Scalp expansion: Surgical considerations and possible future directions
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Repairing large scalp defects has always been a difficult task for plastic surgeons. This is because the requirements of such procedures are two-fold: sufficient soft-tissue coverage is required, and to obtain a satisfactory aesthetic outcome, a sufficient number of covering hairs should be ideally provided. Materials and Methods: Based on the author's experience in this repair technique over a 20-year period, this article presents some technical details of scalp expansion, surgical refinements and possible directions for further technical advancement. Results: Data and details on relevant scalp anatomy, expander choice, expander placement, subgaleal undermining, galeotomies and acute scalp expansion are provided. Conclusions: The author hopes that the above-mentioned details may be of some utility in the complex field of scalp expansion.
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INTRODUCTION

Tissue expansion has been described extensively as a useful technique for covering large scalp defects\textsuperscript{[1-4]} \cite{Figure 1}. Tissue expansion is ideal for scalp defects because the skull acts as a rigid base for the expander and the overlying scalp is thick; this helps reduce the risk of thinning and extrusion of the implant. Moreover, due to the excellent vascularisation in the region, the risk of infection is low. In addition, the biomechanical properties of scalp flaps are rather peculiar, and the amount of hair follicles available is limited. In the present paper, the author analysed some peculiar technical details of scalp expansion.

METHODS AND RESULTS

The following aspects have some importance in the field of scalp expansion:
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Scalp anatomy in relation to tissue expansion
Knowledge of scalp anatomy is essential for planning scalp expansion. From the surgical point of view, the scalp comprises five layers as follows: the epidermis, dermis, subcutaneous tissue, the layer containing the major vessels and the galea aponeurotica [Figure 2]. In the currently used approach, an expander is placed between the galea aponeurotica and the pericranium. This is because all the major vessels of the scalp (the supratrochlear, supraorbital, superficial temporal, posterior auricular and occipital arteries) run only over the galeal surface, which makes supragaleal undermining difficult. Conversely, the subgaleal layer is almost avascular and very easy to undermine. Theoretically, if the major vessels are directly isolated, an expander could be placed above the galea (potentially augmenting the elasticity of the flap to be expanded), but the feasibility of this approach has never been tested, and it might be time-consuming.

Expander choice
In general, the shape of the tissue expander base affects the amount of tissue gain. For example, expanders with a round base, crescentic base and rectangular base provide a tissue gain of 25%, 32% and 38%, respectively. With the exception of peculiar cases, a rectangular expander can theoretically be used in all procedures. However, no studies have been performed to evaluate if and how the peculiar, round-shaped anatomy of the scalp might influence the effectiveness of a rectangular expander. There is also the possibility that a crescent-shaped expander may be a better fit above the cranium than a rectangular one: This needs to be explored in future.

Expander placement
As stated previously, a scalp expander should always be positioned in the subgaleal layer. The expander is usually placed close to the defects to be reconstructed, and it is advisable to insert it parallel to the longest side of the lesion to be removed. For placing the expander, a small incision in the right (radial to the expander) direction permits the immediate post-operative start of the expansion and avoids the delay of several weeks required for ensuring that the incision has sufficient tensile strength. The first filling of the expander is usually performed in conjunction with its placement (10% of the nominal expander volume). At 1–2 weeks after the procedure, one to two weekly filling sessions (10%–20% of the nominal expander volume per each session) are initiated, and the expander is usually sufficiently expanded within 6–8 weeks. A relevant point that needs to be addressed is the projection of the expander. The projection is the most important factor with regard to producing as much expanded tissue as possible. This fundamental concept can easily be extrapolated, for example, by using the same amount of saline in equal-volume expanders, but displacing the expanders in such a way that different projection rates are obtained [Figures 3 and 4]. Although the expander and fill are the same, the tissue gain achieved with the higher projection is quadruplicated.

Subgaleal undermining
Although the greatest amount of scalp mobility is observed in the parietal regions, where the temporoparietal fascia slides over the deep temporal fascia, the entire scalp benefits from increased undermining during placement of an expanded scalp flap. Indeed, the tensiometric
properties of the scalp are significantly altered with increased subgaleal undermining.\[^{[6‑9]}\] The greater the undermining, the lesser is the closing tension required. For example, in the case of a midline transverse scalp defect of 15 mm, 1, 5 and 15 cm of undermining on both sides requires a tension load of 4500, 460 and 410 gf, respectively [Figure 5]. Tension load is achieved by connecting the scalp flap to a force-distributing dynamometer (FD102/FD111, Borletti, Florence, Italy) and a force transducer (D200, Maywood Instruments Ltd., Hampshire, UK). The 5 cm undermining reduces the tension by 10.22% while the 15 cm undermining reduces it by 9.11% (as measured for a 15 mm advancement on each side). These values represent a mean gain of 61.9 and 6.05 g/mm in the plasticity (considered as the inverse of stiffness) of the described scalp flaps for each unit increment in the amount of undermining, being the stiffness biomechanically defined by the slope of the middle portion of the stress-strain curve, calculated by dividing the tension load by the advancement of the flap. It would be interesting to perform a study to examine the usefulness of routinely undermining the whole scalp as a rational approach to significantly diminishing the tension on wound margins when expanding a scalp flap. It would be noteworthy to investigate whether this approach might lead to an increase in the rate of post-operative complications (namely, seroma, haematoma and infections) as a direct consequence of the creation of larger subgaleal pockets.

**Galeotomies**

Plastic surgeons are well aware of the usefulness of relaxing incisions of the galea aponeurotica for transposing a scalp flap. Although care should be taken to avoid injury to the overlying vascular network, galea-relaxing incisions can be used to help improve scalp mobility after expansion. Galeal incisions reduce scalp closing tension by 40% and provide 1.67 mm of tissue mobility for each galeotomy.\[^{[10‑11]}\] For example, to close a scalp defect of 20 mm, a tension load of 4.1 and 1.9 kgf is required before and after the relaxing incision, respectively, of the galea aponeurotica [Figure 6]. These data are indicative of a mean gain of 5.53 g/mm per galeotomy in the plasticity (considered as the inverse of stiffness) of an expanded scalp flap. The drawbacks correlated to the use of galeotomies may be an increased risk of intra-operative bleeding and post-operative haematoma. The risk of hampering the viability of the transposed scalp flaps is also prominent. The above-reported data are indicative of a positive cost/benefit ratio with their extensive use after scalp expansion. At present, the effect of galeotomies before scalp expansion (i.e., at the time of expander placement) still needs to be clarified.

**Acute (intra-operative) scalp expansion**

Since its introduction by Sasaki\[^{[12]}\] in 1988, several authors\[^{[13‑16]}\] have reported successful soft-tissue reconstruction using intra-operative tissue expansion. Unfortunately, the tensiometric properties of a conventional scalp flap are not altered by acute expansion. Indeed, the biomechanical benefits provided by acute scalp expansion are not different from those obtained by simple subgaleal undermining\[^{[17]}\] [Figure 7]. The relative inelasticity of the scalp seems to oppose the principle (acute mechanical creep) on which intra-operative tissue expansion is based. Mainly owing to the presence of the galea aponeurotica, the mean stiffness of a scalp flap is approximately three to four
times the mean stiffness of a cutaneous flap elevated on either the abdomen or the dorsum of the hand.\textsuperscript{[18‑19]} Acute scalp expansion is ineffective probably because

the inelasticity of the galea aponeurotica does not allow expansion of the elastic overlying scalp skin through the effect of mechanical creep. A clinical study evaluating if and to what extent galeotomies might affect the acute expansion of a scalp flap would be useful since, at present, no data are available to support this hypothesis.

CONCLUSIONS

The scalp is an excellent source of expanded tissue, but it has several special features that should be noted for successful expansion. Providing some details on anatomy, expander choice, expander placement, subgaleal undermining, galeotomies, and acute scalp expansion, the author hope to have underlined some peculiar characteristics of this valuable technique.
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