represents a significant step forward over other antibiotics currently in use for the treatment of MDR gram-negative organisms, showing excellent *in vitro* activity against strains, for which adequate therapy has been limited. It is a promising antimicrobial agent that will likely have a key role in treatment of nosocomial infections, provided that clinical efficacy in a variety of severe infection is documented. It is largely unaffected by MBL production in Enterobacteriaceae and non-fermenters.^[19]

Tigecycline was active against MBL-producing members of the family Enterobacteriaceae inhibiting 95.2% of them. Among Enterobacteriaceae, resistance was observed only in *E. coli*, of which two isolates was resistant with MIC of 8 μ g/ml, and one isolate was showing reduced susceptibility as demonstrated by zone diameter of <19 mm and >14 mm, and MIC of 4 μ g/ml.

The susceptibility rates of *E. coli* was found to be 95.4%, which was similar to the study of Kelesidis *et al.*, who found 99.6% of *E. coli* isolates to be sensitive.^[20] This study showed good activity of tigecycline against *Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter*, *Citobacter*, and *Proteus mirabilis*, which differs from the review done by Kelesidis *et al.*, in which he found the reduced activity against these organisms.^[21]

However, it is important to note that tigecycline has not been approved for the treatment of bloodstream infections, and more clinical experience with this compound is necessary to better understand its role in the treatment of serious infections caused by carbapenemase-producing *K. pneumoniae* and other multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacilli. Recently, the US FDA has issued a warning describing an increased mortality risk associated with the use of tigecycline when compared with other drugs in the treatment of a variety of serious infections. The increased risk of mortality was determined using a pooled analysis of randomized clinical trials (RCTs) and was seen most clearly in patients treated for hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP), especially ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), but was also seen in patients with complicated skin and skin structure infections (cSSSIs), complicated intra-abdominal infections (cIAIs), infections due to resistant pathogens, and diabetic foot infections.^[1] Although for each indication, the mortality difference was not statistically significant, trends were present and, when pooled, a statistically significant difference was observed. Based on these data, the FDA recommends that alternatives to tigecycline should be considered in patients with severe infections. Notwithstanding the FDA approved indications for the drug, there are published data indicating that tigecycline's pharmacological and microbiological profiles encourage its use for off-label indications in severely ill patients in intensive care units [e.g. VAP due to multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Acinetobacter* spp.]. This practice is justified by the high regional resistance rates of MDR pathogens with limited therapeutic options [e.g. carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter* spp. and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase (KPC)-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*]. In addition, there is good evidence that early effective therapy for such infections in critically ill patients improves outcomes.^[20]

The study also signifies that the currently recommended zone interpretative criteria for disc diffusion method correlate well with agar dilution method for interpretation of MIC's. So, the microbiology laboratories might use the relatively easier method of disc diffusion, as compared to the comparatively tedious method of MIC determination.

REFERENCES

- Poirel L, Héritier C, Spicq C, Nordmann P. *In vivo* acquisition of high-level resistance to imipenem in *Escherichia coli*. *J Clin Microbiol* 2004;42:3831-3.
- Queenan AM, Bush K. Carbapenemases: The versatile β -lactamases. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2007;20:440-58.
- Walsh TR. The emergence and implications of metallo- β -lactamases in Gram-negative bacteria. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2005;11 Suppl 6:2-9.
- Poirel L, Nordmann P. Acquired carbapenem-hydrolyzing β -lactamases and their genetic support. *Curr Pharm Biotechnol* 2002;3:117-27.
- Walsh TR, Toleman MA, Poirel L, Nordmann P. Metallo- β -lactamases: The quiet before the storm? *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2005;18:306-25.
- Livermore DM. Tigecycline: What is it, and where should it be used? *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2005;56:611-4.
- Hawkey P, Finch R. Tigecycline: In-vitro performance as a predictor of clinical efficacy. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2007;13:354-62.
- Winn WC Jr, Allen SD, Janda WM, Koneman EW, Procop GW, Schreckenberger PC, *et al.*, editors. Chapter 7. In: *Koneman's Color Atlas and Textbook of Diagnostic Microbiology*. 6th ed. Baltimore: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2006. p. 348-9.
- Performance standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Seventeenth Informational Supplement. *Clin Lab Stand Inst* 2010;29:60-70.
- Franklin C, Liolios L, Peleg AY. Phenotypic detection of carbapenem-susceptible metallo- β -lactamase-producing Gram-negative bacilli in the clinical laboratory. *J Clin Microbiol* 2006;44:3139-44.
- Manchanda V, Singh NP. Occurrence and detection of AmpC β -lactamases among Gram-negative clinical isolates using a modified three dimensional test at Guru Teg Bahadur Hospital, Delhi, India. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2003;51:415-8.
- Jones RN, Ferraro MJ, Reller LB, Schreckenberger PC, Swenson JM, Sader HS. Multicenter studies of tigecycline disk diffusion susceptibility results for *Acinetobacter* Spp. *J Clin Microbiol* 2007;45:227-30.
- Brown SD, Traczewski MM. Comparative *in vitro* antimicrobial activity of tigecycline, a new glycolcycline compound, in freshly prepared medium and quality control. *J Clin Microbiol* 2007;45:2173-9.
- Rossolini GM. Acquired metallo- β -lactamases: An increasing clinical threat. *Clin Infect Dis* 2005;41:1557-8.
- Cornaglia G, Akova M, Amicosante G, Cantón R, Cauda R, Docquier JD, *et al.* Metallo- β -lactamases as emerging resistance determinants in Gram-negative pathogens: Open issues. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2007;29:380-8.
- Walsh TR, Bolmstrom A, Qvarnstrom A, Gales A. Evaluation of a new E-test for detecting metallo- β -lactamases in routine clinical testing. *J Clin Microbiol* 2002;40:2755-9.
- Dwivedi M, Mishra A, Azim A, Singh RK, Baronia AK, Prasad KN, *et al.* Ventilator-associated pneumonia caused by carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* carrying multiple metallo-beta-lactamase genes. *Indian J Pathol Microbiol* 2009;52:339-42.
- Castanheira M, Sader HS, Deshpande LM, Fritsche TR, Jones RN. Antimicrobial activities of tigecycline and other broad-spectrum antimicrobials tested against serine carbapenemase- and metallo- β -lactamase-producing *enterobacteriaceae*: Report from the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance program. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008;52:570-3.
- Behera B, Das A, Mathur P, Kapil A, Gadepalli R, Dhawan B. Tigecycline susceptibility report from an Indian tertiary care hospital. *Indian J Med Res* 2009;129:446-50.
- Curcio D. Tigecycline for severe infections: The gap between the warning and the necessity. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011;66:454-6.

21. Kelesidis T, Karageorgopoulos DE, Kelesidis I, Falagas ME. Tigecycline for the treatment of multidrug-resistant Enterobacteriaceae: A systematic review of the evidence from microbiological and clinical studies. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008;62:895-904.

Cite this article as: Kumar S, Bandyopadhyay M, Mondal S, Pal N, Ghosh T, Bandyopadhyay M, Banerjee P. Tigecycline activity against metallo- β -lactamase-producing bacteria. *Avicenna J Med* 2013;3:92-6.

Source of Support: Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.

Author Help: Online submission of the manuscripts

Articles can be submitted online from <http://www.journalonweb.com>. For online submission, the articles should be prepared in two files (first page file and article file). Images should be submitted separately.

1) **First Page File:**

Prepare the title page, covering letter, acknowledgement etc. using a word processor program. All information related to your identity should be included here. Use text/rtf/doc/pdf files. Do not zip the files.

2) **Article File:**

The main text of the article, beginning with the Abstract to References (including tables) should be in this file. Do not include any information (such as acknowledgement, your names in page headers etc.) in this file. Use text/rtf/doc/pdf files. Do not zip the files. Limit the file size to 1024 kb. Do not incorporate images in the file. If file size is large, graphs can be submitted separately as images, without their being incorporated in the article file. This will reduce the size of the file.

3) **Images:**

Submit good quality color images. Each image should be less than **4096 kb (4 MB)** in size. The size of the image can be reduced by decreasing the actual height and width of the images (keep up to about 6 inches and up to about 1800 x 1200 pixels). JPEG is the most suitable file format. The image quality should be good enough to judge the scientific value of the image. For the purpose of printing, always retain a good quality, high resolution image. This high resolution image should be sent to the editorial office at the time of sending a revised article.

4) **Legends:**

Legends for the figures/images should be included at the end of the article file.