Platelet-rich plasma stimulated proliferation, migration, and attachment of cultured periodontal ligament cells
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INTRODUCTION

Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) has been widely utilized in the periodontal regeneration.¹,² Theoretically, PRP can deliver several concentrated growth factors, for example, platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) and transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β) that may act simultaneously to form a cascade of different signal proteins with multiple pathway.³ Other than growth factors, the fibrin clot produced by fibrinogen within PRP could up-regulate type I collagen expression by periodontal ligament (PDL) cells.⁴ PRP also increased alkaline phosphatase activity in PDL cells, while a combination of TGF-β₁ and PDGF-AB could mimic only the mitogenic actions of PRP.⁵ In addition, PRP provided platelet aggregates as nuclei to initiate mineralization by rat PDL cells.⁶ Thus, PRP should facilitate periodontal regeneration via both growth factor-dependent and factor-independent pathway.

ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study is to evaluate the effects of platelet-rich plasma (PRP) on the proliferation, migration, and attachment of cultured periodontal ligament (PDL) cells. Materials and Methods: 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazole-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay was used to assess number of PDL cells cultured in medium with or without PRP. Cell migration toward medium with or without PRP was assessed using the Boyden chamber. Cell attachment was assessed by counting cells on PRP or non-PRP coated dentin specimens. Group differences were analyzed using two-way ANOVA at 0.05 significance level. Results: In the MTT and cell migration assay, the number of cells in 5% and 10% PRP-treated groups were significantly higher than that in the non-PRP-treated group (P<0.05). In the attachment assay, the number of cells on the dentin specimens in 10% PRP-treated group was significantly higher than that in the non-PRP treated group (P<0.05). Conclusion: PRP could stimulate proliferation, migration, and attachment of PDL cells.
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A recent study showed that PRP could stimulate PDL fibroblast proliferation.[7] However, the regenerative events also require migration and attachment of connective tissue cells to the wound site and synthesis of the specialized components which they are attempting to repair. Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of PRP on the proliferation, migration, and attachment of PDL cells in vitro.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study protocol was documentary approved by The Committee on Human Rights Related to Human Experimentation, Mahidol University (No. 13/2005). Systemically-healthy and periodontally-healthy and nonsmoking patients who were referred for extraction of the sound teeth with orthodontic reasons at the Faculty of Dentistry, Mahidol University, were included in the study. Patients were informed about the study details and asked to sign the informed consent forms before participating.

**Isolation and culture of periodontal ligament fibroblasts**

PDL fibroblasts were obtained from human tissue scraped from the roots of extracted teeth. After extraction, the teeth were placed in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM; Hyclone, Logan, UT, USA), supplemented with 0.5 µg/mL amphotericin B (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA), 200 U/mL penicillin G and 200 µg/mL streptomycin (Gibco) and washed 3 times in phosphate-buffered saline, PBS, pH 7.2. The tissue was obtained from the middle one-third of the roots, chopped into small pieces, and placed into a 25 cm² tissue culture flask (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) containing DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone), 0.25 µg/mL amphotericin B, 100 U/mL penicillin G and 100 µg/mL streptomycin. The explants were incubated at 37°C in humidified air containing 5% CO₂ for 24 h. The fibroblasts were washed with PBS and incubated in 200 µL of DMEM supplemented with 1% FBS (Group I), 1% FBS plus 5% (v/v) PRP (Group II) or 1% FBS plus 10% (v/v) PRP (Group III) and incubated as previously described for 24 h. After this, the medium culture was discarded, and 100 µL of an MTT solution (prepared by dissolving 1 mg of an MTT powder in 2 mL of DMEM just before use and filtered through a 0.22 µm filter) were added into each well. The cells were incubated as previously described for 4 h before the MTT solution was discarded. The cells were washed with PBS. The formazan crystal was solubilized with 100 µL of dimethylsulfoxide. The plates were shaken for 30 min at room temperature using a plate shaker. Plates were transferred to a spectrophotometer and absorbance was measured immediately at 540 nm. The assay was performed in triplicate wells and repeated 3 times. In the repeated experiment, PDL fibroblasts obtained from each subject were grown individually and treated with PRP obtained from those particular subjects.

**Platelet-rich plasma activation**

PDL cells were seeded at a density of 5 × 10⁴ cells in the culture dish and incubated for 24 h in DMEM containing 10% FBS. The medium was then replaced with DMEM containing 1% FBS plus 5% (v/v) or 10% (v/v) PRP and left for approximately 10 min. Then, the liquid medium formed gel, suggested that PRP was activated. Activated PRP was carefully aspirated for use in the migration and attachment assay.
Migration assay
Migration was assessed using the Boyden chamber (Corning, NY, USA) equipped with nucleopore filters with a pore size of 8.0 µm as previously described.[11] Nucleopore filters were coated with 20 ng/µL fibronectin. In the bottom chamber of the well, 800 µL of DMEM containing 1% FBS (Group I), 5% activated PRP (Group II), or 10% activated PRP (Group III) was placed. A volume of 200 µL of cell suspension with a cell density of 2.5 × 10⁵ cells/mL in DMEM containing 1% FBS was filled in the top chamber. The assembly chemotactic chambers were incubated at 37°C in humidified air containing 5% CO₂ for 12 h. After incubation, the filters were fixed for 3 min in absolute methanol, stained with 0.25% toluidine blue in distilled water for 2 min, rinsed twice in distilled water and allowed to dry. Cells migrating through the filter were counted in 10 random fields under light microscope at a magnification of ×200. The assay was done in triplicate and repeated 3 times.

Attachment assay
Dentin slabs were prepared as described by Wikesjö et al.[12] Briefly, the sound and freshly extracted human teeth were cut on the vertical direction into 3 mm × 4 mm × 1 mm/piece using water-cooled diamond cutting machine (Accutom-50, Copenhagen, Denmark) and conditioned with 600 mesh sandpaper on the experimental surface to obtain a surface resembled those obtained following clinical root instrumentation. The slabs were immersed in distilled water and sterilized by autoclave.

Attachment assay was performed as described by Alleyn et al.[13] Briefly, sterilized dentin slabs were placed into a 96-well flat bottom plate (Corning). Two µL of DMEM containing 1% FBS (Group I), 5% activated PRP (Group II) or 10% activated PRP (Group III) were coated on the whole top surface of the dentin slabs (3 dentin slabs in each group for each subject). The dentin slabs were allowed to dry for 1 h at 37°C. Then, 200 µL of cell suspension with a density of 5 × 10⁴ cells/ml were carefully added over the dentin slabs and incubated as previously described for 6 h. After incubation, each dentin slab was rinsed twice with PBS, fixed with absolute methanol for 2 min, stained with 0.25% toluidine blue in distilled water for 2 min and rinsed twice with distilled water. Stained slabs were observed under a light microscope and cells attached on 10 predetermined fields were counted under a magnification ×40. The data were expressed as number of cells/unit area.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using PASW Statistics for Windows, Version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago). The data were presented in the form of means and standard deviation. Two-way ANOVA was used for comparison between groups. The level of significance was the value of $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Three female participants, age 21–32 years (mean 28 years) were included in the study. Mean platelet count was 218,000/µL in the whole blood and 721,000/µL in PRP. Thus, platelet recovery was 331%. When PRP was mixed with DMEM supplemented with 1% FBS, the medium was gradually formed gel. However, the medium with 5% or 10% PRP was rapidly converted to gel-like material after incubation with cultured PDL cells [Figure 1a and b].

According to the proliferation and migration assay, number of cells after treated with PRP (Group II and III) were significantly higher than that without PRP (Group I), as shown in Table 1. However, no significant difference was found between Group II and III ($P > 0.05$).

At 6 h, the attachment of PDL fibroblast cells were observed and determined under the light microscope. PDL fibroblast cells on the dentin specimens represented spindle shapes and stained positive for toluidine blue O in all three groups [Figure 2]. Number of attached cells in PRP-treated groups was higher than that in non-PRP-treated group. Statistical analysis revealed a significant difference in the number of attached cells between Group I and III [Table 1; $P < 0.05$]. When the comparison was made between Group I and II as well as between Group II and III, there was no significant difference ($P > 0.05$).

Figure 1: Conversion of medium from solution to gel-like material. (a) After periodontal ligament cells were incubated with medium containing 5% platelet-rich plasma. (b) After periodontal ligament cells were incubated with medium containing 10% platelet-rich plasma.
DISCUSSION

Cells derived from PDL play a key role in periodontal regeneration and their early recruitment is considered critical for the regeneration to occur. PRP has been suggested to modulate PDL cell migration through a number of growth factors. However, an evidence to support this notion is lacking. In this study, we provided evidence that activated PRP could stimulate PDL cell migration. It was found that PDGF, insulin growth factor (IGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF), and TGF-β stimulated cell migration. Celotti et al. also found that the migration of cultured osteoblasts was completely inhibited by the addition of anti-PDGF antibody to PRP. Thus, the migration of PDL cells may be mediated by growth factors presented in PRP.

Regarding the attachment of PDL cells, the formation of an oriented and functional apparatus could be occurred if PDL fibroblasts could attach on the root surface during wound healing. In this study, we have clearly demonstrated that the number of PDL fibroblast cells attached on dentin slabs was significantly increased in the 10% PRP group over the 5% PRP group and the control group. In contrast, we failed to detect an advantage of 5% PRP on cell attachment. Kawase et al. separated 0.6 ml of PRP from 8.5 ml of the whole blood and investigated the shape of PDL fibroblast cell after treated with PRP. They found that PDL fibroblast cells had a flattened shape and pseudopodia after exposure to 5% PRP for 24 h. In that study, less volume of PRP was drawn and thus the PRP obtained was more concentrated. However, we found that it was hard to aspirate 10% PRP after activated since it turned to gel with high viscosity. Gamal et al. cultured PDL cells on the human recombinant growth factor-treated or nontreated dentin surface and incubated for 24 h. They revealed a significant higher number of PDL cells on the PDGF-BB-treated and PDGF-BB plus IGF-1-treated dentin surfaces when compared to the IGF-1-treated and the nontreated dentin surfaces. The authors showed that PDGF-BB had an ability to stimulate adherence of PDL cells but this ability might probably related to its mitogenic effect on mesenchymal cells as well. It is known that many factors including fibrinogen, von Willebrand factor as well as many attachment factors such as fibronectin can be released by platelet to help control of bleeding and stabilize the wound through clot formation. Thus, other than growth factors, these components may also aid in the attachment of PDL cells. However, the dentin specimens used in this study may not mimic the condition in the oral cavity because of a variation in the root surface topography or the flow of gingival crevicular fluid that may affect the absorption of PRP onto the root dentin. This aspect has to be concerned in the clinical situation.

In this study, a significant response in proliferation was elicited in PDL cells after treated with PRP in both concentrations. The addition of 10% PRP to the culture medium stimulated more mitogenic response in PDL cells than the addition of 5% PRP; however, this difference was not statistically significant. From a previous study, 5% PRP had a greater stimulating impact on cellular proliferation but 50% PRP adversely affected cell vitality. Creeper et al. reported a cytotoxic effect of 100% PRP. Graziani et al. found that higher concentrations of PRP could reduce cell proliferation. In osteoclast proliferation, the upregulation of osteocalcin levels

| Table 1: Meantstandard deviation of the number of cells in the proliferation, migration, and attachment assay |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Assay                                           | Group I (0% PRP)                                | Group II (5% PRP)                                | Group III (10% PRP)                               |
| Proliferation (cells)                           | 27,338.21±15,818.09                            | 53,359.46±29,805.75*                            | 59,502.88±34,578.94*                            |
| Migration (cells)                               | 25.86±3.76                                     | 40.87±11.36*                                    | 51.3±8.12*                                      |
| Attachment (cells/unit area)                    | 45.08±20.76                                    | 50.61±22.16                                     | 53.12±23.29*                                    |

*Significantly different when compared to Group I (P<0.05). PRP: Platelet-rich plasma

Figure 2: Toluidine blue O staining of attached periodontal ligament fibroblast cells on dentin surface. (a) control group, (b) 5% platelet-rich plasma group and (c) 10% platelet-rich plasma group (magnification ×200)
and downregulation of osteoprotegerin levels were noted with increasing PRP concentrations. Choi et al.[22] suggested that a dilution of PRP to a level of 0.5%–5% would stimulate osteoblastic proliferation and might act as a mitogen on alveolar bone cells. Hence, different PRP concentrations may have different impact on the in vivo results.

PDL cell proliferation may be induced by PDGF and/or TGF-mediated mechanism since the effect of PRP on PDL cell was similar to the actions of PDGF and TGF.[23,24] Other known growth factors contained in PRP such as basic fibroblast growth factor and EGF also stimulated PDL cell proliferation.[5] Our result was in agreement with Okuda et al.[25] However, Okuda et al.[25] did not assess the effect of PRP on PDL cells obtained from the same subjects. Recently, Fréchette et al.[26] reported a significant variations in growth factor concentrations between individuals, varying from traces (TGF-α) to 5.5 ng/mL (IGF-1). Thus, in this study, we hypothesized that the effect of PRP may be subject-specific due to this variation. We assessed the effect of PRP and PDL cells which were obtained from the same subjects. Our finding that a large inter-subject variation in the number of cells was observed after exposed to PRP may provide a trend to support this hypothesis. The reasons for this variation may be explained by the variation in growth factor concentration between individual as well as the differential expression of growth factor receptors. It has been found that the α-subunits of the PDGF receptors can bind to either PDGF-A or PDGF-B while the β-subunits can bind only to PDGF-B. Thus, PDGF-BB can bind to any one of the three receptor subunit combination (αα, αβ, and ββ). The finding by Seifert et al.[27] that PDGF-BB was about 10-fold more mitogenic for human dermal fibroblasts than was PDGF-AA supported this notion.

When PRP is used, it is important to separate high concentration of platelets without fragmenting them. A concentration of 1,000,000 platelets/μl has been recommended as the working definition of PRP. Since the normal range of platelets in the whole blood of healthy individuals varies from 140,000 to 400,000 platelets/μl, this means a 3–5 fold increase over the baseline concentration.[15,28] In this study, we used the PRP preparation technique described by Gonshore.[8] This technique required only a small volume of blood and could provide quiescent platelets after an entire preparation process. The PRP concentration obtained in this study was 331% above its baseline concentration and was comparable to that obtained by the commercial office devices such as Smart PReP and PCCS.[29] However, lower than that obtained by the study of Gonshore[8] and may be due to the differences in the equipment used as well as the procedural effects.

The PRP concentration obtained in this study was 331% above baseline concentration but lower than that previously reported.[30] On PRP activation, a gelatinous structure is formed and growth factors are released. The activation of PRP using bovine thrombin may be associated with the development of antibodies against contaminated bovine factor V. The cross-reactivity of anti-bovine factor V antibodies with human factor results in factor V deficiency and the risk of coagulopathies.[31] In this study, the method used to activate PRP was followed to Kawase et al.[4] who suggested that PRP could be activated by serine protease thrombin produced by PDL cells and this method should be developed to a clinical use.

CONCLUSION

Our pilot study revealed that PRP could modulate PDL cell proliferation, attachment, and migration in vitro and supported that the preparation technique for PRP was efficient.
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